



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Student teachers' practical knowledge, discipline strategies, and the teacher-class relationship

Jong, R.J. de

Citation

Jong, R. J. de. (2013, April 11). *Student teachers' practical knowledge, discipline strategies, and the teacher-class relationship*. ICLON PhD Dissertation Series. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/20734>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/20734>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/20734> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Jong, Romi de

Title: Student teachers' practical knowledge, discipline strategies, and the teacher-class relationship

Issue Date: 2013-04-11

**Student teachers' practical knowledge,
discipline strategies,
and the teacher-class relationship**

ICLON

ICLON, Leiden University Graduate School of Teaching

ico

This research was carried out in the context of the *Dutch Interuniversity Centre for Educational Research*.

Title: Student teachers' practical knowledge, discipline strategies, and the teacher-class relationship

Titel: Praktijkkennis, disciplineringsstrategieën en de leerkracht-leerling-relatie bij leerkrachten-in-opleiding

ICLON PhD Dissertation Series

Print: Mostert en van onderen! Leiden

Cover design / lay-out: Heinz & Marmite Productions ©

ISBN/EAN: 978-94-90383-08-4

© 2013, Romi de Jong

All rights reserved. No parts of this thesis may be reproduced, stored in retrieval systems, or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the author.

**Student teachers' practical knowledge,
discipline strategies,
and the teacher-class relationship**

Proefschrift

Ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. dr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 11 april 2013
klokke 15.00 uur

door

Romina Janny de Jong
geboren te 's Gravenhage
in 1975

Promotiecommissie

Promotores

Prof. dr. N. Verloop

Prof. dr. J.W.F. van Tartwijk

Prof. dr. T. Wubbels

Overige leden

Prof. dr. W.F. Admiraal

Prof. dr. J.M.G. Brekelmans (Universiteit Utrecht)

Prof. dr. J.H. van Driel

Ik ben dan wel de oudste
maar weet niet beter
dan dat jij er altijd was

Contents

1. General introduction.....	3
1.1 RESEARCH AIM AND DESIGN	5
1.2 THE TEACHER-CLASS RELATIONSHIP	7
<i>The questionnaire on interpersonal teacher behaviour</i>	<i>10</i>
1.3 DISCIPLINE STRATEGIES.....	14
<i>The discipline strategies questionnaire</i>	<i>17</i>
1.4 OUTLINE.....	18
REFERENCES.....	25
2. Teachers' interpersonal expectations	35
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	36
2.2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	37
<i>Teacher expectations and student outcomes: expectancy research</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>Expectations and interpersonal schemas: social cognition</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>Teachers' interpersonal expectations and behaviour:</i> <i>interpersonal theory</i>	<i>39</i>
2.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	41
2.4 METHODOLOGY.....	41
<i>The research group</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>Research method and procedure.....</i>	<i>42</i>
2.5 DATA ANALYSIS.....	44
2.6 RESULTS	45
<i>Teachers' interpersonal expectations.....</i>	<i>46</i>
2.7 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	50
<i>Implications and future research.....</i>	<i>53</i>
REFERENCES.....	56
3. The teacher-class relationship.....	63
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	65
3.2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK.....	66
<i>The teacher-class relationship</i>	<i>66</i>
<i>Personality traits</i>	<i>68</i>
<i>Teacher self-efficacy.....</i>	<i>68</i>
<i>Discipline strategies</i>	<i>70</i>
3.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	72

3.4 METHOD	73
<i>Participants and procedure</i>	73
<i>Instruments</i>	74
3.5 DATA ANALYSIS	79
3.6 RESULTS	80
3.7 DISCUSSION	85
<i>Limitations</i>	90
REFERENCES	92
4. Discipline strategies.....	101
4.1 INTRODUCTION	102
4.2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	103
<i>Discipline strategies</i>	103
<i>Self-images</i>	105
<i>Anticipated student responses</i>	107
<i>Pupil control orientation</i>	107
4.3 RESEARCH QUESTION	108
4.4 METHOD	108
4.5 ANALYSES	111
4.6 RESULTS	113
4.7 DISCUSSION	116
REFERENCES	120
5. Internship, interpersonal profiles and self-images	129
5.1 INTRODUCTION	130
5.2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	131
<i>Interpersonal profiles</i>	131
<i>Self-images</i>	132
<i>Research questions</i>	133
5.3 METHOD	134
<i>Sample</i>	134
<i>Instruments</i>	134
<i>Procedure</i>	135
5.4 ANALYSES	136
5.5 RESULTS	137
<i>Interpersonal profiles at the beginning and end of the internship</i>	137

<i>Accuracy of self-images at the beginning and end of the internship</i>	140
<i>Self-images: improved and declined accuracy</i>	142
<i>Interpersonal profiles and the accuracy of self-images</i>	145
5.6 DISCUSSION.....	146
<i>Limitations</i>	149
REFERENCES.....	151
6. General discussion and conclusion	157
6.1 SUMMARY OF RESEARCH FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS.....	159
6.2 GENERAL DISCUSSION OF THE MAIN FINDINGS	163
6.3 IMPLICATIONS	166
6.4 STRENGTH, LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS.....	169
REFERENCES.....	173
Summary	179
Samenvatting	187
Publications.....	195
Curriculum Vitae	199
Woord van dank	201
Appendix: Teacher Interpersonal Schema Questionnaire	205

List of figures

Figure 1.1. Overview of the study	6
Figure 1.2. Interpersonal circle, straight arrows indicating complementarity on control and circular arrows indicating complementarity on affiliation	8
Figure 2.1. Distribution of anticipated responses in Dominant, Friendly, Submissive and Hostile groups of vignettes	45

List of Tables

Table 1.1. Interpersonal profiles with corresponding classroom environments	11
Table 2.1. Mean anticipated responses for teachers with little, moderate and extensive experience, for Dominant, Friendly, Submissive and Hostile vignettes	49
Table 2.2. Mean anticipated responses for male and female teachers, for Dominant, Friendly, Submissive and Hostile vignettes.....	50
Table 3.1. Discipline strategies: rotated component matrix with means, standard deviations and reliabilities for each component	75
Table 3.2. Means and standard deviations for age, personality traits, discipline strategies, self-efficacy, and the teacher-class relationship.....	77
Table 3.3. Multivariate multilevel models for teacher control and affiliation	82
Table 4.1. Factor descriptives of student teachers' anticipated student responses and pupil control orientation (N=103)*	110
Table 4.2. Correlations between discipline strategies and self-images, anticipated student responses and pupil control orientation (n=103).....	113
Table 4.3. Summary of results of the regression analysis of student teachers' beliefs on sensitive discipline strategies (N =103)	114

Table 4.4. Summary of results of the regression analysis of studentteachers' beliefs on directive discipline strategies (N =103)	115
Table 4.5. Summary of results of the regression analysis of student teachers' beliefs on aggressive discipline strategies (N =103)	115
Table 5.1. Interpersonal profiles of student teachers at the beginning and end of the internship	138
Table 5.2. Interpersonal profiles of student teachers at two moments in the internship	138
Table 5.3. Student teachers' self-images on control and affiliation at the beginning and end of the internship	140
Table 5.4. Student teachers' self-images on control and affiliation at the beginning and end of the internship, comparison of accuracy begin versus end of internship.....	142

