



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Playing a role - but which one? : how public service motivation and professionalism affect decision-making in dilemma situations

Schott, C.

Citation

Schott, C. (2015, November 11). *Playing a role - but which one? : how public service motivation and professionalism affect decision-making in dilemma situations*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/36113>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/36113>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/36113> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Schott, Carina

Title: Playing a role – but which one? : how public service motivation and professionalism affect decision-making in dilemma situations

Issue Date: 2015-11-11

Playing a role – but which one?

How public service motivation and professionalism affect
decision-making in dilemma situations

Carina Schott

Playing a role – but which one? How public service motivation and professionalism affect decision-making in dilemma situations

© Carina Schott, the Netherlands, 2015

Cover: Keith Bishop - www.iStockphoto.com

Layout: Nikki Vermeulen - Ridderprint BV

Printing: Ridderprint BV - www.ridderprint.nl

ISBN: 978-94-6299-219-1

This research was financed by NWO (Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek), Vidi project *Double-bind* (grant number 452-10-001).

Playing a role – but which one?

How public service motivation and professionalism affect
decision-making in dilemma situations

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 11 november 2015
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Carina Schott geboren te Konstanz (Duitsland)
in 1985

Promotor:

Prof. Frits M. van der Meer

Co-promotor:

Dr. Trui P. S. Steen

Doctoral Committee:

Dr. Gene. A. Brewer (The University of Georgia)

Prof. Sandra M. Groeneveld (Universiteit Leiden)

Prof. Mark R. Rutgers (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Prof. Bernard Steunenberg (Universiteit Leiden)

Dr. Wouter V. Vandenabeele (Utrecht Universiteit)

Acknowledgements

Working on a doctoral thesis is a great experience and – most of the time – a lot of fun. I would like to use this space to thank the many people who helped me to accomplish this dissertation.

First of all, I would like to thank my promotors Trui Steen and Frits van der Meer. Trui, thank you for giving me the opportunity to do a PhD with you and for your guidance and support throughout this project. You have been a great academic mentor to me who inspired me to do fundamental research while keeping the big picture in mind. I very much enjoyed working with you and hope that we will continue to do so in the future. Frits, thank you for the reading of my work. Your constructive comments and critical questions helped me to develop this dissertation further.

Next, I would like to thank the members of my Doctoral Committee, the members of the Doctoral Opposition Committee, and the members of the international expert panel of the Vidi project *Double-bind* Annie Hondeghem, Bernard Steunenberg, Gene Brewer, Jim Perry, Lotte Andersen, Mark Rutgers, Peter Leisink, Sandra Groeneveld, Sanneke Kuipers, Torben Beck-Jørgensen¹, Willy Lens¹, and Wouter Vandenabeele for their willingness to share their academic experience and participation in fruitful discussions. My special thanks go to Sandra for taking up the heavy job as a secretary of my Doctoral Committee and to Wouter for introducing me to the topic of public service motivation and supporting my career in academia.

I also would like to extend my gratitude to my all my colleagues at Leiden University. I have experienced the Institute of Public Administration as an inspiring workplace filled with people who are supportive and fun to be with. Thank you for helping me out with questions on the content and practical issues, for having distracting lunch breaks, and drinks *op het plein*. I owe particular thanks to my two long-time officemates Carola van Eijk and Daphne van Kleef. Carola, I want to thank you for your full support and constructive feedback. I have got to know you as a truly wholehearted person: you were always there to discuss work-related, but also private matters. Daphne, thank you for your company and *gezelligheid* at countless train rides to locations in the middle of nowhere for interviews, (nine!) conferences (including two city trips), and many NIG and ICLON courses. I also want to thank you for your input on my work and all the interesting discussions about our case. Next, I want to thank Rik de Ruiters for his useful comments and advice on the methodological chapters of my dissertation.

¹ Who sadly passed away

Further, I would like to thank my paranymphs Heleen Schoonenberg en Petra van den Bekerom. Heleen, you were one of the first persons who welcomed me in Utrecht. Since then, we were teammates in six different volleyballs teams, went to great concerts, and had quite some beers and rosés. You were always there to discuss unimportant, but also important matters. Thank you very much! Petra, besides being a great colleague you have become a friend to me. You not only introduced me to Rowwen Hèze, but you were also extremely supportive with work-related issues and great fun to be with. Thank you for countless beers, cups of coffee, and walks around the faculty building Campus The Hague.

Outside Leiden University, I would like to express my thanks to Fabian Homberg for inviting me to Bournemouth University to present my research and introducing me to colleagues outside the field of Public Administration. Next, I want to thank Jeroen Maesschalck and his team for sharing his expertise on developing questionnaires and Mirko Noordegraaf for sharing his knowledge of professionalism and working together with me on a publication. My thanks also go to my fellow participants of NIG courses for their suggestions, critical questions and all the good times we had conferences (don't you agree Sjors?), and to Ulrike for working together on the most fun *hobby paper* and many nice dinners.

Next, I would like to thank all the veterinary inspectors working at the NVWA who provided me with data. Without you being willing to share your perceptions, this research would simply have been impossible. I also want to thank all the people who helped me to get access to the data in the first place; particularly Bas van der Linden. Bas, from the very beginning, you were involved in – and highly committed to – this project. By writing countless emails, making many phone calls, and sharing your enormous amount of knowledge of the organization NVWA and profession of veterinary inspectors, you have been an irreplaceable source of information for us.

On a personal level, I would like to thank my German and Dutch friends, my roomies (which have been quite many over the years), and teammates (which have been even more). Thank you for providing me with the needed distraction (read: good talks, laughs, drinks, dinners, playing volleyball, and dancing) and for your interested in my work. I owe special thanks to Sanne and Verena. San, you are/were all of it: roomie, teammate, and friend. Thank you for being you, and being there whenever I need you. Verenchen, thank you for being my best friend for almost as long as I can remember.

My last words go to Jan and my family. Jan, thank you for your unrestricted support, very valuable advice regarding work-related and non-work-related matters, a place to sleep in The Hague, (healthy) food, and – in particular – for the most important thing: for making me happy. Ma, Pa, Jule und Alex, egal wie weit weg Ihr auch seid, bedeutet Ihr mir unendlich viel. Ihr habt mich geprägt wie sonst niemand und mir unheimlich viel ermöglicht. Die Gewissheit, dass ich mich immer auf Euch verlassen kann und Ihr immer für mich da seid, ist unersetzbar. Vielen tausend Dank!

Table of contents

1	Introduction: what drives public service professionals' decision-making in real-life dilemma situations	15
1.1	Primary research question and secondary research questions	21
1.2	Research design and the case studied	22
1.3	Theoretical relevance	23
1.4	Practical relevance	24
1.5	Methodological relevance	25
1.6	Research plan	26
2	A theoretical framework for public service motivation and professionalism as guidelines of decision-making in dilemma situations	29
2.1	Key characteristics of the public sector: the roles of conflicting values, contrasting demands and the public interest	31
2.2	Decision-making in dilemma situations: coping strategies	35
2.3	Introducing public service motivation into the study of decision-making in dilemma situations	36
2.3.1	Public service motivation as explanatory variable in dilemma situations	37
2.3.2	Overview of traditional public service motivation research	40
2.3.3	Persistent knowledge gaps	43
2.3.4	Conclusion	47
2.4	Introducing professionalism into the study of decision-making in dilemma situations	48
2.4.1	Professionalism as explanatory variable in dilemma situations	48
2.4.2	Overview of traditional and recent approaches to the sociology of professionalism	50
2.4.3	Persistent knowledge gap	54
2.4.4	Conclusion	56
2.5	The interrelatedness of public service motivation and professionalism	58
2.6	Introduction to identity theory	60
2.7	New approaches to the study of public service motivation and professionalism	62
2.7.1	Addressing public service motivation as a role identity-dependent concept	63
2.7.2	Addressing professionalism as professional role identity	65
2.7.3	The relationship between public service motivation and professionalism, and their combined effect of decision-making in dilemma situations	67
2.8	Summary of the theory and outline of the conceptual model	69

3	Overall empirical research design	75
3.1	Research design: mixed-methods research	77
3.2	Cases selection and case description	80
3.2.1	The organization: Dutch Food and Consumer Product Safety Authority	80
3.2.2	The profession: veterinary inspectors	81
4	Qualitative methods and techniques	85
4.1	Research method: semi-structured interviews	87
4.2	Selection of interviewees: two interview panels	88
4.3	Strategy for analysing the interviews	90
4.3.1	Strategy for analysing the results for the large interview panel	91
4.3.2	Strategy for analysing the results for the ‘newcomers’ panel	92
4.4	Quality of the qualitative research: addressing reliability, validity, and limitations	93
5	Qualitative results: public service motivation and professionalism and the context of dilemma situations	95
5.1	Situations in which veterinary inspectors experience dilemmas	97
5.2	Decision-making in dilemma situations, and the link with coping strategies	99
5.3	Public service motivation among veterinary inspectors	101
5.3.1	The development of public service motivation over time	104
5.4	Interpretations of different aspects of the professional role of veterinary inspector	110
5.5	Different professional role identities and the link with public service motivation: clarifying the meaning and behavioural consequences of these concepts	116
5.6	Considerations influencing decision-making in dilemma situations	121
6	Quantitative methods and techniques	129
6.1	Developing a questionnaire and assessing the reliability and validity of the measurement instruments	131
6.1.1	Structure and stages of development	131
6.1.2	Measurement instruments	132
6.1.2.1	Public service motivation	132
6.1.2.2	Professionalism	133
6.1.2.3	Commitment to the inspector	137
6.1.2.4	Work-related tensions	137

6.1.2.5	Decision-making in dilemma situations	137
6.1.2.6	Controls	140
6.2	Data collection and analysis	142
6.2.1	Research method: questionnaire research	142
6.2.2	Respondents, response rate and respondents' remarks about the questionnaire	142
6.2.3	Quantitative Analyses	147
6.2.3.1	Statistical techniques used to assess construct validity	147
6.2.3.2	Statistical techniques used to describe the data	148
6.2.3.3	Statistical techniques used to test hypotheses	149
6.3	Missing data	149
6.4	Limitations of the quantitative research design	150
7	Quantitative results: public service motivation and professionalism and the context of dilemma situations	153
7.1	Descriptive statistics of dependent and independent variables	155
7.1.1	Public service motivation	155
7.1.2	Professionalism	157
7.1.3	Decision-making in dilemma situations	159
7.1.4	Considerations in decision-making	162
7.1.5	Work-related tensions	170
7.1.6	Commitment to the inspectee	172
7.2	Correlations between the variables and multicollinearity	172
7.3	Linking the meaning of the public interest to different roles	179
7.4	Professional role identity, commitment to the inspectee and decision-making	183
7.5	Considerations in decision-making	190
7.6	Testing the conceptual model	191
8	Final discussion and conclusions	197
8.1	Qualitative and quantitative results combined	199
8.1.1	Dilemma situations experienced by veterinary inspectors	200
8.1.2	Decisions made by veterinary inspectors in dilemma situations	202
8.1.3	Clarifying the meaning and behavioural consequences of professionalism in dilemma situations	205
8.1.4	Clarifying the meaning and behavioural consequences of public service motivation in dilemma situations	216
8.1.5	The relationship between public service motivation and professionalism	222

8.1.6	The combined effect of public service motivation and professionalism on decision-making in dilemma situations	224
8.2	Theoretical and empirical contributions	226
8.3	Practical implications of the research findings	231
8.4	Limitations of the present research	233
8.5	Possible directions for future research	234
8.6	Summary	237
	References	239
	Appendix	255
	Dutch summary	271
	Curriculum vitae	285

List of figures

- Figure 1** Schematic representation of the self with a salient organizational role identity
- Figure 2** Schematic representation of the conceptual model
- Figure 3** Schematic overview of the mixed-methods research design
- Figure 4** Schematic overview of the different aspects of the role of veterinary inspectors

List of tables

- Table 1** Research questions, related hypotheses/propositions, and section(s) in the empirical part of this book
- Table 2** Summary of considerations in dilemma situation
- Table 3** Nine ethical standards
- Table 4** Summary of confirmatory factor analysis fit indices
- Table 5** Results of principal component analysis
- Table 6** Descriptive statistics of sample and population
- Table 7** Descriptive statistics for the measurement instruments
- Table 8** Summary of missing values
- Table 9** Frequency table for decision-making in dilemma situations ('vignettes')
- Table 10a** Frequency table for considerations in decision-making (Dilemma 1)
- Table 10b** Frequency table for considerations in decision-making (Dilemma 2)
- Table 10c** Frequency table for considerations in decision-making (Dilemma 3)
- Table 11** Frequency table for reasons for work-related tensions
- Table 12a** Full Pearson's correlation table of all core variables included in this study
- Table 12b** Pearson's correlations table of professional role identity and considerations in decision-making in dilemma situations
- Table 13** Frequency table for describing different interpretations of 'public interest'
- Table 14** Frequent and interesting combinations of interpretations of 'public interest'
- Table 15a** Results of binary regression analysis (Dilemma 1)
- Table 15b** Results of multinomial logistic regression analysis (Dilemma 2)
- Table 15c** Results of multinomial logistic regression analysis (Dilemma 3)
- Table 16a** Results of binary logistic regression analysis with moderator PSM (Dilemma 1)
- Table 16b** Results of multinomial logistic regression analysis with moderator PSM (Dilemma 2)
- Table 16c** Results of multinomial logistic regression analysis with moderator PSM (Dilemma 3)

List of tables in the Appendix

Table A1a	Interview topics for the 'large' interview panel
Table A1b	Interview topics for the 'newcomers' interview panel (1 st round)
Table A1c	Interview topics for the 'newcomers' interview panel (2nd round)
Table A2a	Respondents in the 'large' interview panel
Table A2b	Respondents in the 'newcomers' interview panel
Table A3a	Codes and subcodes for the 'large' interview panel
Table A3b	Codes and subcodes for the 'newcomers' interview panel (1 st round)
Table A3c	Codes and subcodes for the 'newcomers' interview panel (2nd round)
Table A4a	Complete list of public service motivation items
Table A4b	Complete list of professional role identity items
Table A4c	Complete list of remaining items included in this study
Table A5a	Results of binary regression analysis with controls (Dilemma 1)
Table A5b	Results of multinomial regression analysis with controls (Dilemma 2)
Table A5c	Results of multinomial regression analysis with controls (Dilemma 3)
Table A6	Results of logistic regression analysis with moderator PSM dimension 'compassion'

