

**Spinning worlds** Schwarz, H.

Citation

Schwarz, H. (2017, June 1). *Spinning worlds*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/49240

Version:	Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/49240

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



# Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/49240</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Schwarz, Henriette Title: Spinning worlds Issue Date: 2017-06-01

# 3 The slow spin of the substellar companion GQ Lupi b and its orbital configuration

In collaboration with:

Christian Ginski, Remco de Kok, Ignas Snellen, Matteo Brogi, Jayne Birkby Published in A&A 593, A74 (2016)

The spin of a planet or brown dwarf is related to the accretion process, and therefore studying spin can help promote our understanding of the formation of such objects. We present the projected rotational velocity of the young substellar companion GQ Lupi b, along with its barycentric radial velocity. The directly imaged exoplanet or brown dwarf companion joins a small but growing ensemble of wide-orbit, substellar companions with a spin measurement. The GQ Lupi system was observed at high spectral resolution ( $R \sim 100\,000$ ), and in the analysis we made use of both spectral and spatial filtering to separate the signal of the companion from that of the host star. We detect both CO (S/N=11.6) and H<sub>2</sub>O (S/N=7.7) in the atmosphere of GQ Lupi b by cross-correlating with model spectra, and we find it to be a slow rotator with a projected rotational velocity of  $5.3^{+0.9}_{-1.0}$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. The slow rotation is most likely due to its young age of < 5 Myr, as it is still in the process of accreting material and angular momentum. We measure the barycentric radial velocity of GQ Lupi b to be  $2.0 \pm 0.4$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, and discuss the allowed orbital configurations and their implications for formation scenarios for GQ Lupi b.

# 3.1 Introduction

Measurements of the spin and the orbit of giant extrasolar planets and brown dwarf companions may hold important clues to their origin and evolution. Generally, two formation processes are considered for giant planets: i) core accretion and ii) disk fragmentation. Jupiter and Saturn are commonly accepted to have formed through core accretion. In this class of formation models, gas accretes onto solid planetary embryos of several to ten Earth masses that may have formed beyond the iceline by runaway accretion from kilometer-sized planetesimals (Pollack et al., 1996; Laughlin et al., 2004; Hubickyj et al., 2005). The discovery of extrasolar giant planets led to the reinvigoration of the disk fragmentation hypothesis, which states that giant (exo)planets may form as a disk gravitational instability that collapses on itself in the outer protoplanetary disk (Boss, 1997, 2000). Disk fragmentation is also considered a potential formation scenario for the more massive brown dwarf companions (Chabrier et al., 2014), or alternatively brown dwarf companions can be the result of prestellar core fragmentation during the earliest stages of the cloud collapse (Jumper and Fisher, 2013).

Spin is predominantly a result of accretion of angular momentum during the formation, and if core accretion and gravitational instability result in differences in spin angular momentum, it is possible this will show up in studies of spin of substellar companions as function of mass. In the solar system, the spin angular momenta of those planets not influenced by tidal effects or tidal energy dissipation by a massive satellite follow a clear relationship, spinning faster with increasing mass (Hughes, 2003). In particular, gas giants far away from their central star are likely to have primordial spin angular momentum, making the directly imaged substellar companions ideal candidates for exploring the connection between formation and spin.

The rotational velocity of an exoplanet was measured for the first time by Snellen et al. (2014), who observed the directly imaged planet  $\beta$  Pictoris b with high-dispersion spectroscopy and measured this planet to have a projected rotational velocity of  $v \sin(i) = 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Another young directly imaged planet, 2M1207 b, became the first exoplanet to directly have its rotational period measured ( $P_{\text{rot}} = 10.7 \text{ h}$ ), when Zhou et al. (2016) detected rotational modulations with Hubble Space Telescope (HST) photometric monitoring of the object. Both results are in accordance with an extrapolation of the spin-mass trend observed in the solar system planets (see Fig. 3.8).

Apart from being related to the accretion process, the spin of an exoplanet is also a fundamental observable that, in particular, affects its atmospheric dynamics and climate as well as, for example, its magnetic fields. On Earth, the Coriolis effect generates large-scale ocean currents that in turn promote cyclones. For fast rotators, including many brown dwarfs, the wind flows are rotation dominated (Showman and Kaspi, 2013). On the other hand, exoplanets orbiting close to their parent star are expected to be tidally locked. Brogi et al. (2016) and Louden and Wheatley (2015) both recently made use of high-dispersion spectroscopy to detect a Doppler signature in the transmission spectrum of the hot Jupiter HD 189733 b, which is consistent with synchronous rotation. Synchronous rotation is the cause of large temperature differences between the day- and nightside, which in turn can cause fast winds flowing from the hot dayside to the cold nightside.

#### 3.2 The GQ Lupi system

Another approach to understanding the formation and dynamical evolution of exoplanets is to study their orbits. In the case of directly imaged substellar companions, it is often difficult to constrain the orbits because of the long timescales involved (e.g. Pearce et al., 2015; Ginski et al., 2014a). However, using a high-dispersion slit spectrograph in combination with adaptive optics, it is possible to extract spatially resolved high-dispersion spectra for the companion (Snellen et al., 2014), and thereby measure even very small Doppler shifts due to the orbital motion of the companion. Thus, even just one radial velocity measurement can in some cases prove to be a powerful orbital constraint (Nielsen et al., 2014; Lecavelier des Etangs and Vidal-Madjar, 2016).

In this paper we present both the spin measurement and the barycentric radial velocity (RV) of the widely separated, substellar companion GQ Lupi b as obtained from high-dispersion spectroscopy. We introduce the GQ Lupi system in Section 3.2, and give the details of the observations in Section 3.3. The data analysis is detailed in Section 3.4 with special emphasis on how the spatially resolved spectrum of the companion is extracted and cleaned from telluric and stellar spectral lines. In Section 3.5 we explain the cross-correlation analysis that is employed to measure the rotational broadening and doppler shifts of the molecular lines in the companion spectrum, and the results from the companion are presented in Section 3.6, along with the host star spin and systemic velocity. We discuss the implications of the spin measurement in Section 3.7.1 and the constraints on orbital elements in Section 3.7.2.

# 3.2 The GQ Lupi system

GQ Lupi A is a classical T Tauri star with spectral type K7 V (Kharchenko and Roeser, 2009) that is located in the star-forming cloud Lupus I (Tachihara et al., 1996) at an approximate distance of  $140 \pm 50$  pc (e.g. Neuhäuser and Brandner, 1998). The system is less than five million years old (Neuhäuser et al., 2005; Seperuelo Duarte et al., 2008; Weise et al., 2010), and there is strong observational evidence for a circumstellar warm dust disk (Hughes et al., 1994; Kessler-Silacci et al., 2006; Morales et al., 2012; Donati et al., 2012), extending to between 25 and 75 au from the star (Dai et al., 2010). The inclination of the spin axis of GQ Lupi A is estimated to be 30° (Donati et al., 2012), which is roughly consistent with the inclination of the warm inner parts of the circumstellar disk determined by Hügelmeyer et al. (2009) to be ~22°. Additional stellar parameters are given in Table 3.1.

The star has a directly imaged substellar companion, GQ Lupi b, with a highly uncertain and model dependent mass most likely in the range  $10 M_J$  to  $36 M_J$ ,

(Marois et al., 2007; Seifahrt et al., 2007), placing it somewhere on the border between a giant planet and a brown dwarf, although this boundary is becoming increasingly blurred (Chabrier et al., 2014; Hatzes and Rauer, 2015). The exoplanet candidate was first discovered with HST by Neuhäuser et al. (2005), and it was recently imaged as part of the SEEDS survey (Uyama et al., 2016). It is located at a projected separation of 0.7'' west (100 au at 140 pc) and an astrometric analysis by Ginski et al. (2014b) points to a best-fit semimajor axes of a = 76 au to 129 au (at 140 pc) and high eccentricity in the range 0.21 to 0.69. The GQ Lupi system has a favourable companion to star contrast ratio, with the host star (Kharchenko and Roeser, 2009) and companion (Ginski et al., 2014b) having K-band magnitudes of 7.1 and 13.3, respectively. Selected parameters for GQ Lupi b are given in Table 3.2.

Table 3.1: Parameters for GQ Lupi A from Donati et al. (2012)

Effective temperature, $T_{\rm eff}$	4300	$\pm$	50 K
Stellar mass, $M_{\star}$	1.05	$\pm$	$0.07M_{\odot}$
Stellar radius, $R_{\star}$	1.7	$\pm$	$0.2R_\odot$
Surface gravity, $\log g$	3.7	$\pm$	0.2
Rotation period, $P_{\star}$	8.4	$\pm$	0.3 days
Proj. rot. velocity, $v \sin(i)$	5.0	$\pm$	$1.0{\rm kms^{-1}}$
Inclination, <i>i</i>	30°		

# 3.3 Observations

We observed the GQ Lupi system for one hour (including acquisition) on 29 May 2014 with the Cryogenic High-Resolution Infrared Echelle Spectrograph (CRIRES; Kaeufl et al., 2004), located at the Nasmyth A focus of the Antu telescope of the Very Large Telescope array (VLT) at Cerro Paranal in Chile. The long slit spectrograph has a slit length of 50", and we chose a slit width of 0.2" to achieve the maximal spectral resolving power  $R \sim 100\,000$ . The slit was positioned in a near east to west orientation to both encompass the host star and the substellar companion located at position angle PA =  $-83.6^{\circ}$  (Zhou et al., 2014), allowing a combination of high-dispersion spectroscopy with high-contrast imaging (Snellen et al., 2015).

We took 18 exposures of 120 s in a classical ABBA pattern, where the telescope was nodded sequentially 10'' in the slit direction between postions A and B, then

B and A to allow accurate background subtraction. At each position an additional small random jitter offset was introduced to minimise issues from flatfielding and hot pixels.

The four CRIRES detectors are of type Aladdin III InSb, and each has a size of  $1024 \times 512$  pixels with a gap of approximately 280 pixels in between. Unfortunately, the two outer detectors have severe odd-even column non-linearity effects<sup>1</sup>, which were not possible to accurately calibrate in these data. These are therefore left out of the analysis. The observations were performed with the standard wavelength settings for order 24 ( $\lambda_{cen} = 2.3252 \,\mu$ m), using the two central detectors to target the ro-vibrational (2, 0) R branch of carbon monoxide. The wavelength range 2.302  $\mu$ m–2.331  $\mu$ m of the two central detectors covers more than 30 strong CO lines.

We used the Multi Application Curvature Adaptive Optics system (MACAO; Arsenault et al., 2003) in 1.0" to 1.1" seeing conditions. To further maximise the performance of MACAO, the target system was observed at low airmasses between 1.056 and 1.121. This resulted in the starlight being suppressed by a factor ~ 45 at the companion position. With a K-band contrast ratio of  $3.3 \times 10^{-3}$ , the companion contributes with  $(F_b/F_A) * 45 * 100 = 15 \pm 3\%$  of the total flux at the companion position. The remaining 85% flux is from the host star.

# 3.4 Data analysis

## 3.4.1 Basic data reduction

The data was processed with the CRIRES pipeline version 2.3.2 and the corresponding version 3.10.2 of ESOREX. The pipeline performed the basic image processing, i.e. the images were dark subtracted, flatfielded, and were corrected for known bad pixels and non-linearity effects. Furthermore, the pipeline combined the images in AB nodding pairs performing a background subtraction, and extracted a one-dimensional (1D) spectrum from each of these combined images with the optimal extraction technique (Horne, 1986).

We made use of the intermediate data products, i.e. the combined images, and the optimally extracted 1D spectra of the host star as follows. From the 18 exposures, 9 combined image frames were produced for each detector. An example of such a frame for detector 2 is shown in Fig. 3.1. We cut away everything but the central 51 rows that contain the stellar spectral trail, the hidden companion spectral trail (8 pixels above the centre), and enough extra rows to properly de-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/paranal/instruments/crires/doc/ VLT-MAN-ESO-14500-3486\_v93.pdf



**Figure 3.1:** One of the combined image frames from detector 2. This is an intermediate data product from the CRIRES pipeline, and it is the combination of an AB nodding pair of exposures. The central rows that are used in the further data analysis are indicated on the right axis. The stellar spectral trail is clearly visible as the central white band, and the location of the hidden spectral trail from GQ Lupi b is indicated with a dashed line. The two diagonal stripes towards the right end are detector defects.

termine the stellar point spread function along the slit. The bad pixel correction in the CRIRES pipeline is insufficient. Therefore the remaining bad pixels (including cosmic rays) were visually identified with the programme DS9, and they were corrected with cubic spline interpolation using the four nearest neighbours in the row on both sides. The 9 optimally extracted 1D spectra of the host star were corrected for bad pixels in the same manner and then median normalised. Subsequently they were averaged over time to a single reference spectrum, representing the average spectrum of the host star, GQ Lupi A, plus telluric absorption in the Earth's atmosphere.

The wavelength calibration was performed using line matching between deep and isolated telluric lines in the reference spectrum and a synthetic transmission spectrum from ESO SkyCalc<sup>2</sup>. We used 15 to 20 lines per detector and fitted a second-order polynomial to the pairs of pixel and wavelength centroids, where the centroids were determined from Gaussian fits. The highest residuals to the secondorder polynomial fits were at a level of 20% of a pixel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://www.eso.org/observing/etc/skycalc/



**Figure 3.2:** Illustration of the data analysis steps from a short wavelength range from detector 2. The y-axis depicts the slit position relative to the star, with the slit position of the companion indicated by a 'b' on the right-hand axes. The greyscales were adjusted individually for each array with an IRAF-like z-scale algorithm. Top to bottom: (A) the AB-combined image corrected for bad pixels, (B) the spatial profiles of the star, (C) the image after each row has been normalised with the rows of the spatial profile array, (D) the spatial spectra (i.e. rectified for the trace), and (E) the residual spatial spectra after removing stellar and telluric lines.

## 3.4.2 Extraction of spectra for each slit position

From the clean combined image frames (Fig. 5.2A), we optimally extracted a spectrum for each slit position. Fig. 5.2 illustrates the procedure, which was performed individually for each frame and each detector. Looking at the star trail in Fig. 3.1, it can be seen that the y-position of the intensity peak shifts with wavelength, and it is an important step in the data analysis to correct for this tilt of the trail of the star. In detector 2, the pixel with the maximum intensity shifts by 4 pixels from one end of the detector to the other, and in detector 3 the shift is 2 pixels. The tilted trail introduces a curvature in the continuum for a given row, and therefore we normalised each row with a polynomial fit of the curvature, where the degree of the polynomial depended on the distance from the stellar spectral trail. The fitted curves also provide the spatial profiles of the star as a function of wavelength (Fig. 5.2B). We used the spatial profiles to optimally extract a spectrum for each of the 31 most central rows from the normalised arrays (Fig. 5.2C). We refer to these collectively as the spatial spectra (Fig. 5.2D). The nine AB frames of spatial spectra were combined to a single frame to increase the signal-to-noise ratio of a given spectrum. Each pixel was normalised by the median of the pixel value through the nine frames and then combined as a weighted average. The weights were determined according to the varying width of the spatial profile (Fig. 5.2B), which is a seeing proxy. Pixels that deviated  $> 4\sigma$  for the given pixel position were excluded.

## 3.4.3 Removal of telluric and stellar spectrum

The spatial spectra are dominated by the telluric absorption and the stellar spectrum, which has prominent CO lines. In contrast, the additional component to the spectra from the companion is strongly localised on the detector at the slit position of the companion. The stellar and telluric components are quasi identical at all slit positions, although the spectral resolution changes slightly with slit position. This is due to variations in the spatial profile of the star along the slit. Furthermore a small offset of the position of the star with respect to the centre of the slit can result in a small wavelength offset, and in addition there is a scaling factor. In order to remove both the telluric and stellar components, we made use of the reference spectrum we constructed in Section 3.4.1. The reference spectrum was adjusted to each slit position to correct for the above-mentioned effects by convolving with an appropriate broadening function, which was determined with the singular value decomposition technique (Rucinski, 1999). The telluric and stellar spectrum was then removed from the spatial spectra by dividing with the adjusted reference spectrum (Fig. 5.2E).

#### Companion position

The presence of CO lines in the spectrum of the host star is a complicating factor because they potentially overlap with the CO lines of the companion. If the orbital motion of the companion has a significant radial component, the lines are red- or blueshifted relative to the lines of the star, but because of the wide separation between host and companion in the GQ Lupi system, the upper limit on the absolute value of this shift is 2.5 to  $3.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , depending on the exact semimajor axis and mass of GQ Lupi A. Furthermore, both the molecular lines of the companion and of the host star are rotationally broadened. Guenther et al. (2005) measured the projected rotational velocity of GQ Lupi A to be  $6.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and more recently Donati et al. (2012) found a similar value of  $5 \pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The concern is that the shape and strength of the companion lines may be affected by the process of removing the stellar lines, thereby compromising the  $v \sin(i)$  and/or Doppler shift measurements.

The severity of this issue is greatly reduced by the angular separation between the host and the companion of 0.7". As stated in section 3.3, we achieved a suppression of the starlight at the position of the companion to a few percent, which means that 15% of the total flux at this slit position originates from the companion and 85% originates from the host star. We therefore scaled the stellar lines in the reference spectrum down by 15% before proceeding as described in section 3.4.3 with removing the stellar and telluric spectrum from the spatial spectrum of the companion position.

We isolated the stellar lines from the telluric parts of the reference spectrum to rescale the stellar reference spectrum. This was carried out as an iterative process, where a telluric model spectrum and a stellar model spectrum were fitted and removed separately from the reference spectrum. The steps were as follows:

- We used the same telluric model spectrum from ESO SkyCalc, which was used to perform the wavelength calibration. The airmass and the precipitable water vapor (PWV) was set manually at airmass 1.1 and PWV 1.5 to best fit the observed reference spectrum. The stellar model is a PHOENIX spectrum from Husser et al. (2013) with  $T_{\rm eff} = 4300$  K and  $\log g = 3.5$ . The telluric model was smoothed, and both the telluric and the stellar model were resampled to the observed wavelength solution.
- We convolved the telluric model with a broadening kernel to adjust the resolution to that of the reference spectrum. As in section 3.4.3 the singular value decomposition (SVD) technique (Rucinski, 1999) was employed to determine the appropriate kernel, but here it was performed in log space to avoid the deepest telluric lines from dominating. The telluric spectrum was

then removed from the reference spectrum by dividing by the telluric fit.

- The stellar template was fitted to the telluric-removed reference spectrum by convolving with an SVD broadening kernel in log space. Following this, the stellar lines were removed from the original reference spectrum by dividing by the stellar fit.
- The telluric SVD fit and removal was redone, adjusting the telluric model to the stellar-removed reference spectrum before dividing the original reference spectrum by the fit, thus producing an improved telluric-removed reference spectrum.
- The continuum of the new telluric-removed reference spectrum was fitted with a second order polynomial and removed. Subsequently the stellar SVD fit was redone, fitting the stellar model to the flat telluric-removed reference spectrum. This stellar fit was then scaled to 15% of the line strength, and the original reference spectrum was divided by this scaled down stellar model, resulting in a reference spectrum with the strength of the stellar lines reduced by 15%.

The effects of scaling down the stellar lines are minor. Details of how it affects the measured  $v \sin(i)$  and RV are given in Section 3.7.1 and Section 3.7.2.

# 3.5 Measuring the signal from the companion

After removing the telluric and stellar spectrum, the residual spatial spectra consist of residual noise, with the exception at the slit position of the companion, which also has a contribution from the companion spectrum. Fig. 5.2E shows a short wavelength range of the residual spatial spectra. At the high spectral resolution of the CRIRES data, the molecular bands are resolved into individual lines, and the signal from all of the lines from a given molecule within the wavelength range can be combined through cross-correlation with a model spectrum. Molecules are identified in the spectrum of the companion as a peak in the cross-correlation function (CCF). The CCF profile is sensitive to both the shape and the Doppler shift of the companion spectral lines. Lines that are broadened by rotation result in broadened CCF profiles, and lines that are shifted also shift the peak of the CCF. In this section we describe the model spectra and the cross-correlation analysis, as well as the procedure for measuring the rotation and radial velocity of the companion from the cross-correlation function.



**Figure 3.3:** Models with a T/p profile and abundance that give rise to the strongest crosscorrelation signals from the companion. The top panel shows the model with CO as a single trace gas, the middle panel the model with only H<sub>2</sub>O, and the bottom panel model contains both CO and H<sub>2</sub>O. The temperature is set to decrease from 2150 K at 1 bar to 1100 K at 0.03 bar and is isothermal outside this pressure range. The volume mixing ratios for CO and/or H<sub>2</sub>O in these three models are  $10^{-4}$ .

### 3.5.1 The model spectra

The GQ Lupi system is thought to be very young (<5 Myr), and as a result GQ Lupi b is very hot with an effective temperature ( $T_{\rm eff}$ ) of approximately 2650 K (Seifahrt et al., 2007). At such high temperatures the most abundant trace gas molecules in the K band are expected to be CO and H<sub>2</sub>O. We cross-correlated with models with CO or H<sub>2</sub>O as a single trace gas and with a suit of models containing both CO and H<sub>2</sub>O. The models were calculated line by line, assuming H<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub> collision-induced absorption (Borysow et al., 2001; Borysow, 2002). The CO and H<sub>2</sub>O data were taken from HITEMP 2010 (Rothman et al., 2010) and a Voigt line profile was employed.

The models were constructed from a narrow grid of parameterised temperaturepressure profiles (T/p profiles). All the models are isothermal with a temperature



**Figure 3.4:** The residual spectrum after removing telluric and stellar lines at the companion position. Overplotted in red is the CO model from the top panel of Fig. 3.3, convolved to the CRIRES resolution, rotationally broadened, and Doppler shifted to match the measured  $v \sin i$  ( $5.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and radial velocity ( $2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) of GQ Lupi b. For illustration purposes, the model was also fitted to the residual companion spectrum with a vertical offset and a scaling factor. The companion spectrum is dominated by noise, but the individual CO lines are discernible.

of  $T_0 = [1650 \text{ K}, 1900 \text{ K}, 2150 \text{ K}]$  at pressures higher than  $p_0 = 1$  bar. The temperature decreases with a constant lapse rate (i.e. the rate of temperature change with log pressure) until it reaches  $T_1 = [750 \text{ K}, 1100 \text{ K}, 1450 \text{ K}, 1800 \text{ K}]$  at pressure  $p_1$ =  $[10^{-1.5} \text{ bar}, 10^{-2.5} \text{ bar}, 10^{-3.5} \text{ bar}, 10^{-4.5} \text{ bar}]$ . The models are isothermal again at higher altitudes or equivalently lower pressures. Both CO and H<sub>2</sub>O were tested with four different volume mixing ratios, VMR =  $[10^{-5.5}, 10^{-5.0}, 10^{-4.5}, 10^{-4.0}]$ . Fig. 3.3 illustrates the CO-only, H<sub>2</sub>O-only and CO+H<sub>2</sub>O models with the best T/p profile and volume mixing ratios from the grid.

### 3.5.2 Cross-correlation analysis

The model spectrum was first convolved to the CRIRES spectral resolution using a Gaussian filter, then Doppler shifted over the range -250 to  $250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in steps of  $1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and for each velocity step cross-correlated with the residual spatial spectra. We assumed R = 100 000 for the instrumental profile, but we measured the actual resolving power to be in the range 80 000 to 90 000. This causes a small overestimation of  $v \sin(i)$  of the order of  $60 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , which is included in the lower uncertainty bound of the final result. The cross-correlation was performed for every

slit position as a means to investigate the strength of spurious signals and issues with, for example stellar residual signals. Each detector was treated separately and only combined as an average after the cross-correlation analysis. This results in two-dimensional arrays with the cross-correlation coefficient as a function of slit position and applied Doppler shift.

## 3.5.3 Measuring the companion $v \sin(i)$ and RV

The cross-correlation function (CCF) from the residual spectrum at the companion position using any of the models contains the rotational broadening and radial velocity of the companion. We selected the model with the strongest crosscorrelation signal for the purpose of measuring  $v \sin(i)$  and RV. The CCFs from the two detectors were averaged to further maximise the signal-to-noise (S/N). We refer to the average CCF from the companion position and the best model as the measured companion CCF.

We determined the best-fit  $v \sin(i)$  and RV of the measured companion CCF through  $\mathcal{X}^2$  minimisation with a suite of model CCFs, along with confidence intervals from rescaling the errors so  $\bar{\mathcal{X}}^2 = 1$ . The model CCFs were constructed by cross-correlating the non-broadened best model with broadened and shifted versions of the model itself. Both the broadened and non-broadened models were convolved to the CRIRES spectral resolution prior to the cross-correlation. We tested projected rotational velocities in the range 0 to 10 km s<sup>-1</sup> and Doppler shifts in the range -5 to  $5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . For both parameters the step size was  $0.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Each of these model CCFs were then offset (y-direction) and scaled with a least-squares fit to best match the measured companion CCF, after which the  $\mathcal{X}^2$  minimisation routine was performed. The measured radial velocity was corrected to the barycentric radial velocity using the systemic velocity ( $v_{sys}$ ) determined from the host star spectrum as described in Section 3.5.4, and the heliocentric correction term for the time of observation. The results are presented in Section 3.6.2 and discussed in Sections 3.7.1 and 3.7.2.

## 3.5.4 Measuring the systemic velocity and the host star $v \sin(i)$

We took the same approach to measuring  $v \sin(i)$  and RV of the host star as we did for the companion. In this case, the measured host star CCF was the cross-correlation function of the flat telluric-removed reference spectrum from the final step of Section 3.4.3 and a synthetic PHOENIX spectrum from Husser et al. (2013) with  $T_{\text{eff}} = 4300$  K and  $\log g = 3.5$ . A suite of model CCFs were constructed from the PHOENIX model with a range of  $v \sin(i)$  and RV values, and we performed the  $\mathcal{X}^2$  analysis for the host star, comparing the measured and modelled

CCFs. The measured radial velocity was corrected to the barycentric radial velocity (i.e. the systemic velocity) using the heliocentric correction term for the time of observation. We carried out this analysis for PHOENIX models with  $T_{\rm eff} \pm 100$  K and log  $g \pm 0.5$  to test the sensitivity to the stellar parameters, and found that this temperature difference can affect the radial velocity with up to  $100 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  and the  $v \sin(i)$  with up to  $200 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . The radial velocity is not sensitive to the choice of log g, but the  $v \sin(i)$  can be affected by up to  $200 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . The results are presented and discussed in Sections 3.6.3 and 3.7.3, respectively.

# 3.6 Results

Although the residual spectrum at the companion position is noisy, the absorption lines from CO in the companion atmosphere are in some cases visible (Fig. 4.4). To help guide the eye, we overplotted the best CO model shifted to the best-fit radial velocity. Although we can visually detect the individual CO lines, the companion spectrum is too noisy to allow the rotational broadening or the Doppler shift to be measured directly from the CO lines, and we therefore need to make use of the CCF.

## 3.6.1 Detection of CO and H<sub>2</sub>O

We clearly detect the substellar companion at the expected distance from the host star (0.7") in the CCF arrays (see Fig. 3.5). We detect CO with a S/N of 11.6 and H<sub>2</sub>O with a S/N of 7.7. The best model containing both CO and H<sub>2</sub>O is detected with a S/N of 12.3. These are average values from the two central CRIRES detectors, but both CO and  $H_2O$  are detected separately in each detector. The S/N values were obtained by dividing the cross-correlation coefficients with the standard deviation of the array, excluding points in parameter space that are close to the companion signal. Fig. 3.5 shows the S/N cross-correlation arrays for the best CO-only, H<sub>2</sub>O-only and CO+H<sub>2</sub>O models from the tested T/p and VMR grid. These arrays are displayed here from -50 to  $50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , but the S/N-values are based on the standard deviation of the full radial velocity range from -250 to  $250 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ . These best models are illustrated in Fig. 3.3 and share the same T/p profile with the temperature decreasing from 2150 K at 1 bar to 1100 K at 0.03 bar. The steep decrease in temperature relatively deep in the atmosphere gives rise to strong absorption lines. The VMR for both CO and  $H_2O$  in these models are in all cases  $10^{-4}$ . All the models in the grid described in Section 3.5.1 give rise to significant molecular detections, and for a given VMR the significance of a detection from the different T/p profiles agree to within  $1\sigma$ .



**Figure 3.5:** Strength of the cross-correlation as function of slit position and radial velocity for the three best-fit models (see Fig. 3.3). We detect both CO and  $H_2O$  using single-trace gas models with a S/N of 11.6 for CO and 7.7 for  $H_2O$ , and the signal from the double-trace gas model is marginally stronger with a S/N of 12.3. These cross-correlation arrays are the averages from the two central CRIRES detectors.

The CO signal and the CO+H<sub>2</sub>O signal are detected with a stronger significance in detector 3 compared to detector 2. This is in line with expectations because the third detector has a higher number of CO lines. In detector 3 there are stellar CO residuals in the row just above the star position, although at a lower level than the companion signal. The residuals are strong enough to also show up in the average cross-correlation arrays in Fig. 3.5. It is unclear why the procedure for removing the stellar light has partly failed at this one slit position, and also why the issue only involves one of the detectors. The companion is a further 7 pixels away where the light from the star is decreased to only ~ 2% of its peak intensity, so we expect this issue to have negligible effect on the  $v \sin(i)$  and RV measurements.

### 3.6.2 Companion $v \sin(i)$ and RV

It is already clear from the Fig. 3.5 cross-correlation arrays that the companion signal is quite narrow, and that it is redshifted relative to the stellar radial velocity by only a small amount. The measured companion CCF is shown in Fig. 3.6 together with the best-fit model CCF, and the CCF for the same model, but without rotational broadening. We find from the  $\mathcal{X}^2$  minimisation routine that the projected rotational velocity of GQ Lupi b is  $5.3^{+0.9}_{-1.0}$  km s<sup>-1</sup> and its barycentric radial velocity is  $2.0 \pm 0.4$  km s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure 3.6:** The measured companion cross-correlation function (CCF) is best fit by a CO + H<sub>2</sub>O model CCF, which is redshifted 2.0 km s<sup>-1</sup> and rotationally broadened by 5.3 km s<sup>-1</sup>. For comparison we also show the model CCF without rotational broadening for which the width is dominated by the spectral resolution of the CRIRES instrument.

## 3.6.3 Host star $v \sin(i)$ and $v_{sys}$

As a by-product of the analysis carried out in this work, we have estimates of the systemic velocity and the projected rotational velocity of GQ Lupi A. The systemic velocity is of direct importance as it is required to translate the measured companion RV to the barycentric frame. We find  $v_{\rm sys} = -2.8 \pm 0.2 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$ . For the host star, we measured a rotational broadening corresponding to  $v \sin(i) = 6.8 \pm 0.5 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$ . However, we have not taken additional broadening terms (e.g. macro-turbulence) into account when determining  $v \sin(i)$  of GQ Lupi A.



**Figure 3.7:** Orbital parameters as function of eccentricity for the substellar companion GQ Lupi b around its host star with the colour scale indicating the logarithmic density of solutions. The panels in the left column are reproduced from Ginski et al. (2014b) and they show the 1% best-fitting solutions out of 5 000 000 runs of their LSMC fit. The right-hand panels show the orbital solutions, which are consistent with the radial velocity measurement from this work.

## 3.6.4 Orbital constraints for GQ Lupi b

The radial velocity measurement of  $2.0 \pm 0.4$  km s<sup>-1</sup> can be used to constrain the orbit of GQ Lupi b. We approached this by applying the radial velocity as a constraint to the best-fitting orbital solutions from Ginski et al. (2014b). They applied astrometry to 15 astrometric epochs from VLT and HST spanning a time frame of 18 years to determine the angular separation and relative position angle. They applied least-squares Monte Carlo (LSMC) statistics to constrain the orbit. The details of the LSMC approach, along with a comparison to the more widely used Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach, are described in Ginski et al. (2013). The mass of the host star was assumed to be  $0.7M_{\odot}$ , and the distance to the system 140 pc. The left-hand panels of Fig. 3.7 are a reproduction of Ginski et al. (2014b) Fig. 5a and 5b. The orbital solutions in this figure represent the 1% best-fitting solutions out of 5 000 000 LSMC runs. Dismissing the solutions from this best-fitting subset that are inconsistent with the new radial velocity measurement produces the right-hand panels of Fig. 3.7.

The new radial velocity constraint dramatically reduces the number of possible solutions, and certain families of orbital solutions are excluded. In particular, the observations no longer support long-period orbits (a > 185 au) with eccentricities below 0.8, or circular orbits.

The remaining allowed solutions fall into three different families:

- 1. Orbits with a semimajor axis  $\sim 100\,{\rm au},$  inclination  $\sim 57^\circ,$  and eccentricity  $\sim 0.15$
- 2. Orbits with a semimajor axis < 185 au, a range of eccentricities 0.2 < e < 0.75, and inclinations  $28^{\circ} < i < 63^{\circ}$
- 3. Highly eccentric, long-period orbits with a semimajor axis > 300 au, eccentricities > 0.8, and high inclinations  $52^{\circ} < i < 63^{\circ}$

# 3.7 Discussion

## 3.7.1 The slow spin of GQ Lupi b

The CO and H<sub>2</sub>O lines in the spectrum of GQ Lupi b are narrow, with only a moderate rotational broadening corresponding to a projected rotational velocity of  $5.3^{+0.9}_{-1.0}$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. This strongly suggests that GQ Lupi b is a slow rotator when compared to the giant planets in the solar system or the recent spin measurements of  $\beta$  Pictoris b and 2M1207 b (see Table 3.2 and Fig. 3.8).

#### 3.7 Discussion

The  $v \sin(i)$  measured for GQ Lupi b could have been influenced by the removal of the stellar lines, for example, by removing too much of the CO, which actually originates from the companion and not from the star. This removal could have over-subtracted the short-wavelength wing of the companion signal, thereby making it more narrow. We compared the widths of the CO+H<sub>2</sub>O signal for the analyses with and without rescaling the stellar CO and found  $5.3^{+0.9}_{-1.0}$  km s<sup>-1</sup> and  $4.8 \pm 1.0$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This suggests that the influence in any case is only minor and cannot have resulted in the  $v \sin(i)$  of the companion to be artificially small. The measured companion CCFs for the two cases are plotted together in Fig. 3.9.

Micro- and macro-turbulence act as additional broadening of line profiles and can therefore cause an overestimation of the projected rotational velocity. Wende et al. (2009) investigated the effective temperature and log g dependence of the velocity field for M stars extending to temperatures as low as 2500 K, thereby just covering the estimated temperature of GQ Lupi b. Micro- and macro-turbulent velocities decrease with lower effective temperatures and, in particular, microturbulence increases towards lower surface gravities. Assuming a surface gravity for GQ Lupi b in the range log g = 3.0 to 4.2, a realistic upper limit for the sum of the squares of the turbulent velocities is  $1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , corresponding to an overestimate of  $v \sin(i)$  by  $0.22 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . We included this in the lower bound of the uncertainty estimate of the  $v \sin(i)$  measurement.

If the orientation of the spin axis is edge-on, the measured spin velocity corresponds to a rotational period of 82 hr, assuming a companion radius of  $3.5^{+1.5}_{-1.03}$ R<sub>J</sub> (Seifahrt et al., 2007). Since the spin axis orientation is unknown, it could possibly be nearly pole on. Therefore the equatorial rotation velocity ( $v_{eq}$ ) could be much higher than  $v \sin(i)$ . However, the inclination of the axis of rotation would have to be less than 15° to make the spin of GQ Lupi b comparable to  $\beta$  Pic b or the solar system giant planets. Assuming a random orientation, this has only a probability of 3.4%. If we make the assumption that orbital and spin axes are aligned, then based on Fig. 3.7 we can rule out inclinations below 28°, corresponding to  $v_{eq}$  faster than 11.3 km s<sup>-1</sup>. This is still well below the  $v \sin(i)$  of 25 km s<sup>-1</sup>, which Snellen et al. (2014) measured for  $\beta$  Pic b. Therefore, it is unlikely that GQ Lupi b is a fast rotator.

The slow rotation of GQ Lupi b may be caused by a different formation path from  $\beta$  Pic b, 2M1207 b, and the giant planets in the solar system. GQ Lupi b at ~100 au is significantly further away from its host star than the other mentioned planets. In addition it is likely more massive with a mass range 10 M<sub>J</sub> to 36 M<sub>J</sub> extending well into the brown dwarf regime. It could therefore have formed through either disk gravitational instability or even fragmentation of the collapsing

	proj. dist.	mass	radius	age	Teff	$v\sin(i)$ or $v_{ m eq}$
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm GQ}{\rm Lup}{\rm b}^{1-3}\\ {\rm 2M1207}{\rm b}^{4-5}\\ \beta{\rm Pic}{\rm b}^{6-9} \end{array}$	100 au 46 au 6 – 9 au	$\begin{array}{c} 25^{+11}_{-15}~M_J \\ 5\pm3M_J \\ 11\pm5M_J \end{array}$	$3.5^{+1.5}_{-1.03}$ R <sub>J</sub> - 1.65 $\pm$ 0.06 R <sub>J</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} < 5 \ \mathrm{Myr} \\ 8 \ \mathrm{Myr} \\ \mathrm{21} \pm 4 \ \mathrm{Myr} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2650\pm100\text{K} \\ 1230\pm310\text{K} \\ 1600^{+50}_{-25}\text{K} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.3^{+0.9}_{-1.0}~{\rm kms^{-1}} \\ 17.3\pm1.5~{\rm kms^{-1}}\star \\ 25\pm3~{\rm kms^{-1}} \end{array}$

Table 3.2: Comparison of key parameters of the three substellar companions with spin measurements.

1) Ginski et al. (2014b), 2) Seifahrt et al. (2007), 3) This work, 4) Song et al. (2006), 5) Zhou et al. (2016) 6) Lecavelier des Etangs and Vidal-Madjar (2016), 7) Binks and Jeffries (2014), 8) Currie et al. (2013), 9) Snellen et al. (2014).  $\star$  The spin of 2M1207 b is the equatorial rotation velocity based on  $P_{\rm rot} = 10.7^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$ hr from Zhou et al. (2016) and assuming R = 1.5 RJ consistent with evolutionary models.

proto-stellar core, rather than through core accretion, which is a possible common formation path for the (exo)planets showing the spin-mass trend that we see in Fig. 3.8. Allers et al. (2016) have recently measured the projected rotational velocity of PSO J318.5338-22.8603, which is a free-floating planetary mass member of the  $\beta$  Pictoris moving group with an estimated age of  $23 \pm 3$  Myr. Their measured  $v \sin(i) = 17.5^{+2.3}_{-2.8} \text{ km s}^{-1}$  is also consistent with the solar system spin-mass trend. Such an object is most likely the result of gravitational instability (Chabrier et al., 2014; Stamatellos, 2014), possibly followed by photo-erosion, but it could also have been dynamically kicked out of an orbit around a star, and core accretion can therefore not be ruled out. Low resolution spectra of GQ Lupi b are consistent with a spectral type of late M to early L (Neuhäuser et al., 2005), and comparable brown dwarf binaries and field brown dwarfs from the literature show a wide variety of rotational velocities and no simple correlation with mass (Konopacky et al., 2012; Zapatero Osorio et al., 2006; Metchev et al., 2015; Scholz et al., 2015). Most brown dwarfs are rapid rotators ( $v \sin(i) > 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), and their minimum rotation rates are a function of their spectral types with the higher mass objects rotating more slowly than their lower mass counterparts, in contrast with the planetary trend. This is because magnetic breaking plays an increasing role in the more massive brown dwarfs. However, it is much too early to make any claims about relations between spin, mass, and orbital distance based on the limited observations; this is the case in particular because there is another very probable explanation for the slow spin of GQ Lupi b.

We believe that the slow spin of GQ Lupi b is linked to its young age. All current estimates set the age of the GQ Lupi system to < 5 Myr, and Neuhäuser et al. (2005) estimated the age to be only  $1 \pm 1$  Myr. In fact, observational evidence exists of GQ Lupi b actively accreting, through the detection of Pa $\beta$  emission (Seifahrt et al., 2007) and the detection of H $\alpha$  in emission together with excess optical continuum emission (Zhou et al., 2014). This means that a significant amount of angular momentum could still be accreted in the future. Furthermore,



**Figure 3.8:** Spin as function of mass for extrasolar substellar companions and solar system planets, together with the free-floating planetary mass object PSO J318.5-22 and field brown dwarfs. The solar system planets and 2M1207 b have equatorial rotation velocities and  $\beta$  Pictoris b and GQ Lupi b have projected rotation velocities, as does PSO J318.5-22. Mercury and Venus are not included in the plot because their proximity to the Sun has caused their spin to be dominated by tidal interactions with the Sun. For comparison, field brown dwarfs with estimated masses and either a rotational period measurement or  $v \sin(i)$  measurement are shown as empty circles, with the typical uncertainty in mass indicated for a single object. Their masses and radii are from Filippazzo et al. (2015), rotational periods are from Metchev et al. (2015), and  $v \sin(i)$ 's are from either Zapatero Osorio et al. (2006) or Blake et al. (2010). The field brown dwarfs are much older than the substellar companions and PSO J318.5-22 with highly uncertain ages in the range 500 Myr to 10 Gyr.

its radius is now estimated at  $3.5^{+1.5}_{-1.03}$ R<sub>J</sub> (Seifahrt et al., 2007), meaning that even without extra accretion it is still expected to contract significantly to  $\sim 1$ R<sub>J</sub> radius and spin up to 10 - 25km s<sup>-1</sup>. This would bring it much nearer the mass-spin relation of the other giant planets. In this context, it is interesting that 2M1207 b, with an age in between that of GQ Lupi b and  $\beta$  Pic b, is also seen to have an intermediate spin.

The spin angular momenta of brown dwarfs and low-mass stars also evolve over time (Bouvier et al., 2014; Irwin et al., 2011; Newton et al., 2016; Scholz et al., 2015). Analogously to the spin evolution of giant planets, gravitational contraction causes the brown dwarfs to spin up, but after 10 Myr to 100 Myr magnetic breaking starts dominating the spin evolution. The angular momentum loss mechanisms are more inefficient for smaller and cooler objects (Reiners and Basri, 2008). This stands in contrast to planets, which are expected to retain their spin angular momenta, unless they are gravitationally disturbed by a third object.

Measurements of spin as a function of mass, orbital distance, and crucially, age, for numerous substellar companions and free-floating low-mass objects, are necessary to determine the effects of formation pathways on spin-mass relationships. Yet at this early stage, every spin measurement of a substellar companion is likely to lead to new insights.

## 3.7.2 The orbital orientation of GQ Lupi b

We used the radial velocity measurement for GQ Lupi b of  $2.0 \pm 0.4$  km s<sup>-1</sup> in conjunction with previous astrometry from Ginski et al. (2014b) to constrain its orbital elements. This allows us to rule out both circular orbits and long-period orbits (a > 185 au) with eccentricities below 0.8. If we allow for a larger uncertainty in the astrometry, then circular orbits with inclinations  $60^{\circ} < i < 78^{\circ}$  are still possible. The orbital solutions that are consistent with the RV measurement show a degeneracy between eccentricity and inclination. Orbits with a relatively low eccentricity (0.1 < e < 0.4) have high inclinations 48° < i < 63°, which are distinctly different from that of the circumstellar disk ( $\sim 22^{\circ}$ ). In the case of the more eccentric solutions 0.4 < e < 0.75, the inclinations are less well constrained, yet there is a tendency towards allowing for smaller inclinations towards higher eccentricities. This includes orbits with 0.65 < e < 0.7 that are nearly aligned with the circumstellar disk. Finally we have a subset of orbital solutions with extremely wide orbits (a > 300 au) and high eccentricities e > 0.8. This last subset seems less likely because it would imply that we observe the companion at a special moment near periastron. All solutions shown in the right column of Fig. 3.7 fit both our new radial velocity measurement and the available astrometric data well and the density of solutions does not correspond directly to the likelihood of solutions.

#### 3.7 Discussion

As in the case of the measured  $v \sin(i)$ , the RV measurement of the companion could also have been influenced by the contribution of the stellar lines. If we over-subtracted the short-wavelength wing of the companion CO lines when we removed the stellar spectrum, this could introduce an artificial redshift. Comparison of the RV measurement with and without the scaling of the stellar contribution (Fig. 3.9) indicates that this effect is confined to  $0.2 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ . Assuming that we have performed the stellar removal to a precision of  $\sim 10\%$ , this should not add to the uncertainty in RV to a level of more than  $0.1 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ .

Our RV measurement improves the orbital constraints significantly. A large family of possible orbital solutions found by Ginski et al. (2014b), in particular with intermediate eccentricities and large semimajor axes, are now no longer in line with the observations. Further constraints from either astrometry or high-dispersion spectroscopy will take at least another decade such that GQ Lupi b has moved significantly enough in its orbit and/or changed its radial velocity.

The orbital solutions that are misaligned with the circumstellar disk are particularly intriguing. A companion in such an orbit must either have been scattered to that position, or it must have formed in situ, yet outside of the protoplanetary disk. The latter would indicate a formation scenario that is analogous to that of a binary star system with the collapsing proto-stellar core fragmenting into smaller objects.

The orbit of GQ Lupi b is wide enough that disk instability is a possible formation mechanism. Fragmentation of the disk is allowed from approximately 50 au to 300 au (Vorobyov and Basu, 2012), and although only a small number of fragments are expected to survive to become orbiting planets or brown dwarfs, this is in line with the small number of observed systems that fit the description. HR 8799 and HIP 78530 are other examples. However, if GQ Lupi b formed in situ through disk instability, one would expect low eccentricity orbits within or near the plane of the circumstellar disk. This type of orbit is not supported by the previous astrometric analysis by Ginski et al. (2014b) and our RV measurement strengthens this conclusion. Instead GQ Lupi b may have a high eccentricity orbit, which could be an indication that the companion has been scattered to its current position.

### 3.7.3 The systemic velocity and $v \sin(i)$ of GQ Lupi A

We measured the systemic velocity from the observed spectrum of the star and find it to be  $v_{\rm sys} = -2.8 \pm 0.2 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$ . The major contributor to the uncertainty is the accuracy of the wavelength solution. Donati et al. (2012) measured the radial velocity in July 2009 to be  $-3.2 \pm 0.1 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$  and again in June 2011 to be  $-2.8 \pm 0.1 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$ . They argue that the  $0.4 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$  change is real and explain it as either long-term changes of the surface granulation pattern or as the result of a

third body, a brown dwarf of a few tens of Jupiter masses orbiting the central star at a few au.

We measured the vsin(i) of GQ Lupi A to be  $6.8 \pm 0.5$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, although without including additional potential broadening mechanisms, which in the case of stars with relatively low projected rotational velocities may contribute significantly to the broadening. The 6.8 km s<sup>-1</sup> is therefore likely to be an overestimate. Our result is in agreement with a previous estimate by Guenther et al. (2005) of  $6.8 \pm 0.4$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. Donati et al. (2012) estimated  $v \sin(i)$  to be  $5 \pm 1$  km s<sup>-1</sup> and highlighted that, unlike Guenther et al. (2005), they included magnetic broadening. Also micro-turbulence (Donati et al., 2012) and macro-turbulence from subsurface convective zones (Grassitelli et al., 2015) may contribute to the broadening of stellar spectral lines. The rotation period of GQ Lupi A of 8.4 days (Broeg et al., 2007; Donati et al., 2012) is typical of classical T Tauri stars, and is often attributed to a disk-locking mechanism (Choi and Herbst, 1996; Landin et al., 2016).



**Figure 3.9:** Measured cross-correlation function between the companion spectrum and the CO +  $H_2O$  model with and without the rescaling of the stellar lines described in section 3.4.3. The difference between the two stems from when the reference spectrum containing the stellar and telluric lines was removed from the companion spectrum. The solid line is the resulting CCF from the approach we have taken in this paper, where we have scaled the stellar lines in the reference spectrum down by 15% to match the companion to star flux ratio at the companion position. The dashed line is the CCF for the case where the reference spectrum is removed from the companion spectrum without rescaling the stellar lines. The bottom panel shows the difference between the two.

# 3.8 Summary and conclusions

The young GQ Lupi system has a central classical T Tauri star surrounded by a warm dust disk, and is orbited by a substellar companion GQ Lupi b at ~100 au, which is either a gas giant or a brown dwarf. We observed the parent star and the companion simultanously in the K band by careful positioning of the slit of the high-dispersion spectrograph CRIRES, in combination with adaptive optics. We made use of both the spatial and spectral information to separate the spectrum of the companion from that of the host star. We detect both water and CO in the companion spectrum. The molecular lines are rotationally broadened and Doppler shifted due to the orbital motion of the companion. We measured the projected rotation velocity to be  $v \sin(i) = 5.3^{+0.9}_{-1.0} \text{km s}^{-1}$  and the barycentric radial velocity to be  $\text{RV}=2.0 \pm 0.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

GQ Lupi b is a slow rotator compared to the giant planets in the solar system, and to the recent spin measurements of the exoplanets  $\beta$  Pic b ( $v \sin(i) = 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ; Snellen et al. (2014)) and 2M1207 b ( $v \sin(i) = 17 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , Zhou et al. (2016)). This is in spite of GQ Lupi b being likely more massive than either of these, and thus this new spin measurement does not agree with the spin-mass trend of the others. However, we argue that the slow spin is a manifestation of the young age of GQ Lupi, and that the discrepancy cannot be used to argue for fundamental differences in formation path at this time.

We used the barycentric radial velocity measurement to place new constraints on orbital elements such as the semimajor axis, eccentricity, and orbital inclination with respect to the observer. This shows the strength of high-dispersion spectroscopy because it is possible to measure even small radial velocities.

The spin and RV measurements of GQ Lupi b demonstrate the potential of the combination of spatial and spectral filtering through the use of high-dispersion spectrographs together with adaptive optics.

This work is part of the research programmes PEPSci and VICI 639.043.107, which are financed by The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). Support for this work was provided by NASA through Hubble Fellowship grant HST-HF2-51336 awarded by the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., for NASA, under contract NAS5-26555. Furthermore, this work was performed in part under contract with the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) funded by NASA through the Sagan Fellowship Program executed by the NASA Exoplanet Science Institute.

# References

- Allers, K. N., J. F. Gallimore, M. C. Liu, and T. J. Dupuy 2016. The Radial and Rotational Velocities of PSO J318.5338-22.8603, a Newly Confirmed Planetary-mass Member of the  $\beta$  Pictoris Moving Group. *ApJ*, 819:133.
- Arsenault, R., J. Alonso, H. Bonnet, J. Brynnel, B. Delabre, R. Donaldson, C. Dupuy, E. Fedrigo, J. Farinato, N. N. Hubin, L. Ivanescu, M. E. Kasper, J. Paufique, S. Rossi, S. Tordo, S. Stroebele, J.-L. Lizon, P. Gigan, F. Delplancke, A. Silber, M. Quattri, and R. Reiss
  2003. MACAO-VLTI: An Adaptive Optics system for the ESO VLT interferometer. In *Adaptive Optical System Technologies II*, P. L. Wizinowich and D. Bonaccini, eds., volume 4839 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Pp. 174–185.

#### Binks, A. S. and R. D. Jeffries

2014. A lithium depletion boundary age of 21 Myr for the Beta Pictoris moving group. *MNRAS*, 438:L11–L15.

Blake, C. H., D. Charbonneau, and R. J. White 2010. The NIRSPEC Ultracool Dwarf Radial Velocity Survey. *ApJ*, 723:684– 706.

#### Borysow, A.

2002. Collision-induced Absorption Coefficients of H\_2 Pairs at Temperatures from 60 K to 1000 K. *A&A*, 390:779.

#### Borysow, A., U. G. Jørgensen, and Y. Fu

2001. High-temperature (1000-7000k) Collision-induced Absorption of H\_2 Pairs Computed from the First Principles, with Application to Cool and Dense Stellar Atmospheres. *J. Quant. Spec. Radiat. Transf.*, 68(3):235.

#### Boss, A. P.

1997. Giant planet formation by gravitational instability. *Science*, 276:1836–1839.

#### Boss, A. P.

2000. Possible Rapid Gas Giant Planet Formation in the Solar Nebula and Other Protoplanetary Disks. *ApJ*, 536:L101–L104.

- Bouvier, J., S. P. Matt, S. Mohanty, A. Scholz, K. G. Stassun, and C. Zanni 2014. Angular Momentum Evolution of Young Low-Mass Stars and Brown Dwarfs: Observations and Theory. *Protostars and Planets VI*, Pp. 433–450.
- Broeg, C., T. O. B. Schmidt, E. Guenther, A. Gaedke, A. Bedalov, R. Neuhäuser, and F. M. Walter 2007. Rotational period of GQ Lupi. A&A, 468:1039–1044.
- Brogi, M., R. J. de Kok, S. Albrecht, I. A. G. Snellen, J. L. Birkby, and H. Schwarz 2016. Rotation and Winds of Exoplanet HD 189733 b Measured with Highdispersion Transmission Spectroscopy. *ApJ*, 817:106.
- Chabrier, G., A. Johansen, M. Janson, and R. Rafikov 2014. Giant Planet and Brown Dwarf Formation. *Protostars and Planets VI*, Pp. 619–642.
- Choi, P. I. and W. Herbst 1996. Rotation Periods of Stars in the Orion Nebula Cluster: The Bimodal Distribution. AJ, 111:283.
- Currie, T., A. Burrows, N. Madhusudhan, M. Fukagawa, J. H. Girard, R. Dawson, R. Murray-Clay, S. Kenyon, M. Kuchner, S. Matsumura, R. Jayawardhana, J. Chambers, and B. Bromley
  2013. A Combined Very Large Telescope and Gemini Study of the Atmosphere of the Directly Imaged Planet, β Pictoris b. *ApJ*, 776:15.
- Dai, Y., D. J. Wilner, S. M. Andrews, and N. Ohashi 2010. Millimeter Dust Emission in the GQ Lup System. AJ, 139:626–629.
- Donati, J.-F., S. G. Gregory, S. H. P. Alencar, G. Hussain, J. Bouvier, C. Dougados, M. M. Jardine, F. Ménard, and M. M. Romanova 2012. Magnetometry of the classical T Tauri star GQ Lup: non-stationary dynamos and spin evolution of young Suns. *MNRAS*, 425:2948–2963.
- Filippazzo, J. C., E. L. Rice, J. Faherty, K. L. Cruz, M. M. Van Gordon, and D. L. Looper 2015. Fundamental Parameters and Spectral Energy Distributions of Young and Field Age Objects with Masses Spanning the Stellar to Planetary Regime. *ApJ*, 810:158.
- Ginski, C., M. Mugrauer, R. Neuhäuser, and T. O. B. Schmidt 2014a. Astrometric monitoring and orbit constraint of the GSC 08047-00232 system with VLT/NaCo. *MNRAS*, 438:1102–1113.

- Ginski, C., R. Neuhäuser, M. Mugrauer, T. O. B. Schmidt, and C. Adam 2013. Orbital motion of the binary brown dwarf companions HD 130948 BC around their host star. *MNRAS*, 434:671–683.
- Ginski, C., T. O. B. Schmidt, M. Mugrauer, R. Neuhäuser, N. Vogt, R. Errmann, and A. Berndt 2014b. Astrometric follow-up observations of directly imaged sub-stellar companions to young stars and brown dwarfs. *MNRAS*, 444:2280–2302.
- Grassitelli, L., L. Fossati, N. Langer, A. Miglio, A. G. Istrate, and D. Sanyal 2015. Relating turbulent pressure and macroturbulence across the HR diagram with a possible link to  $\gamma$  Doradus stars. A&A, 584:L2.
- Guenther, E. W., R. Neuhäuser, G. Wuchterl, M. Mugrauer, A. Bedalov, and P. H. Hauschildt 2005. The low-mass companion of GQ Lup. *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 326:958–963.
- Hatzes, A. P. and H. Rauer

2015. A Definition for Giant Planets Based on the Mass-Density Relationship. *ApJ*, 810:L25.

#### Horne, K.

1986. An optimal extraction algorithm for CCD spectroscopy. *PASP*, 98:609–617.

- Hubickyj, O., P. Bodenheimer, and J. J. Lissauer 2005. Accretion of the gaseous envelope of Jupiter around a 5 10 Earth-mass core. *Icarus*, 179:415–431.
- Hügelmeyer, S. D., S. Dreizler, P. H. Hauschildt, A. Seifahrt, D. Homeier, and T. Barman
  2009. Radiative transfer in circumstellar disks. I. 1D models for GQ Lupi. *A&A*, 498:793–800.
- Hughes, D. W. 2003. Planetary spin. *Planet. Space Sci.*, 51:517–523.
- Hughes, J., P. Hartigan, J. Krautter, and J. Kelemen 1994. The stellar population of the Lupus clouds. *AJ*, 108:1071–1090.

- Husser, T.-O., S. Wende-von Berg, S. Dreizler, D. Homeier, A. Reiners, T. Barman, and P. H. Hauschildt 2013. A new extensive library of PHOENIX stellar atmospheres and synthetic spectra. A&A, 553:A6.
- Irwin, J., Z. K. Berta, C. J. Burke, D. Charbonneau, P. Nutzman, A. A. West, and E. E. Falco 2011. On the Angular Momentum Evolution of Fully Convective Stars: Rotation Periods for Field M-dwarfs from the MEarth Transit Survey. *ApJ*, 727:56.
- Jumper, P. H. and R. T. Fisher 2013. Shaping the Brown Dwarf Desert: Predicting the Primordial Brown Dwarf Binary Distributions from Turbulent Fragmentation. *ApJ*, 769:9.
- Kaeufl, H.-U., P. Ballester, P. Biereichel, B. Delabre, R. Donaldson, R. Dorn, E. Fedrigo, G. Finger, G. Fischer, F. Franza, D. Gojak, G. Huster, Y. Jung, J.-L. Lizon, L. Mehrgan, M. Meyer, A. Moorwood, J.-F. Pirard, J. Paufique, E. Pozna, R. Siebenmorgen, A. Silber, J. Stegmeier, and S. Wegerer 2004. CRIRES: a high-resolution infrared spectrograph for ESO's VLT. In *Ground-based Instrumentation for Astronomy*, A. F. M. Moorwood and M. Iye, eds., volume 5492 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Pp. 1218–1227.
- Kessler-Silacci, J., J.-C. Augereau, C. P. Dullemond, V. Geers, F. Lahuis, N. J. Evans, II, E. F. van Dishoeck, G. A. Blake, A. C. A. Boogert, J. Brown, J. K. Jørgensen, C. Knez, and K. M. Pontoppidan 2006. c2d Spitzer IRS Spectra of Disks around T Tauri Stars. I. Silicate Emission and Grain Growth. *ApJ*, 639:275–291.
- Kharchenko, N. V. and S. Roeser 2009. VizieR Online Data Catalog: All-Sky Compiled Catalogue of 2.5 million stars (Kharchenko+ 2009). *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, 1280:0.
- Konopacky, Q. M., A. M. Ghez, D. C. Fabrycky, B. A. Macintosh, R. J. White, T. S. Barman, E. L. Rice, G. Hallinan, and G. Duchêne 2012. Rotational Velocities of Individual Components in Very Low Mass Binaries. *ApJ*, 750:79.
- Landin, N. R., L. T. S. Mendes, L. P. R. Vaz, and S. H. P. Alencar 2016. Stellar models simulating the disk-locking mechanism and the evolutionary history of the Orion Nebula cluster and NGC 2264. A&A, 586:A96.

- Laughlin, G., P. Bodenheimer, and F. C. Adams 2004. The Core Accretion Model Predicts Few Jovian-Mass Planets Orbiting Red Dwarfs. *ApJ*, 612:L73–L76.
- Lecavelier des Etangs, A. and A. Vidal-Madjar 2016. The orbit of beta Pictoris b as a transiting planet. *A&A*, 588:A60.
- Louden, T. and P. J. Wheatley 2015. Spatially Resolved Eastward Winds and Rotation of HD 189733b. *ApJ*, 814:L24.
- Marois, C., B. Macintosh, and T. Barman 2007. GQ Lup B Visible and Near-Infrared Photometric Analysis. *ApJ*, 654:L151–L154.
- Metchev, S. A., A. Heinze, D. Apai, D. Flateau, J. Radigan, A. Burgasser, M. S. Marley, É. Artigau, P. Plavchan, and B. Goldman
  2015. Weather on Other Worlds. II. Survey Results: Spots are Ubiquitous on L and T Dwarfs. *ApJ*, 799:154.
- Morales, F. Y., D. L. Padgett, G. Bryden, M. W. Werner, and E. Furlan 2012. WISE Detections of Dust in the Habitable Zones of Planet-bearing Stars. *ApJ*, 757:7.
- Neuhäuser, R. and W. Brandner 1998. HIPPARCOS results for ROSAT-discovered young stars. A&A, 330:L29–L32.
- Neuhäuser, R., E. W. Guenther, G. Wuchterl, M. Mugrauer, A. Bedalov, and P. H. Hauschildt 2005. Evidence for a co-moving sub-stellar companion of GQ Lup. A&A, 435:L13–L16.
- Newton, E. R., J. Irwin, D. Charbonneau, Z. K. Berta-Thompson, J. A. Dittmann, and A. A. West 2016. The Rotation and Galactic Kinematics of Mid M Dwarfs in the Solar Neighborhood. *ApJ*, 821:93.
- Nielsen, E. L., M. C. Liu, Z. Wahhaj, B. A. Biller, T. L. Hayward, J. R. Males, L. M. Close, K. M. Morzinski, A. J. Skemer, M. J. Kuchner, T. J. Rodigas, P. M. Hinz, M. Chun, C. Ftaclas, and D. W. Toomey 2014. The Gemini NICI Planet-Finding Campaign: The Orbit of the Young Exoplanet β Pictoris b. *ApJ*, 794:158.

- Pearce, T. D., M. C. Wyatt, and G. M. Kennedy 2015. Constraining the orbits of sub-stellar companions imaged over short orbital arcs. *MNRAS*, 448:3679–3688.
- Pollack, J. B., O. Hubickyj, P. Bodenheimer, J. J. Lissauer, M. Podolak, and Y. Greenzweig 1996. Formation of the Giant Planets by Concurrent Accretion of Solids and Gas. *Icarus*, 124:62–85.
- Reiners, A. and G. Basri 2008. Chromospheric Activity, Rotation, and Rotational Braking in M and L Dwarfs. *ApJ*, 684:1390–1403.
- Rothman, L. S., I. E. Gordon, R. J. Barber, H. Dothe, R. R. Gamache, A. Goldman, V. I. Perevalov, S. A. Tashkun, and J. Tennyson
  2010. HITEMP, the High-Temperature Molecular Spectroscopic Database. J. Quant. Spec. Radiat. Transf., 111(15):2139.

Rucinski, S.

1999. Determination of Broadening Functions Using the Singular-Value Decomposition (SVD) Technique. In *IAU Colloq. 170: Precise Stellar Radial Velocities*, J. B. Hearnshaw and C. D. Scarfe, eds., volume 185 of *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, P. 82.

- Scholz, A., V. Kostov, R. Jayawardhana, and K. Mužić 2015. Rotation Periods of Young Brown Dwarfs: K2 Survey in Upper Scorpius. *ApJ*, 809:L29.
- Seifahrt, A., R. Neuhäuser, and P. H. Hauschildt 2007. Near-infrared integral-field spectroscopy of the companion to GQ Lupi. A&A, 463:309–313.
- Seperuelo Duarte, E., S. H. P. Alencar, C. Batalha, and D. Lopes 2008. Spectrophotometric analysis of the T Tauri star GQ Lupi A. *A&A*, 489:349–357.

Showman, A. P. and Y. Kaspi 2013. Atmospheric Dynamics of Brown Dwarfs and Directly Imaged Giant Planets. *ApJ*, 776:85.

Snellen, I., R. de Kok, J. L. Birkby, B. Brandl, M. Brogi, C. Keller, M. Kenworthy, H. Schwarz, and R. Stuik
2015. Combining high-dispersion spectroscopy with high contrast imaging: Probing rocky planets around our nearest neighbors. A&A, 576:A59.

- Snellen, I. A. G., B. R. Brandl, R. J. de Kok, M. Brogi, J. Birkby, and H. Schwarz 2014. Fast spin of the young extrasolar planet β Pictoris b. *Nature*, 509:63–65.
- Song, I., G. Schneider, B. Zuckerman, J. Farihi, E. E. Becklin, M. S. Bessell, P. Lowrance, and B. A. Macintosh 2006. HST NICMOS Imaging of the Planetary-mass Companion to the Young Brown Dwarf 2MASSW J1207334-393254. *ApJ*, 652:724–729.

Stamatellos, D.

2014. The Formation of Low-Mass Stars and Brown Dwarfs. *Astrophysics and Space Science Proceedings*, 36:17.

- Tachihara, K., K. Dobashi, A. Mizuno, H. Ogawa, and Y. Fukui 1996. 13CO (J= 1–0) Observations of the Lupus Molecular Clouds. *PASJ*, 48:489–502.
- Uyama, T., J. Hashimoto, M. Kuzuhara, S. Mayama, E. Akiyama, T. Kudo, N. Kusakabe, L. Abe, W. Brandner, T. D. Brandt, J. C. Carson, S. Egner, M. Feldt, M. Goto, C. A. Grady, O. Guyon, Y. Hayano, M. Hayashi, S. S. Hayashi, T. Henning, K. W. Hodapp, M. Ishii, M. Iye, M. Janson, R. Kandori, G. R. Knapp, J. Kwon, T. Matsuo, M. W. Mcelwain, S. Miyama, J.-I. Morino, A. Moro-Martin, T. Nishimura, T.-S. Pyo, E. Serabyn, T. Suenaga, H. Suto, R. Suzuki, Y. H. Takahashi, M. Takami, N. Takato, H. Terada, C. Thalmann, E. L. Turner, M. Watanabe, J. Wisniewski, T. Yamada, H. Takami, T. Usuda, and M. Tamura
  2016. The SEEDS High Contrast Imaging Survey of Exoplanets around Young

Stellar Objects. *ArXiv e-prints*.

Vorobyov, E. I. and S. Basu

2012. Formation and Survivability of Massive Giant Planets and Brown Dwarfs on Wide Orbitsfootnotemark. In *Advances in Computational Astrophysics: Methods, Tools, and Outcome*, R. Capuzzo-Dolcetta, M. Limongi, and A. Tornambè, eds., volume 453 of *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, P. 407.

Weise, P., R. Launhardt, J. Setiawan, and T. Henning 2010. Rotational velocities of nearby young stars. A&A, 517:A88.

Wende, S., A. Reiners, and H.-G. Ludwig

2009. 3D simulations of M star atmosphere velocities and their influence on molecular FeH lines. *A&A*, 508:1429–1442.

- Zapatero Osorio, M. R., E. L. Martín, H. Bouy, R. Tata, R. Deshpande, and R. J. Wainscoat
  2006. Spectroscopic Rotational Velocities of Brown Dwarfs. *ApJ*, 647:1405–1412.
- Zhou, Y., D. Apai, G. H. Schneider, M. S. Marley, and A. P. Showman 2016. Discovery of Rotational Modulations in the Planetary-mass Companion 2M1207b: Intermediate Rotation Period and Heterogeneous Clouds in a Low Gravity Atmosphere. *ApJ*, 818:176.

Zhou, Y., G. J. Herczeg, A. L. Kraus, S. Metchev, and K. L. Cruz 2014. Accretion onto Planetary Mass Companions of Low-mass Young Stars. *ApJ*, 783:L17.