



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Environmental Dispute Resolution in Indonesia

Nicholson, David F.

Citation

Nicholson, D. F. (2005, January 13). *Environmental Dispute Resolution in Indonesia*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/580>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/580>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

INTRODUCTION	XVII
I. ENVIRONMENTAL DISPUTES IN INDONESIA	XVII
II. ACADEMIC CONTEXT	XVII
III. METHODOLOGY	XX
IV. OVERVIEW OF THESIS	XXII
1 CHAPTER 1 ENVIRONMENTAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION: THEORETICAL AND INDONESIAN PERSPECTIVES	1
1.1 ENVIRONMENTAL DISPUTES	1
1.1 APPROACHES TO DISPUTE RESOLUTION	4
1.2 ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION	7
1.2.1 <i>Definition of Environmental Litigation</i>	7
1.2.2 <i>Objectives of Litigation</i>	7
1.2.2.1 Dispute Resolution	7
1.2.2.2 Law Enforcement	8
1.2.2.3 Environmental Justice	9
1.2.3 <i>Environmental Litigation: Evaluative Criteria</i>	11
1.2.4 <i>Conditions for Environmental Litigation</i>	11
1.2.4.1 Procedural Access to Justice	12
1.2.4.2 “Strong” environmental law	14
1.2.4.3 Institutional Resources	15
1.2.4.4 Legal and Environmental Activism	16
1.2.4.5 Judicial independence & impartiality	16
1.2.4.6 Political Character of the Judiciary	18
1.2.4.7 Effective Implementation	22
1.2.4.8 Societal Context	22
1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIATION	24
1.3.1 <i>Definition of Mediation</i>	24
1.3.2 <i>Comparison of Mediation and Litigation as Approaches to Dispute Resolution</i>	26
1.3.3 <i>Objectives of Environmental Mediation & Evaluative Criteria</i>	31
1.3.4 <i>Conditions for Effective Environmental Mediation</i>	32

1.3.4.1	Skilled and Impartial Mediator.....	32
1.3.4.2	Feasibility of Compromise	34
1.3.4.3	Absence of a Better Alternative To a Negotiated Agreement (BATNA).....	36
1.3.4.4	Commitment to a Negotiated Settlement	36
1.3.4.5	Balance of Power between Disputing Parties.....	37
1.3.4.6	Continuing Relationship between the Parties.....	38
1.3.4.7	Inclusion of All Stakeholders	38
1.3.4.8	Effective Mechanisms for Implementation of Agreement	39
1.3.4.9	Supportive Social-Political Context	40
1.4	ENVIRONMENTAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN INDONESIA: AN OVERVIEW	40
1.4.1	<i>Legal Framework</i>	40
1.4.2	<i>Environmental Disputes by Sector</i>	42
1.4.2.1	Industry.....	43
1.4.2.2	Forestry.....	46
1.4.2.3	Mining	50
1.4.2.4	Agriculture.....	54
2	ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION IN INDONESIA: LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND OVERVIEW OF CASES.....	55
2.1	STANDING	56
2.1.1	<i>PT Into Indorayon Utama Case (1989)</i>	57
2.1.2	<i>Legislative Standing for Environmental Organisations</i>	58
2.2	REPRESENTATIVE ACTIONS	59
2.2.1	<i>Representative Actions in Indonesia (Pre-EMA 1997)</i>	60
2.2.1.1	PT Pupuk Iskandar Muda (1989)	61
2.2.1.2	Ciujung River (West Java; 1995)	62
2.2.2	<i>Article 37: Legislative Provision for Environmental Representative Actions</i>	63
2.2.2.1	Eksponen 66 and others. v.APHI and others.(1998)	65
2.2.2.2	Way Seputih River (2000).....	69
2.2.2.3	Pekanbaru Smog Case (2000)	72
2.3	COMPENSATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE	73
2.3.1	<i>Article 1365 of the Civil Code</i>	74

2.3.2	<i>Article 20 EMA 1982</i>	76
2.3.2.1	Samidun Sitorus et al v. PT Inti Indorayon (1989).....	76
2.3.2.2	PT Sarana Surya Sakti Case (1991).....	77
2.3.2.3	Muara Jaya (1991)	78
2.3.2.4	Singosari SUTET case (1994).....	79
2.3.2.5	Sari Morawa Case (1996).....	80
2.3.3	<i>Art. 34 EMA 1997</i>	82
2.3.3.1	Babon River Case (1998)	83
2.3.3.2	Laguna Mandiri (1998)	83
2.3.3.3	Banger River Case (1999)	87
2.3.3.4	Kalimantan Peat Land (Farmers Compensation) Case (1999).....	88
2.4	STRICT LIABILITY	89
2.4.1	<i>Laguna Mandiri (1998)</i>	91
2.4.2	<i>Walhi v. PT Pakerin et al (1998)</i>	92
2.5	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.....	94
2.5.1	<i>Article 20(3) EMA 1982</i>	95
2.5.1.1	Surabaya River Case (1995).....	95
2.5.2	<i>Article 38 (2) EMA 1997</i>	97
2.5.2.1	WALHI v Pt Pakerin and others.....	99
2.6	RIGHT TO ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION	100
2.6.1	<i>WALHI v. PT Freeport (2001)</i>	101
2.7	ADMINISTRATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION.....	102
2.7.1	<i>Standing in the Administrative Courts</i>	103
2.7.1.1	Reafforestation Fund (IPTN) Case (1994)	103
2.7.2	<i>Administrative Court Jurisdiction</i>	105
2.7.2.1	Reafforestation Fund (IPTN) Case (1994)	106
2.7.2.2	Reafforestation Fund (PT Kiani Kertas) Case (1997)	107
2.7.3	<i>General Court Jurisdiction</i>	108
2.7.3.1	PT Into Indorayon Utama Case (1989)	109
2.7.3.2	Sulae Case (1992).....	111
2.7.3.3	Kalimantan Peat Land Case (1999)	112
2.7.4	<i>Substantive Grounds</i>	116

2.7.4.1	Freeport Case (1995).....	117
2.7.4.2	Transgenic Cotton Case (2001).....	118
2.7.5	<i>Remedies</i>	121
2.8	CONCLUSION.....	122
3	CASE STUDIES OF ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION	129
3.1	BANGER RIVER CASE (1999)	129
3.1.1	<i>History of the Dispute</i>	129
3.1.2	<i>District Court of Pekalongan Case</i>	133
3.1.3	<i>Court Hearings</i>	137
3.1.4	<i>Decision of Pekalongan District Court</i>	138
3.1.5	<i>Appeal and Decision of Semarang High Court</i>	140
3.1.6	<i>Appeal to Supreme Court</i>	142
3.1.7	<i>Conclusion</i>	143
3.2	BABON RIVER CASE (1998)	147
3.2.1	<i>History of the Dispute</i>	147
3.2.2	<i>District Court of Semarang Case (Claim & Defence)</i>	150
3.2.3	<i>Decision of the District Court of Semarang</i>	155
3.2.4	<i>Appeal to High Court of Central Java</i>	158
3.2.5	<i>Conclusion</i>	161
4	ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIATION IN INDONESIA.....	165
4.1	CULTURAL BASIS FOR MEDIATION.....	165
4.2	LEGISLATION.....	168
4.3	INSTITUTIONALISATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIATION	170
4.4	REVIEW OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIATION CASES	172
4.4.1	<i>Tapak River (Semarang, 1991)</i>	173
4.4.2	<i>Tembok Dukuh /PT SSS case (Surabaya, 1991)</i>	177
4.4.3	<i>Tyfountex (Solo; 1992)</i>	178
4.4.4	<i>Sambong River (Batang; 1993)</i>	180
4.4.5	<i>Siak River (Riau, 1992)</i>	183
4.4.6	<i>Sibalec (Yogyakarta; 1994)</i>	185
4.4.7	<i>Naga Mas (Central Java; 1994)</i>	185

4.4.8	<i>Ciujung River (West Java; 1995)</i>	187
4.4.9	<i>Samitex (Yogyakarta; 1995)</i>	189
4.4.10	<i>Indoacidatama (Central Java; 1997)</i>	190
4.4.11	<i>PT Sumber Sehat (Kudus; 1999)</i>	192
4.4.12	<i>PT Pura (Kudus; 1999)</i>	193
4.4.13	<i>Kanasritex (Semarang; 1999)</i>	194
4.4.14	<i>Tawang Mas (Semarang; 2000)</i>	196
4.4.15	<i>Kelian Equatorial Mining (2001)</i>	200
4.5	CONCLUSION.....	203
5	CASE STUDIES OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIATION.....	208
5.1	THE PALUR RAYA DISPUTE.....	208
5.1.1	<i>History of Dispute</i>	208
5.1.2	<i>Negotiation</i>	209
5.1.3	<i>Community Organisation</i>	210
5.1.4	<i>Mediation Process</i>	212
5.1.5	<i>Mediated Agreement</i>	215
5.1.6	<i>Independent Team Investigation</i>	217
5.1.7	<i>Results of Independent Team Investigation</i>	219
5.1.7.1	<i>Ecology (Air & Water Quality): Dr Eko Sugiharto</i>	219
5.1.7.2	<i>Land & Agriculture – Dr Rachman Sutanto</i>	219
5.1.7.3	<i>Community Health – Dr Doeljahman Moeljoharjo</i>	220
5.1.7.4	<i>Hydrology – Dr Setyo Sarwanto Moersidik</i>	220
5.1.7.5	<i>Environmental Law – Mr Heru Setyadi</i>	221
5.1.7.6	<i>Environmental Economics – Mr Nugroho Widiarto</i>	222
5.1.8	<i>Implementation of Agreement & Team’s Recommendation</i>	224
5.1.9	<i>Mediation Recommended</i>	225
5.1.10	<i>Conclusion</i>	229
5.2	THE KAYU LAPIS INDONESIA DISPUTE.....	234
5.2.1	<i>History of the Dispute</i>	234
5.2.2	<i>Negotiation</i>	237
5.2.3	<i>Community Organisation</i>	239

5.2.4	<i>Response of Government Agencies</i>	241
5.2.5	<i>Response of KLI</i>	243
5.2.6	<i>Mediation Process</i>	244
5.2.6.1	Mediation December 1999 – June 2000.....	244
5.2.6.2	Mediation Recommended: June – September 2000	252
5.2.6.3	Small Format Mediation.....	253
5.2.6.4	Consultation Forum: September 2000 – March 2001	255
5.2.7	<i>Conclusion</i>	259
6	CONCLUSION: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE IN INDONESIA	267
6.1	ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION.....	267
6.1.1	<i>Access to Litigation</i>	268
6.1.1.1	Procedural Access	268
6.1.1.2	Lack of Financial Resources	270
6.1.1.3	Evidential Obstacles	270
6.1.1.4	Judicial Independence	272
6.1.1.5	Social Context	273
6.1.2	<i>Case Outcomes</i>	273
6.1.3	<i>Substantive Legal Framework</i>	276
6.1.3.1	Broadening the scope of environmental standing.....	277
6.1.3.2	Increasing the remedies available to environmental public interest litigants 277	
6.1.3.3	Legislative Protection from SLAPP suits.....	278
6.1.3.4	Clarification of the application of strict liability (art. 35)	278
6.1.3.5	Legislative recognition of NGOs.....	278
6.1.3.6	Strengthen citizen initiated mechanisms of administrative enforcement	279
6.1.4	<i>Judicial Decision Making</i>	279
6.1.5	<i>Social-Legal Context of Judicial Decision Making</i>	283
6.1.5.1	The Judicial Context.....	284
6.1.5.2	Political Context.....	290
6.2	ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIATION.....	297
6.2.1	<i>Cultural Basis & Legal Framework for Mediation</i>	297

6.2.2	<i>Access to Mediation</i>	298
6.2.3	<i>Case Outcomes</i>	299
6.2.4	<i>Social-Legal Context of Mediation</i>	301
6.2.4.1	Relational Distance, Balance of Power and BATNAs	301
6.2.4.2	Community Organisation	304
6.2.4.3	Government Intervention.....	306
6.2.4.4	Role of the Mediator.....	308
6.2.4.5	Implementation of Mediated Agreements	310
6.3	COMPARISON OF ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION AND MEDIATION	311
6.3.1	<i>Access</i>	312
6.3.2	<i>Case Outcomes</i>	313
6.3.3	<i>Social-Legal Context</i>	314
6.4	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	317
6.5	APPENDIX 1 OVERVIEW OF ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION CASES	321
6.6	APPENDIX 2 OVERVIEW OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIATION CASES	326