

Reflexive inertia : reinventing scholarship through digital practices Kaltenbrunner, W.

Citation

Kaltenbrunner, W. (2015, May 27). *Reflexive inertia : reinventing scholarship through digital practices*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33061

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33061

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/33061</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Kaltenbrunner, Wolfgang Title: Reflexive inertia : reinventing scholarship through digital practices Issue Date: 2015-05-27

Reflexive Inertia

Reinventing Scholarship Through Digital Practices

Wolfgang Kaltenbrunner

© 2015 Wolfgang Kaltenbrunner

Cover design: Julia de Jong

Printing: Leiden University. This thesis was printed with financial support by Leiden University and the Netherlands Graduate School of Science, Technology, and Modern Culture (WTMC). ISBN 978-90-9029014-0

Reflexive Inertia Reinventing Scholarship Through Digital Practices

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van Rector Magnificus Prof. Mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker , volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties, te verdedigen op woensdag 27 mei 2015 klokke 11: 15 uur

door

Wolfgang Kaltenbrunner geboren te Vöcklabruck, Oostenrijk in 1981 Promotiecommissie

Promotores: Prof. dr. Paul F. Wouters (Universiteit Leiden) Prof. dr. Francisca M.G. de Jong (Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam)

Overige leden: Dr. Anne Beaulieu (Universiteit Groningen) Prof. dr. Sally Wyatt (Universiteit Maastricht) Prof. dr. Willard McCarty (King's College London, UK)

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements 1			
Introduction	3		
The success of digital scholarship - an unexpected turn of events	3		
New tools, new knowledge?	9		
Infrastructural inertia and reflexive adaptation	14		
Summary of the research questions	23		
Methodology: the case study and the article-based PhD dissertation	23		
Chapter 1 - E-research and methodological innovation in Dutch Studies	35		
E–research and the humanities	35		
History of the BNTL	36		
The BNTL in different research practices	38		
Technological innovation and disciplinary identity	42		
Discussion and conclusions	47		
Postscript to chapter 1	51		
Chapter 2 - Scholarly labor and digital collaboration in literary studies			
Parenthesis – relation to conceptual framework	53		
Introduction	56		
The organization of scholarly labor in literary studies	57		
Case study & methods	63		
Discussing theory in a pragmatic way	65		
Delegating data input	70		
What can you expect from a database, and what can it expect from you?			
	75		
Conflicting demands	78		

Resolving the tensions in local contexts	80
Discussion	82
Postscript to chapter 2	86
Chapter 3 - Decomposition as practice and process: creating boundary objects in computational humanities	89
Parenthesis – relation to conceptual framework	89
Introduction	92
Case and methods	93
Hinterlands and boundary objects	94
Different hinterlands in ENS	98
Data as a link between hinterlands?	101
Emerging boundary objects	104
Decomposition and cyberinfrastructure design	108
Postscript to chapter 3	112
Chapter 4 - Infrastructural inversion as a generative resource in digital scholarship	115
Parenthesis – relation conceptual framework	115
, ,	117
	117
	-
∂	124
	137
Postscript to chapter 4	140
Chapter 5 - Digital infrastructure in the humanities: reconfiguring the organization of scholarly tool development	143
Parenthesis – relation to conceptual framework	143
Introduction	145
Infrastructure as a discursive interface between policy and scholarship	146

Methods	152
European Union: Digital infrastructure as a catalyst for integration	153
United States: Infrastructure as an emergent property of ongoing dig scholarship	ital 162
Discussion	170
Postscript to chapter 5	174
Conclusion	177
Summary of empirical findings	179
Theoretical and practical implications	191
Directions for further research	197
References	201
Written sources and digital artifacts cited	201
Interviews cited	227
Summary in Dutch	229
Curriculum Vitae	239

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank Paul Wouters for being an exceptionally inspiring and patient supervisor, as well as an amazing person all around. A supervisory meeting with him always helped me to shrug off the inevitable everyday frustrations that come with doing research, and to remind myself why I wanted to become an academic in the first place. I also wish to express my gratitude to my co-supervisor Francisca de Jong, who has provided consistently constructive and useful feedback even though her disciplinary background is very different from mine.

Secondly, I would like to thank all the members of the former Virtual Knowledge Studio for the Humanities and Social Sciences (VKS), and its sister institution, the Erasmus Studio Rotterdam (Sally Wyatt, Anne Beaulieu, Andrea Scharnhorst, Charles van den Heuvel, Jeanette Haagsma, Smiljana Antonijevic, Jan Kok, Nick Jankowski, Krzysztof Suchecki, Anja de Haas, Stef Scagliola, in addition to numerous temporary visitors). The VKS – prematurely discontinued in spite of its impressive academic output and international reputation - provided an incredibly inspiring intellectual environment, and it was through my stay at the VKS that my interest in digital scholarship was originally piqued. An important stimulus for my work were also the research meetings at the e-humanities group in Amsterdam, led by the energetic Sally Wyatt. Together with my discussions with Joris van Zundert at the Huygens Institute, as well as valuable feedback and support by Willard McCarty, this made it easier for me to stay in touch with ongoing developments in digital scholarship in the Netherlands and abroad.

Apart from the above mentioned venues, I had the good fortune of participating in WTMC, the national graduate school for Science, Technology and Modern Culture. Attending WTMC workshops and summer schools encouraged me to delve into the various strands of an ever growing Science & Technology Studies literature, as well as breaking up the often lonely routine of thesis writing with welcome social events. I would like to thank Teun Zuiderent-Jerak and Willem Halffman for doing a wonderful job at organizing the WTMC meetings, and of course all the great colleagues and friends I was lucky enough to meet there.

My department, the Center for Science & Technology Studies (CWTS), has provided a very supportive environment for my research. I am grateful to all of my colleagues, and in particular my fellow PhD candidates Cathelijn, Zoreh and Joost, with whom it was a pleasure to share a room. Two good friends, Sarah de Rijcke and Clifford Tatum, came to the CWTS some time before me. They helped me to find my way around, and they accompanied my thinking process through regular input. Next to that, I benefitted from regular discussions with Thed van Leeuwen and Alex Rushforth.

Much of the research I present in this thesis is based on ethnographic work in the context of two digital humanities projects, the COST Action Women Writers in History and the Elite Networks Shifts. I would not have been able to collect my empirical material without the generous support of their participants, and I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all of them.

Finally I am grateful to my friends and family. My mother and sister have been tremendously supportive, and my regular visits at home not only allowed me to focus, but also to relax and enjoy traditional Austrian cuisine. Research, after all, is only one of the things we do in our lives. Similarly important were the good friends that accompanied me during the past years: Rainer, Carla (looking forward to reading your thesis soon!), Harald, Sarah, Astrid, Lucas, Anil and Irene. Thanks for bearing with me, and for regularly taking my mind off the research.