Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Horace Walpole and his correspondents : social network analysis in a historical context

Henstra, F.H.

Citation
Henstra, F. H. (2014, May 28). *Horace Walpole and his correspondents : social network analysis in a historical context*. *LOT dissertation series*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25845

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25845

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page

Universiteit Leiden

The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/25845 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Henstra, F.H.
Title: Horace Walpole and his correspondents : social network analysis in a historical context
Issue Date: 2014-05-28

Horace Walpole and his correspondents

Social network analysis in a historical context

Published by

LOT

Trans 10

3512 JK Utrecht

The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6111

e-mail: lot@uu.nl

http://www.lotschool.nl

Cover illustration: The cover image is a fragment from an unsent letter from Walpole to his brother Edward, discussed in chapter 5 of this study (Walpole to Sir Edward Walpole, ca. 16 May 1745 OS, HWC 36: 17). The image has been reproduced by courtesy of the Lewis Walpole Library, Yale University.

ISBN: 978-94-6093-136-9

NUR 616

Copyright © 2014: Froukje Henstra. All rights reserved.

Horace Walpole and his correspondents

Social network analysis in a historical context

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 28 mei 2014
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Froukje Helena Henstra
geboren te Leiden
in 1983

Promotiecommissie

promotor	Prof. dr. I.M. Tieken-Boon van Ostade
overige leden	Prof. dr. S.M. Fitzmaurice (Universiteit van Sheffield)
	Prof. dr. J. Schaeken
	Prof. dr. M.J. van der Wal

Het onderzoek voor dit proefschrift is uitgevoerd als onderdeel van het project “The Codifiers and the English Language: tracing the norms of standard English”, gefinancierd door de Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO).

Table of contents

Acknowledgements iii

Abbreviations v

Chapter 1. Introduction 1

1.1. Against all odds: Walpole and his correspondents as objects of linguistic interest 1
1.2. Walpole's letters as a source for linguistic analysis 2
1.2.1. The familiar letter in the study of vernacular language 4
1.2.2. The familiar letter as a text-type 7
1.3. Horace Walpole and his correspondents: writers of the standard language? 10
1.4. Research questions and outline 19

Chapter 2. The Yale edition of Horace Walpole's correspondence 25

2.1. Introduction 25
2.2. Using an edited text as a source for corpus analysis 25
2.3. Editorial practice in the Yale edition 31
2.4. Concluding remarks 33

Chapter 3. Strong verb forms in the language of Horace Walpole and Horace Mann 35

3.1. Introduction 35
3.2. The two Horaces 37
3.3. Analysis 42
3.3.1. The letters analysed 42
3.3.2. The verbs analysed 45
3.3.3. Quirks and blips in the data 55
3.3.4. The two Horaces' idiolects 60
3.5. Concluding remarks 62

Chapter 4. Social network analysis and the history of English 65

4.1. Introduction 65
4.2. Terms and concepts 67
4.3. Theoretical framework: historical applications of SNA 73
4.3.1. Early work: exploratory historical network analysis 74
4.3.2. The Manchester papers 84
4.3.3. The model refined 98
4.4. Concluding remarks 128

Chapter 5. Social network analysis and the Walpole family **131**

5.1. Introduction 131
5.2. Style and social network 131
5.3. Quantifying social variables 136
5.3.1. Dynamic network ties 137
5.3.2. The nature of the sources 139
5.3.3. Family networks and the historical context 142
5.3.4. Coalition formation and network strength 146
5.4. Linguistic analysis and the limitations of the model 150
5.4.1. Scoring the network 150
5.4.2. Linguistic analysis 164
5.5. Concluding remarks 180

Chapter 6. Social network analysis and the problem of small numbers **183**

6.1. Introduction 183
6.2. The network cluster and the corpus 184
6.2.1. The correspondents 184
6.2.2. The letters 185
6.2.3. The corpus for analysis 188
6.3. Applying two models for sociolinguistic analysis 192
6.3.1. The classical network strength scale 192
6.3.2. Linguistic involvement 199
6.4. Linguistic analysis and evaluation of results 208
6.5. Suggestion for further research: the combination model 212
6.6. Concluding remarks 219

Chapter 7. Conclusion **223**

References **231**

Appendices **247**

A. Walpole's use of female terms ending in *-ess* 247
B. Overview of the volumes and correspondents in the digital *Corpus of Horace Walpole's Correspondence* 257

Samenvatting **261**

Curriculum Vitae **273**

Acknowledgements

This thesis was written in the context of the Vici project "The Codifiers and the English Language: tracing the norms of standard English", directed by Prof. dr. Ingrid Tieken-Boon van Ostade, which was funded by NWO (Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research) between 2005 and 2010. Some parts of it have previously been made public in a different form: chapter 4 is based on an article originally published in *Transactions of the Philological Society,* Volume 106:1 (2008) 29–70; chapter 5 is based on a paper given at the fifteenth "International Conference on Historical English Linguistics", Munich, 2008; and chapter 6 is based on an article originally published in *Current Issues in Late Modern English* (2009), edited by Ingrid Tieken-Boon van Ostade and Wim van der Wurff, Bern: Peter Lang, 361–390. I am indebted to the original reviewers of these papers for their comments and questions.

I am very grateful that the Yale Lewis Walpole library provided me with a visiting fellowship which allowed me to study Walpole´s original manuscript letters. Not only did they give me access to the original material (in a period when this was not yet available or even catalogued online), the staff were also incredibly welcoming and helpful in assisting my research in any way possible. I would especially like to thank the W.S. Lewis Librarian and Executive Director Margaret K. Powell for the opportunity provided to study the wonderful materials in the library, and the Head of Public Services Susan Odell Walker for never getting tired of my unrelenting requests for uncatalogued manuscript materials.

There are many people to thank for their part in the completion of this thesis. Firstly I would like to thank my colleagues in the Codifiers project: project assistants Marjolein van Zuijlen-Meindersma, Patricia Chaudron and Matthijs Smits for their assistance in project matters, Patricia Chaudron and

Matthijs Smits for helping me digitize my corpus material, and also Matthijs Smits for his help with translating the text in Appendix A from Dutch into English and checking my references for some of the chapters. I sincerely thank Dr. Robin Straaijer for the pleasant experience of sharing not just an office, but also the troubles, the joys and the small victories during our time together as PhD candidates. Furthermore, I thank Dr. Karlijn Navest, Dr. Lyda Fens-de Zeeuw and Dr. Anita Auer for their moral support and their sharing of insights and experiences within and outside the Codifiers project. Outside Leiden University I found support in a group consisting of PhD candidates in the field of Historical Linguistics: Diachronic Dialogue. I was only able to attend a few meetings, but I found both the scientific and the social side of these meetings invigorating and inspiring. Finally, I would like to thank Dr. Anni Sairio for her comments on my work, and her willingness to provide me with digital editions of her thesis and a number of articles that were hard to come by.

On a personal level I would like to thank my family and friends for supporting and encouraging me these past years, especially my parents Hieco Henstra and Nies Henstra-Sagel. Notably during these last few years in which I attempted to finish my book whilst working as a teacher, I found their support to be of the greatest importance. Thanks to Julian, without whom this work might never have been finished, and thanks to all those friends and colleagues who knew when not to ask about the progress of my thesis, and when to smile encouragingly when I had enthusiastic stories to tell about my research. It has been quite a journey, and I am glad I did not have to make it on my own. Thank you!

Froukje Henstra, Den Haag, March 2014

Abbreviations

ARCHER	A Representative Corpus of Historical English Registers
CAT	Communication Accommodation Theory
CEEC	Corpus of Early English Correspondence
CEECE	Corpus of Early English Correspondence Extension
CHWC	The Corpus of Horace Walpole's Correspondence
DENG	*A Dictionary of English Normative Grammar 1700-1800* (Sundby *et al.* 1991)
ECCO	Eighteenth Century Collections Online, *http://gdc.gale.com/products/eighteenth-century-collections-online*
EEBO	Early English Books Online, http://eebo.chadwyck.com
HWC	The Yale Edition of Horace Walpole's Correspondence (ed. Lewis *et al.* 1937–83)
NSS	Network Strength Scale
OED	*The Oxford English Dictionary*, online edition *http://www.oed.com*
ODNB	*The Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, online edition *http://www.oxforddnb.com*
SNA	Social network analysis