

Clinical pharmacology of the tyrosine kinase inhibitors imatinib and sunitinib Erp, P.H. van

Citation

Erp, P. H. van. (2009, December 16). *Clinical pharmacology of the tyrosine kinase inhibitors imatinib and sunitinib*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14515

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](https://hdl.handle.net/1887/license:5) Downloaded From: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14515>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Clinical Pharmacokinetics of Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors

Nielka P. van Erp, Hans Gelderblom, Henk-Jan Guchelaar **Cancer Treatment Reviews 2009 (in press)**

Summary

In the recent years, eight tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have been approved for cancer treatment and numerous are under investigation. These drugs are rationally designed to target specific tyrosine kinases that are mutated and/or over-expressed in cancer tissues. Post marketing study commitments have been made upon (accelerated) approval such as additional pharmacokinetic studies in patients with renal- or hepatic impairment, in children, additional interactions studies and studies on the relative or absolute bioavailability. Therefore, much information will emerge on the pharmacokinetic behavior of these drugs after their approval.

In the present manuscript, the pharmacokinetic characteristics; absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME), of the available TKIs are reviewed. Results from additional studies on the effect of drug transporters and drug-drug interactions have been incorporated. In general, TKIs reach their maximum plasma levels relatively fast; have an unknown absolute bioavailability, are extensively distributed and highly protein bound. The drugs are primarily metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 with other CYPenzymes playing a secondare role. They are predominantly excreted with the feces and only a minor fraction is eliminated with the urine. All TKIs appear to be transported by the efflux ATP binding cassette transports (ABC) B1 and G2. Additionally these drugs can inhibit some of their own metabolizing enzymes and transporters making steady-state metabolism and drug-drug interactions both complex and unpredictable.

By understanding the pharmacokinetic profile of these drugs and their similarities, factors that influence drug exposure will be better recognized and this knowledge may be used to limit sub- or supra-therapeutic drug exposure.

Introduction

In 1960, a minute chromosome, later known as the Philadelphia chromosome, was discovered in human chronic granulocytic leukemia and a causal relationship was suggested between this abnormal chromosome and the disease $1-3$. Later, a translocation between the long arm of the 22 and the long arm of the 9 chromosome was found and which was associated with an altered heavier human c-abl protein with tyrosine kinase activity and assumingly a growth stimulating effect⁴⁻⁶. The group of Heisterkamp et al. discovered the linkage between c-abl, positioned at chromosome 9 and the breakpoint cluster region (bcr) on chromosome 22 resulting in the bcr-abl oncogene and corresponding protein supposedly important for the generation and/ or maintenance of the disease⁷⁻¹⁰. Ninety-five percent of all chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) was suggested to be the result of the altered tyrosine kinase that, under physiological conditions, is under tight control but in fusion is deregulated and expressed constitutively resulting in indefinite proliferation¹¹. The involvement of protein tyrosine kinase activity in the development of tumors made them interesting targets for selective chemotherapy and thus for rational drug design. As a result the first series of low molecular weight compounds (tyrphostins) that display specificity for individual tyrosine kinase receptors were synthesized¹².

Also a novel compound (CGP57148, STI571, imatinib) was synthesized that specifically inhibits Bcr-Abl cell proliferation. It competes with ATP for the ATP binding site of the tyrosine kinases. In in vitro tests imatinib inhibits Bcr-Abl, c-Abl and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) tyrosine kinase^{13, 14}. Only five years after the presentation of the *in vitro* and *animal* study data, the results of the phase I studies were presented¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Based on the results from three additional phase II studies, the drug that was rationally designed to inhibit the Bcr-Abl protein appeared substantially active and received accelerated approval by the FDA on the 5th of May 2001 for the treatment of three Philadelphia chromosome positive leukemia subtypes¹⁸⁻²⁰. Additionally imatinib potentially inhibits the kinase activity of the mutated and wild-type c-kit receptor in vitro and an effect on malignancies that is completely or partly dependent on c-kit activity was hypothesized and confirmed^{21, 22}. The phase I study, presented in 2001, showed imatinib activity in c-kit receptor positive gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST)²³. On the 18th of April 2003 the registration of imatinib was extended to treatment of patients with c-KIT receptor positive unresectable and/or metastatic GISTs and was reassigned to the first line treatment of patients with CML in the chronic phase²⁴. With the introduction of imatinib a whole new era of tumor treatment started, with therapy that is rationally designed and given orally on a daily basis. Since the introduction of imatinib seven additional TKIs have been approved (Table I). All TKIs are designed to compete with ATP for the ATP binding pocket of similar or different tyrosine kinases that are mutated and/or over-expressed in specific tumors.

 $1 - 1$

Absorption

Imatinib

Imatinib is rapidly absorbed after oral administration with a peak plasma concentration at 2 hrs²⁵. For imatinib, the bioavailability is surprisingly well investigated for a drug with no intravenously registered formulation. The exposure after intravenous infusion and after intake of oral capsule or solution was measured to determine the absolute bio availability 26 . The intravenous formulation was specially made for investigational purposes and the capsule was used at the time the study was performed. The later registered tablet formulation was compared to the capsules to determine the relative bioavailability²⁷. The bioavailability of imatinib is \sim 98% which is irrespective of oral formuation (solution, capsule or tablet) or dosage (100mg or 400mg)26-28. Imatinib absorption is not influenced by food or concomitant antacid use²⁹. Long-term exposure might influence the bioavailability since imatinib inhibits efflux transporters (ABCB1 and ABCG2) and enzymes (CYP3A4 and CYP3A5) present at the intestinal wall, but conflicting data are reported on this matter^{30, 31}. The exact gastrointestinal site of absorption is not known yet. In a case of a woman with short bowel syndrome only 20% of the imatinib exposure was measured indicating that absorption takes place over a longer part of the gastrointestinal tract³². Another case report describes the absorption from the rectum; the exposure (AUC) was approximately 40% of the orally achieved exposure indicating that absorption of the drug in the rectum takes place³³. The interpatient variability in imatinib clearance is large \sim 40% and mainly unexplained 34 .

Gefitinib

The peak plasma levels of gefitinib occur within 3-7 hrs $^\text{35}$. The absolute bioavailability is \sim 60% in healthy volunteers and cancer patients³⁶. Administration of a granular formulation, a dispersion of the classic tablets or administration by nasogastric tube did not significantly influence the bioavailability^{37, 38}. Food has only a moderate and clinical non-significant effect on gefitinib exposure. Data of a study with 50 mg gefitinib showed a 14% decrease in AUC, another study with 250 mg of the drug showed a 37% increase in AUC after co-administration with food; this combined with the large interpatient variability (45-70%) makes the effect of food negligible^{35, 36, 39}.

Erlotinib

The peak plasma levels of erlotinib occur 4 hrs after dosing⁴⁰. The bioavailability following a 150 mg dose is 100% when applying a noncompartimental approach and \sim 60% using a 2-compartiment nonlinear model⁴¹. The assumed nonlinearity in the compartmental approach is not confirmed by the data from the phase I dose escalation study 42 . Food increases the bioavailability to almost 100%⁴⁰. Since the effect of food on erlotinib exposure is highly variable, the drug should be taken without food⁴¹. Erlotinib shows a large interpatient variability (~60%) which is unexplained yet⁴³.

Sorafenib

The peak plasma levels of sorafenib occurs ~3 hrs after dosing⁴⁴. The absolute bioavailability is unknown. The relative bioavailability of tablets compared to oral solution is 38-49%⁴⁵. Conflicting data are published on the effect of food on the pharmacokinetics of sorafenib. In the phase I studies no major effect of food was observed⁴⁶. However, the FDA approval reports a reduction of the bioavailability of 29% when taken with food and advices to take sorafenib without food ⁴⁷. Sorafenib pharmacokinetics show a large interpatient variability⁴⁴. The large interpatient variability is supposed to be the result of slow dissolution in the gastrointestinal tract and enterohepatic circulation⁴⁶. The drug shows a less than proportional increase in exposure with dose escalation. The underlying reason for this nonlinearity is not known yet⁴⁶. .

Sunitinib

The maximum plasma concentration of sunitinib is achieved within 6-12 hrs and the absolute bioavailability is unknown. The drug may be taken with or without food since food only has

a marginal effect on the exposure⁴⁸. The interpatient variability is large \sim 40%⁴⁹. A recent case report describes a significant decrease in sunitinib exposure (AUC) in an obese patient, which might indicate that body mass index has a pronounced effect on drug exposure and might thereby explain partly the large interpatient variability⁵⁰.

Dasatinib

The maximum plasma concentration of dasatinib is achieved within 3-5 hrs and the bioavailability in humans is unknown. A 14% AUC increase may occur in patients taking the drug with a high-fat meal, however, this effect is not supposed to be clinically significant⁵¹. The interpatient and inter-occasion variability is large and ranges from 32-118%. A substantial proportion of the inter-occasion variability is supposed be explained by the bioavailability 52 . The origin of the interpatient variability has not been elucidated yet.

Lapatinib

The maximum plasma concentration of lapatinib is achieved within 3-4 hrs⁵³. The absolute bioavailability has not been studied. However, the bioavailability of the drug must be low since food has such an extraordinary effect on the bioavailability. The largest effect is seen with a high-fat meal, which increased the exposure of lapatinib by 325% while a low-fat meal increased the exposure by 167%⁵⁴. Possible explanations for this pronounced effect are: 1] A delayed gastric emptying induced by food allows more time for the tablets to dissolve and/ or 2] Food increases the formation of micelles by bile salts of hydrophobic substances such as lapatinib which might be of great influence on the bioavailability. Food does not influence the half life which suggests that the increased exposure is mainly caused presystemically⁵⁴. The interpatient variability is large (68%) and not significantly reduced by the co-administration of food $(52\%)^{54}$.

Nilotinib

The maximum plasma concentration of nilotinib is reached 3 hrs after oral administration⁵⁵. The absolute bioavailability is unknown but again cannot be high since the systemic exposure is increased by 82% when the drug is given with a high fat meal compared to fasted state⁵⁶. The interpatient variability in exposure is 32-64% and unexplained yet⁵⁷. In the phase I dose escalation study a saturation of serum levels was observed with doses ranging from 400 – 1200mg daily. A possible explanation might be that the uptake of nilotinib is saturated at doses exceeding 400mg since a modified dose schedule to a twice-daily regimen results in an increased exposure⁵⁵.

Absorption: In summary most TKIs reach the maximum plasma concentration relatively fast (3-6 hrs) with sunitinib as the only exception (6-12 hrs) (Table II). The absolute bio availability

Geffitinib 60 ~91 30, 39, 153 48 5.6 1400 1400 1400 ~91 56.7 60 56.39, 153 Erlotinib 60 100 60 40 36.2 36.5 4 36.3 5.3 1168 1168 Sorafenib unknown ~99.5 3 3 48.4 unknown unknown unknown unknown unknown 46, 47 95 44 44 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 60 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 1230 1230 124 44 44 44 44 1231 11.11 1230 1301 12 Dasatinib unknown ~96 0.5 - 6 3 - 5 unknown 2505 unknown unknown 51 Lapatinib unknown 33,79,1954, 3−4, 3−4, 24 24 24 24 3−4.3−36.2 →4.3 - 36.2 ×200 500 53, 79, 154, 155, 154, 155 Nilotinib unknown 2011 57, 196 3 17 3 186.0 17 3 196 3 179 3 179 2011 17 196.0 3 179 3 186.0 57, 196

 $14.3 - 36.2$ 36.0

 $3 - 4$

unknown unknown

unknown

Nilotinib

unknown unknown

Sorafenib
Sunitinib Dasatinib Lapatinib

 $60 - 100$

Erlotinib

SS.

 $25 - 48$
40 - 60
3 - 5

 4 $8 - 12$
 $6 - 12$
 $6 - 6$

53, 79, 154, 155

 $\overline{5}$

unknown

unknown

 \ddagger

nknown

mknown $34 - 62$ 57, 156

 5002

 $\overline{9}$

 800

nknown

 >2200 $\overline{52}$ distri

e of

ent⁻

IQ/F, ġ

È

the

Abbreviations: F, absolute bioavailability; T_{max}, time to peak concentration; t_{1/2}, elimination half-life; AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; Vd/F, apparent volume of distribution;

half-life; AUC, area under

CL/F, apparent oral clearance; Ctrough, trough concentration

clearance:

apparent oral

Š,

ailability; T_{max}, time to peak cor concentration

eviations: F, absolute bioav

Table II Pharmacokinetic parameters of the individual tyrosine kinase inhibitors

parameters

Pharmacokinetic

Table II

 σ

the

individual tyrosine kinase inhibitors

earliest registered TKIs (imatinib, gefitinib and erlotinib). It is remarkable that the bioavailability is not mandatory for registration since this information is used in the clinical practice to treat patients with altered gastrointestinal anatomy/physiology. TKIs are generally well soluble in acidic environment and the solubility rapidly declines above pH 4-6. A pronounced effect of food was expected for all TKIs since food can rapidly buffer gastric acid and thereby negatively influence the drug's solubility. However, food has an effect on only a few TKIs and even then in the opposite direction, indicating that other possible factors such as micelle formation or a hydrophobic vehicle (fat) are more important for the absorption of TKIs than the drug's solubility is.

is only known for the three

The bioavailability of lapatinib and nilotinib was pronouncedly increased by food, the bioavailability of erlotinib was marginally increased, the bioavailability of gefitinib, sorafenib and dasatinib is not clinically significant increased by food and food has no effect on the bioavailability of imatinib and sunitinib. Only sorafenib and

Chapter 2

nilotinib showed a less than proportional increase in exposure with dose escalation which could be result of multiple mechanisms e.g. saturation at the absorption site, solubility aspects and transporter interactions. This non-proportionality distinguishes them from the other TKIs and might be addressed in future research. Also the large and unexplained interpatient variability of all TKIs warrants further research..

Distribution

Imatinib

Imatinib is extensively distributed into tissues and highly protein bound, predominantly to albumin and α1-glycoprotein (AGP), which is translated into a large volume of distribution of 435 L and a long half life of 18 hrs^{26, 58-60}. Changes in the unbound drug fraction had a large effect on the intracellular drug concentration in in vitro experiments⁶¹. The role of AGP on the pharmacokinetics is underscored in in vivo studies, and a possible relation was suggested between imatinib-free plasma levels and the treatment efficacy⁶²⁻⁶⁵. Imatinib only penetrates in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) to a limited extent; \sim 100-fold lower levels were measured in the central nervous system (CNS) compared to plasma^{61, 66-70}. This limited penetration in the CNS was confirmed in a non-human primate model. The drug appears to concentrate in the sinuses and tissues surrounding the brain 58 , 71 . ABCB1 and to a lesser extent ABCG2 are suggested to strongly regulate the uptake in the CNS and malignant cells. Inhibition of ABCB1 in in vitro and animal studies resulted in a 2-10 fold increase in CNS penetration^{66,} $67, 69, 70$. However, the clinical relevance of the efflux transporters has to be investigated in humans. In in vivo and in vitro studies a 5-8 cell/plasma ratio was observed which indicates that imatinib is actively transported into the leukemia cells and a possible role for the organic cation transporter (OCT) 1 is hypothesized^{61,62}.

Gefitinib

Gefitinib is extensively distributed into the tissues and highly protein bound (to albumin and AGP) which results in a large volume of distribution of 1400L and a long half life of 48hrs⁷². $⁷³$. The blood to plasma ratio of 0.76 suggests that the drug mainly binds to plasma proteins,</sup> with a preference for AGP, and to a lesser degree to blood cells⁷². The penetration in the CNS is poor, probably as a result of ABCB1 mediated efflux at the blood-brain barrier⁷³. The drug preferably distributes into highly perfused tissues (lung, liver, kidney and gastrointestinal tract) including tumor tissues⁷³. In mice bearing human tumor xenografts the tumor cell/ plasma ratio was 11-fold as was the skin/plasma ratio which points into the direction of active transport into specific tissues⁷⁴.

Erlotinib

Erlotinib and gefitinib have a common chemical backbone structure and are distributed very similarly in the human body. Erlotinib is also extensively protein bound, predominantly to albumin and AGP, has a long half life of 36.2 hrs and an accompanying large volume of distribution of 232 L^{40} . AGP concentration and steady state exposure (AUC) are tightly linked43. AGP together with total bilirubin and smoking status were the most important factors affecting the drug clearance⁷⁵. The penetration of erlotinib in the CNS is poor, with CNS levels that represent \sim 7% of the plasma exposure⁷⁶.

Sorafenib

The volume of distribution of sorafenib is not reported. However, since the drug is highly protein bound (~99.5%) and has a long half life of 25-48hrs, a large volume of distribution is expected⁴⁷.

Sunitinib

Sunitinib has a large volume of distribution of 2230 L and is highly (95%) protein bound. The half life of the drug is 40-60 hrs⁴⁹.

Dasatinib

Dasatinib is extensively distributed in the extravascular space and is highly protein bound (^94%) which results in a large volume of distribution of 2505 L and a half life of 3-5 hrs77. The distribution between plasma and blood cells was equal in in vitro experiments⁷⁷. The brain penetration is poor. In three patients the CSF: plasma ratios ranged from 0.05-0.28. However, dasatinib appears to be more potent against CNS tumors than imatinib which might be the result of a much greater potency (325-fold) along with the low amount of proteins in the CNS resulting in a relatively large fraction of unbound drug⁷⁸.

Lapatinib

The volume of distribution of the terminal phase of lapatinib is >2200 L and the half life is 24 hrs. The drug is highly protein bound (> 99%) to albumin and AGP⁷⁹. Rat and mouse studies demonstrated a very limited penetration of the drug in the CNS which was increased with 40-fold in ABCB1/ ABCG2 knockout mice though single transporter knockout mice have only limited effect on the CNS penetration $80,81$. The translation of the results of these animal studies to human remains difficult and therefore additional studies in humans are warranted.

Nilotinib

The volume of distribution of nilotinib is not reported. Although the high level of protein binding (98%) and the long half life (-17 hrs) suggest that the volume of distribution is presumably large.

Chapter 2

Distribution: In summary TKIs are extensively distributed into tissues and are highly protein bound, resulting in a large volume of distribution and a long terminal half life (Table II). The volume of distribution, the affinity for specific plasma proteins and the CNS penetration is not reported for all TKIs yet. However, since the TKIs share multiple pharmacokinetic characteristics, parallels might be drawn between the TKIs. Especially, the influence of AGP on the pharmacokinetics and efficacy of TKIs might be interesting, since TKIs are preferably bound to this plasma protein and AGP is often elevated in cancer patients and could therefore interfere with an effective treatment.

Metabolism

Imatinib

Imatinib is primarily metabolized through CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 with CYP2D6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 and CYP1A2 playing a minor role^{28, 82-84}. A recent study identified two extrahepatic enzymes (CYP1A1 and CYP1B1) and the flavin-containing monooxygenase 3 (FMO3) enzyme as being capable of extensively metabolizing the drug⁸³. Additionally, imatinib can inhibit CYP3A4 and CYP2D6 metabolism34, 84, 85. Patients carrying a polymorphism in CYP2D6 (*4 allele) show a reduced apparent clearance indicating that CYP2D6 appears to be important in vivo in the metabolism of imatinib⁸⁶. The clinical relevance of these enzymes at steady-state pharmacokinetics, under auto inhibition of metabolic pathways, is mainly unsolved and needs to be addressed in additional studies. The main metabolite is CGP74588 which represents approximately 10% of the imatinib AUC and has similar potency in vitro²⁵.

Gefitinib

In vitro studies indicate that gefitinib is metabolized by CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP2D6 and by the extrahepatic enzyme CYP1A1^{39, 87}. The drug inhibits CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 although the clinical relevance is questioned⁸⁸. The main metabolite is the O-desmethyl derivate (M523595) which is present at concentrations similar to gefitinib and is formed through CYP2D6 metabolism^{87, 89}. M523595 and gefitinib have similar potency against epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase activity in isolated enzyme assays. However, the metabolite has lower activity in a cell based assay due to the poor penetration into the cell and is therefore unlikely to contribute significantly to the therapeutic activity⁷⁴. In CYP2D6 poor metabolizers a higher exposure to gefitinib was observed compared to the extensive metabolizers. Additionally, M523595 was undetectable in poor metabolizers. CYP3A4 activity and CYP3A5 polymorphisms did not explain the large interindividual variability⁸⁹. In vitro studies claim that CYP3A4 is the most prominent enzyme in gefitinib metabolism though conflicting data are presented^{73, 87, 88}. However in vivo data suggests that besides CYP3A4 also CYP2D6 activity has a significant influence on the exposure^{89, 90}.

Erlotinib

The overall metabolism of erlotinib, and formation of O-desmethyl-erlotinib (OSI-420), is predominantly through CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 and to a lesser extent by CYP1A2 and the extrahepatic isoform CYP1A1 and CYP1B1, with only a minor role for CYP2D6 and CYP2C8^{40,} $75, 87, 91$. However, induction of the enzymes CYP1A2 and CYP1A1 has a pronounced effect on the drug exposure, indicating that both enzymes might have a more prominent role in the in vivo erlotinib metabolism as suggested by the in vitro results⁹¹. Erlotinib is a moderate pregnane X receptor (PXR) inducer and strongly induces CYP3A4 mRNA levels, although the formation of 1-hydroxymidazolam is decreased in in vitro experiment showing the potency of erlotinib to inhibit CYP3A4 metabolism⁹². Conflicting data are published on the effect the drug has on CYP3A4 metabolism⁸⁷.

Sorafenib

Oxidative metabolism of sorafenib is mediated by CYP3A4, additionally the drug is glucuronidated by UDP glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A947. Around 50% is eliminated in the unchanged form which is either the result of poor metabolism capacity or the result of a low fraction of the drug that is absorbed from the intestines.

Sunitinib

Sunitinib is primarily metabolized by CYP3A4 to produce its primary active metabolite SU12662 which is further metabolized by CYP3A4 into inactive metabolites⁹³. Data on additional enzymes involved in the metabolism are lacking.

Dasatinib

Dasatinib is extensively metabolized and thus relatively small amount of unchanged drug is excreted⁹⁴. Dasatinib is primarily metabolized by CYP3A4 to produce its pharmacologically active metabolites; M4, M5, M6, M20 and M24 that represent around 5% of the parent compound AUC. Flavin-containing mono-oxygenase 3 (FMO-3) and UGT are also involved in the formation drug metabolites 51 . In vitro data demonstrate that multiple CYP isoforms (e.g. CYP1A1, 1B1 and 3A5) are capable of metabolizing dasatinib, however the relevance of these other CYP-enzymes in vivo requires further investigation⁹⁵.

Lapatinib

In vitro studies indicate that lapatinib is primarily metabolized to oxidation products by CYP3A4, 3A5, 2C19 and 2C879. The major enzyme is CYP3A4 which accounts for approximately 70% of the metabolism. One metabolite GW690006 remains active against EGFR however it has lost activity against HER2, whereas other metabolites appear to be inactive⁷⁹. Lapatinib is an inhibitor of CYP3A4 and CYP2C8 and may therefore interact with substrates of these

Chapter 2

Chapter 2

enzymes; additional studies to investigate this effect are ongoing⁷⁹. .

Nilotinib

Nilotinib is mainly metabolized through CYP3A4. In vitro data demonstrate that the drug is a competitive inhibitor of CYP3A4, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2D6 and UGT1A1. Additional in vitro data show that nilotinib may induce CYP2B6, CYP2C8 and CYP2C9⁵⁷. In vivo data have been presented on the clinical relevance of CYP3A4 inhibition in an interaction study with midazolam and for UGT1A1 in a genetic polymorphism study describing an increased risk of nilotinib induced hyperbilirubinemia for the UGT1A1 *28 genotype^{56, 96}.

Metabolism: In summary all TKIs are metabolized in a very similar way (Table III, Figure I). All TKIs are primarily metabolized by CYP3A4, with other CYP-enzymes and for some TKIs (sorafenib, dasatinib) UGT playing only a minor role. The enzymes that show affinity are mostly identified in in vitro experiments, whereas the clinical effects of the major enzymes is typically investigated in in vivo interaction studies in healthy volunteers. The clinical relevance of the involvement of minor enzymes is largely unsolved at the time of registration and needs to be addressed in additional studies after registration. Several TKIs (imatinib, gefitinib, lapatinib and nilotinib) are inhibitors of enzymes by which they are primarily metabolized themselves, this could alter their metabolism substantially upon multidose use at steadystate. There is little insight in the steady-state metabolism at this point, which is surprising since these drugs are used on a daily basis. Some TKIs (erlotinib, sorafenib, sunitinib and dasatinib) are thought to have no effect on CYP-enzyme activity which might be the result of a lack of data rather than an absent effect. Additional research to investigate the effect of these drugs on CYP-enzyme activity is needed.

Excretion

Imatinib

Imatinib is mainly eliminated trough the liver. The kidneys only excrete a minimal amount of the drug and its metabolites. At this point there is still a discussion ongoing whether the apparent clearance increases, decreases or remains the same at steady-state⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁰. However, a decrease in clearance seems more plausible since imatinib is capable of inhibiting its own metabolic pathways. Of a single dose imatinib in healthy volunteers 81% of the dose was recovered in urine (13.2%; 5% as unchanged imatinib) and feces (67.8%; 23% as unchanged imatinib) in 7 days²⁵. This suggests that the drug clearance will more likely be affected by hepatic impairment than by renal dysfunction⁶¹. Surprisingly, two independent groups found that renal impairment has a pronounced effect on imatinib pharmacokinetics^{62, 101}. In contrary

The tyrosine kinase inhibitors with only their active metabolites are demonstrated. The enzymes involved according to literature are presented, possible other enzymes involved in the formation of the metabolite are absent.

a case study in an end-stage renal function patient claims no effect on the pharmacokinetics, however the clearance in this patient was significantly reduced compared to patients with normal renal function¹⁰². Two possible explanations for this apparent discrepancy were put forward: a correlation between renal failure and AGP levels and an effect of elevated levels of uremic toxins in renal failure on the organic anion transporting polypeptide (OATP) 1B3 and hereby influencing the hepatic elimination^{101, 103, 104}. Moreover, there was no effect observed of mild and moderate liver dysfunction on the pharmacokinetics of imatinib and CGP74588 in three independent studies¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷. Severe liver dysfunction resulted in elevated drug exposure levels¹⁰⁶. Renal and hepatic impairment is no reason for abstaining patients from imatinib treatment though patients with moderate renal failure should start at a 50% decreased dose and patients with severe liver dysfunction are advised to start with a 25% dose reduction²⁴.

Gefitinib

About 90% of gefitinib is recovered in feces (86%) and urine (0.5%) over 10 days indicating that renal excretion is not a major route of elimination³⁵. Surprisingly, in patients with moderate and severe elevated liver tests the pharmacokinetics was not altered. No data are available on the influence of renal impairment on the pharmacokinetics³⁹.

Chapter 2

Erlotinib

Following a 100 mg oral dose of erlotinib, 91% of the dose was recovered over 11 days: 83% in feces and 8% in urine of which 1% and 0.3% as parent drug respectively¹⁰⁸. No data are available regarding the influence of hepatic dysfunction and/or hepatic metastases and renal dysfunction on the drug pharmacokinetics⁴⁰.

Sorafenib

Sorafenib is eliminated primarily through the liver. Of a 100mg dose 77% is excreted with the feces and 19% is excreted as glucuronidated metabolites in the urine⁴⁷. Approximately 50% of an oral dose is recovered as unchanged drug in the feces, due to either inefficient metabolism or lack of absorption⁴⁷. Mild to moderate hepatic impairment does not significantly alter the exposure. Sorafenib pharmacokinetics has only recently been studied in patients with severe hepatic and renal impairment¹⁰⁹. After a single dose of 400mg no significant alterations were observed in drug and metabolite AUC regardless of the severity of renal or hepatic impairment. However, only patients with normal or mild hepatic and renal dysfunction tolerated (without experiencing dose limiting toxicities) a dose of 400mg twice daily at steady state. Patients with moderate renal en hepatic dysfunction needed a dose reduction of 50%, while patients with severe hepatic impairment did not tolerate sorafenib. Patients with very severe hepatic and renal dysfunction only tolerated 200mg once daily, no explanation for the discrepancy between the tolerance in severe and very severe hepatic impairment is provided¹⁰⁹. This recent study provides valuable information since sorafenib is used for the treatment of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma that is often accompanied by severe hepatic impairment.

Sunitinib

Sunitinib is primarily eliminated with the feces (61%), with renal elimination accounting for only 16% of the administered dose. There are no studies on the pharmacokinetics in patients with serious hepatic or renal insufficiency. However, in pharmacokinetic studies where also the creatinine clearance was assessed, there appeared to be no pharmacokinetic alterations in volunteers with a wide range of creatinine clearances¹¹⁰. Additionally in a case report describing two hemodialyzed patients on sunitinib therapy the plasma concentration of the drug and its major metabolite at steady-state were comparable to patients with normal renal function¹¹¹.

Dasatinib

Dasatinib is mainly excreted via feces, 85% of which 19% as intact drug. Urine excretion is around 4% of which <1% as unchanged dasatinib^{51, 112}. No data are available on the effect of hepatic and renal impairment on dasatinib pharmacokinetics⁵¹.

Lapatinib

Lapitinib is primarily eliminated hepatically, with 27% of the oral dose recovered in the feces and <2% recovered in the urine⁷⁹. It is suggested that a large part of the oral dose remains in the intestines and is not absorbed which may contribute to the most prevalent dose limiting toxicity diarrhea. Indeed, diarrhea showed no relation to serum levels of lapatinib¹¹³. In patients with severe hepatic impairment the AUC of lapatinib was increased by $>60\%$ and the half life was \sim 3-fold increased compared to patients with normal hepatic function⁷⁹. No data are available on the influence of severe renal impairment.

Nilotinib

Nilotinib recovery was assessed over 7 days after a single dose and showed 4.4% of the drug being recovered in urine and 93.5% in feces (69% unchanged nilotinib). A large amount (31%) of unchanged nilotinib excreted via the feces was suggested to be the result of unabsorbed drug¹¹⁴. Nilotinib pharmacokinetics has not been studied in patients with hepatic or renal impairment, however the drug label warns for the possible risk of giving nilotinib to patients with hepatic impairment⁵⁷.

Excretion: In summary all TKIs are predominantly excreted via the feces and only a minor fraction is eliminated with the urine. The fraction of unchanged drug in the feces can vary widely among the TKIs. Large fraction of unchanged drug in the feces can either be the result of a relatively large fraction that is not absorbed and directly eliminated or by a low efficient metabolism. Without data on the absolute bioavailability or the time frame of the fecal elimination it is difficult to distinguish between both mechanisms. Data on the effects of mild, moderate or severe renal and hepatic impairment on the pharmacokinetics of TKIs are mainly absent. For the few TKIs where the effect is studied some unexpected results are observed. Mild to moderate hepatic impairment did not affect the pharmacokinetics of imatinib and gefitinib whereas severe hepatic impairment did affect the pharmacokinetics of imatinib and lapatinib and did not affect the pharmacokinetics of gefitinib. Surprisingly, mild to moderate renal impairment did affect the pharmacokinetics of imatinib pharmacokinetics. Since the patients treated with these drugs are at risk to develop renal or hepatic impairment at any stage of their disease it is necessary that more data become available on the possible influence of these impairments on the pharmacokinetics of these drugs.

Drug transporters

The ABCB1 (P-glycoprotein; P-gp), ABCC1 (multidrug resistance-associated protein; MRP1) and ABCG2 (breast cancer resistance protein; MXR) are efflux transporters and are now

Chapter 2

recognized to have an important role in the absorption, distribution, excretion and toxicity of xenobiotics115. Also the solute carrier family (SLC) transporters, which are influx transporters, are receiving more attention although their effect on drug kinetics is less well established at this point¹¹⁶. Members of the SLC family are the solute carrier OATP, solute carrier peptide transporter family (PepT1), and organic zwitterion/cation transporters (OCTNs)116. Also for the disposition of TKIs efflux and influx transporters are gaining interest.

Imatinib

The high bioavailability of imatinib, a substrate for multiple CYP enzymes (especially CYP3A4 and CYP3A5), and also for ABCB1, ABCG2 with ambiguous affinity for SLC transporters, is remarkable and can only be explained by a low hepatic extraction and low efficient transport of imatinib by the efflux transporters^{115, 117-119}. Although conflicting results have been published, imatinib is most likely a substrate and an inhibitor of ABCB1 and ABCG2¹²⁰. The $ABCG2 421C/A$ polymorphism is associated with a reduced clearance in humans⁶⁵. A recent study in 90 CML patients showed a pronounced effect of ABCB1 1236C/T and 2677G/T polymorphisms on trough drug levels and an corresponding clinical effect (major molecular response)¹²¹. However, additional studies are necessary to conclusively determine the role of ABC-transporters on imatinib pharmacokinetics and efficacy. There appears to be a modest role for the organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) as observed in in vitro experiments. OAT1, OAT3 and OCT2 do not transport imatinib in vitro which is consistent with their presence on the kidneys and the relative low renal clearance^{117, 122}. OATP1B3 and OCTN2 appeared to have affinity for the drug, however the in vivo relevance is not yet studied [Oostendorp RL The role of Organic Cation Transporter 1 and 2 in the in vivo pharmacokinetics of imatinib Submitted]. Since the precise role of the transporters on imatinib disposition and the effect of transporter inhibition by the drug is not completely understood, no additional warnings have been added to the drug label. However, alertness is necessary for possible drug interactions on drug transporter level. Moreover, the highly polymorphic transporters might explain at least in part the large interpatient variability.

Gefitinib

Gefitinib also interacts with ABCG2 and to a lesser extend with ABCB1123. In in vitro experiments the drug appeared to reverse ABCG2 mediated resistance by inhibiting ABCG2 at relatively high drug concentrations¹²³⁻¹²⁵. It is a substrate of ABCG2 in in vitro experiments at clinically relevant drug concentration. Additionally patients carrying the ABCG2 421C/A polymorphism have higher gefitinib exposure and more diarrhea compared to those carrying the wild-type ABCG2 genotype^{125, 126}. No association was found between the ABCB1 3435 C/T genotype and gefitinib pharmacokinetics¹²⁵.

Erlotinib

In in vitro experiments erlotinib was shown to be a substrate for ABCB1 and ABCG2 but not for ABCC2. In mice studies the absence of ABCB1 and ABCG2 significantly affected the oral bioavailability¹²⁷. Erlotinib also inhibits the ABCB1 and ABCG2 drug efflux function¹²⁸. In a recent study in humans the ABCG2 -15622C/T and 1143C/T polymorphisms, resulting in a reduced expression of the transporter, were associated with increased AUC and Cmax¹²⁹.

Sorafenib

The role of transporters on the disposition of sorafenib is yet unknown.

Sunitinib

Recently, an in vitro study demonstrated that sunitinib is a high affinity inhibitor of ABCG2 and inhibits ABCB1, albeit more weakly. Moreover, the drug is also a substrate of both transporters¹³⁰. The bioavailability might therefore be affected by polymorphisms in the genes encoding for these transporters but this needs to be addressed in clinical studies¹³⁰.

Dasatinib

In vitro data demonstrated that dasatinib is a substrate of ABCB1 and ABCG2 but not a potent inhibitor of these transporters^{77, 131, 132}. Additional in vitro studies suggested that the drug is also a substrate for hOCT1 however the uptake is much less hOCT1 dependent compared to imatinib. Inhibitors of hOCT1 did not interfere with the uptake of dasatanib and it is hypothesized that the uptake in vivo is more likely driven by diffusion than by active transport^{131, 132}.

Lapatinib

Results from in vitro studies indicated that lapatinib is a substrate and an inhibitor of the efflux transporters ABCB1, ABCG2 and solely an inhibitor of OATP1B1⁸⁰. It has the potency to reverse the ABCB1 and ABCG2 driven resistance on multi drug resistant cells in vitro¹³³. In addition, lapatinib did not inhibit nor was a substrate of OAT, OCT and uric acid transporter (URAT) transporters which is in line with the marginal renal clearance of the drug⁸⁰. Further studies in humans are warranted to further clarify the role of transporters on the efficacy, disposition, toxicity and drug interactions⁸⁰.

Nilotinib

Nilotinib appears to be a substrate and an inhibitor of ABCB1 and ABCG2, however the clinical relevance of these in vitro assessments need to be addressed^{57, 134}.

Chapter 2

Drug transporters: In summary all TKIs are substrates and inhibitors of ABCB1 and ABCG2, except for dasatinib which appears to be no inhibitor of these transporters and for sorafenib of which no data are available. Additionally, imatinib and dasatinib might interact with OCT1. No data are available on the affinity of the other TKIs for the SLC transporter family. Multiple in vitro studies have been published on the effect TKIs have on drug transporters and visa versa. At this point the clinical significance of polymorphic transporters and interactions between drugs on transporters are mainly undefined.

Drug-drug interactions

Imatinib

The drug label of imatinib warns for co-administration of potent CYP3A4 inhibitors and for co-administration of substrates of CYP3A4 with a narrow therapeutic window. This warning makes the clinical practice difficult since a large group of drugs is either a substrate or an inhibitor of CYP3A4. The inhibitory effect of the drug on CYP3A4 was investigated by an interaction study with simvastatin. Simvastatin clearance was reduced by 70% indicating a clinically relevant strong CYP3A4 inhibitory effect⁸⁵. Contrary results are presented in interaction studies with CYP3A4 inhibitors after a single dose (ketoconazole) and at steadystate (ritonavir)^{84, 135}. CYP3A4 inducers (rifampicin, St. John's wort, phenytoin and enzyme inducing anti-epileptic drugs (EIAED's)) very constantly show a decrease in imatinib exposure^{15, 100, 136-139}. Administration of the drug together with metoprolol, a CYP2D6 substrate, resulted in an increase in metoprolol exposure of 23% with moderate differences between the intermediate and extensive metabolizers¹⁴⁰. Smoking does not alter imatinib exposure, indicating no major contribution of CYP1A2 in the metabolism of the drug¹⁴¹. An interaction between imatinib and warfarin is hypothesized since both increases and decreases in INR have been reported after starting therapy. Warfarin is a substrate of CYP2C9 and CYP3A4 and both enzymes are involved in the metabolism of imatinib²⁸. Interactions through the other enzymes are hypothesized and warned for in the drug label but not yet investigated. Also the effect of drug transporter inhibitors (e.g. pantoprazol, cyclosporine) on the disposition of the drug in humans is not evolved yet and neither is the influence of imatinib on drugs that are transported by ABCB1 (e.g. digoxine) or ABCG2 (e.g. nitrofurantoin). The cellular uptake of nilotinib is enhanced by the co-administration of imatinib due to ABCB1 and possibly ABCG2 inhibition in in vitro studies¹⁴². The drug label does not include warnings with regard to risks related to drug transporter interactions though alertness is on its place.

Gefitinib

Inhibitors and inducers of CYP3A4 interfere with gefitinib exposure. Itraconazole elevated the exposure (AUC) with 78%. Concomitant administration of rifampicin reduced the AUC with 83%⁸⁸. In *in vitro* experiments gefitinib stimulates midazolam metabolism through CYP3A4. An explanation for this observation is not provided yet⁸⁷. High doses of ranitidine, inducing a gastric pH $>$ 5.0, resulted in a decreased gefitinib AUC¹⁴³. Gefitinib co-administration resulted in a 35% increase in metoprolol exposure indicating that the drug is a CYP2D6 inhibitor at therapeutic levels⁸⁸. In a case report the possible interaction between herbal medicines (e.g. ginseng) and gefitinib is suggested since interruption of the herbal medicine treatment turned the patient from a non-responder into a responder. Unfortunately, in this case the gefitinib plasma levels were not measured¹⁴⁴. Surprisingly, sorafenib reduced the AUC of gefitinib by 38%, where gefitinib has no effect on sorafenib exposure¹⁴⁵. There is no explanation for this observation.

Erlotinib

Inhibitors and inducers of CYP3A4 interfere with erlotinib exposure. Co-administration of rifampicin results in a 67% decreased drug exposure (AUC)146. Ketoconazole increases the drug exposure ($AUC_{0-\infty}$) and C_{max} with 86% and 102% respectively¹⁴⁷.

Co-administration of BAS 100, a substance in grapefruit juice, resulted in a 2.1 fold increase in the AUC of erlotinib in mice, most likely due to an increased uptake by inhibiting CYP3A4 or ABCB1148. Smoking results in a decreased erlotinib AUC (35.9%) possibly by inducing CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 metabolism⁹¹. The maximum tolerated dose in smokers was 300 mg compared to 150 mg in non-smokers. Additionally the steady-state trough levels and incidence of rash and diarrhea in smokers at 300mg were similar as the data for non smokers receiving 150mg erlotinib¹⁴⁹.

Sorafenib

Since sorafenib is metabolized by CYP3A4, an interaction with CYP3A4 inhibitors was expected. In a drug interaction study with ketoconazole and sorafenib, ketoconazole did not alter the exposure. However it did decrease the plasma concentration of sorafenib-N-oxide which is formed through CYP3A4. This finding is consistent with an earlier mass-balance study showing that 15% of the administered dose was eliminated by glucuronidation where only 5% was eliminated as oxidative metabolites¹⁵⁰. Co-administration of sorafenib with gefitinib causes an decrease in gefitinib exposure of 38%. The interaction can not be mediated through CYP3A4 inhibition since sorafenib does not influence the exposure of midazolam which is suggested to be solely metabolized through CYP3A4¹⁴⁵. In vitro data demonstrated that sorafenib is a competitive inhibitor of CYP2C19, CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 although the inhibitory potency does not appear in clinical studies where the drug was given concomitantly with midazolam (CYP3A4 substrate), dextromethorphan (CYP2D6 substrate) or omeprazol (CYP2C19 substrate)45, 47. Sorafenib is also a competitive inhibitor of CYP2B6 and CYP2C8 though the clinical relevance of this inhibition is not studied yet¹⁵¹. The hypothetical effect

Chapter 2

on CYP2C9 was indirectly measured by the effect on warfarin therapy. The INR in sorafenib treated patients was similar in placebo treated patients. The effect of CYP3A4 inducers (e.g. rifampicin) is not studied, however a warning is included in the drug label of sorafenib⁴⁷. The drug does interfere with the pharmacokinetics of concomitantly administered antineoplastic agents doxorubicin and irinotecan. The exposure of doxorubicin was increased by 21%. The increase in SN-38 exposure was 67-120% most likely through competition or inhibition of UGT1A1 and additionally the irinotecan exposure increased with 26-41%⁴⁷.

Sunitinib

Since sunitinib is primarily metabolized through CYP3A4, the influence of ketoconazole and rifampicin was investigated in healthy volunteers. Co-administration of ketoconazole increased the cumulative exposure of sunitinib and SU12662 with \sim 50%. Rifampicin coadministration resulted in a 50% decrease in combined systemic exposure110. It is suggested that sunitinib has no influence on other co-administered drugs.

Dasatinib

The exposure of dasatinib is increased five fold on the co-ingestion of ketoconazole in healthy volunteers. Rifampicin decreased the exposure by 82%. In vitro data demonstrated that the drug does not induce human CYP-enzymes. It however does appear to be a time dependent CYP3A4 inhibitor. As a result, the co-ingestion of dasatinib with simvastatin (a CYP3A4 substrate) resulted in a 20% increased exposure to simvastatin. The solubility of dasatinib appears to be pH dependent. Famotidine reduced the exposure by 61%, the co-administration of agents that provide prolonged gastric acid suppression is therefore not recommended⁵¹.

Lapatinib

Lapatinib is a substrate and an inhibitor of CYP3A4 and an inhibitor of CYP2C8. A single dose of a CYP3A4 inhibitor (ketoconazole) increases the exposure by 3.6-fold. In contrast carbamazepine, a CYP3A4 inducer, decreases the exposure by -75% ⁷⁹. An extrapolation is made to other CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers although no clinical data are available on these interactions. Dose adjustment advices are given in the package insert when combining lapatinib with an inducer or an inhibitor. These advices are not tested in clinical setting and/ or on steady-state lapatinib exposure.

In the combination of lapatinib with folinic acid, 5-fluorouracil and irinotecan the AUC of SN-38, the active metabolite of irinotecan, was increased by 41%. There are multiple suggested explanations for this interaction. Lapatinib showed inhibition of CYP3A4, OATP1B1, ABCB1 and ABCG2 in vitro which are enzymes and transporters important in the metabolism and disposition of SN-38. However, further investigation is needed to determine whether one or all mechanisms are responsible for this in vivo interaction¹⁵². In the combination of lapatinib with paclitaxel the exposure of lapatinib as well as paclitaxel was increased with 21% and 23% respectively⁷⁹. The mechanism behind the described interactions is yet unknown. Since in vitro data suggest that lapatinib is an inhibitor of ABCB1, alertness may be warranted when the drug is co-administered with ABCB1 substrates⁷⁹. However, no clinical studies are available to confirm this interaction.

Nilotinib

Nilotinib is mainly metabolized through CYP3A4. The concomitant administration of ketoconazole with nilotinib produces a 3-fold increase in systemic exposure. Nilotinib itself appears to be a weak inhibitor of CYP3A4⁵⁶. The co-administration of midazolam with the drug in healthy volunteers resulted in a 30% increase in the systemic exposure of midazolam⁵⁶.

Drug-drug interactions: In summary most clinical interaction studies investigate interactions in healthy volunteers with a single dose of the TKI given together with a CYP3A4 inhibitor (e.g. ketoconazole, itraconazole) or a single dose of the TKI given after a few days of CYP3A4 inducing therapy (e.g. rifampicin, carbamazepine) (Table IV, V). This study design might not represent the metabolism at steady-state pharmacokinetics, since most TKIs are capable of inhibiting at least partly the enzymes by which they are metabolized. Auto-inhibition could result in the shunting of the metabolism through less prominent metabolic pathways at steady-state. Therefore, interaction studies performed at steady-state pharmacokinetics are more informative and representative for the clinical relevance of the investigated enzymes. Fortunately, the FDA is becoming stricter and demands additional research at steady-state for the newer TKIs (lapatinib, nilotinib) that have potency to inhibit enzymes and transporters.

40

Abbreviations: C_{max}, peak concentration; AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; CL/F, apparent oral clearance; T_{max}, time to peak concentration; t_{1/2}, elimination half-life; C_{trough},
trough concentration
* R Abbreviations: Cmax, peak concentration; AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; CL/F, apparent oral clearance; Tmax, time to peak concentration; t1/2, elimination half-life; Ctrough, trough concentration

Increased niltoinib AUC (3-fold)

Ketoconazole

Rifampicin

Nilotinib

 Ketoconazole Increased lapatinib AUC (3.6-fold) 79 P aclita χ e λ U (21%) λ Nilotinib Rifampicin Decreased nilotinib AUC (80%) 57 Ketoconazole Increased niltoinib AUC (3-fold) 56

Ketoconazole
Paclitaxel

* Results from animal studies

Abbreviations: C_{max}, peak concentration; AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; CL/F, apparent oral clearance Abbreviations: Cmax, peak concentration; AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; CL/F, apparent oral clearance

42 43

Chapter 2

Conclusions

TKIs are a relatively new and fast growing group of anticancer drugs developed as oral formulations and administered on a daily basis. In general, these TKIs are substrates of several drug transporters and metabolizing enzymes. Some of them are also capable to inhibit drug transporters and enzymes making their disposition and metabolism at steady-state pharmacokinetics rather complex and unpredictable. Most of the available pharma cokinetic information is based on information obtained from in vitro experiments, animal studies, drug-drug interaction studies and mass balance studies in healthy volunteers with a single dose of the aimed TKI. However, it is difficult to translate the results of these studies to the clinical oncology practice where these drugs are administered on a daily basis with possible auto-inhibiting mechanisms significantly altering the pharmacokinetics outcomes as well as the relevance of claimed drug interactions. Most information is available for the TKIs that are used for the longest time in clinical practice. A question that arises is whether the knowledge obtained for one TKI should not be used for the rational design of studies with the other TKIs and whether translations between these drugs are possible when confronted with unexpected low or high drug exposure.

In this review the current knowledge on the pharmacokinetic aspects; ADME, drug transporters and drug-drug interactions of the individual TKIs are described. Similarities and differences between the TKIs are summarized. It appears that several pharmacokinetic aspects are unfortunately not investigated yet for these drugs. While awaiting the results the only way to anticipate on clinical features and drug interaction potential in the clinical practice is by translating the knowledge obtained from the other TKIs as described in this review.

References

- 1. Nowell PC, Hungerford DA. A minute chromosome in human chronic granulocytic leukemia. Science 1960; 132:1497.
- 2. Rudkin CT, Hungerford DA, Nowell PC. DNA contents of chromosome PH1 and chromosome 21 in human chronic granulocytic leukemia. Science 1964; 144:1229-1231.
- 3. A proposed standard system of nomenclature of human mitotic chromosomes. Lancet 1960; 1(7133):1063-1065.
- 4. Rowley JD. Letter: A new consistent chromosomal abnormality in chronic myelogenous leukaemia identified by quinacrine fluorescence and Giemsa staining. Nature 1973; 243(5405):290-293.
- 5. Mayall BH, Carrano AV, Moore DH, Rowley JD. Quantification by DNA-based cytophotometry of the 9q+/22q-chromosomal translocation associated with chronic myelogenous leukemia. Cancer Res 1977; 37(10):3590-3593.
- 6. Konopka JB, Watanabe SM, Witte ON. An alteration of the human c-abl protein in K562 leukemia cells unmasks associated tyrosine kinase activity. Cell 1984; 37(3):1035-1042.
- 7. Heisterkamp N, Stam K, Groffen J, De KA, Grosveld G. Structural organization of the bcr gene and its role in the Ph' translocation. Nature 1985; 315(6022):758-761.
- 8. Stam K, Heisterkamp N, Grosveld G et al. Evidence of a new chimeric bcr/c-abl mRNA in patients with chronic myelocytic leukemia and the Philadelphia chromosome. N Engl J Med 1985; 313(23):1429- 1433.
- 9. Grosveld G, Hermans A, De KA, Bootsma D, Heisterkamp N, Groffen J. The role of the Philadelphia translocation in chronic myelocytic leukemia. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1987; 511:262-269.
- 10. Naldini L, Stacchini A, Cirillo DM, Aglietta M, Gavosto F, Comoglio PM. Phosphotyrosine antibodies identify the p210c-abl tyrosine kinase and proteins phosphorylated on tyrosine in human chronic myelogenous leukemia cells. Mol Cell Biol 1986; 6(5):1803-1811.
- 11. Comoglio PM, Di Renzo MF, Gaudino G. Protein tyrosine kinases associated with human malignancies. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1987; 511:256-261.
- 12. Yaish P, Gazit A, Gilon C, Levitzki A. Blocking of EGF-dependent cell proliferation by EGF receptor kinase inhibitors. Science 1988; 242(4880):933- 935.
- 13. Buchdunger E, Zimmermann J, Mett H et al. Inhibition of the Abl protein-tyrosine kinase in vitro and in vivo by a 2-phenylaminopyrimidine derivative. Cancer Res 1996; 56(1):100-104.
- 14. Druker BJ, Tamura S, Buchdunger E et al. Effects of a selective inhibitor of the Abl tyrosine kinase on the growth of Bcr-Abl positive cells. Nat Med 1996; 2(5):561-566.
- 15. Peng B, Hayes M, Resta D et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of imatinib in a phase I trial with chronic myeloid leukemia patients. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22(5):935-942.
- 16. Druker BJ, Talpaz M, Resta DJ et al. Efficacy and safety of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2001; 344(14):1031-1037.
- 17. Druker BJ, Sawyers CL, Kantarjian H et al. Activity of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in the blast crisis of chronic myeloid leukemia and acute lymphoblastic leukemia with the Philadelphia chromosome. N Engl J Med 2001; 344(14):1038-1042.
- 18. Sawyers CL, Hochhaus A, Feldman E et al. Imatinib induces hematologic and cytogenetic responses in patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia in myeloid blast crisis: results of a phase II study. Blood 2002; 99(10):3530-3539.
- 19. Kantarjian H, Sawyers C, Hochhaus A et al. Hematologic and cytogenetic responses to imatinib mesylate in chronic myelogenous leukemia. N Engl J Med 2002; 346(9):645-652.
- 20. Talpaz M, Silver RT, Druker BJ et al. Imatinib induces durable hematologic and cytogenetic responses in patients with accelerated phase chronic myeloid leukemia: results of a phase 2 study. Blood 2002; 99(6):1928-1937.
- 21. Heinrich MC, Griffith DJ, Druker BJ, Wait CL, Ott KA, Zigler AJ. Inhibition of c-kit receptor tyrosine kinase activity by STI 571, a selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Blood 2000; 96(3):925-932.
- 22. Tuveson DA, Willis NA, Jacks T et al. STI571 inactivation of the gastrointestinal stromal tumor c-KIT oncoprotein: biological and clinical implications. Oncogene 2001; 20(36):5054-5058.
- 23. van Oosterom AT, Judson I, Verweij J et al. Safety and efficacy of imatinib (STI571) in metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumours: a phase I study. Lancet 2001; 358(9291):1421-1423.
- 24. FDA. Drug label Gleevec approved on 05/20/2003. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ drugsatfda/ Accessed on 01/19/2009.
- 25. Gschwind HP, Pfaar U, Waldmeier F et al. Metabolism and disposition of imatinib mesylate in healthy volunteers. Drug Metab Dispos 2005; 33(10):1503-1512.
- 26. Peng B, Dutreix C, Mehring G et al. Absolute bioavailability of imatinib (Glivec) orally versus intravenous infusion. J Clin Pharmacol 2004; 44(2):158-162.
- 27. Nikolova Z, Peng B, Hubert M et al. Bioequivalence, safety, and tolerability of imatinib tablets compared with capsules. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2004; 53(5):433-438.
- 28. Peng B, Lloyd P, Schran H. Clinical pharmacokinetics of imatinib. Clin Pharmacokinet 2005;

44(9):879-894.

- 29. Sparano BA, Egorin MJ, Parise RA et al. Effect of antacid on imatinib absorption. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2009; 63(3):525-528.
- 30. Gurney H, Wong M, Balleine RL et al. Imatinib disposition and ABCB1 (MDR1, P-glycoprotein) genotype. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2007; 82(1):33-40.
- 31. Burger H, Nooter K. Pharmacokinetic resistance to imatinib mesylate: role of the ABC drug pumps ABCG2 (BCRP) and ABCB1 (MDR1) in the oral bioavailability of imatinib. Cell Cycle 2004; 3(12):1502- 1505.
- 32. Beumer JH, Natale JJ, Lagattuta TF, Raptis A, Egorin MJ. Disposition of imatinib and its metabolite CGP74588 in a patient with chronic myelogenous leukemia and short-bowel syndrome. Pharmacotherapy 2006; 26(7):903-907.
- 33. van Erp NP, Oostendorp RL, Guchelaar HJ, Schellens JH, Gelderblom H. Is rectal administration an alternative route for imatinib? Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2007; 60(4):623-624.
- 34. Cohen MH, Williams G, Johnson JR et al. Approval summary for imatinib mesylate capsules in the treatment of chronic myelogenous leukemia. Clin Cancer Res 2002; 8(5):935-942.
- 35. Swaisland H, Laight A, Stafford L et al. Pharmacokinetics and tolerability of the orally active selective epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor ZD1839 in healthy volunteers. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001; 40(4):297-306.
- 36. Swaisland HC, Smith RP, Laight A et al. Single-dose clinical pharmacokinetic studies of gefitinib. Clin Pharmacokinet 2005; 44(11):1165-1177.
- 37. Cantarini MV, McFarquhar T, Smith RP, Bailey C, Marshall AL. Relative bioavailability and safety profile of gefitinib administered as a tablet or as a dispersion preparation via drink or nasogastric tube: results of a randomized, open-label, three-period crossover study in healthy volunteers. Clin Ther 2004; 26(10):1630-1636.
- 38. Cantarini MV, Bailey CJ, Collins B, Smith RP. The relative bioavailability of gefitinib administered by granular formulation. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2008; 62(2):203-208.
- 39. Cohen MH, Williams GA, Sridhara R et al. United States Food and Drug Administration Drug Approval summary: Gefitinib (ZD1839; Iressa) tablets. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10(4):1212-1218.
- 40. Johnson JR, Cohen M, Sridhara R et al. Approval summary for erlotinib for treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer after failure of at least one prior chemotherapy regimen. Clin Cancer Res 2005; 11(18):6414-6421.
- 41. Frohna P, Lu J, Eppler S et al. Evaluation of the absolute oral bioavailability and bioequivalence of erlotinib, an inhibitor of the epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase, in a randomized, crossover study in healthy subjects. J Clin

Pharmacol 2006; 46(3):282-290.

- 42. Hidalgo M, Siu LL, Nemunaitis J et al. Phase I and pharmacologic study of OSI-774, an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with advanced solid malignancies. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19(13):3267-3279.
- 43. Tan AR, Yang X, Hewitt SM et al. Evaluation of biologic end points and pharmacokinetics in patients with metastatic breast cancer after treatment with erlotinib, an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22(15):3080-3090.
- 44. Moore M, Hirte HW, Siu L et al. Phase I study to determine the safety and pharmacokinetics of the novel Raf kinase and VEGFR inhibitor BAY 43-9006, administered for 28 days on/7 days off in patients with advanced, refractory solid tumors. Ann Oncol 2005; 16(10):1688-1694.
- 45. FDA. Drug label Nexavar approved 12/20/2005. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ drugsatfda/ Accessed on 02/03/2009.
- 46. Strumberg D, Richly H, Hilger RA et al. Phase I clinical and pharmacokinetic study of the Novel Raf kinase and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor inhibitor BAY 43-9006 in patients with advanced refractory solid tumors. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23(5):965-972.
- 47. Kane RC, Farrell AT, Saber H et al. Sorafenib for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12(24):7271-7278.
- 48. Bello CL, Sherman L, Zhou J et al. Effect of food on the pharmacokinetics of sunitinib malate (SU11248), a multi-targeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor: results from a phase I study in healthy subjects. Anticancer Drugs 2006; 17(3):353-358.
- 49. Goodman VL, Rock EP, Dagher R et al. Approval summary: sunitinib for the treatment of imatinib refractory or intolerant gastrointestinal stromal tumors and advanced renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13(5):1367-1373.
- 50. Desar IM, Burger DM, Van Hoesel QG, Beijnen JH, Van Herpen CM, Van der Graaf WT. Pharmacokinetics of sunitinib in an obese patient with a GIST. Ann Oncol 2009; 20(3):599-600.
- 51. Brave M, Goodman V, Kaminskas E et al. Sprycel for chronic myeloid leukemia and Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia resistant to or intolerant of imatinib mesylate. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14(2):352-359.
- 52. Dai G, Pfister M, Blackwood-Chirchir A, Roy A. Importance of characterizing determinants of variability in exposure: application to dasatinib in subjects with chronic myeloid leukemia. J Clin Pharmacol 2008; 48(11):1254-1269.
- 53. Burris HA, III, Hurwitz HI, Dees EC et al. Phase I safety, pharmacokinetics, and clinical activity study of lapatinib (GW572016), a reversible dual inhibitor of epidermal growth factor receptor

tyrosine kinases, in heavily pretreated patients with metastatic carcinomas. J Clin Oncol 2005: 23(23):5305-5313.

- 54. Koch KM, Reddy NJ, Cohen RB et al. Effects of Food on the Relative Bioavailability of Lapatinib in Cancer Patients. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27(8):1191- 1196.
- 55. Kantarjian H, Giles F, Wunderle L et al. Nilotinib in imatinib-resistant CML and Philadelphia chromosome-positive ALL. N Engl J Med 2006; 354(24):2542-2551.
- 56. Tanaka C, Smith T, Kantarjian H et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics (PK) of AMN107, a novel inhibitor of Bcr-Abl, in healthy subjects and patients with imatinib resistant or intolerant chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) or replapsed/ refractory Ph+ acute lymphocytic leukemia (Ph+ALL). J.Clin.Oncol., 2006 ASCO Annual Meeting Proceedings Part I Vol. 24, No. 18S, 3095.
- 57. Hazarika M, Jiang X, Liu Q et al. Tasigna for chronic and accelerated phase Philadelphia chromosome- -positive chronic myelogenous leukemia resistant to or intolerant of imatinib. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14(17):5325-5331.
- 58. Kil KE, Ding YS, Lin KS et al. Synthesis and positron emission tomography studies of carbon-11 labeled imatinib (Gleevec). Nucl Med Biol 2007; 34(2):153-163.
- 59. Gambacorti-Passerini C, Barni R, le CP et al. Role of alpha1 acid glycoprotein in the in vivo resistance of human BCR-ABL(+) leukemic cells to the abl inhibitor STI571. J Natl Cancer Inst 2000; 92(20):1641-1650.
- 60. Gambacorti-Passerini C, Zucchetti M, Russo D et al. Alpha1 acid glycoprotein binds to imatinib (STI571) and substantially alters its pharmacokinetics in chronic myeloid leukemia patients. Clin Cancer Res 2003; 9(2):625-632.
- 61. le Coutre P, Kreuzer KA, Pursche S et al. Pharmacokinetics and cellular uptake of imatinib and its main metabolite CGP74588. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2004; 53(4):313-323.
- 62. Widmer N, Decosterd LA, Csajka C et al. Population pharmacokinetics of imatinib and the role of alpha-acid glycoprotein. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2006; 62(1):97-112.
- 63. Widmer N, Decosterd LA, Leyvraz S et al. Relationship of imatinib-free plasma levels and target genotype with efficacy and tolerability. Br J Cancer 2008; 98(10):1633-1640.
- 64. Delbaldo C, Chatelut E, Re M et al. Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationships of imatinib and its main metabolite in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12(20 Pt 1):6073-6078.
- 65. Petain A, Kattygnarath D, Azard J et al. Population pharmacokinetics and pharmacogenetics of imatinib in children and adults. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14(21):7102-7109.
- 66. Dai H, Marbach P, Lemaire M, Hayes M, Elmquist WF. Distribution of STI-571 to the brain is limited by P-glycoprotein-mediated efflux. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2003; 304(3):1085-1092.
- 67. Bihorel S, Camenisch G, Lemaire M, Scherrmann JM. Modulation of the brain distribution of imatinib and its metabolites in mice by valspodar, zosuquidar and elacridar. Pharm Res 2007; 24(9):1720-1728.
- 68. Wolff NC, Richardson JA, Egorin M, Ilaria RL, Jr. The CNS is a sanctuary for leukemic cells in mice receiving imatinib mesylate for Bcr/Abl-induced leukemia. Blood 2003; 101(12):5010-5013.
- 69. Breedveld P, Pluim D, Cipriani G et al. The effect of Bcrp1 (Abcg2) on the in vivo pharmacokinetics and brain penetration of imatinib mesylate (Gleevec): implications for the use of breast cancer resistance protein and P-glycoprotein inhibitors to enable the brain penetration of imatinib in patients. Cancer Res 2005; 65(7):2577-2582.
- 70. Bihorel S, Camenisch G, Lemaire M, Scherrmann JM. Influence of breast cancer resistance protein (Abcg2) and p-glycoprotein (Abcb1a) on the transport of imatinib mesylate (Gleevec) across the mouse blood-brain barrier. J Neurochem 2007; 102(6):1749-1757.
- 71. Neville K, Parise RA, Thompson P et al. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid pharmacokinetics of imatinib after administration to nonhuman primates. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10(7):2525-2529.
- 72. Li J, Brahmer J, Messersmith W, Hidalgo M, Baker SD. Binding of gefitinib, an inhibitor of epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase, to plasma proteins and blood cells: in vitro and in cancer patients. Invest New Drugs 2006; 24(4):291-297.
- 73. McKillop D, Hutchison M, Partridge EA et al. Metabolic disposition of gefitinib, an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in rat, dog and man. Xenobiotica 2004; 34(10):917- 934.
- 74. McKillop D, Partridge EA, Kemp JV et al. Tumor penetration of gefitinib (Iressa), an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Mol Cancer Ther 2005; 4(4):641-649.
- 75. Lu JF, Eppler SM, Wolf J et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of erlotinib in patients with solid tumors and exposure-safety relationship in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006; 80(2):136-145.
- 76. Broniscer A, Panetta JC, O'Shaughnessy M et al. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid pharmacokinetics of erlotinib and its active metabolite OSI-420. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13(5):1511-1515.
- 77. Kamath AV, Wang J, Lee FY, Marathe PH. Preclinical pharmacokinetics and in vitro metabolism of dasatinib (BMS-354825): a potent oral multi-targeted kinase inhibitor against SRC and BCR-ABL. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2008; 61(3):365- 376.
- 78. Porkka K, Koskenvesa P, Lundan T et al. Dasatinib crosses the blood-brain barrier and is an efficient therapy for central nervous system Philadelphia chromosome-positive leukemia. Blood 2008; 112(4):1005-1012.
- 79. Medina PJ, Goodin S. Lapatinib: a dual inhibitor of human epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinases. Clin Ther 2008; 30(8):1426-1447.
- 80. Polli JW, Humphreys JE, Harmon KA et al. The role of efflux and uptake transporters in [N-{3-chloro-4-[(3-fluorobenzyl)oxy]phenyl}-6- [5-({[2-(methylsulfonyl)ethy l]amino}methyl)-2 furyl]-4-quinazolinamine (GW572016, lapatinib) disposition and drug interactions. Drug Metab Dispos 2008; 36(4):695-701.
- 81. Polli JW, Olson KL, Chism JP et al. An unexpected synergist role of P-glycoprotein and breast cancer resistance protein on the central nervous system penetration of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor lapatinib (N-{3-chloro-4-[(3-fluorobenzyl)oxy] phenyl}-6-[5-({[2-(methylsulfonyl)ethy l]amino} methyl)-2-furyl]-4-quinazolinamine; GW572016). Drug Metab Dispos 2009; 37(2):439-442.
- 82. Rochat B. Role of cytochrome P450 activity in the fate of anticancer agents and in drug resistance: focus on tamoxifen, paclitaxel and imatinib metabolism. Clin Pharmacokinet 2005; 44(4):349- 366.
- 83. Rochat B, Zoete V, Grosdidier A, von GS, Marull M, Michielin O. In vitro biotransformation of imatinib by the tumor expressed CYP1A1 and CYP1B1. Biopharm Drug Dispos 2008; 29(2):103-118.
- 84. van Erp NP, Gelderblom H, Karlsson MO et al. Influence of CYP3A4 inhibition on the steady-state pharmacokinetics of imatinib. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13(24):7394-7400.
- 85. O'Brien SG, Meinhardt P, Bond E et al. Effects of imatinib mesylate (STI571, Glivec) on the pharmacokinetics of simvastatin, a cytochrome p450 3A4 substrate, in patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia. Br J Cancer 2003; 89(10):1855-1859.
- 86. Gardner ER, Burger H, van Schaik RH et al. Association of enzyme and transporter genotypes with the pharmacokinetics of imatinib. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006; 80(2):192-201.
- 87. Li J, Zhao M, He P, Hidalgo M, Baker SD. Differential metabolism of gefitinib and erlotinib by human cytochrome P450 enzymes. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13(12):3731-3737.
- 88. Swaisland HC, Ranson M, Smith RP et al. Pharmacokinetic drug interactions of gefitinib with rifampicin, itraconazole and metoprolol. Clin Pharmacokinet 2005; 44(10):1067-1081.
- 89. Swaisland HC, Cantarini MV, Fuhr R, Holt A. Exploring the relationship between expression of cytochrome P450 enzymes and gefitinib pharmacokinetics. Clin Pharmacokinet 2006; 45(6):633- 644.
- 90. Li J, Karlsson MO, Brahmer J et al. CYP3A

phenotyping approach to predict systemic exposure to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006; 98(23):1714-1723.

- 91. Hamilton M, Wolf JL, Rusk J et al. Effects of smoking on the pharmacokinetics of erlotinib. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12(7 Pt 1):2166-2171.
- 92. Harmsen S, Meijerman I, Beijnen JH, Schellens JH. Nuclear receptor mediated induction of cytochrome P450 3A4 by anticancer drugs: a key role for the pregnane X receptor. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2008; 64(1): 35-43.
- 93. Faivre S, Delbaldo C, Vera K et al. Safety, pharmacokinetic, and antitumor activity of SU11248, a novel oral multitarget tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24(1):25-35.
- 94. Christopher LJ, Cui D, Li W et al. Biotransformation of [14C]dasatinib: in vitro studies in rat, monkey, and human and disposition after administration to rats and monkeys. Drug Metab Dispos 2008; 36(7):1341-1356.
- 95. Wang L, Christopher LJ, Cui D et al. Identification of the human enzymes involved in the oxidative metabolism of dasatinib: an effective approach for determining metabolite formation kinetics. Drug Metab Dispos 2008; 36(9):1828-1839.
- 96. Singer JB, Shou Y, Giles F et al. UGT1A1 promoter polymorphism increases risk of nilotinib-induced hyperbilirubinemia. Leukemia 2007; 21(11):2311- 2315.
- 97. Schmidli H, Peng B, Riviere GJ et al. Population pharmacokinetics of imatinib mesylate in patients with chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukaemia: results of a phase III study. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2005; 60(1):35-44.
- 98. Judson I, Ma P, Peng B et al. Imatinib pharmacokinetics in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumour: a retrospective population pharmacokinetic study over time. EORTC Soft Tissue and Bone Sarcoma Group. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2005; 55(4):379-386.
- 99. Menon-Andersen D, Mondick JT, Jayaraman B et al. Population pharmacokinetics of imatinib mesylate and its metabolite in children and young adults. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2009; 63(2):229-238.
- 100. Reardon DA, Egorin MJ, Quinn JA et al. Phase II study of imatinib mesylate plus hydroxyurea in adults with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23(36):9359-9368.
- 101. Gibbons J, Egorin MJ, Ramanathan RK et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of imatinib mesylate in patients with advanced malignancies and varying degrees of renal dysfunction: a study by the National Cancer Institute Organ Dysfunction Working Group. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26(4):570-576.
- 102. Pappas P, Karavasilis V, Briasoulis E, Pavlidis N, Marselos M. Pharmacokinetics of imatinib mesylate in end stage renal disease. A case study.

Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2005; 56(4):358- 360.

- 103. Judson IR. Imatinib for patients with liver or kidney dysfunction: no need to modify the dose. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26(4):521-522.
- 104. Franke RM, Sparreboom A. Inhibition of imatinib transport by uremic toxins during renal failure. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26(25):4226-4227.
- 105. Eckel F, von DS, Mayr M et al. Pharmacokinetic and clinical phase II trial of imatinib in patients with impaired liver function and advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncology 2005; 69(5):363-371.
- 106. Ramanathan RK, Egorin MJ, Takimoto CH et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of imatinib mesylate in patients with advanced malignancies and varying degrees of liver dysfunction: a study by the National Cancer Institute Organ Dysfunction Working Group. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26(4):563-569.
- 107. Treiber G, Wex T, Schleyer E, Troeger U, Hosius C, Malfertheiner P. Imatinib for hepatocellular cancer--focus on pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic modelling and liver function. Cancer Lett 2008; 260(1-2):146-154.
- 108. Ling J, Johnson KA, Miao Z et al. Metabolism and excretion of erlotinib, a small molecule inhibitor of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase, in healthy male volunteers. Drug Metab Dispos 2006; 34(3):420-426.
- 109. Miller AA, Murry DJ, Owzar K et al. Phase I and Pharmacokinetic Study of Sorafenib in Patients With Hepatic or Renal Dysfunction: CALGB 60301. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27(11):1800-1805.
- 110. Adams VR, Leggas M. Sunitinib malate for the treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma and gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Clin Ther 2007; 29(7):1338-1353.
- 111. Izzedine H, Etienne-Grimaldi MC, Renee N, Vignot S, Milano G. Pharmacokinetics of sunitinib in hemodialysis. Ann Oncol 2009; 20(1):190-192.
- 112. Christopher LJ, Cui D, Wu C et al. Metabolism and disposition of dasatinib after oral administration to humans. Drug Metab Dispos 2008; 36(7):1357- 1364.
- 113. Ratain MJ, Cohen EE. The value meal: how to save \$1,700 per month or more on lapatinib. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25(23):3397-3398.
- 114. Kagan M, Tran P, Fischer V et al. Safety, Pharmacokinetics (PK), Metabolism, and Mass Balance of [14C]-AMN107, a Novel Aminopyrimidine Inhibitor of Bcr-Abl Tyrosine Kinase, in Healthy Subjects. Blood ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts 2005 106 abstract 4887.
- 115. Lepper ER, Nooter K, Verweij J, Acharya MR, Figg WD, Sparreboom A. Mechanisms of resistance to anticancer drugs: the role of the polymorphic ABC transporters ABCB1 and ABCG2. Pharmacogenomics 2005; 6(2):115-138.
- 116. Oostendorp RL, Beijnen JH, Schellens JH. The

biological and clinical role of drug transporters at the intestinal barrier. Cancer Treat Rev 2008; 35(2):137-147.

- 117. Hu S, Franke RM, Filipski KK et al. Interaction of imatinib with human organic ion carriers. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14(10):3141-3148.
- 118. White DL, Saunders VA, Dang P et al. OCT-1-mediated influx is a key determinant of the intracellular uptake of imatinib but not nilotinib (AMN107): reduced OCT-1 activity is the cause of low in vitro sensitivity to imatinib. Blood 2006; 108(2):697-704.
- 119. Wang L, Giannoudis A, Lane S, Williamson P, Pirmohamed M, Clark RE. Expression of the uptake drug transporter hOCT1 is an important clinical determinant of the response to imatinib in chronic myeloid leukemia. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008; 83(2):258-264.
- 120. Shukla S, Sauna ZE, Ambudkar SV. Evidence for the interaction of imatinib at the transport-substrate site(s) of the multidrug-resistance-linked ABC drug transporters ABCB1 (P-glycoprotein) and ABCG2. Leukemia 2008; 22(2):445-447.
- 121. Dulucq S, Bouchet S, Turcq B et al. Multidrug resistance gene (MDR1) polymorphisms are associated with major molecular responses to standard-dose imatinib in chronic myeloid leukemia. Blood 2008; 112(5):2024-2027.
- 122. Thomas J, Wang L, Clark RE, Pirmohamed M. Active transport of imatinib into and out of cells: implications for drug resistance. Blood 2004; 104(12):3739-3745.
- 123. Ozvegy-Laczka C, Hegedus T, Varady G et al. High-affinity interaction of tyrosine kinase inhibitors with the ABCG2 multidrug transporter. Mol Pharmacol 2004; 65(6):1485-1495.
- 124. Nakamura Y, Oka M, Soda H et al. Gefitinib ("Iressa", ZD1839), an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, reverses breast cancer resistance protein/ABCG2-mediated drug resistance. Cancer Res 2005; 65(4):1541-1546.
- 125. Li J, Cusatis G, Brahmer J et al. Association of variant ABCG2 and the pharmacokinetics of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in cancer patients. Cancer Biol Ther 2007; 6(3):432-438.
- 126. Cusatis G, Sparreboom A. Pharmacogenomic importance of ABCG2. Pharmacogenomics 2008; 9(8):1005-1009.
- 127. Marchetti S, de Vries NA, Buckle T et al. Effect of the ATP-binding cassette drug transporters ABCB1, ABCG2, and ABCC2 on erlotinib hydrochloride (Tarceva) disposition in in vitro and in vivo pharmacokinetic studies employing Bcrp1-/-/ Mdr1a/1b-/- (triple-knockout) and wild-type mice. Mol Cancer Ther 2008; 7(8):2280-2287.
- 128. Shi Z, Peng XX, Kim IW et al. Erlotinib (Tarceva, OSI-774) antagonizes ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1 and ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 2-mediated drug resistance.

Chapter 2

Cancer Res 2007; 67(22):11012-11020.

- 129. Rudin CM, Liu W, Desai A et al. Pharmacogenomic and pharmacokinetic determinants of erlotinib toxicity. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26(7):1119-1127.
- 130. Shukla S, Robey RW, Bates SE, Ambudkar SV. Sunitinib (Sutent, SU11248), a small-molecule receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, blocks function of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters P-glycoprotein (ABCB1) and ABCG2. Drug Metab Dispos 2009; 37(2):359-365.
- 131. Giannoudis A, Davies A, Lucas CM, Harris RJ, Pirmohamed M, Clark RE. Effective dasatinib uptake may occur without human organic cation transporter 1 (hOCT1): implications for the treatment of imatinib-resistant chronic myeloid leukemia. Blood 2008; 112(8):3348-3354.
- 132. Hiwase DK, Saunders V, Hewett D et al. Dasatinib cellular uptake and efflux in chronic myeloid leukemia cells: therapeutic implications. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14(12):3881-3888.
- 133. Dai CL, Tiwari AK, Wu CP et al. Lapatinib (Tykerb, GW572016) reverses multidrug resistance in cancer cells by inhibiting the activity of ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1 and G member 2. Cancer Res 2008; 68(19):7905-7914.
- 134. Brendel C, Scharenberg C, Dohse M et al. Imatinib mesylate and nilotinib (AMN107) exhibit high-affinity interaction with ABCG2 on primitive hematopoietic stem cells. Leukemia 2007; 21(6):1267-1275.
- 135. Dutreix C, Peng B, Mehring G et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between ketoconazole and imatinib mesylate (Glivec) in healthy subjects. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2004; 54(4):290- 294.
- 136. Bolton AE, Peng B, Hubert M et al. Effect of rifampicin on the pharmacokinetics of imatinib mesylate (Gleevec, STI571) in healthy subjects. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2004; 53(2):102- 106.
- 137. Frye RF, Fitzgerald SM, Lagattuta TF, Hruska MW, Egorin MJ. Effect of St John's wort on imatinib mesylate pharmacokinetics. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2004; 76(4):323-329.
- 138. Wen PY, Yung WK, Lamborn KR et al. Phase I/II study of imatinib mesylate for recurrent malignant gliomas: North American Brain Tumor Consortium Study 99-08. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12(16):4899- 4907.
- 139. Pursche S, Schleyer E, von BM et al. Influence of enzyme-inducing antiepileptic drugs on trough level of imatinib in glioblastoma patients. Curr Clin Pharmacol 2008; 3(3):198-203.
- 140. Wang Y, Zhou L, Dutreix C et al. Effects of imatinib (Glivec) on the pharmacokinetics of metoprolol, a CYP2D6 substrate, in Chinese patients with chronic myelogenous leukaemia. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2008; 65(6):885-892.
- 141. van Erp NP, Gelderblom H, Van GM et al. Effect of

cigarette smoking on imatinib in patients in the soft tissue and bone sarcoma group of the EORTC. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14(24):8308-8313.

- 142. White DL, Saunders VA, Quinn SR, Manley PW, Hughes TP. Imatinib increases the intracellular concentration of nilotinib, which may explain the observed synergy between these drugs. Blood 2007; 109(8):3609-3610.
- 143. AstraZenica. Drug Label Gefitinib approved 05/05/2003. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ scripts/cder/drugsatfda/ Accessed 03/02/2009.\
- 144. Hwang SW, Han HS, Lim KY, Han JY. Drug interaction between complementary herbal medicines and gefitinib. J Thorac Oncol 2008; 3(8):942-943.
- 145. Adjei AA, Molina JR, Mandrekar SJ et al. Phase I trial of sorafenib in combination with gefitinib in patients with refractory or recurrent non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13(9):2684- 2691.
- 146. Abbas R, Fettner S, Riek M et al. A drug-drug interaction study to evaluate the effect of rifampicin on the pharmacokinetics of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, erlotinib in healthy subjects [abstract 548]. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 22, 137. 2003.
- 147. Rakhit A, Pantze MP, Fettner S et al. The effects of CYP3A4 inhibition on erlotinib pharmacokinetics: computer-based simulation (SimCYP) predicts in vivo metabolic inhibition. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2008; 64(1):31-41.
- 148. Smith NF, Baker SD, Gonzalez FJ, Harris JW, Figg WD, Sparreboom A. Modulation of erlotinib pharmacokinetics in mice by a novel cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor, BAS 100. Br J Cancer 2008; 98(10):1630-1632.
- 149. Hughes AN, O'Brien ME, Petty WJ et al. Overcoming CYP1A1/1A2 Mediated Induction of Metabolism by Escalating Erlotinib Dose in Current Smokers. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27(8):1220-1226.
- 150. Lathia C, Lettieri J, Cihon F, Gallentine M, Radtke M, Sundaresan P. Lack of effect of ketoconazolemediated CYP3A inhibition on sorafenib clinical pharmacokinetics. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2006; 57(5):685-692.
- 151. Hutson TE, Figlin RA, Kuhn JG, Motzer RJ. Targeted therapies for metastatic renal cell carcinoma: an overview of toxicity and dosing strategies. Oncologist 2008; 13(10):1084-1096.
- 152. Midgley RS, Kerr DJ, Flaherty KT et al. A phase I and pharmacokinetic study of lapatinib in combination with infusional 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin and irinotecan. Ann Oncol 2007; 18(12):2025-2029.
- 153. Ranson M, Hammond LA, Ferry D et al. ZD1839, a selective oral epidermal growth factor receptortyrosine kinase inhibitor, is well tolerated and active in patients with solid, malignant tumors: results of a phase I trial. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20(9):2240-2250.
- 154. Chu QS, Schwartz G, de BJ et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of lapatinib in combination with capecitabine in patients with advanced solid malignancies. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25(24):3753-3758.
- 155. LoRusso PM, Jones SF, Koch KM et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of lapatinib and docetaxel in patients with advanced cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26(18):3051-3056.
- 156. Novartis. Scientific Discussion Tasigna Approved on 11/19/2007. http://www.emea.europa.eu/ Accessed on 03/06/2009.
- 157. Oostendorp RL, Buckle T, Beijnen JH, van TO, Schellens JH. The effect of P-gp (Mdr1a/1b), BCRP (Bcrp1) and P-gp/BCRP inhibitors on the in vivo absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of imatinib. Invest New Drugs 2009; 27(1):31-40.
- 158. Deininger MW, O'Brien SG, Ford JM, Druker BJ. Practical management of patients with chronic myeloid leukemia receiving imatinib. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21(8):1637-1647.
- 159. Van Hest RM, Schnog JB, Van't Veer MB, Cornelissen JJ. Extremely slow methotrexate elimination in a patient with t(9;22) positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with imatinib. Am J Hematol 2008; 83(9):757-758.
- 160. Yang CH, Huang CJ, Yang CS et al. Gefitinib reverses chemotherapy resistance in gefitinibinsensitive multidrug resistant cancer cells expressing ATP-binding cassette family protein. Cancer Res 2005; 65(15):6943-6949.
- 161. Stewart CF, Leggas M, Schuetz JD et al. Gefitinib enhances the antitumor activity and oral bioavailability of irinotecan in mice. Cancer Res 2004; 64(20):7491-7499.
- 162. OSI Pharms. Drug Label Erlotinib approved 11/18/2004. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ scripts/cder/drugsatfda/ Accessed 03/02/2009.