

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/21766> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Broek, Marianne van den

Title: Treat to target in rheumatoid arthritis : opportunities and outcomes

Issue Date: 2013-09-24

Chapter 9

Drug-free remission, is it already possible?

M. van den Broek

T.W.J. Huizinga

B.A.C. Dijkmans

C.F. Allaart

Current Opinion in Rheumatology 2011 May;23(3):266-72



1 ABSTRACT

2
3 **Purpose of review** To give an overview of recently published articles covering drug-free
4 remission in rheumatoid arthritis.

5 **Recent findings** Recent studies covering drug-free remission showed differences in
6 numbers studied, remission definition, disease duration and medication used. Drug-free
7 remission was reported in 9-29%. Only 2/4 studies reported on patients who restarted
8 medication due to a disease flare or loss of remission, which occurred in 45-46%. In the
9 BeSt study, remission or low disease activity was achieved again after retreatment within
10 6 months in 96%. In the Finnish ERA study, none of the patients achieved remission after
11 retreatment, their mean DAS28 was 3.68. Joint damage progression was not higher in
12 patients who restarted medication when compared to patients in sustained drug-free
13 remission or patients with continued treatment. ACPA, RF or SE negativity and short
14 symptom duration were independent predictors of successful drug-free remission in
15 more than 1 cohort.

16 **Summary** Drug-free remission can be achieved and sustained in a small group of RA pa-
17 tients. In early RA, retreatment is successful in the majority of patients. Disease flare after
18 cessation of medication does not seem to increase joint damage progression. Sustained
19 drug-free remission is predicted by auto-antibody and SE negativity and short disease
20 duration before treatment initiation.

1 INTRODUCTION

2
3 Remission is the current treatment goal in rheumatoid arthritis.¹ Increasing numbers
4 of patients in clinical trials achieve this goal.² This raises the question whether patients
5 who have been in remission for a prolonged period still need medication. Although old
6 studies have observed different remission rates in population-based RA and hospital
7 based RA,³ the current review has focused on hospital-based RA. Several small studies
8 conducted in the 1970's and '80's show high relapse rates after cessation of Disease
9 Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drugs (DMARD),⁴⁻⁸ with the exception of one trial in patients
10 treated with high doses of gold.⁹ In 1996, ten Wolde *et al.* published a double-blind
11 placebo controlled study on 285 patients with longstanding RA in remission, who were
12 randomized to continuing their DMARD, or to switch to placebo.¹⁰ The sustained drug-
13 free rate was 62% in 1 year in the drug-free (placebo) group. The BeSt study was the first
14 large treatment strategy trial to show that in 65% of patients in remission, medication
15 could be stopped without losing remission during median 11 months.¹¹ In this review,
16 we discuss the most recent trials covering drug-free remission, radiological damage pro-
17 gression in drug-free patients, response after retreatment, and predictors of sustained
18 drug-free remission.

19 20 *Recent trials investigating drug-free remission*

21 Predictors of sustained drug-free remission were studied¹² in the Leiden Early Arthritis
22 Clinic (EAC) and the British Early Rheumatoid Arthritis Study (ERAS). (table 1) The follow-
23 up duration of patients from these cohorts varied, with a maximum of 10 years. For the
24 purpose of this study, drug-free remission in de EAC and ERAS was defined as having no
25 swollen joints and drug-free remission according to the treating rheumatologist. The
26 454 patients from the Leiden EAC had RA according to the 1987 American Rheumatism
27 Association (ARA, now ACR) diagnostic criteria at, or within one year after diagnosis.
28 They were included between 1993 and 2002, and their mean symptom duration at
29 inclusion was 6.4 months. Patients were either treated with analgesics, followed by hy-
30 droxychloroquine (HCQ) or sulfasalazine (SSA) in case of an insufficient response, or with
31 initial HCQ, SSA or methotrexate (MTX), depending on their inclusion period. Sustained
32 drug-free remission, defined as drug-free remission for at least 1 year consecutively, was
33 achieved in 68/454 patients (15%). The 895 patients from the ERAS with recent onset RA
34 according to the 1987 ARA criteria, were diagnosed slightly earlier, between 1986 and
35 1996. Their mean symptom duration at inclusion was 8.3 months. Patients were treated
36 according to their rheumatologist's preference. Most patients were first treated with
37 analgesics, followed by sequential monotherapy or combination therapy with synthetic
38 DMARD in severe RA, in case of an insufficient response. Drug-free remission during at
39 least 1 year was achieved in 84/895 patients (9.4%).

Table 1: studies covering drug-free remission published between June 2009 and December 2010

Reference (trial)	n follow-up complete	Inclusion*	Follow-up	Treatment	Cessation criteria	Retreatment criteria	n drug-free (%)	n sustained drug-free
Van der Woude et al (Leiden EAC) ¹²	454/590	- Mean symptom duration 6.4 months - RA according to 1987 criteria at, or within 1 year after inclusion	Max 10 years	Analgesics, followed by synthetic DMARDs, or initial synthetic DMARD monotherapy	No swollen joints, remission according to rheumatologist	Retreatment not reported, sustained defined as ≥ 1 year		68/454 (15%)
Van der Woude et al (ERAS) ¹²	895/1279	Mean symptom duration 8.3 months	Max 10 years	Analgesics; followed by sequential monotherapy or combination therapy in case of more severe RA, with synthetic DMARDs	No swollen joints; remission according to rheumatologist	Retreatment not reported, sustained defined as ≥ 1 year		84/895 (9%)
Tiipana-Kinnunen et al (Finnish ERA) ¹³	70/87	Mean symptom duration 8 months	15 years	Sawtooth strategy with synthetic DMARDs, 4% treated with biologicals. Intramuscular glucocorticoids im and oral during active disease	1981 ACR remission criteria (14) excluding fatigue for ≥ 1 year/ prolonged symptom free phase with minor disease activity	Disease flare	20/70 (29%)	11/70 (16%), of which 64% in remission at t=15 years
Hetland et al (CIMESTRA) ¹⁵	139/160	- Median symptom duration 3.2 months in MTX+CSA group, 3.9 months in MTX+ placebo group - ≥ 2 swollen joints	5 years	Dynamic protocol: initial DMARD combination therapy vs initial monotherapy+placebo, + intraarticular glucocorticoids, see text	1981 ACR remission criteria ≥ 1 year	Retreatment not reported	17%	
Klarenbeek et al (BeSt) ¹⁶	508 intention to treat analysis	Median symptom duration 23 weeks (≈ 5.8 months) - ≥ 6 swollen, ≥ 6 tender joints	5 years	Dynamic protocol aimed at DAS ≤ 2.4 : Monotherapy or combination therapy with synthetic DMARDs, prednisolone, biologicals see text	DAS < 1.6 for ≥ 6 months	Increase of DAS to ≥ 1.6	115/508 (23%)	59/508 (12%)

*Only patients with RA according to 1987 ARA criteria at diagnosis were included, unless stated otherwise
DMARD Disease Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drug, ACR American College of Rheumatology DAS Disease activity score (53 tender, 44 swollen joints)

1 Drug-free remission and retreatment were studied in 70 Finnish early rheumatoid ar-
2 thritis (ERA) patients,¹³ in a prospective cohort study started in 1986 with a follow-up
3 of 15 years. Median disease duration at inclusion was 8 months. Patients were treated
4 according to the 'sawtooth treatment strategy'.
5 Most patients used conventional DMARD monotherapy and combination therapy in case
6 of insufficient response, 4% used biologicals. DMARD were discontinued if remission
7 according to the 1981 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria¹⁴ was achieved
8 for at least 1 year, or in case of a prolonged symptom-free phase with minor disease
9 activity. Nine (45%) out of the 20 patients who had been drug-free restarted treatment
10 after a disease flare, after a median duration of 50 months. Of the 11 patients who had
11 not restarted medication, 64% were in remission, the other 36% had low disease activity.
12 In the 5-year follow-up of the double-blind CIMESTRA trial, Hetland *et al.*¹⁵ reported on
13 the drug-free remission rate of 139 recent onset RA patients, included between 1999
14 and 2002. Patients were treated according to a dynamic treatment protocol. Initially,
15 patients were randomized to receive either MTX+ciclosporin (CSA) or MTX+placebo.
16 Both group received 2-weekly, and then monthly intraarticular bethamethasone injec-
17 tions in the first 52 weeks. HCQ was added after 68 weeks. After 2 years, MTX+CSA+HCQ
18 triple therapy and then biologicals were started in case of insufficient response. The
19 mean symptom duration at inclusion was 3.2 months in the combination therapy group
20 and 3.9 months in the MTX+placebo group. After achieving remission according to the
21 1981 ACR criteria for at least 1 year, DMARDs were tapered and finally stopped. Drug-
22 free remission at year 5 was achieved in 17% with no differences between the 2 initial
23 treatment groups: 14% in the MTX+placebo group and 19% in the combination therapy
24 group (p-value 0.68).
25 The most recent study on drug-free remission is the 5-year analysis of the 508 recent-
26 onset RA patients from the double-blind BeSt trial, who were included between 2000
27 and 2002.¹⁶ Median symptom duration at inclusion was 23 weeks (5.8 months). Patients
28 were randomized in 4 treatment groups: sequential monotherapy, step-up combination
29 therapy, initial combination therapy with prednisolone or initial combination therapy
30 with a TNF- blocker (infliximab). Treatment was adjusted every three months in case of
31 an insufficient response, differently for each treatment group. In group 1-3, combination
32 therapy with a TNF-blocker was started after patients had failed on 3 previous treatment
33 steps with synthetic DMARD including at some time prednisolone in groups 2 and 3.
34 After a DAS (53/44 joint count) <1.6 on monotherapy was achieved for at least 6 months,
35 medication was stopped. Drug-free remission was achieved in 115/508 patients (23%),
36 with no significant differences between the four treatment groups. In 46%, DMARD had
37 to be restarted due to a rise in disease activity to a DAS \geq 1.6. The 51% in sustained drug-
38 free remission had a median follow-up of 23 months after cessation of DMARD.
39

1 *Response after retreatment*

2 Clinical and radiological response in restarters was studied in two of the trials.(table
3 2) In the Finnish ERA study,¹³ restarters had a significantly higher mean DAS28 at t=15
4 years than patients in sustained drug-free remission: 3.68 (SD 1.23) versus 2.08 (SD 1.01),
5 with a p-value of 0.0018. The mean DAS28 in continued DMARD users was also slightly
6 lower: 3.37 (SD 1.01). The mean scores on the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ)
7 of the three groups were not significantly different. Radiological damage after 15 years
8 in restarters was also comparable to the other 2 groups. Restarters had a mean Larsen
9 score of 25 (SD 30). There was a significant difference between continued DMARD users
10 and patients in sustained drug-free remission. Their mean Larsen scores were 54 (SD 36)
11 and 12 (SD 18), respectively, $p < 0.001$.

12 In the BeSt study,¹⁶ retreatment was successful in 96%: 25/53 patients achieved remis-
13 sion again within 3 months, 14/53 patients within 6 months, 11/53 achieved low disease
14 activity. Two patients (4%) were lost to follow-up, 1 patient did not achieve low disease
15 activity. The median HAQ scores of patients in drug-free remission and restarters were
16 comparable to the scores of the general population. Significant radiological damage
17 progression was not seen in the majority of drug-free patients in the first year after dis-
18 continuation of DMARD. Radiological damage progression in the first year of increase of
19 disease activity in patients who needed retreatment was not different when compared
20 to radiological damage progression in the first year after discontinuation of medication
21 in patients in sustained drug-free remission. Median Sharp progression scores were 0
22 (IQR 0-1) and 0 (IQR 0-0) respectively, p -value 0.44.

23 24 *Predictors*

25 Although cessation of medication appears to be relatively safe with in general good
26 response after retreatment and no increase in radiological damage progression, some
27 patients don't achieve remission again after retreatment. Therefore, predictors of sus-
28 tained drug-free remission are needed.

29 Van der Woude *et al.*¹² studied independent predictors of sustained drug-free remission,
30 defined as drug-free remission for at least 1 year consecutively, in the Leiden EAC cohort
31 and tried to replicate these results in the British ERAS cohort. The strongest predic-
32 tor for sustained drug-free remission in the Leiden EAC cohort was anti-citrullinated
33 protein antibody (ACPA) negativity, but ACPA status was not known for patients from
34 the ERAS cohort. Rheumatoid factor (RF) negativity, Shared epitope (SE) negativity and
35 short symptom duration at baseline were found to be independent predictors in both
36 cohorts.(table 2)

37 A separate analysis of predictors of sustained drug-free remission was not described by
38 Tiippana-Kinnunen *et al.*¹³ in their Finnish ERA study. They did find an association with RF
39 negativity and non-erosiveness at baseline and sustained drug-free remission.

Table 2: response after retreatment and predictors of sustained drug-free remission

Reference (trial)	Disease activity after retreatment	Radiographic damage	Predictors of sustained drug-free remission
Van der Woude (Leiden EAC and ERAS)	Not reported	Not reported	Univariable: RF, SE negativity, ACPA negativity in EAC, acute onset of symptoms, baseline low disease activity and low HAQ in ERAS Independent predictors: short symptom duration, low baseline CRP and ACPA negativity, or short symptom duration, RF negativity and SE negativity
Tiippana-Kinnunen (Finnish ERA)	Mean DAS28 at t=15 years 3.68 (SD 1.23), 0% remission, mean HAQ 0.38 (SD 0.51)	Mean Larsen scores at t=15 years: Continuous treatment group: 54 (36) Restarters: 25 (30) Successful drug-free group: 12 (18), p<0.001	Association with RF negativity, non-erosiveness
Klarenbeek (BeSt)	96% good response: 47% again clinical remission within 3 months, plus 26% after 6 months, 21% again low disease activity, median HAQ 0.20 (IQR 0.15-0.34)	Median increase in Sharp van der Heijde scores after 1 year drug-free: Restarters 0 (IQR 0-1) Sustained drug-free: 0 (IQR 0-0) p=0.44	Univariable: ACPA/RF negativity, higher HAQ at baseline, higher VAS global health at baseline Independent predictors: ACPA negativity, lower disease activity until remission, higher baseline HAQ, MTX compared to SSA as last DMARD before drug-free remission

ACPA Anti citrullinated protein antibodies RF Rheumatoid factor SE Shared epitope DAS Disease Activity Score HAQ Health Assessment Questionnaire VAS Visual analogue scale SSA Sulfasalazine MTX Methotrexate DMARD Disease Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drug

ACPA negativity was also found to be the strongest predictor of sustained remission in the BeSt study,¹⁶ followed by low DAS until remission, a higher baseline HAQ and SSA as last DMARD when compared to MTX. RF negativity was associated with sustained drug-free remission in the univariable analyses.

In summary, all trials found RF negativity to be associated with sustained drug-free remission. ACPA negativity was found to be an even stronger predictor in those cohorts that measured ACPA status. Short symptom duration before treatment initiation and SE negativity predicted sustained drug-free remission in two cohorts.

Translation to clinical practice and consequences for further research

The four recent studies on drug-free remission cover a heterogeneous patient population, treated according to different strategies. Different remission definitions and criteria for retreatment were used. The available sets of remission criteria vary in components used and in stringency.(table 3)

1 It is therefore hard to draw general conclusions. These recent studies and previous pub-
2 lications do show that drug-free remission is indeed possible in 17-29% of patients. Sus-
3 tained (>1 year) remission was reported in an even smaller group: 9-16% of all patients.
4 Retreatment was needed in 44-45% of all drug-free patients in recent studies^{13,16} and in
5 11-100% of all drug-free patients in older publications.^{4-6,17} More research on sustainable
6 drug-free remission is necessary, with longer follow-up. Preferably, these studies would
7 use a uniform set of remission criteria. Recently, new criteria have been proposed by
8 the ACR/EULAR Commission to Redefine Remission in Rheumatoid Arthritis.¹⁸ In contrast
9 to the ACR 1981 criteria, these criteria allow for 1 swollen and 1 tender joint. This does
10 raise the concern that patients in remission might still have active synovitis, causing
11 joint damage.² Only two of the 115 patients in DAS remission (which shows similar-
12 ities with the new criteria (*table 3*)) from the BeSt study, showed clinically relevant joint
13 damage progression in the first year after cessation. Unfortunately, long-term radiologic
14 follow-up of patients in drug-free remission is not yet available. This underlines the im-
15 portance of monitoring of disease activity and joint damage progression in patients in
16 drug-free remission. Future research should also focus on radiological joint progression
17 in drug-free patients with longer follow-up duration. Secondly, one wonders if patients
18 who have discontinued all anti-rheumatic drugs can taper or need to intensify other
19 therapies such as NSAIDs or physical therapy, but none of the papers offer information
20 on that.

21 Furthermore, only few studies report on the effect of retreatment: do patients respond
22 well to therapy again? The positive results from the BeSt study, which included 508 pa-
23 tients, and had a dynamic treatment protocol in which treatment effect was evaluated
24 every three months, suggest that this is indeed the case. Retreatment was successful in
25 96%. DMARD were stopped when patients were in DAS-remission for at least 6 months
26 and restarted when remission was lost. These results are in line with some smaller stud-
27 ies conducted between 1976 and 1987 investigating cessation of and retreatment with
28 synthetic DMARD^{4,5,7} and a more recent trial which studied cessation of and retreatment
29 with biologicals,¹⁹ which all report a good response after retreatment in all patients.
30 However, in the study of ten Wolde *et al.*, only 78% had a good response to retreatment²⁰
31 and in the Finnish ERA trial, the majority of patients did not achieve low disease activity
32 during follow up after retreatment. A possible explanation for these differences is that in
33 these studies, treatment was restarted when disease flared to moderate or high disease
34 activity, where in the BeSt study patients were retreated when an increase in DAS to >1.6
35 occurred. Secondly, not all patients in the Finnish trial were in clinical remission when
36 medication was stopped. These results suggest that DMARD should only be stopped in
37 patients in sustained clinical remission. Treatment should be restarted as soon as remis-
38 sion is lost, without delay.

39

Table 3: comparison of remission criteria

Criteria or composite index (14;17)	ACR 1981 criteria	Modified ACR criteria	FDA criteria	Preliminary new ACR remission criteria	DAS<1.6*	DAS28<2.6*	SDAI ≤3.3	CDAI ≤2.8
Total of swollen & tender joints**	0	0	0	≤1/28 swollen and ≤1/28 tender	≤1/44 swollen and ≤1/53 tender	≤1/28 swollen or ≤1/28 tender	0/28 swollen, 0/28 tender	0/28 swollen, 0/28 tender
Systemic inflammation	ESR <30 mm/h female, <20 mm/h male	ESR <30 mm/h female, <20 mm/h male	ESR <30 mm/h female, <20 mm/h male	CRP ≤1	ESR or CRP	ESR or CRP	CRP	
Other criteria	1. Duration of morning stiffness ≤15 min 2. No fatigue 3. No tenderness or pain in motion 4. No tendon sheet swelling	1. Duration of morning stiffness ≤15 min 2. Pain (VAS) ≤1cm 3. No tendon sheet swelling	1. ACR 1981 criteria 2. No DMARDs 3. Radiographic arrest (Larsen or Sharp) ≥6 months	1. Patient global assessment (VAS) ≤1 cm	1. Patient's assessment of global health (VAS)	1. Patient's assessment of global health (VAS)	1,2. Patient's assessment of disease activity (VAS)	1,2. Patient's assessment of disease activity (VAS)

ACR American College of Rheumatology, FDA US Food and Drug Administration, DAS Disease Activity Score, SDAI Simplified Disease Activity Index, CDAI Clinical Disease Activity Index, ESR Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate, CRP C-reactive protein, VAS visual analogue scale

*Calculated with CRP for comparison with SDAI and CDAI. Hypothetically, for patients in DAS remission a larger number of swollen joints would be possible if these were not tender.

**For composite indices: calculated assuming CRP = 1 mg/dL, VAS scores= 1cm

1 CONCLUSION

2
3 There are few studies that report on drug free remission in RA and even fewer that report
4 on restart of treatment. From 4 recent studies in patients with recent onset RA with a
5 follow-up duration up to 15 years, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- 6
7 - Drug-free remission is achieved in 17-29% of patients and sustained in 9-16% during
8 1-4 years.
9 - Joint damage progression in drug-free patients is not different from DMARD users
10 and does not increase in the first year(s) of drug-free remission, regardless of flare.
11 - Low disease activity is achieved again in the majority of patients who have to restart
12 treatment.
13 - Auto antibody negativity (RF, ACPA), shared epitope negativity and short symptom
14 duration before treatment initiation are predictors of sustained drug-free remission.

15
16 The low rates of drug-free remission are possibly due to the fact that the treatment of
17 these patients was aimed at achieving low disease activity, at best. With new treatment
18 options more patients can now be treated to achieve remission, and potentially this
19 will lead to more drug free remission in the future. Clinical research should focus on
20 the consequences of drug-free remission and retreatment after longer-follow up and on
21 identifying predictors of sustained drug-free remission.

1 REFERENCE LIST

- 2 1. Combe B, Landewe R, Lukas C et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of early arthritis: report of a task force of the European Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCSIT). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;66:34-45.
- 3 2. Brown AK, Conaghan PG, Karim Z et al. An explanation for the apparent dissociation between clinical remission and continued structural deterioration in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:2958-67.
- 4 3. Lichtenstein MJ, Pincus T. Rheumatoid arthritis identified in population based cross sectional studies: low prevalence of rheumatoid factor. *J Rheumatol* 1991;18:989-93.
- 5 4. Ahern MJ, Hall ND, Case K, Maddison PJ. D-penicillamine withdrawal in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1984;43:213-7.
- 6 5. Cade R, Stein G, Pickering M, Schlein E, Spooner G. Low dose, long-term treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with azathioprine. *South Med J* 1976;69:388-92.
- 7 6. De Silva M, Hazleman BL. Long-term azathioprine in rheumatoid arthritis: a double-blind study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1981;40:560-3.
- 8 7. Kremer JM, Rynes RI, Bartholomew LE. Severe flare of rheumatoid arthritis after discontinuation of long-term methotrexate therapy. Double-blind study. *Am J Med* 1987;82:781-6.
- 9 8. Szanto E. Low-dose methotrexate treatment of rheumatoid arthritis; long-term observation of efficacy and safety. *Clin Rheumatol* 1989;8:323-20.
- 10 9. Van der Leeden H, Dijkmans BA, Hermans J, Cats A. A double-blind study on the effect of discontinuation of gold therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 1986;5:56-61.
- 11 10. ten Wolde S, Breedveld FC, Hermans J et al. Randomised placebo-controlled study of stopping second-line drugs in rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet* 1996;347:347-52.
- 12 11. van der Kooij SM, Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, de Vries-Bouwstra JK et al. Drug-free remission, functioning and radiographic damage after 4 years of response-driven treatment in patients with recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:914-21.
- 13 12. van der Woude D, Young A, Jayakumar K et al. Prevalence of and predictive factors for sustained disease-modifying antirheumatic drug-free remission in rheumatoid arthritis: results from two large early arthritis cohorts. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;60:2262-71.
- 14 13. Tiippana-Kinnunen T, Paimela L, Kautiainen H, Laasonen L, Leirisalo-Repo M. Can disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs be discontinued in long-standing rheumatoid arthritis? A 15-year follow-up. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2010;39:12-8.
- 15 14. Pinals RS, Masi AT, Larsen RA. Preliminary criteria for clinical remission in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1981;24:1308-15.
- 16 15. Hetland ML, Stengaard-Pedersen K, Junker P et al. Radiographic progression and remission rates in early rheumatoid arthritis - MRI bone oedema and anti-CCP predicted radiographic progression in the 5-year extension of the double-blind randomised CIMESTRA trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:1789-95.
- 17 16. Klarenbeek NB, van der Kooij SM, Guler-Yuksel M et al. Discontinuing treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in sustained clinical remission: exploratory analyses from the BeSt study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010.
- 18 17. Saleem B, Keen H, Goeb V et al. Patients with RA in remission on TNF blockers: when and in whom can TNF blocker therapy be stopped? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:1636-42.
- 19 18. Felson D, Smolen J, Wells G et al. Predictive Validity of the New Preliminary ACR/EULAR Definitions for Remission in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 62[10], S882. 2010. Ref Type: Abstract

19. Brocq O, Millasseau E, Albert C et al. Effect of discontinuing TNFalpha antagonist therapy in patients with remission of rheumatoid arthritis. *Joint Bone Spine* 2009;76:350-5.
20. ten Wolde S, Hermans J, Breedveld FC, Dijkmans BA. Effect of resumption of second line drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis that flared up after treatment discontinuation. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1997;56:235-9.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

