



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Judges and lawmaking at the International Criminal Tribunals for the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda

Swart, Mia

Citation

Swart, M. (2006, December 13). *Judges and lawmaking at the International Criminal Tribunals for the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/5434>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/5434>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Judges and Lawmaking at the International Criminal Tribunals for the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus Dr. D.D. Breimer,
hoogleraar in de faculteit der Wiskunde en
Natuurwetenschappen en die der Geneeskunde,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 13 December 2006
klokke 16.00 uur

door

Mia Swart

geboren te Johannesburg, Zuid Afrika, 1973

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor: Prof. dr. C.J.R. Dugard

Referent: Dr. E van Sliedregt

Leden:

Justice R.J. Goldstone (Former Justice, Constitutional Court, Zuid- Afrika)

Prof. W.A. Schabas (National University of Ireland, Galway)

Prof. dr. A.H.J Swart (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Dr. M. Boot

Prof. dr. A.H. Klip (Universiteit Maastricht)

Prof. dr. G.K Sluiter (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Lay-out: Joanna Sporou

Bakwena Printers, 2006

In memory of my Father

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The idea of writing about judicial lawmaking at the Tribunals originated during an internship in the Appeals Chamber of the ICTY in 2001. Conversations with legal officers such as Herman von Hebel, Gabriel Oosthuizen, Guenael Mettraux and Kate Greenwood helped to steer my thinking on the many interesting features of the work of Tribunal judges. Over the course of the next four years many contributed to this thesis both intellectually and in a more personal sense.

I was financially supported by scholarships, initially by the Huygens scholarship and later by the Mandela Scholarship and a grant from the *Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO)*. I wish to thank Nuffic for the Huygens Scholarship and the Hendrik Muller Foundation for the Mandela scholarship. I am thankful to Carel Stolker for making my stay at the Meijers Institute a pleasant one.

Laurel Angus deserves thanks for careful proofreading.

Other friends during the Leiden years include Thomas Skouteris, Christine Tremblay and Marius Wehren. Aoife Nolan provided companionship in New York. Jaike Wolfkamp and Henk Johan Streefkerk opened their home and hearts to me and provided invaluable practical support during my time in Leiden and The Hague. Antje Pedain's friendship and encouragement sustained me throughout.

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of my father, Freek Swart. He encouraged study at Leiden and suggested the internship at the ICTY. His intense interest in the Second World War and the Nuremberg trials also became my interest. His legacy of independent thinking and hard work continues to inspire me.

My mother, Nina Swart, supported me through the difficult times. She was my most important ally in the battle against discouragement. She taught me the importance of developing one's mind, of reading, and of being focused: 'What I must do is all that concerns me...'

Mia Swart
Johannesburg
October 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	9
Structure of the Study	21
A Short Note on Method and Terminology	24
CHAPTER ONE.....	25
SETTING THE STAGE: THE ESTABLISHMENT AND ORGANISATION OF THE TRIBUNALS	25
1. Introduction	25
2. Historical Precedents	26
(i)The Interbellum.....	26
(ii) Nuremberg and Tokyo.....	27
3. Establishment of the ICTY.....	28
4. Principal Organs of the ICTY.....	30
5. Jurisdiction of the ICTY.....	31
(i) Concurrent jurisdiction.....	31
(ii)Subject-matter jurisdiction.....	31
(iii)Personal jurisdiction.....	32
(iv)Territorial jurisdiction.....	32
(v)Temporal jurisdiction.....	33
6. The Establishment of the ICTR.....	33
7. Principal Organs of the ICTR.....	35
8. Jurisdiction of the ICTR.....	35
(i)Concurrent jurisdiction.....	35
(ii)Subject-matter jurisdiction.....	36
(iii)Personal jurisdiction.....	37
(iv)Territorial jurisdiction.....	37
(v)Temporal jurisdiction.....	37
9. The Cost of Justice: Funding and its Implications for Independence.....	38
(i) The Funding of the ICTY and ICTR.....	38
(ii) The Funding of the ICC.....	42
10.A Question of Pedigree: The Legality of the Establishment of the Tribunals.....	43
Challenges to the Establishment of the ICTY.....	43
(i)Arguments Against and in Favour of the Treaty Approach.....	43
(ii) The <i>Tadic</i> Challenge.....	45
(iii) Criticising <i>Tadic</i>	46
11. Challenges to the Legality of the Establishment of the ICTR.....	49
12. Conclusion	53
CHAPTER TWO.....	54
WHEN THE RULES RUN OUT.....	54
The Lawmaking Function of International Judges.....	54
1. Introduction	54
2. The (Rudimentary) Nature of International Criminal Law.....	55
3. The Concept of Lawmaking	57

4. Interpretation as Lawmaking.....	64
5. Gap Filling as Lawmaking.....	65
6. Common Law Lawmaking.....	70
7. Sources of International Criminal Law.....	72
(i) Article 38	72
(ii) Custom.....	73
(iii) General Principles.....	76
(iv) Judicial Decisions.....	79
8. Procedural Lawmaking versus Substantive Lawmaking.....	81
9. Conclusion.....	82
CHAPTER 3	84
JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE AT THE TRIBUNALS	84
1. Introduction.....	84
2. On Judicial Independence.....	85
3. Judicial Independence at the ICTY.....	89
4. The Politics of Election: The Election of ICTY and ICTR Judges.....	90
(i) Statutory requirements.....	90
(ii) On Electoral Politics, Suitability and Independence.....	93
5. Election of ICJ Judges.....	94
6. Election of ICC Judges.....	98
7. The Race for Re-election.....	101
8. Independence: the cases of Judges Mumba, Odio-Benito and the ‘NATO Judges’.....	102
i) The ‘NATO judges’.....	102
ii) The Case of Elizabeth Odio Benito.....	104
iii) Judge Mumba.....	108
9. Conclusion.....	113
CHAPTER FOUR.....	115
THE INSTITUTIONAL CULTURE OF THE ICTY AND ICTR....	115
1. Introduction.....	115
2. The Role of the Presidents.....	116
3. Common/Civil Law Backgrounds of Judges.....	118
4. Professional Backgrounds of Judges	121
5. Composition of the Appeals Chamber.....	127
6. On Bureaucracy and Remoteness	129
7. Courtroom Aesthetics.....	130
8. Babylonian Confusion? The Language Issue at the Tribunals	131
9. Ghost-written judgements? The Drafting Process.....	134
10. Obiter Statements	138
11. Conclusion	138
CHAPTER FIVE.....	140
THE PRINCIPLE OF LEGALITY.....	140
1. Introduction.....	140
2. Origins and Deterrent Function.....	143
3. German Law and the Principle of Legality.....	143

(i) nullum crimen and the Nazi era	144
(iii) Modern German Criminal Law	145
(iv) Art 103(2): Gesetzlichkeitsprinzip.....	146
4. City of Danzig case.....	148
5. Legality at Nuremberg.....	150
(a) Crimes against the Peace.....	152
(b) War Crimes	154
(c) Crimes Against Humanity.....	154
6. National Prosecutions and the Principle of Legality.....	158
(i) <i>Attorney General of Israel v Eichmann</i>	158
(ii) <i>R v Finta</i>	161
(iii) <i>Polyukhovich v The Commonwealth</i>	163
(iv) East German Border Guard Cases (<i>Mauerschützenprozesse</i>).....	165
(v) Bouterse	167
(vi) Conclusion	169
7. The Principle of Legality in American Law.....	170
8. Common Law Crimes: Scottish Law.....	172
9. The Principle of Legality in Regional and International Human Rights Instruments.....	173
(ii) European Court of Human Rights.....	174
10. Relevance of the Principle of Legality in International Criminal Law.....	177
11. The <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals and the Principle of Legality.....	181
(i) Legality at the ICTY.....	181
(ii) The Principle of Legality and the ICTR	187
12. ICC.....	188
13. <i>Nulla Poena Sine Lege</i>	189
14. Conclusion.....	192
CHAPTER 6	196
LAWMAKING THROUGH THE CASE LAW	196
1. Introduction	196
2. Lawmaking in <i>Tadic</i>	197
(i) <i>competence de la competence</i>	198
(ii) Distinction between international and internal armed conflict.....	203
(iii) Redefinition of ‘protected persons’ by Appeals Chamber	206
(iv) Extension of Common Purpose Doctrine.....	213
3. Rape as Crime Against Humanity: <i>Akayesu, Furundzija, Kunarac</i>	221
4. Torture.....	230
5. Duress: <i>Erdemovic</i>	235
6. Reprisals.....	241
7. Conclusion.....	246
CHAPTER 7	251
RULEMAKING AT THE TRIBUNALS	251
1. Introduction	251
2. Rulemaking at Nuremberg and Tokyo.....	255
3. Adversarial v Inquisitorial Approach.....	256

4. Rulemaking at the ICTY.....	28
5. The Rules of the ICTR.....	260
6. The quasi legislative power of the judges.....	261
7. The amendments.....	262
8. Procedural lawmaking in Domestic Jurisdictions.....	265
9. The Rules and Inherent Powers.....	266
10. Limitations on Scope of the Rules.....	268
11. Are the Rules Binding?.....	269
12. Ultra Vires Rules.....	270
13. The <i>Barayagwiza</i> Appeals Chamber Decision.....	272
14. The <i>Barayagwiza</i> Reconsideration Decision.....	274
15. Abuse of discretion? The <i>Kupreskic</i> Deposition Decision.....	277
16. Amending to Expedite.....	278
17. Conclusion	281
CONCLUSION.....	283
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	297
SAMENVATTING.....	321
CURRICULUM VITAE.....	329