

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/18623> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Helm, Alfred Charles van der

Title: A token of individuality : Questiones libri porphirii by Thomas Manlevelt

Issue Date: 2012-03-22

A Token of Individuality

Questiones libri Porphirii
by Thomas Manlevelt

Folgezüchtergrat

Deinde dicitur de nomine apostoli Petri. Et dicitur: Petrus, qui erat primus apud eum, et de nomine eius vocatus est. Quod dicitur: Petrus, qui erat primus apud eum, et de nomine eius vocatus est. Et dicitur: Petrus, qui erat primus apud eum, et de nomine eius vocatus est. Quod dicitur: Petrus, qui erat primus apud eum, et de nomine eius vocatus est.

Folio 18^v of the manuscript of the *Questiones libri Porphirii* by Thomas Manlevelt.

A Token of Individuality

Questiones libri Porphirii

by Thomas Manlevelt

A critical edition with introduction and indices

Proefschrift
ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. P.F. van der Heijden,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 22 maart 2012
klokke 16.15 uur

door
Alfred Charles van der Helm
geboren te 's-Gravenhage
in 1958

Promotor:
prof. dr. E.P. Bos

Overige commissieleden:
dr. C. Dutilh Novaes
prof. dr. F.A.J. de Haas
dr. J.B.M. van Rijen
dr. J. Spruyt
prof. dr. B.G. Sundholm

© 2012, A.C. van der Helm

Printed by Koninklijke Wöhrmann, Zutphen
Typesetting by TAT Zetwerk, Utrecht
Cover design by A.C. van der Helm/Ivo Geradts

On the cover: The front cover illustration is taken from folio 25^{va} of the manuscript of the *Questiones libri Porphirii* by Thomas Manlevelt. The back cover illustration is from folio 17^{va} of this same manuscript.

got und der werlt unnütz

Uxori filiabusque

Contents

INTRODUCTION

1.	About this text	5
1.1.	Thomas Manlevelt: on first acquaintance	5
1.2.	Denial of substance	7
1.3.	Primacy of individuality.....	12
2.	Thomas Manlevelt, life and works	15
2.1.	Bibliography	15
2.2.	Biography	17
2.2.1.	Biographical framework.....	18
2.2.2.	Career in Paris	19
2.2.3.	Bibliographical data from works and manuscripts ...	21
2.2.4.	Anglicus?	22
2.2.5.	The spelling of the name ‘Manlevelt’	23
2.2.6.	Thomas’s English background and the dating of his works.....	25
2.2.7.	Thomas Manlevelt and Albert of Saxony	27
3.	Why this text is to be ascribed to Thomas Manlevelt	29
3.1.	The manuscript	29
3.2.	Comparison with other texts.....	33
3.3.	Geographical circumstances	34
3.4.	The dating of the <i>Questiones libri Porphirii</i>	37
3.5.	The Franciscan context	41
3.6.	The theologian background	43
4.	Historical background	47
4.1.	Porphyry’s book, and what it is about.....	47
4.1.1.	The <i>Organon</i>	47
4.1.2.	The <i>Categories</i>	48
4.1.3.	The <i>Isagoge</i>	50
4.1.4.	The three questions	52
4.1.5.	Commentaries on the <i>Isagoge</i>	53
4.2.	Thomas Manlevelt’s Ockhamism.....	56
4.3.	Ockhamism in Oxford and in Paris.....	60
4.4.	Parisian denials of substance	62

4.5. The University of Paris	65
4.6. The University of Oxford	69
4.6.1. Manlevelt and Bradwardine: <i>De incipit et desinit</i>	70
4.6.2. Manlevelt and Bradwardine: <i>Opus artis logicae</i>	73
5. Form and contents of this text	79
5.1. <i>Questiones</i>	79
5.1.1. The structuring of the <i>Questiones</i>	80
5.1.2. References given	82
5.2. Why a commentary?	83
5.3. The originality of Thomas Manlevelt's approach	86
5.4. Thomas Manlevelt's theory of signs	91
5.5. A brief summary of the contents of the <i>questiones</i>	99
5.5.1. The <i>individuum</i>	99
5.5.2. The accident	105
5.5.3. The general structure of the text	114
5.5.4. A comparison to other <i>questiones</i> -commentaries on the <i>Isagoge</i>	114
5.5.5. Thomas Manlevelt's commentary, <i>questio</i> by <i>questio</i>	122
6. Description of the manuscript used	139
7. The editorial principles.....	147
Bibliography	149

QUESTIONES LIBRI PORPHIRII

Sigla	163
⟨Questio 1⟩ Utrum necesse sit aliquem scire quid genus sit et quid species etcetera ad cognitionem predicamentorum habendam	165
⟨Questio 2⟩ Utrum scire quid sit genus etcetera sit necesse ad divisionem faciendam	171
⟨Questio 3⟩ Utrum noscere quid sit genus sit necessarium ad assignationem diffinitionum	175
⟨Questio 4⟩ Utrum universale sit ⟨in⟩ intellectu	180
⟨Questio 5⟩ Utrum genus sit equivocum.....	190
⟨Questio 6⟩ Utrum genus sit cui supponitur species, et hoc est querere utrum illa diffinitio generis sit bene data	194
⟨Questio 7⟩ Utrum genus sit principium suarum specierum	198

⟨Questio 8⟩ Utrum genus predicetur de pluribus differentibus specie	203
⟨Questio 9⟩ Utrum omne genus predicator in quid	206
⟨Questio 10⟩ Utrum genus differat ab individuo	210
⟨Questio 11⟩ Utrum individuum predicetur de uno solo	215
⟨Questio 12⟩ Utrum aliquod individuum sit terminus communis...	221
⟨Questio 13⟩ Utrum proprietas unius individui inveniatur in altero	227
⟨Questio 14⟩ Utrum species sit res distincta a termino sive a signo	231
⟨Questio 15⟩ Utrum homo sit species animalis	239
⟨Questio 16⟩ Utrum genus et species sint sibi invicem relativa	243
⟨Questio 17⟩ Utrum in diffinitione speciei sit necesse poni genus...	246
⟨Questio 18⟩ Utrum ista diffinitio speciei 'Species est que predicator de pluribus numero differentibus in eo quod quid sit, sit bona.....	252
⟨Questio 19⟩ Utrum omne quod est ante individua, sit species specialissima	255
⟨Questio 20⟩ Utrum individuum sit nomen appellativum	260
⟨Questio 21⟩ Utrum unum sit genus omnium; hoc est querere, utrum talia transcendentia aliquid, res, ens, sint genera	264
⟨Questio 22⟩ Utrum tantum sint decem genera et non plura, neque pauciora	270
⟨Questio 23⟩ Utrum genera generalissima sint principia rerum	278
⟨Questio 24⟩ Utrum infinita relinquenda sint ab arte	284
⟨Questio 25⟩ Utrum participatione speciei plures homines sunt unus homo	291
⟨Questio 26⟩ Utrum inferiora predicentur de superioribus	296
⟨Questio 27⟩ Utrum species sit pars generis	301
⟨Questio 28⟩ Utrum aliquid differat a seipso	306
⟨Questio 29⟩ Utrum aliqua substantia differat ab alia separabili accidente	310
⟨Questio 30⟩ Utrum aliquid ab alio differat inseparabili accidente ..	314
⟨Questio 31⟩ Utrum differentia specifica semper faceat aliquid ab alio differre specie	319
⟨Questio 32⟩ Utrum omne faciens per se differre sit differentia specifica	325
⟨Questio 33⟩ Utrum differentia per se suscipit magis et minus	333
⟨Questio 34⟩ Utrum hec differentia <i>animatum sensibile</i> sit constitutiva substantie animalis	338
⟨Questio 35⟩ Utrum hec differentia <i>immortale</i> sit constitutiva Dei ..	343

⟨Questio 36⟩ Utrum eadem differentia sit discretiva generis et constitutiva speciei	348
⟨Questio 37⟩ Utrum differentia specifica sit necessaria ad divisionem generis	352
⟨Questio 38⟩ Utrum differentia specifica sit necessaria ad diffinitionem faciendam.....	357
⟨Questio 39⟩ Utrum ista diffinitio differentie sit bene data: 'differentia est qua abundat species a genere'	364
⟨Questio 40⟩ Utrum aliqua alia diffinitio differentie convertitur cum differentia	368
⟨Questio 41⟩ Utrum proprium dicatur quadrupliciter	374
⟨Questio 42⟩ Utrum aliquod accidens absit et assit preter subiecti corruptionem	381
⟨Questio 43⟩ Utrum ex subiecto et accidente componatur aliquod per se unum	388
⟨Questio 44⟩ Utrum corvus possit subintelligi albus	395
⟨Questio 45⟩ Utrum aliquod accidens sit genus substantiale	403
Index nominum	407
Index verborum notabilium	409
Index exemplorum	439

APPENDIX

QUESTIONES SUPER PREDICAMENTA

⟨Questio 2⟩ Utrum aliquis conceptus sit equivocus	445
Samenvatting.....	459
Curriculum Vitae	463

INTRODUCTION

The present text, the fourteenth century *Questiones libri Porphirii* attributed to Thomas Manlevelt, is a new text. Not only in the sense that the only manuscript that has been handed down to us has never been edited before, but also in the sense that its contents have so far never been the subject of any historical or philosophical research. Thomas Manlevelt, the author to whom the commentary can be ascribed, moreover, is one about whom not much is known.

By way of a first introduction to this new text, I will supply it with a geographical and temporal context, and thus place it against its proper intellectual background. Then I will sketch the contours of its doctrinal and investigational persuasion, and indicate the main points of interest of this commentary on the *Isagoge*. I will also give consideration to uncertainties surrounding its authorship.

As noted, only one manuscript of the present text has been handed down to us. This means that I am being saved the burden of comparing different manuscripts. This is outweighed by the burden of solving all textual obscurities, mistakes, reiterations and omissions in this single manuscript without having recourse to any other.

The logical tracts of Thomas Manlevelt promise to be a rewarding field of investigation in years to come. Critical editions, so scarce thus far, will become available. Apart from this present edition of his commentary on the *Isagoge*, the near future will bring at least two more volumes. Forthcoming is an edition of Thomas Manlevelt's *parva logicalia*, comprising *De suppositionibus*, *De confusionibus* and *De consequentiis*, by C. Kann, S. Lorenz and R. Grass. As a follow-up to the present edition, I intend to produce a critical edition of its twin text, the *Questiones super Predicamenta*, thus completing the commentary on the *logica vetus* attributed to Thomas Manlevelt. This commentary on Aristotle's *Categories* consists of one hundred and twenty-six *questiones*, the second of which, on equivocal concepts, serves as an appendix to the present edition.

