



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Automated segmentation of atherosclerotic arteries in MR Images

Adame Valero, I.M.

Citation

Adame Valero, I. M. (2007, April 4). *Automated segmentation of atherosclerotic arteries in MR Images*. *ASCI dissertation series*. ASCI graduate school|Laboratory for Clinical en Experimental Image processing, Faculty of Medicine / Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Leiden University. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/11467>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/11467>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Index of figures

Figure 2.1 Cut-section of an atherosclerotic artery (http://www.cardiocheck.co.uk)	9
Figure 2.2. Segment of an artery showing the different layers of the vessel wall (http://www.lab.anhb.uwa.edu.au/mb140/CorePages/Vascular/Vascular.htm)	10
Figure 2.3. Temporal evolution of atherosclerosis in an artery	11
Figure 2.4. Schematic drawing (upper panel) and IVUS images of plaque rupture (lower panel). The ruptured plaque is characterised by a narrow tear in a thin fibrous cap and an emptied echolucent zone (type I). (Courtesy of Dr. J Ge. University Essen)	12
Figure 2.5. CT images of atherosclerotic carotid arteries at two different locations	15
Figure 2.6. Contrast-enhanced T1W MR images of atherosclerotic carotid arteries	16
Figure 2.7. Corresponding T1W and T2W MR images of an atherosclerotic carotid artery	17
Figure 2.8. MIP of the carotid arteries	18
Figure 3.1 Flowchart of the first phase of the algorithm: ellipse-fitting approach to detect the outer boundary of the vessel wall.	30
Figure 3.2. Correlation between the quantitative measurements obtained from the automatically detected contours (output of our algorithm) and those manually-drawn by experts. A) Lumen and B) outer wall areas; C) fibrous cap thickness.	34
Figure 3.3. The Bland and Altman plot of the differences of luminal (A), outer wall (B) areas; and fibrous cap thickness (C) measured manually and using our algorithm.	34
Figure 3.4. A) Automatic detection of lumen, outer boundary of the vessel wall and plaque (lipid core). B) Contours manually traced by an expert. Arrows point to the reduction in fibrous cap thickness in the automated detection.	35
Figure 3.5. Examples of the automatic detection of lumen, outer boundary of the vessel wall and plaque (lipid core) in T2W MR images.	37
Figure 3.6. Examples of the automatic detection of lumen, and outer boundary of the vessel wall in normal carotid arteries with early lesions.	38
Figure 4.1 Flowchart of the first phase of the algorithm: ellipse-fitting approach to detect the outer boundary of the vessel wall.	46

INDEX OF FIGURES

Figure 4.2. Correlation between the quantitative measurements obtained from the automatically detected contours (output of our algorithm) and those manually-drawn by experts. A) Lumen and B) outer wall areas; C) fibrous cap thickness.	49
Figure 4.3. The Bland and Altman plot of the differences of luminal (A), outer wall (B) areas; and fibrous cap thickness (C) measured manually and using our algorithm.	49
Figure 4.4. A) Automatic detection of lumen, outer boundary of the vessel wall and plaque (lipid core). B) Contours manually traced by an expert. Arrows point to the reduction in fibrous cap thickness in the automated detection.	50
Figure 4.5. Example of one analysis in which the combination between sequences leads to a better segmentation (B) than the single analysis (A).	51
Figure 5.1 Enhancement of the edge between lumen and vessel wall. Each pixel in the original image domain (abscissa) is mapped to the modified image domain (lumen-vessel wall edge enhanced) (ordinate) according to this graph. The intensity values are normalized against the average intensity value of fat.	59
Figure 5.2. Image enhancement to suppress periaortic fat. Each pixel in the original image domain (abscissa) is mapped to the modified image domain (vessel wall-periaortic fat edge enhanced) (ordinate) according to this graph. The intensity values are normalized against the average intensity value of fat.	61
Figure 5.3. Regression plots showing the comparison between automated measurements and observer 1 (A), automated and observer 2 (B), automated and the average contours obtained from both observers (C), and measurements according to observer 1 and observer 2 (D).	63
Figure 5.4 The Bland-Altman plot of the differences between automatic (auto wall thickness) and manual measurements from observer 1 (ob1) (A), between automatic and measurements from observer 2 (ob2) (B); between automatic and average manual measurements (C); and between observers 1 and 2 (D).	65
Figure 5.5 Scatterplot shows outer wall area (A) and luminal area (B) according to the automatic measurements (aut contour) against those according to the average manual contours (ref contours).	65
Figure 5.6. Example showing the different steps of the algorithm for two different subjects. (A-D) subject #1; (E-H) subject #2. (A,E) original image showing the centers of the circular structures found by the Hough transform algorithm (the one depicted as a square corresponds to the descending aorta), (B,F) gray-level stretched for enhancement of the edge lumen-vessel wall, (C,G) gray-level stretched for enhancement of the outer boundary of the vessel wall; (D,H): final segmentation: vessel wall inner and outer boundaries.	66
Figure 5.7. Contours of the vessel wall of the human descending aorta. A,E) contours automatically-detected; B,F) manually-traced contours by observer 1; C,G) manually-traced contours by observer 2; D,H) average from contours in B and C. Arrows point to the part of the contour where the differences between contours drawn by observer 1 and 2 are more significant.	67

Figure 6.1 Scatterplot shows outer wall area (A) and luminal area (B) according to the automatic measurements against those according to the average manual contours.	77
Figure 6.2 Automatically detected vessel wall contours superimposed over the MIP. (A) Severely stenotic vessel. (B) Non-stenotic vessel with outward remodeling. (CCA: common carotid artery, ICA: internal carotid artery).	77
Figure 6.3 (A) PC-T1W MR original image. (B) Lumen contour obtained from MRA segmentation. (C) Lumen and outer wall contours detected using algorithm in vessel wall image. (D) Expert manual-traced contours.	77
Figure 7.1. Elliptical frustum	89
Figure 7.2. Bi-ellipse model and its root circle (thin line)	89
Figure 7.3. O: center of gravity; P: contour point; A,B: points used to compute the gradient	91
Figure 7.4. Different simulated atherosclerosis types	95
Figure 7.5. Two examples of simulated atherosclerosis progression:	95
Figure 7.6. Vessel wall thickness as measured in scan 1 (t1) and scan 2 (t2) for a subject . The x-axis shows cross-sections of the vessel segment from top to bottom. A-B) Short-term follow up data (no changes in vessel wall) C-D) Simulated long-term follow up data (progression of atherosclerosis: vessel wall enlargement).	98
Figure 7.7. Examples of segmentation results for different deformation steps. A, B, C, D) automated segmentation; E, F, G, H) independent standard	99
Figure 7.8. Automatically detected contours of the outer wall, for two time points: 'dark gray': baseline (scan t1) and, 'light gray with grid': simulated atherosclerotic data (scan t2).	99

