

The Niśvāsamukha, the Introductory book of the Niśvāsatattvasaṃhitā: critical edition, with an introduction and annotated translation appended by Śivadharmasaṅgraha 5-9 Kafle. N.

## Citation

Kafle, N. (2015, October 15). The Niśvāsamukha, the Introductory book of the Niśvāsatattvasaṃhitā: critical edition, with an introduction and annotated translation appended by Śivadharmasaṅgraha 5–9. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/35808

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/1887/35808">https://hdl.handle.net/1887/35808</a>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Cover Page



# Universiteit Leiden



The handle <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/1887/35808">http://hdl.handle.net/1887/35808</a> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Kafle, Nirajan

**Title:** The Niśvāsamukha, the introductory book of the Niśvāsatattvasaṃhitā: critical edition, with an introduction and annotated translation appended by

Śivadharmasaṅgraha 5–9 **Issue Date:** 2015-10-15

## **TRANSLATION**

## **CHAPTER I**

[Frame story: the five streams]

#### Ricīka said:

I went to the eastern direction for the sake of flowers and kindling.<sup>184</sup> An unprecedented marvel was seen. Having seen that [I became] full of curiosity.<sup>185</sup> (1)

[There were] eighty-eight thousand sages, whose semen flowed upwards, <sup>186</sup> [living in] the Naimişa forest [...]. <sup>187</sup> (2)

[...]<sup>188</sup> O Lord! please tell me, who am asking, all [about] this. (3)

O Lord you are expert in all scriptures and especially in the Vedas. <sup>189</sup> I ask you, O Matanga, because (*tena...yena*) you know. (4)

## Matanga said:

Listen, my child: I will tell you everything briefly. Those [sages] residing in the Naimişa forest heard ( $\acute{s}rutam$ ) that [...]<sup>190</sup> (5)

There is a euphonic glide m between the words  $p\bar{u}rva$  and  $\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ . Here samidhaih is presumably to be understood as meaning 'together with firewood'. Prof. Vasudeva suggests a possibility of conjecturing samidhe as in any case we need to understand it to be dative. He further points out that there are a handful of instances where puspa and samidh appear together, such as  $Divy\bar{a}vad\bar{a}na$  p. 43, lin. 6,  $K\bar{u}rmapur\bar{u}na$  2:12:24 etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup>Masculine pronoun *tam* is presumably meant for neuter *tat*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>186</sup>The same line appears in *Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa* 1:7:180 and 1:21:170, *Mārkaṇḍeyapurāṇa* 49:79 and *Garuḍapurāṇa* 1:49:26. The same line reading yatīnām and munīnāṃ instead of ṛṣṇṇām appears in Mahābhārata 2:11:34 and Skandapurāṇa 114:14 respectively. Eighty-eight thousand aṣṭāśītisahasrāṇi appears to be a common cliché in the Mahābhārata (2:48:39, 2:11:34, 4:65:16, 12:34:17 etc.) and Purāṇas (Bhāgavatapurāṇa 8:1:22, Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa 1:21:164, Viṣṇudharmottara 64:22, Viṣṇupurāṇa 1:6:36, 2:8:92, Vāmanapurāṇa 27:59, Skandapurāṇa 114:14, Agnipurāṇa 376:32 etc.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup>In comparison to other folios, the first folio of the manuscript is much damaged in the right-hand margin. The text lost in this line and the following line must be telling something about the sages of the Naimiṣa forest, probably their visit to the Devadāruvana. We know from the text a little further on (1:19–20) that these sages have gone to the forest of Devadāru for the purpose of initiation. Our guess is that the unprecedented marvel Ricīka saw and became curious about is the moving of the sages of the Naimiṣa forest to the Devadāru forest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup>We are not able to conjecture here. We do not get to know the precise question that Ricīka asked to Matanga.

 $<sup>^{189}</sup>$  All of the our sources read  $dev\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$  instead. We could even accept this reading. In this case our translation would be: O Lord you are expert in all scriptures and especially of gods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup>The lost text after this point must have said, at least, what the sages heard. It is possible that they heard that the gods, including Brahmā and Viṣṇu are gathered in the Devadāru forest where Maheśvara stayed. We are, however, unable to reconstruct the lost text.

[...]<sup>191</sup> by those [sages] residing in the Naimişa forest in the very place Brahmā and Keśava were initiated. O Ricīka! [Thus,] they were all full of curiosity [and] were extremely astonished. (6–7)

Those experts in all scriptures spoke to each other as follows. How could one obtain (katham...prapadyeta) an initiation outside ( $muktv\bar{a}$ ) the Vedic tradition ( $vedoktam\ \bar{a}gamam$ )? For there is nothing else higher than the Veda. Yoga [[...]]. <sup>192</sup> (8–9)

How is it that Viṣṇu also, the knower of the Sāṅkhya and Yoga,  $^{193}$  was initiated? Having heard that  $(tam)^{194}$  all sages of stringent vows came [there]. (10)

Seen  $(drstv\bar{a})$  [to you] as you were approaching [there]  $(tvayi-m-\bar{a}y\bar{a}nt\bar{a})$ , <sup>195</sup> they entered the forest of Devadāruvana (devadāruvanam vanam). They there, thinking that we shall see Brahmā, Viṣṇu and Maheśvara <sup>196</sup> together (samudāyena) [and request them for] initiation  $(d\bar{t}ks\bar{a})$  [[...] <sup>197</sup> (11–12)

- [...] Then they all, Brahmā, Viṣṇu and Maheśvara, however, [[...]]<sup>198</sup> went [back] to their respective places<sup>199</sup> after having given permission to Nandin [in the following way]. (12–13)
- « You are the bestower of favour [not only] upon sages but also upon all living beings<sup>200</sup> and also ( $tath\bar{a}$ ) you were earlier endowed with authority [to bestow  $d\bar{\imath}k\bar{\imath}a$ ?] by Devī.<sup>201</sup> (14)

 $<sup>^{191}</sup>$ Three  $p\bar{a}da$  of the verse are missing here. It is possible that the text lost here included 'it was heard again,' because immediately after the lacuna, we have 'by the sages of the Naimiṣa forest,' and also what was heard by them: 'in that very place Brahmā and Keśava were initiated'. Once again we are not able to conjecture the text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>192</sup>Twenty one syllables of text are missing here and so the last three *pādas* of this verse cannot be translated precisely. We, however, assume that the missing text, at least, is about a question of the initiation of Brahmā, as the immediately following line states a question about Viṣṇu's initiation saying *kathaṃ viṣṇuś ca dīkṣītaḥ* 'How Viṣṇu also was initiated,' alluding to the initiation of Brahmā. We know that both Brahmā and Viṣṇu were initiated in the Devadāruvana (1:7) and (1:16). As Viṣṇu is said to be the knower of the Sāṅkhya and Yoga, we somehow expect that Brahmā is recognized as the master of Veda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>193</sup>The *Guhyasūtra* 1:12cd also states that the Sānkhya and Yoga are related to Viṣṇu: *anviṣet sānkhyayogañ ca viṣṇudhyānaratas sadā*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup>Masculine accusative singular standing for neuter accusative singular.

 $<sup>^{195}</sup>$ Here the letter m has probably been used in order to avoid hiatus, and although all manuscripts read  $tvayim\bar{a}y\bar{a}nt\bar{a}$  perhaps we need to understand tvayi-m as a locative functioning as an instrumental. Our interpretation is very tentative. The passage, after all, may be corrupt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>196</sup>We require *brahmāviṣṇumaheśvarāḥ* as a compound. Please note that *brahmā*- is often used in this text as a stem-form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup>The text breaks off after  $d\bar{\imath}k\bar{\imath}a$ . We assume that the lost text here could have mentioned that Śiva himself did not grant initiation to the sages, but he bestowed this authority to Nandikeśvara.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>198</sup>Here we may be missing a past participle referring to the gods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>199</sup>The second of the two instances of tu seems intended only to pad out the metre ( $p\bar{a}dap\bar{u}ra\eta a$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>200</sup>The second  $p\bar{a}da$  is unmetrical, the fifth letter being long.

 $<sup>^{201}</sup>$ According to the third chapter,  $tantr\bar{a}vat\bar{a}ra$ , of the  $Raurav\bar{a}gama$ , Devī teaches tantra to Nandikeśvara and he teaches it to Brāhmins. We are not sure what is referred to in this case. We have assumed here that this is an aiśa use of the genitive singular ( $devy\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ ) employed (m.c.) in the sense of the ablative: "authority has been handed down [to you] from the goddess".

$$[[\dots \times \dots]]^{202}$$
 (15a–16b)

« Tell us all how ( $yath\bar{a}...tath\bar{a}$ ) Brahmā and Viṣṇu were initiated, both of them (te)<sup>203</sup> being knowers of knowledge about initiation in all scriptures.<sup>204</sup> Please tell [us] all about it, O omniscient Nandikeśvara! » Thus they [[...]]<sup>205</sup> (16c–17d)

## Ricīka said:

« How could Lord Nandikeśvara be the teacher of them [i.e. sages]? How were they initiated into this system (*śāstre*), the true doctrine of Śiva (*śivasanmate*)? » (18)

# Matanga said:

Now I will tell you, O best among Brahmins, how (yathā...tathā) they, desirous of initiation and knowledge,<sup>206</sup> venerated (stunvanti) Nandi: please listen<sup>207</sup> with one-pointed minds. (19)

In the beautiful Devadāru forest  $[[...]]^{208}$ 

O you of very great austerity! Devotee of Rudra! (rudrāṃśa),<sup>209</sup> Omniscient because

Cf. Guhyasūtra 1:11. Certainly rudrāṃśa could equally mean "part of Rudra" or "partial incarnation of Rudra" but Sanderson convincingly says, "In Śaiva terminology a compound formed of the name of a deity followed by the word -aṃśaḥ means a devotee of that deity, more precisely a person with a natural inclination (aṃśaḥ) towards that deity rather than another." For more details see Sanderson 2003:354:16. Kṣemarāja commenting on Svacchandatantra 8:1ab defines aṃśaka as follows: parasya bodhabhairavasya śaktibhiḥ brāhmyādibhir adhiṣṭhitā

 $<sup>^{202}</sup>$ Six  $p\bar{u}das$  are missing here. We have only the first letter  $d\bar{\iota}$  of 15a. Most probably the complete word would be  $d\bar{\iota}k\bar{\iota}k\bar{u}$ , 'initiation'. Could then the text be about the initiation of Nandikeśvara by Śiva as he is endowed with authority for  $d\bar{\iota}k\bar{\iota}k\bar{u}$  by Dev $\bar{\iota}$ ? Or the text may have said that Nandikeśvara is capable of granting initiation to the sages. However, at least in the last part of this lacuna, we expect change of interlocutors, because after the lacuna we find the sages requesting Nandikeśvara to clear their doubt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup>Here this masculine plural must either be taken in the sense of a masculine dual pronoun, or simply corrected to *tau*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup>This line could of course be interpreted differently. For instance, it might be assumed instead that they know both about all scriptures and about initiation and knowledge (assuming a samāhāradvandva, for this cf. Svāyambhuvasātrasaṅgraha, Vidyāpāda 1:1 and Sadyojyoti's commentary on it.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup>We are not able to conjecture as almost two *pāda*s are missing here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup>We take dīkṣājñānasya as a samāhāradvandva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup>Here śṛṇuṣvekamanādhunā is aiśa sandhi for śṛṇuṣvaikamanādhunā.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup>The text of *Guhyasūtra* 16:1a–2b (*devadāruvane ramye ṛṣayaḥ saṃśitavratāḥ | nandīśam upasaṃgamya praṇipatya muhur muhuḥ | | ūcus te ṛṣayaḥ sarve stutvā nandiṃ śivātmajam | .* "In the beautiful Devadāru forest, having approached Nandin and bowing down again and again, [and] after praising Nandin, son of Śiva, the sages spoke thus". seems to be fitting in this lacuna, but the damaged space of the manuscript does not allow us to put all the three lines there. We could fit these three lines in our lacuna by cutting them into two as: *devadāruvane ramye praṇipatya muhur muhuḥ | ūcus te ṛṣayaḥ sarve stutvā nandiṃ śivātmajam | .* We are not however sure about this conjecture and are hesitant to put it in the main text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup>The *Svacchandatantra* 8:3d–4b defines *rudrāṃśa* as follows:

<sup>...</sup> rudrāṃśaṃ ca nibodha me | | rudrabhaktah suśīlaś ca śivaśāstraratah sadā |

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Now listen to me [about] *rudrāṃśa*. [The person called *rudrāṃśa* is] devoted to Rudra, well-disposed and always delighting in Śiva-scriptures.'

of Śiva's power (śivatejasā)!<sup>210</sup> Sinless one! The dialogue between Devī and Śaṅkara,<sup>211</sup> [which is] the means for destruction of worldly existence [and is] the supreme nectar among all knowledge was previously heard by you. It is taught only through initiation by Śiva, who removes what is inauspicious (aśivahāriṇā).<sup>212</sup> (20–22)

Please  $(pras\bar{a}d\bar{a}t)^{213}$  act in such a way as to ensure that all the excellent sages [here] are liberated through your grace [...].<sup>214</sup> (23)

[...] To [you, who has] the form of [...]!<sup>215</sup> Homage to you who holds a spear in your hand,<sup>216</sup> three-eyed, to you who were born from a sage (*ṛṣisambhave*),<sup>217</sup> to you whose body is afflicted by austerity! Please raise [us] up [out of *saṃsāra*] through your compassion (*prasādataḥ*). O Nandikeśvara, there can be no other protector except you. (24–25)

#### Nandikeśvara said:

All you sages, listen to that which is said to be five-fold: worldly (*laukikam*), Vedic (*vaidikam*), relating to the soul (*ādhyātmikam*), transcendent (*atimārgam*), and Mantra (*mantrākhyam*) [...].<sup>218</sup> (26a–27b)

brāhmādyās tathābhāvabhāsitā amśāh, tatas tadanugrāhyā api tadamśā ity ucyante | . Cf. also Śivadharmaśāstra 4:9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>210</sup>Alternatively, we could take *śivatejasā* with what follows. In this case our translation would be: the dialogue between Devī and Śańkara was previously heard by you through the power of Śiva ....

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>211</sup>devyāśaṃkarasaṃvādam is assumed to be an aiśa compound for devīśaṃkarasaṃvādam, but the word could be split taking devyā as an instrumental.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup>This may mean that the above-mentioned knowledge is somehow transmitted through a ritual initiation or that it is only through having received initiation that one is entitled to receive the knowledge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>213</sup>Instead of manuscript K's reading "prasādād" we could retain the reading of N and W, prasādā, and treat it as a aiśa ablative without a final consonant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>214</sup>We are not able to conjecture 23d.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup>25d might for example have read *namaste śivarūpiṇe*; the translation would then be "veneration to you [who has] the form of Śiva". It is clear from the context that we are missing some epithet(s) of Nandin in 25c too.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>216</sup> śūlahastāya might of course mean that he holds a trident.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>217</sup> ṛṣisambhave might be an aiśa use of the locative for the dative, but it is a perfectly correct form of the dative singular, since the root saṃbhu also exists in the same meant as saṃbhū. Or it could simply be corrected, as suggested by Professor Alexis Sanderson, to a vocative, ṛṣisambhava. In that case our translation would be "O you who were born from a sage!". According to the <code>Skandapurāṇa</code> (20:4ff.), <code>Śatarudrasaṃhitā</code> (6:1ff.) of the <code>Śivapurāṇa</code>, <code>Haracaritacintāmaṇi</code> (4:32ff.) etc. Nandīkeśvara is the son of the sage Śilāda.

<sup>218</sup> Perhaps we may conjecture something like mantrākhyaṇ tantrabhedam anekadhā, for cf. Śataratnasaṅgraha p. 8 (this text is quoting from the Kāmika) laukikaṇ vaidikaṇ caiva tathādhyātmikam eva ca at atimārgaṇ ca mantrākhyaṇ tantrabhedam anekadhā or "[[...]] mantrākhyam tantram etad anekadhā. Cf. also Pūrvakāmika 1:17c—18b: laukikaṇ vaidikaṇ caiva tathādhyātmikam eva ca at atimārgaṇ ca mantrākhyaṇ tantram etad anekadhā. Neither of these parallels provides a pāda that perfectly suits our context. Our text says that these five kinds of knowledge are revealed by five different faces of Śiva: the laukika from the west face, i.e. Sadyojāta (3:197), the vaidika from the north face, i.e. Vāmadeva (4:41), the ādhyātmika from the south face, i.e. Aghora (4:42), the atimārga from the east face, i.e. Tatpuruṣa (4:132), and the mantramārga from the upper face, i.e. Īsāna (4:136). Sanderson (2006:157) points out that the same kind of division is found in the Mrgendra the Puṣkarapārameśvara, the Svacchandatantra and the Jayadrathayāmala. See also commentary of Nārāyaṇakaṇṭha on Mrgendrakriyāpāda

All [the sages] were initiated by Nandin: some (*pare*) were joined to liberation (*nirvāṇe yojitāḥ*); others, being desirous of *vidyā*, were joined to *vidyā*.<sup>219</sup> Having initiated them according to rule he started to speak. (27c—28)

"I will teach, O best among Brahmins, just as Śiva, the destroyer of all suffering, when asked by the great goddess". After prostrating before Śiva and making myself pure, <sup>220</sup> (29)

One should bow one's head to the god [who has] the crescent moon as his diadem, join one's hands together and raise them to one's forehead with devotion, and proclaim a hymn as follows.<sup>221</sup> (30)

Veneration to you together with your attendants, and together with your wife. Let there be veneration to you. O Sadāśiva let there be veneration to you! O greatest soul Śiva (paramātma)!<sup>222</sup> Veneration to you, Śiva (śive). »<sup>223</sup> (31)

The earth supports people [so] people are understood to consist of earth. [Your<sup>224</sup>

8:76.

The first four divisions are treated in this section of the *Niśvāsa*, the *Niśvāsamukha*. They are the religious context out of which the Tantric religion of Mantramārga arose here. The fifth, the Mantramārga, is what is taught in the remainder of the *Niśvāsa*.

219/27c—28 must have been spoken by Matanga to Ricīka. Vidyā here may be <code>vidyādīkṣā</code>. Throughout the <code>Niśvāsa</code> corpus there are two basic types of initiation, one of which is called <code>nirvāṇadīkṣā</code> and the other <code>vidyādīkṣā</code>. Prof. Dominic Goodall has suggested (in the paper "<code>Vidyādīkṣā</code> and <code>Muktidīkṣā</code> in <code>Niśvāsa</code> corpus" delivered in the First International Workshop on Early Tantra on 19th September 2008) that the first is for liberation and the second for <code>sādhana</code>. One possible explanation of the name <code>vidyādīkṣā</code> is that it grants entitlement to use mantra (<code>vidyā</code>) for the pursuit of <code>siddhis</code>. But this passage might be supposed to imply instead that the element <code>vidyā</code> refers to a level of the universe.

<sup>220</sup>Kṣemarāja, on *Svacchandatantrodyota* Vol.1, p. 26, takes śuciḥ 'pure' to mean śuciḥ kṛṭayatheṣṭasnānaḥ 'who has taken adequate bath(s)'.

<sup>221</sup>We assume that the *aṣṭamūrtistava* is a hanging passage here . See introduction p. aṣṭa:hanging.

 $^{222}$  paramātma is presumably an aiśa vocative for the dative.

<sup>223</sup>We assume that *śive* is an *aiśa* usage of the locative as a dative.

224Cf. Prayogamañjarī 1:19, Tantrasamuccaya 1:15 and Iśānagurudevapaddhati 26:56 kṣitir vai dhāryate lokān lokāḥ kṣitimayāḥ smṛtāḥ | sarvagaṃ kṣitirāpaṃ te kṣitimārte namo 'stu te. Note that the Prayogamañjarī, Tantrasamuccaya and Iśānagurudevapaddhati have kṣitirāpaṃ te ('your form as earth') where our text has kṣitirāpaṃ tu. Here starts the description of the eight forms of Śiva. We often find these eight forms of god mentioned in Purāṇas, tantras, Kāvyas, inscriptions, etc. including the Śatapathabrāhmaṇa (6:1:3:9–17). Cf. Lingapurāṇa 41:29ff, Vāyupurāṇa pūrvabhāga 27:1ff, Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa pūrvabhāga 1:10:1ff, Viṣṇupurāṇa 1:8:1ff, Śivapurāṇa uttarabhāga of the Vāyavīyasaṃhitā 3:18–19, Kūrmapurāṇa 1:10:23–26, Rauravasūtrasangraha upodghāta verse 16 (it appears in the Rauravāgama vol. I, p.2, verse 16) Prayogamañjarī 1:18–28, Tantrasamuccaya 1:15–23, Iśānagurudevapaddhati 26:56–65, Somaśambhupaddhati 4:2:205–206, Pūrvakāmika, 66:102–103, Suprabhedāgama, 37:74–78, Abhijñānaśākuntala 1:1, Vallabhadeva's commentary on Raghuvaṃśa 5:4 and Kūrmapurāṇa 41:32, Śiśupālavadha 14:18, Bhera-ghat inscription (Epigraphia Indica Vol. II, no. 2, p. 10), Bakong Stele inscription of Indravarman I (Epigraphia Indica Vol. II, no. 35, p. 439), the inscription of Harsha stone (Epigraphia Indica Vol. II, no. 8, p. 120) the inscription of Bhaṭṭa Bhavadeva etc. (inscriptional records are quoted from Satyanarayanan 2007:401–403).

There are close parallel verses for 27c–35b, in the *Prayogamañjarī* (1:18–26) and *Tantrasamuccaya* (1:16–23), and *Īśānagurudevapaddhati* 26:56–63. It is remarkable that we find this parallel only in the Keralā Tantric tradition. Our sources for the *astamūrti* are unanimous in recording these eight forms of god except for some

] form as earth is all pervading: O you who have earth as your form!<sup>225</sup> Let there be veneration to you. (32)

The water supports people [so] people are understood to consist of water. [Your] form as water is all pervading: O you who have water as your form! Let there be veneration to you. (33)

The wind supports people [so] people are understood to consist of wind. [Your] form as wind is all pervading: O you who have wind as your form! Let there be veneration to you. (34)

The fire supports people [so] people are understood to consist of fire. [Your] form as fire is all pervading: O you who have wind as your form! Let there be veneration to you. (35)

The soul performs oblations [so] people are understood to consist of oblation. [Your] form as oblation is all pervading: O you who have oblation as your form! Let there be veneration to you.<sup>226</sup> (36)

Ether supports people [so] people are understood to consist of ether. [Your] form [as] ether is all pervading: O you who have ether as your form!<sup>227</sup> Let there be veneration to you. (37)

The moon supports people [so] people are understood to consist of moon. [Your] form as a moon is all pervading: O you who have moon as your form! Let there be veneration to you. (38)

The sun supports people [so] people are understood to consist of sun. [Your] form as sun is all pervading: O you who have sun as your form! Let there be veneration to you. (39)

Eight form [[...]]<sup>228</sup>

variants of one of the names. These forms are: earth, water, wind, fire, oblation / yajamāna / dīkṣita / ātmā, ether, moon and sun. The Śatapathabrāhmaṇa (6:1:3:9–17), perhaps the earliest source for these eight names of god, however, records the eight forms as: fire, water, wind, oṣadhi, vidyut, parjanya, moon and sun.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup>Or perhaps 'O form [of yours] as earth'?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>226</sup>yajña, as one of the forms of Śiva, apart from our text, appears in the *Tantrasamuccaya* (1:18), the *Prayogamañjarī* (1:21) and *Īśānagurudevapaddhati* (26:58).

A few more variants of this form of Śiva appear in our sources: most commonly yajamāna (see Abhijāāna-śākuntalam 1:1, Lingapurāṇa 41:32, Vallabhadeva's commentary on Kumārasaṇbhava 1:55, Śiśupālavadha 14:18, Somasaṇbhupaddhati 4:2:205, Bhera-ghat inscription, (quoted from Satyanarayanan 2007:401) Viṣṇupurāṇa (1:8:7), the Vāyupurāṇa pārvabhāga (27:19) and the Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa pūrvabhāga (1:10:20) use the term dīkṣito brāhmaṇa for yajamāna; dīkṣita (see Vallabhadeva's commentary on Raghuvaṇśa 5:4 and Kūrmapurāṇa 41:32, commentary on Netratantra 18:61, Viṣṇupurāṇa 1:8:7 etc.). See also Goodall and Isaacson 2003:263–264 on this point; ātman, cf. Rauravasūtrasaṅgraha, upodghāta, verse16, uttarabhāga of Vāyavīyasaṃhitā 3:19 of the Śivapurāṇa, Ajitāgama 54:2, Mahimnastava 26 and various inscriptional records (see Satyanarayanan 2007:401 etc.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>227</sup> pāda 32c is hypermetrical and mūrtyākāśa is an aiśa compound for ākāśamūrti (m.c.) unless we analyse, as suggested by Prof. Bhim Kandel, the compound as mūrtiḥ ākāśaṃ iva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>228</sup>We are here missing twelve letters. It is likely from the context of the following line that the lost text would have mentioned something in praise of Śiva. The gap is too little to fit the eight correlating names of the eight forms of Śiva mentioned above (27c–35b) viz. earth, water etc., what we normally would expect have.

These eight, commonly called guardians of the forms (mūrtipāḥ or mūrtiśvarāḥ), are: Śarva/Sarva, Bhava,

By this true sentence please draw me out from worldly existence. (40)

Whoever is pure (*śuciḥ*) and recites (*paṭhet*) this hymn consisting of eight forms [of Śiva], [becoming] free from all sins, he will attain union<sup>229</sup> with Śiva. (41)

#### Devī said:

You are the god [having] no beginning and end (*anādinidhano*), devoid of birth and destruction, <sup>230</sup> imperishable, all pervading and having all forms. You are omniscient [and] the sole cause [of the whole universe]. (42)

[You are] the creator, maintainer and destroyer, the chief  $(parameṣṭh\bar{\iota})^{231}$  and the supreme god. [[...]]<sup>232</sup> highest goal (gatih). (43)

Having taken refuge in you, sages, gods and demons, snakes, Gandharvas, Yakṣas, Piśācas, apsaras and rākṣasas have all obtained accomplishment (siddhi).<sup>233</sup> (44)

Having obtained a boon by your grace, they play after having reached the goal, which is liberation after which one is not reborn (*apunarbhavanirvāṇam*), from which, once one has reached it, one does not return [to this world].<sup>234</sup> (45)

Indeed (*hi*), I watch the spinning, dreadful wheel of time, seeing people tormented by sorrows and extremely afflicted. (46)

Rudra, Paśupati, Iśāna, Ugra, Mahādeva and Bhīma. The Śatapathabrāhmaṇa exceptionally mentions Aśani in place of Bhīma and Sarva, with its etymology (see Śatapathabrāhmaṇa 6:1:11), in place of Śarva. Since our close parallels, the *Prayogamañjarī* (1:28), *Tantrasamuccaya* (1:15) and *Iśānagurudevapaddhati* (26:65), and virtually every source records the eight forms following the eight correlating names of Śiva, we would expect to find them here. It is noteworthy, however, that the correlation of these eight names of Śiva with his eight forms is not consistent, see Satyanarayanan 2007:401–402.

The *Lingapurāṇa* 2:13:1ff and *Viṣṇupurāṇa* 8:8ff give the eight names along with corresponding wives and sons, the *Iśānagurudevapaddhati uttarārddha* 12:40ff presents the iconography of these eight form of Śiva, and the *Śatapathabrāhmaṇa* (6:1:3:8–17), *Vāyupurāṇa pūrvabhāga*, 27:1ff = *Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa pūrvabhāga* 1:10:1ff and *Viṣṇupurāṇa* 1:8:1ff has a detailed account as to how Śiva became the *aṣṭamūrti* (note that *Kūrmapurāṇa* 1:10:23 too alludes the story). It is likely that this story goes back to the *Śatapathabrāhmaṇa* (6:1:3:8–17).

<sup>229</sup>sāyojyatām is a common aiśa form, with Prakritic guṇa-grade of the vowel, for sāyujyatām.

 $^{230}$ The first  $p\bar{a}da$   $an\bar{a}dinidhano$  devah is a common cliché. The first half of this verse seems to be somewhat similar to  $V\bar{a}kyapad\bar{\imath}yam$  1:1ab:  $an\bar{a}dinidhanam$  brahma  $\acute{s}abdatattvam$  yad akṣaram. We have assumed that the sense is that of ajo  $\'{k}ṣaro$   $\'{v}yayah$ , although the transmitted text is ajam akṣaram avyayah, where the m functions as a euphonic glide sound.

<sup>231</sup>The term *parameṣṭhī* generally is an epithet of Brahmā, not of Śiva.

<sup>232</sup>Certainly the lost text speaks in praise of Śiva, but we are not able to conjecture what it would be.

<sup>233</sup>The compound *piśācāpsararākṣasāḥ* involves an *aiśa sandhi* between *apsaras* and *rākṣasāḥ*.

<sup>234</sup>"They play" (*krīḍante*) looks a rather curious expression. We think that the world refers to the "play" by the means of supernatural powers (*siddhi*) in which a practitioner would be able to assume minute form (*aṇimā*) and the like. This is made clear later in the text (2:20ab), where it states that they play by using the *aṇimā* and the rest: *īśvarasya prasādena krīḍante aṇimādibhiḥ*. Cf. also *Svacchandatantra* 7:225b. This, in the context of the *Niśvāsa*, bestowed by the *bhukti- | vidyā-dīkṣā*, an initiation that is for the enjoyment of supernatural powers. This leads to assume that *apunarbhavanirvāṇaṃ* in the verse might be related with the *mukti- | nirvāṇa-dīkṣā*, an initiation leading up to the liberation, as it appears with the combination of play, which we think to be related with supernatural powers. It is the *Niśvāsatattvasaṃhitā* that deals specifically with these two types of initiations. For details see Goodall and Isaacson 2011:124ff.

O god of gods! [...]<sup>235</sup> on account of your compassion for the world, how mortals may be released from birth, death, old age etc. and also from hunger, thirst, cold, heat, desire, anger, fear,<sup>236</sup> and separations from loved ones (ist $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ ), [they who are] enveloped by all [kinds of] diseases. Helpless, destitute of refuge, O god, [they are] treacherous and deceitful, delighting in killing others and malevolent (dust $\bar{a}h$ ). O great god! Please protect them.(47–49)

O Lord of the gods! how and by which [specific] means will these malevolent ones, devoid of pure conduct be purified [[...]];<sup>237</sup> please tell me that method. (50)

# Īśvara replied:

I have taught five streams [of knowledge] on account of my desire for the welfare of the world. I will explain (*pravakṣyāmi*) all of them, O beloved one! Please listen attentively.<sup>238</sup> (51)

And for the sake of heaven and liberation ( $svarg\bar{a}pavargahetoh$ ), understand this (tan)<sup>239</sup> exactly. (52ab)

I shall teach [first] the worldly [stream] (*laukikam*), by which people attain heaven (*svargam*). (52cd)

Wells, ponds, houses, gardens [[...]]<sup>240</sup> [and] courtyards (maṇḍapāḥ), donations, pil-grimages, (tīrtha) fasting, religious observances and restraints, [eating] edibles and avoiding inedibles (bhakṣābhakṣaparīhāram),<sup>241</sup> Mantra recitation and sacrifice (japahomam), [committing suicide by] falling into water, fire or from a cliff, and abstaining from food, renouncing possessions (vidyamānanivṛttiḥ),<sup>242</sup> honouring teachers and aged people, this is what I have taught as laukika. The four-āśrama system is called Vaidika, [...]<sup>243</sup> [...]<sup>244</sup> The world-transcenders are mahāvratin

and those who are called mantra[-path-follower]s are Śaivas. [Any] others than these

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>235</sup>Three letters are missing. They have to convey something like 'please teach [me]'. It could be something like *vadasva*, *ācakṣva* or *brūhi me* etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup>We take all these instrumentals in the meaning of ablative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>237</sup>Ex conj.</sup> The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:15) makes it clear that what we are missing is a word(s) or a compound that deals with sins. There are several ways we could conjecture. Prof. Diwakar Acharya, has suggested sarvakilbisaih.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>238</sup>Note an elision instead of ordinary *sandhi*, in *śṛṇuṣv' avahitā*. One could, of course, consider adopting the reading of apograph K: *śṛṇuṣvāvahitā*.

 $<sup>^{239}</sup>$  tam may refer to *hetu*, or perhaps should be  $t\bar{a}n$ , or be intended to mean 'therefore'. There is a possibility that tan is meant for  $t\bar{a}n$ . In this case this refers to the five steams.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup>A considerable text is missing here, consisting of 20 letters. The text must be about constructing a garden, cross-way and the like for a public good. For this see 2:25ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup>bhakṣābhakṣaparīhāram is assumed to be an aiśa compound for the sake of the metre to mean: bhakṣaṃ ca abhakṣaparīhāraṃ ca.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>242</sup>For this expression, cf. 3:58 below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>243</sup>The lost part of the text must have listed the Sāṃkhya and Yoga which constitute the *ādhyātmika*s in this corpus.

 $<sup>^{24\</sup>overline{4}}$ We expect the term *atimārga* to occur here in 56a.

are situated on a wrong path. (53–56)

#### Devī asked:

O god! You have indicated the five streams but not described them, now you should (*arhasi*) teach (*vaktum*) them to me at length by your grace. (57)

# [1. The Laukika stream]

# **Ī**śvara replied:

[Even] a bad, evil-minded (dusṭacetasaḥ) person,<sup>245</sup> who makes a drinking-fountain,<sup>246</sup> will shake off [...]<sup>247</sup> and rejoice with ancestors.<sup>248</sup> (58)

One who creates a lotus pond, becoming free from blemishes, will go to heaven,<sup>249</sup> together with seven generations [of his family] until his fame fades away.<sup>250</sup> (59)

Whoever offers a house, having filled it with possessions,<sup>251</sup> to a Brahmin, for that person there will be a celestial golden house in heaven. (60)

If one makes a garden in a temple of the lord of lords (*devadevasya cālaye*),<sup>252</sup> then hear from me the reward of the merit that one gains from each different flower [offered from that garden(?)]. (61)

[The offering] of one flower [to the linga] is of the value of ten gold coins; a garland is equivalent to one lakh [of gold coins]; one crore, they say, [is obtained] from a hundred garlands; if one covers the linga [with flowers, then the reward is] infinite.<sup>253</sup> (62)

Those who always act in this manner [viz. by making offerings of flowers] become my troops  $(gan\bar{a}h)$ , never to fall [from that state]:<sup>254</sup> they will not become mortals [again], even after a hundred crores of kalpas. (63)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup>We understand this genitive singular *duṣṭacetasaḥ* to be used as a masculine nominative singular *duṣṭacetāḥ*. This kind of use is quite common throughout *Niśvāsa* corpus. See *Nisvāsamukha*: 1:110, 1:124, 2:34; *Nisvāsaguhya*: 1:10, 3:15, 3:80 etc.; *Niśvāsamūla*: 1:16; *Niśvāsanaya*: 2:58, 4:59 and *Niśvāsottara*: 5:23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup>It is not inconceivable that this means instead: 'who offers drinking water [to others']; *utpānaṃ* is an *aiśa* usage (m.c.), with the sense of *udapānaṃ*, as is confirmed by the reading of the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (5:15).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup>On the basis of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:15), namely pāpasaṃghātam, we could conjecture something like vidhūya pāpasaṃghaṃ 'will shake off a multitude of sin'. Since we are not sure about how the order of the words were arranged in the lost portion, we did not put it into the text itself. The other likely conjecture could be vidhūya svakaṃ pāpaṃ 'will shake off his own sin'. We could have adopted the reading of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha, namely, pāpasaṃghātam, but that would have rendered the pada hypermetrical.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup>Here begins the treatment of *laukika* religion, the first of the five *srotas*.

 $<sup>^{249}</sup>Ex\ conj.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup>For this idea, see *Mahābhārata* 5:35:4: *yāvat kīrtir manuṣyasya punyā lokeṣu gīyate* | *tāvat sa puruṣavyāghra svargaloke mahīyate* | |. Here *naśyate* is an *aiśa ātmanepada* for *naśyati* (m.c.). It is conceivable, as has been suggested by Prof. Diwakar Acharya, that what is meant is that he remains in heaven until such time as the lotus-pond, the physical manifestation of his fame, is destroyed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup>Alternatively, we could take  $krtv\bar{a}$  as only intended to pad out the metre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup>ca is functioning as a hiatus breaker.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>253</sup>Cf. below 1:123ff.

 $<sup>^{254}</sup>$ Once again ca is functioning as a hiatus breaker.

This is what I heard from Hara, who was telling the goddess, and I have told it all to you (*tubhyaṃ*),<sup>255</sup> namely that which is the fruit of covering the *liṅga* (*liṅgapūraṇe*).<sup>256</sup> (64)

# [1.1 Worship of the *linga*]

# The sages spoke:<sup>257</sup>

The sages, fearful, oppressed by the fear of the world, ask: « How is god to be pleased? What is the fruit of worshipping him? What is the fruit of bathing him with milk, clarified butter, curds, and water? And what is the fruit of [offering] all kinds of flowers, fragrance, incense, cloths, ornaments, edibles, banners, mirrors, and awnings? Tell us the fruit of [offering] lamps and an umbrella,  $(d\bar{t}pacchatraphalam)^{261}$  cows, goats, sheep and buffalo  $(go'j\bar{a}vimahis\bar{t}s\bar{u})$ , offering of horses and elephants, the fruit of [offering] servants and maids; what is the fruit of cleansing and likewise besmearing? Tell [us] the fruit of singing and dancing, and the fruit [of playing] the lute and [other] musical instruments. Tell [us] the fruit of keeping vigil on the eighth and fourteenth days of the dark half of the month. What is the merit of fasting and taking refuge in the god of gods?? Please tell us all this properly; we have approached you ». 265 (65–70)

## Nandīśa said:

If somebody endowed with devotion to Śiva cleanses [the linga], he will certainly get

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>255</sup>This dative singular *tubhyam* is perhaps being used here (m.c.) in the sense of dative plural *yuṣmabhyaḥ*. <sup>256</sup>Ex conj. liṅgapūraṇe (cf. 1:57 below) is a proposition of Professor Sanderson, on the grounds that the merits

of *lingapūjana* will be taught later, and that Nandin has just (1:62) taught the merit of *lingapūrane*.

<sup>257</sup> rṣayah ūcuḥ is not strictly speaking necessary, and Dr. Diwakar Acharya suggests removing this phrase. It is possible, however, that it is original, even though its sense is repeated in the following verse.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup>Note an aiśa ātmanepada.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>259</sup>Note that K's reading, *pañcagavyena toyena* is a guess, and that the reading adopted from the *Śivadharma-saṅgraha* (5:22) is confirmed by W.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>260</sup> gandhadhūpa is an aiśa samāhāradvandva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup>We understand *dīpacchatraphalam* to be used in the sense of *dīpacchatradānaphalam*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>262</sup>Presumably *goʻjāvimahiṣīṣū* stands for *gojāvimahiṣīnāṃ dānasya phalam*. The reading of the *Śivadharma-sangraha* (5:23) *gavādimahiṣīṣu ca* is intended as an improvement on this.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>263</sup>For this expression *kṛṣṇāṣṭamicaturdaśī*, see 1:80, 88 and 93 below, which is an *aiśa* compound for *kṛṣṇāṣṭamyāṃ kṛṣṇacaturdaśyāṃ*; for this grammatically correct form see *Guhyasūtra* 3:46, 14:33 and 14:103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>264</sup>This particular phrase devadevāśritasya gives a similar nuance to that of the Pāśupatasūtra 1:9 (mahādevasya dakṣināmūrtim) 'on the southern side of the great god.' We come across the peculiar practice of the Pāśupatas distinctly one more time in the Niśvāsamukha (1:75 and 1:166). In both case it mentions of offering the HUDDUN sound to god, reflecting the Pāśupatasūtra 1:8. These pieces of evidence show that some of the particular practices of the Pāśuptas were shared with the lay Śaiva religion by the time the Niśvāsamukha was composed.

 $<sup>^{265}</sup>$ sma here is presumably intended not as the particle, but rather as the 1st person plural present indicative verb-form: the *visarga* has been irregularly dropped for metrical reasons.

[the fruit of offering] a hundred pure golden coins  $(niṣk\bar{a}n\bar{a}m)$ , <sup>266</sup> and if besmears [it], he will obtain [the fruit of offering] a thousand of them. (71)

One who has not had Śaiva initiation (śivadīkṣāvivarjitaḥ)<sup>267</sup> should always worship god being attentive after having purified himself and anointed the Śiva temple [with clay mixed with cow-dung].<sup>268</sup> (72)

If someone (yaḥ) daily (nityaśaḥ) worships with leaves, flowers, fruits, curds, milk, ghee and so forth, and with pavitras,<sup>269</sup> that have been rendered pure with devotion,<sup>270</sup> clothes, edibles, parasols, banners, mirrors, awnings, bells, yak-tail whisks, garlands, ornaments, and water, with gold, jewels and garments, with fragrances, incense and unguents, with songs, instrumental music and dances, and with the sound huḍḍun<sup>271</sup> and with eulogies,

 $^{266}$ The syntax of the sentence is clumsy. niska can also mean a golden ornament for the neck or breast. This may then refer to the fruit of offering such ornaments.

<sup>267</sup>The same expression occurs once again in 1:165d. We are not absolutely clear which type of initiation it is referring to: the Mantramāgic Śiva initiation or the Atimārgic one. It may refer to Mantramāgic Śiva initiation as the <code>Niśvāsamukha</code> is the preface to the <code>Niśvāsatattvasamhitā</code> whose teaching is that of the Mantramāgra. On the other hand, in both instances the term <code>śivadīkṣāvivarjitah</code> is closely accompanied by the typical offering of the bellowing sound <code>(huḍḍuṅ)</code>, one of the offerings recommended by the <code>Pāśupatasūtra</code> (1:8) of the Pāśupatas to Śiva. Thus, we cannot even deny the possibility of its being a reference to the Pāśupata-initiation. This passage here gives the impression that the teaching of the worldly <code>(laukika)</code> is for uninitiated lay people. This is further supported by 1:169ab: <code>evaṃ yaḥ pūjayed ajñaḥ śivadīkṣāvivarjjitaḥ</code> 'If someone who is unaware [of the rules] and has not received Śaiva initiation worships [the <code>linga</code>] in this fashion (<code>evam</code>)'. But the immediately following line tells us the teaching is not only meant for the uninitiated but also for initiated: <code>tasyedaṃ phalam uddiṣṭam apavarggāya dīkṣite! ! 1:169cd</code> ' for him these fruits have been taught (<code>uddiṣṭam</code>); in the case of an initiate, [the same worship] will contribute to liberation (<code>apavargāya</code>)'. This provides evidence that lay duties of Śaivas were carried out, perhaps in some cases, even by the initiated one too, or at least lay Śaiva religious duties were not only restricted to lay Śaiva people, but the initiated were also entitled to perform them, and for them the performed practice would be beneficial to the path of liberation.

<sup>268</sup>The underlying idea is that non-initiates are nevertheless fit for temple duties.

<sup>269</sup> pavitra can refer to a ring made of *kuśa*-grass which is worn on the fourth finger to sprinkle water, or ghee, the means of purification in rituals. Here it is possible that it refers to the cords that are laid on the *linga*, according to various *paddhatis*, in a ceremony of *pavitrāropaṇa*: see, e.g., *Somaśambhupaddhati* volume 2, pp.3–193.

<sup>270</sup>Or perhaps this should be emend to *bhaktipūtaś ca*, to qualify the worshipper.

<sup>271</sup>We know from the *Pāśupatasūtra* (1:8) that this is one of the offerings that a Pāśupata is supposed to offer to Śiva. This occurrence here, among lay Śaiva teaching, is significant as it tells us that this particular practice of Pāśupatas was also a part of lay Śaivism at the time of composition of the *Niśvāsamukha*. The reading *huḍḍun* is of Śivadharmasangraha 5:31 (the oldest manuscript, A, of the Śivadharmasangraha reads *huḍukāra*; another manuscript, C, reads *huṇḍuṃkāra*; the Nepalese edition of the text reads *huḥuṅkāra* whereas our manuscript and both apographs have lost the text), and the particular word *huḍḍuṅkāra* is our emendation based on *Niśvāsamukha* 4:72:

lingasyāyatane vāso huḍḍunkārastavais tathā l gītanṛtyanamaskārair brahmabhir japasaṃyutaḥ

where the *Niśvāsamukha* has paraphrased the *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:8:

hasitagītanṛtyahuḍḍunkāranamaskārajapyopahāreṇopatiṣṭhet |

• °huḍḍuṅkāra° ] em.; °huḍuṃkāra° Bisschop; °ḍuṃḍuṃkāra° Śāśtrī

O you [who have] obtained exclusive devotion (*kevalāṃ bhaktim*) to the god whose origin is unknown [i.e. Śiva] (*aparijñātakāraṇe*),<sup>272</sup> listen<sup>273</sup> to the fruit [obtained] by worshipping [the *liṅga*]. I will tell [you], everything [about it], thus:. (73–76)

One should bathe the *linga* with water mixed with fragrance; [by doing so] men will be freed from mental sin in one night,  $^{274}$  from bodily [sin] in ten nights, and from a capital  $\sin^{275}$  in fifteen nights. In one month they attain heaven (svargam); in one year the state (gatim) of being a lord of gaṇas,  $^{276}$  in three years they attain the state of being ancestor-divinities ( $pitrt\bar{a}m$ ); in five years, one may save one's [entire] family; in twelve years attain

Śāśtri's edition of the *Pāśupatasūtra* and ad loc. Kauṇḍinya's *bhāṣya* (see *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:8 and commentary on it) read duṇḍuṇkāra; Kauḍiṇya description of the word is— huḍḍuṅkāro (corr; duṇṇḍuṇkāro ed.) nāma ya eṣa jihvātālusaṇṇyogān niṣpadyate puṇyo vṛṣanādasadṛśaḥ saḥ. Whereas Kṣemarāja's description is (*Svacchandatantra* p. 99)— bhaktivaivaśyenoccaran āntaraḥ śabdo huḍḍuṅkāraḥ (corr; huḍuṇkāraḥ ed.).

Bisschop (2006:4–5) retains the reading of the *Pāśupatasūtra* as *huḍuṃkāra*. Since *Niśvāsamukha* 4:72, which is the paraphrase of the related *sūtra* 1:8, particularly has the reading *huḍduṅkāra*; and since *Svacchandatantra* 2:182 and Kṣemarāja's commentary ad loc. also have the reading *huḍduṅkāra*, I feel *huḍduṅkāra* is the original reading. (Although in the printed edition of *Svacchandatantra* 10:588 and ad loc. Kṣemarāja also has the reading *huḍuṅkāra*. Thus we are tempted to correct it to *huḍḍuṇkāra* since the old Nepalese manuscript of it, B28/18 fol. 106r, li. 6, reads *huḍḍūkāra*). Cf. also *Tīrthakāṇḍa* of *Kṛtyakalpataru*, p. 82 *huḍḍuṅkāranamaskāraiḥ* (corr; *huḍukkāra* Bisschop & Griffiths 2007:34, fn. 155; *huḍuṅkāra* ed.) *nṛtyagītais tathaiva ca* (corr: *huḍḍuṅkāra*. I have drawn this information from Bisschop & Griffiths 2007:34, fn. 155). Sanderson (2002:30, fn.32) has also claimed that the original reading should be: *hudduṅkāra*.

Furthermore, there is another reading huḍukkāra which is also commonly attested in early sources. See Niśvāsamukha 1:166: huḍukkārasya nṛtyasya mukhavādyāṭṭahāsayoḥ. Cf. also Ratnaṭīkā p.18–19, where it occurs four times: tadanu pūrvoktavidhinopaviśya śivam dhyāyan eva huḍḍukkāram kṛtvā namaskāram kuryāt tadanu japam iti | atra japanamaskārau mānasāv eva, nṛtyam kāyikam eva, hasitagītahuḍukkārā vācikā eveti tatra dīrghocchvāsatrayam (corr.: dīrghocchvāsa° ed.) yāvad dhasitam, daṇḍakatrirāvartanam yāvad gītanṛtye gambhīrahuḍukkāratrayam [...] tad evam nirvartyopahāram dhyāyan īśam hasitagītanṛtyahuḍukkāranamaskārajapyaiḥ ṣaḍaṅgopahāraṃ bhagavan mahādeva, Sarvadarśanasaṅgraha p. 169 where it occurs twice: tad uktaṃ sūtrakāreṇa—hasitagītanṛtyahuḍukkārajapyaṣaḍaṅgopahāreṇopatiṣṭheteti [...] huḍukkāro nāma jihvātālusaṃyogān niṣpādyamānaḥ puṇyo vṛṣanādasadṛśo nādaḥ. These pieces of evidence suggest that there was another well attested orthography huḍukkāra. However, Bisschop (2006:4–5) argues that the vocalization HUDUM (huḍuṃkāra) is original.

<sup>272</sup>Cf. *Kumārasaṃbhava* 5:71 (*vapur virūpākṣam alakṣyajanmatā* [...]). We have taken *aparijñātakāraṇa* to mean whose cause of birth (i.e. the parents) is not known. We could also translate (*aparijñātakāraṇa*) to 'who do not know any instrumentality'. This, however, does not give much sense. Professor Isaacson suggests that we may understand *-kāraṇa* to mean *-karaṇa* '[proper] procedure'; if so, this orthography *-kāraṇa* is retained for metrical reasons. He also pointed out to me that reading *-karaṇa* does not completely solve the problem since what follows is also a procedure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>273</sup>Note that *śṛṇudhvam* is an *aiśa* use of *ātmanepada* imperative second person plural for *śṛṇuta*.

 $<sup>^{274}</sup>$  ekarātrena means one day and night. Note that ekāham (1:83) is a synonym for this. This sentence is anacoluthic, beginning with a singular and ending with a plural subject.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>275</sup>Presumably mahāpāpa means the same as mahāpātaka: see, e.g., Manusmṛti verse 55, p. 847: brahmahatyā surāpānaṃ steyaṃ gurvaṅganāgamaḥ | mahānti pātakāny āhuh saṃsargaś cāpi taih saha |.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>276</sup>For the expression gāṇeśvarī gatiḥ, cf. Revākhaṇḍa of the Vāyupurāṇa (previously assigned to Skandapurāṇa) 23:8, 215:2 Niśvāsamukha 1:97, 81, 1:101 and Śivadharmasangraha 5:34, 40, 42 and 61.

union with Iśvara; <sup>277</sup> and after a lifetime <sup>278</sup> they attain union with Śiva. <sup>279</sup> (77–79)

One should bathe the *linga* with pure curds on the eighth and fourteenth day of the dark half of the month:<sup>280</sup> [one who does so] will be freed from sins made in his lifetime, there is no doubt. If a man, [being] pure, bathes [the *linga*] daily for one month, he will get the [fruit of performing] sacrifice daily,<sup>281</sup> [and] when he departs from the body, he will attain the place of Śiva.<sup>282</sup> (80–81)

If someone bathes [the *linga*] for six months, he will become a supreme Gaṇa. By bathing [it] for one year his ancestors attain the place of Śiva (śivālayam); [by bathing it] for three years he will attain to union with Rudra; [by his bathing it for twelve years] his own lineage [will attain to union with Rudra]. (82a–83b)

If a man bathes the *linga* with ghee for one day, destroying all sins, he will obtain the fruit of performing an *aśvamedha* sacrifice. By [bathing it for] ten nights he goes to heaven (*svargagatil*ı);<sup>283</sup> for one month, the position of being a lord of *gaṇas* (*gāṇeśvarīṃ gatim*); and ancestors in hell will be lifted out, there is no doubt. (83c–85b)

If he bathes [the *linga*] daily with uninterrupted focus (*abhagnayogataḥ*) for six months, his ancestors too will necessarily (*nityam*) obtain the position of being a lord of *gaṇas*. By [bathing it for] two years,<sup>284</sup> he will obtain (*gacchate*)<sup>285</sup> union [with Śiva] together with his ancestors. (85c–86)

There is nothing higher than bathing [a *linga*] with ghee, [by which] he can draw out seven generations [of his family from hell].<sup>286</sup> [His ancestors will become] three eyed, having trident in hand, bull-marked and moon-diademed.<sup>287</sup> (87)

If one bathes the *linga* with milk on the eighth and fourteenth days of the dark half of

 $<sup>^{277}</sup>$ īśvara is the lowest level of Śiva (just above  $vidy\bar{a}$ ) both in the  $Niśv\bar{a}sa$  and in the theology of the developed Śaivasiddhānta.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>278</sup> yāvajjīvam alone as clause of a sentence is incomplete. We would expect something like yāvajjīvam kṛte where sati is understood.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>279</sup> śivam vrajet has been translated as though it were the same as śivasāyujyatām vrajet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>280</sup>See footnote on verse 69 about *kṛṣṇāṣṭamicaturdaśī*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>281</sup>kratum āpnoti presumably means kratuphalam āpnoti, but what kind of sacrifice is intended? Perhaps a Vedic soma-sacrifice?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>282</sup>Once again, the exact nature of the reward is not clear.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup>84c is a hypometrical *pāda*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>284</sup>One might think that *dvirabdena* is used here (m.c.) for *dvyabdena*. This, however, is grammatically correct. For this, see the Paninian *dvitricaturbhyah suc* 5:4:18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup>Note an *aiśa* use of the *ātmanepada*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>286</sup>The sentence is clumsy; there is no mention of the correlative pronoun, sa, for ya. Furthermore, there is an aiśa sandhi between ya and uddharet. Although these two grammatical problems (an aiśa sandhi and relative pronoun) have been edited out in Śivadharmasaṅgraha 5:43 by the reading ghṛtasnānāt paran nāsti uddharet kulasaptakam, the problem of understanding the line remains unsolved. We may therefore have to understand the line as follows: ghṛtasnānāt param anyat snānaṃ nāsti | yo ghṛtena lingaṃ snāyāt sa kulasaptakam uddharet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>287</sup>Presumably this is the reward of *sārūpya*, but the phrase is incomplete or anacolouthic. Note again that the *Śivadharmasangraha* (5:43–44) has improved the construction here mainly by supplying the finite verb *bhavanti*. The *Śivadharmasangraha* (5:43c–44b) reads *trinetrāḥ śūlahastāś ca vṛṣāṅkāś candraśekharāḥ | sarvajñāḥ sarvagā nityā bhavanti jagadīśvarāh*.

the month,<sup>288</sup> he will be freed from the sin made in [his] lifetime; there is no doubt on this point. (88)

If one who possesses all kinds of sin bathes [the *linga* with milk] for one month, <sup>289</sup> he will be freed from all those sins and obtain union with Śiva (śivasāyojyatām). (89)

If he bathes [the *linga* with milk] for six months, he will be the best of *gaṇas*. By bathing [it] for one year he will certainly lift out seven generations [of his family from hell]; by bathing [it] for three years [he will obtain] union with Rudra and † uddhareṇa śivātmakaṇ†.  $^{290}$  (90a–91b)

If he bathes [it with milk] daily (*satatam*) for twelve years with devotion, he will carry a whole hundred crores of [members of his] family and beyond (*sāgram*)<sup>291</sup> out of hell. This is the fruit of bathing [the *liṅga*] with milk, [which] is higher than that of bathing it with ghee (*gḥṛtasnānopari sthitam*).<sup>292</sup> (91c–92)

If a man bathes the linga with honey on the eighth and fourteenth days of the dark half of the month, he will obtain the fruit of having performed the  $r\bar{a}jas\bar{u}ya$  sacrifice. (93)

[By bathing it] daily for one year [he will become] a lord of Gaṇas [and?] obtain a fruit(?);<sup>293</sup> by [bathing it for] five years he will obtain union [with Śiva], together with his forefathers. (94)

Somebody who  $[...]^{294}$  bathes [the linga] daily with the five products of the cow, †his death does not occur†: he obtains the world of gods (devalokam). (95)

By [bathing it for] one year, being pure, he will obtain union with Śiva, and [by bathing it for] two years seven generations (pitaraḥ) [of his family] are considered to be raised out

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>288</sup>See note on verse 69 for *kṛṣṇāṣṭamicaturdaśī*. The *Śivadharmasangraha* (5:44) tries to make this compound regular by reading *kṛṣṇāṣṭamyāṇi caturddaśyāṇi*, but for metrical reasons the complete regularization is not possible in this place in the *Śivadharmasangraha*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>289</sup>Ex conj. An alternative reading might be *māsena*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>290</sup>Prof. Sanderson points out that śivātmakam is odd and that we might rather expect śivaṃ vrajet or śivātmatām. Prof. Diwakar Acharya, however, suggests pañcābdena or ṣaḍabdena in the place of uddhareṇa. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:38–39) rephrases it (86–87) avoiding the problem as follows: ṣaṇmāsaṃ snāpayed yas tu surāṇām cottamo bhavet | abdasnānena pitaras tasya yānti surālayam | tryabdena rudrasāyujyaṃ dvādaśābdaiḥ kulaiḥ svayam. In the light of this reading of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha we may interpret the reading uddhareṇa śivātmakaṃ thus: uddhareṇa meaning having raised [his ancestors from hells], and śivātmakaṃ (assuming as professor Sanderson suggests, that is a corruption for śivātmatā) meaning '[he obtains] the state of being Śiva' [together with his ancestors].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>291</sup>92ab is paralleled by the *Niśvāsakārikā* (see our edition) and 92a is also paralleled by *Revākhaṇḍa* of the *Vāyupurāṇa* 172:79c.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>It is odd of this text that it registers bathing the *linga* by milk is higher than that of bathing it with ghee. Perhaps detecting this problem, the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* does not render this particular section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>293</sup>We expect the usual sequence of one month, six months, one year, but in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:45) too, no longer sequence occurs in this context. It is possible nonetheless that the text is corrupt and became so before it was used by the redactor of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha. There is a further difficulty here in that the expression phalam āpnoti appears to be left hanging without sufficient context. Probably in the course of transmission a scribe has by mistake copied phalam āpnoti from the preceding line, replacing the actual words fitting in the context.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>294</sup>We could perhaps conjecture something like *kārayen naraḥ*.

[of the hells]. (96)

If a man besmears the *linga* with fragrances that are sweet-smelling and divine, <sup>295</sup> he will obtain the fruit of performing the  $v\bar{a}japeya$  sacrifice, and if he besmears [it] with sandal paste mixed with camphor for ten nights he gets the fruit of an  $a\acute{s}vamedha$ ; [if he does so] for one month, he becomes a Gaṇa; [by doing so] for one year, he will achieve union with [Śiva] (97a–99b)

If someone gives [the rite of] besmearing the *linga* [with sandal paste mixed with camphor] daily with uninterrupted focus, all his ancestors will go to the supreme destiny (*gati*). (99c–100b)

He who burns<sup>296</sup> *guggulu* once in the vicinity of the supreme god (*devadevasya*) [by the merit of giving that] incense, he will obtain the fruit of having performed an *Agniṣṭoma*. (100c–101b)

If someone burns incense of the best *guggulu* [in the presence of the supreme god] continuously for one month, he will obtain [the fruit] of a hundred sacrifices.<sup>297</sup> (101c–102b)

If someone burns them for six months, he will become an excellent (*uttamaḥ*) Gaṇa. For him there is no possibility of being reborn (*sambhavaḥ*) in the mortal [world] (*martye*); he rejoices with [his] ancestors. (102c–103b)

If someone, having purified himself, burns [them] daily for one year, as a wise Śivadevotee (tena śivabhaktena dhīmatā), he will lift out [his] own family [from hells]. (103c–104b)

If someone offers cloths, banners or awnings to the *linga*, he will obtain sovereignty (paramaiśvaryam) and will be born in an excellent family. (104c–105b)

This will be the fruit of offering [them] once; by [offering them] twice or three times  $(dvis\ tridh\bar{a})$  he will have an excellent destiny (gatih); [namely] the man will attain the moonworld (somalokam) without delay:<sup>298</sup> there is no doubt on this point. (105c–106b)

By offering [them] hundreds [or] thousands of times, one will be born as a lord of Gaṇas ( $gatir\ gāneśvar̄$ ), and by offering [them] one hundred thousand times, there is no doubt that, together with his ancestors, [he will obtain position of a lord of Gaṇas].  $^{299}$  (106c–107b)

If, having made a golden bell, someone offers [it] to Śiva,<sup>300</sup> by the fruit of that merit [he] will be honoured in the world of Śiva. (107c–108b)

 $<sup>^{295}</sup>$ Is it conceivable that this instead means: 'If a man besmears the *linga* with [ordinary] fragrance and with divine fragrances ...'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>296</sup>ātmanepada remains for parasmaipada.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>297</sup>Obtaining the fruit of a hundred sacrifices presumably means that he becomes equal to Indra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>298</sup>Prof. Yokochi thinks *somaloka* is odd here. She thinks that it could be *śivaloka* instead.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>299</sup>śatasāhasra and lakṣa should be equivalent and so we could, as suggested by Professor Sanderson, conjecture daśasāhasradānena. But because this awkwardness is also to be found in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:61), we have assumed it to be authorial and have accordingly interpreted śatasāhasra- to mean 'hundreds or thousands'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>300</sup>Here Śiva presumably means a Śiva-*liṅga*, in other words a Śiva-temple.

He who gives [a bell with] a good clapper  $(sulol\bar{a}m)^{301}$  as well as (punah) well-sounding [bell] made of silver, copper, bell-metal, brass,  $^{302}$  tin or clay to a Śiva-temple  $(\dot{siva}g\bar{a}re)$ , such a person will fully  $(sarvah)^{303}$  abide in heaven. (108c-109)

Once that person falls from heaven ( $svargalok\bar{a}t$ ), he will be born a king. (110ab)

If someone offers  $(dadet)^{304}$  a white, red, yellow or black yak-tail fly-whisk having a golden handle, or [having] a silver, brazen or tin [handle], [he] will be honoured in the world of Rudra.<sup>305</sup> (110c–111)

[When he has] fallen from the world of Rudra, he reaches  $(up\bar{a}gatah)^{306}$  the world of Vāyu; [when he has] fallen from the world of Vāyu, he reaches the world of Agni; [and when he has] fallen from the world of Agni, he is born as a king on earth  $[and/or]^{307}$  a Brahmin, possessed of a kingdom, wise  $(vidv\bar{a}n)$  and profoundly learned. (112–113)

All this [sort of status] in this world comes about through the fruit of such merit. (114ab)

If someone offers a girdle and waist-cord on the head of the *linga*, [he] will be the lord of the earth bounded by the four seas. (114c–115b)

Someone who offers a crown, an ear-ring (*kuṇḍalaṃ*) and a multicoloured turban (*cit-rapaṭṭam*) [to the *liṅga*, that] giver of body ornaments will [also] enjoy the entire earth.<sup>308</sup> (115c–116a)

[If someone offers] a turban onto the [metal] covering [decorated] with a face (*mukha-kośe*) [of the *liṅga*],<sup>309</sup> he will [become] a regional king; by offering multicoloured [turbans]

Mukhakośa is also known as mukhalingakośa. For this, see C. 38, inscription No. 2 of Golzio

 $<sup>^{301}</sup>$ It is conceivable that the adjective *sulolāṃ* is intended to mean well-swinging.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>302</sup>Ex conj. For a comparable hierarchical list of metals, see *Guhyasūtra* 1:59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>303</sup>The precise force of the word *sarvaḥ* here is doubtful.

 $<sup>^{304}</sup>$  dadet is an aiśa optative third person singular for dadyāt.

<sup>305</sup> In verse 106a, the word hemadaṇḍan is assumed to be a bahuvrīhi compound, which means that raupyaṇi, raityaṃ and trāpuṣaṃ stand for raupyadaṇḍam, etc. The word īdṛśaṃ cāmaraṃ datvā seems only to pad out the meter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>306</sup>Past participle used for present.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>307</sup>It is not clear whether he becomes both a king and a Brahmin or whether he may become either one of the two.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>308</sup>pradāyinaḥ has the appearance of a plural adjective, but is intended as a masculine nominative singular. See our note on the word *dustacetasah* in the verse 58b above.

<sup>309</sup> The sentence is elliptical and the present translation is simply our guess. It is not yet clear in which place 116d should be construed. The Śivadharmasangraha (5:64) modifies the text, perhaps losing the original sense, by reading yaṣṭā prādeśiko nṛpaḥ. We find the occurrence of the mukhakośa in Bāṇa's Harṣacarita pp. 151–152 thus: kailāsakūṭadhavalaiḥ kanakapatralatālankṛtaviṣāṇakoṭibhir mahāpramāṇaiḥ saṇadhyābalivṛṣaiḥ sauvarṇaiś ca snapanakalaśair arghabhājanaiś ca dhūpapātraiś ca puṣpapaṭṭaiś ca maṇiyaṣṭipradīpaiś ca brahmasūtraiś ca mahārhamāṇikyakhaṇḍakhacitaiś ca mukhakoṣaiḥ paritoṣam asya manasi cakruḥ. The translation of Cowell and Thomas, p. 85, has a footnote (fn. 3) that reads: 'It is difficult to see what this word means'. The commentary of Sankara glosses mukhakoṣaiḥ, mukhayuktāḥ kośā ye liṅgopari dīyante 'Those coverings, consisting of faces [of god], that one puts on the top of a liṅga'. Cf. also Kubjikāmatatantra 17:83–84 lalāṭakaṇṭhavakṣasthaṃ guhyāṅghrau ratnapañcakam | ślokadvādaśabhir mālā pādādau cūlikāvadhim | brahmasūtrojjvalā devyāḥ skandhobhau tadgrahānvitau | pañcabījair mukhakoṣaṃ pañcauṃkāraiḥ khilaṃ nyaset.

2004:35: śrīsatyavarmmācyutasatyavarmmā | daivasvabhāvapravikīrṇṇakīrttiḥ | bhāsvatmukhaṃ śrīmukhalinga-kośam | prāsthāpayat sadguṇakarmmaśuddhyā), and sometimes just as kośa. Bagchi (1930:102) commenting on the word kośa in the inscriptional verse tasyaiva sthāpitaṃ tena dvayaṃ kośaṃ carasthiram | samukhaṃ carakośaṃ hi śāke śaśiyamādrige | says "kośa here, as in many other cases in these [Campa] inscriptions, should be taken in the sense of linga-kośa"). Cf. also Nepalese 10th century inscription kṛtvā caturmukhaṃ kośaṃ sauvarṇaṃ ratnamaṇḍitam (Bhttācārya:1966:6, fn. 7, quoting C. Bendall 1886:85).

Bagchi (1930:102), on the strength of the Champa inscription, says "these *kośa*s were often golden and decorated with costly gems" (cf. also Guy 2009:139). Since we are also told in Bāṇa's description (see above) and the Nepalese inscription (see above) that *kośa* is decorated with precious gems, we may not be mistaken in saying that decorating in such a way is a common custom. Guy (2009:138) says,

A number of examples of *liṅgakośa* have been recovered in recent years, most notably from the Mỹ Son area of Quảng Nam province. A series of Sanskrit inscriptions in Champa, dating from the sixth to ninth centuries, are the first to make explicit reference to the commissioning and installation of golden *liṅga*-covering. They are described four- or five faced in form. To date, the *liṅga-kośa* recovered appear to belong to single-faced assemblages (*ekamukhaliṅga*).

Bagchi (1930:102), however, also mentions that in Champa inscriptions there are two references to six-faced *linga* coverings. He mentions an occurrence of an *ārddhvākośa* which he thinks is a detachable one. It is clear from the Champa inscriptional verse quoted by Bhaṭṭācārya 1966:7, *asyaiva sthāpitaṃ tena dvāyaṃ kośaṃ carasthiram* | *samukhaṃ carakośaṃ hi śāke śaśiyamādrige*, that there are two types of *kośas*: moveable and immovable. Bhaṭṭācārya points out that this verse also prevents us from thinking that all *kośas* necessarily consist of face. Guy (2009:139) notes that the moveable *kośa* was provided with one or several faces. On the strength of this we may say that there are two types of covering of the *linga*, with face(s) or without face(s). In the case of six faced *kośa*, Bhaṭṭācārya says,

This custom recalls a well-known philosophical conception. In Śāmkhya and Vedānta, in fact, the word *linga* (= *lingaśarīra* = *sūkṣmaśarīra*) means the "subtle body, enclosed in the "sheath" (kośa) of the "gross body" (sthūlaśarira). This word, moreover has given rise to various speculations, and it is interesting to note that a certain etymology of the word was current in Saiva as well as in Sāṃkhya circles: layanāl lingam (here he gives reference to this phrase from the Lingapurāṇa, Suprabhedāgama and the rest). We can, therefore, safely assert that the Śaivas, when they invented the custom of enclosing the *linga* in a sheath, had in mind this philosophical conception—Śiva was conceived as a person with his *linga* (-śarīra) enclosed in the kośa of this "gross body" (Bhaṭṭācārya 1966:7) [[...]] Finally, in the enunciations of dates contained in the epigraphy of Champa and Kambuja, the word kośa is sometimes used in the numeric sense of six (see his footnote 34). This is well attested in India itself (see his footnote 35); so it should not surprise us. [[...]] According to a physiological theory, adopted by Śāṃkhya and Vedānta, the "gross body" (sthūlaśarīra), i. e. the annamayakośa, itself composed of six elements, called kośa. These are: skin (or, according to another tradition, hair), blood, flesh, tendons (or, according to another tradition, fat), bones, and marrow. The first three, it is said, derive from the mother, and the last three from the father (see also his footnote 35; Bhaṭṭācārya 1966:12–13)

From Bagchi, Bhaṭṭācāray and Guy we understand that there are plenty of examples of *kośa* or *liṅga-kośa* found in Champa and Kambuja inscriptions. The occurrence of *Niśvāsamukha*, *Harṣacarita*, Nepalese inscription and record of Vijayanagar King Kṛṣṇadevarāja's gift to the presiding deity of Virupākṣa temple, Hampi (Guy 2009:140) shows that the practice of offering *kośa* to *liṅga* was in fact in current in India.

On the strength of these pieces of evidence we can say without doubt that there are two types of covering of the *liṅga*; with face(s) and without faces; *mukhakośa* in particular is an outer covering consisting of face(s) of god that is put on the *liṅga* for decorative purposes. Guy (2009:138) and Bagchi (1930:102) both observe the fact that the offering of the outer covering to *liṅga* is considered as the highest gift to the deity. Bagchi

he will partake of wonderful kinds of unrivalled enjoyments. (116b–117b)

If someone again and again offers gems, ornaments and adornments, he will obtain the indestructible, eternal and imperishable state of being a leader of Gaṇas. (117c–118b) If one worships Śiva by offering a *muktimandapa*<sup>310</sup> with devotion, there is no rebirth

(1930:102) further says, "the cult objects, installed during the performance of *linga-pūjā*s were a major feature of Śaivite temple worship in Champa."

<sup>310</sup>This may be, as its name suggests, a pavilion that is somehow related with liberation. This appears here as an offering to the *linga*. We are not absolutely clear as to how it should be offered. Is the pavilion to be constructed over the *linga*? Or should the pavilion be constructed conventionally in the southern side of the temple as an offering to Śiva? The evidence of Śivadharmaśāstra (5:174c–175b) seems to suggest that it is something to be made/offered over/unto the *linga*:

śivasyopari yo dadyāt sarvaratnopaśobhitam | |5:174 | | maṇḍapaṃ mauktikaṃ śrīmān tasya puṇyaphalaṃ śṛṇu |

'Listen to the fruit for him, who, a fortunate person, offers a liberating pavilion (*muktimanḍapa*) decorated with all precious jewels on the top of the *linga* (śivasyopari).'

Later sources mention that <code>muktimandapa</code> is a place where dying people would receive the liberating initiation (<code>tārakadīkṣā</code>) from Śiva. We find a <code>muktimandapa</code> in the Paśupati temple, Kathmandu, as well as in the Jagannātha temple of Puri, Orissa. In the latter the <code>muktimandapa</code> is located in the southern side of the temple. Mohapatra 2005:1 writes,

A splendid *yajña* was performed in the Jagannātha temple, which is testified by the existence of *muktimaṇḍapa* or the platform for salvation in the southern side of the main temple. It [the *maṇḍapa*] has sixteen black granite pillars meant for Brāhmin of Sasan village established by Hindu king with various privileges and facilities and free land grant, i.e., Niscara.

There is a *muktimaṇḍapa* in the vicinity of the Kuśaleśvara temple in Keonjhar, Orissa. (The American Institute of Indian Studies (http://dsal.uchicago.edu/images/aiis/aiis\_ search.html?depth=Get+Details&id=88836, "consulted in January 2011") has recorded a photograph of this *muktimaṇḍapa*.) The *Devībhāgavata* speaks of four types of *maṇḍapas*, one of which is the *muktimaṇḍapa*.<sup>311</sup> The text (12:12:8–10b:) further states:

śrngāramaṇḍape devyo gāyanti vividhaih svaraiḥ l sabhāsado devavaśā madhye śrījagadaṇibikā l muktimaṇḍapamadhye tu mocayaty aniśaṇi śivāḥ l jñānopadeśaṇi kurute tṛtīye nṛpa maṇḍape l caturthamandape caiva jagadraksāvicintanam l

The text does not tell us where these pavilions are to be situated, only what are they meant for. It says that in the *muktimanḍapa* Śaiva people get liberation.

Bhasmajābālopaniṣat 2:28 states that in the southern side [of a temple in Kāśī], there is a place called the mukti-sthāna which is called muktimaṇḍapa where Śiva teaches the liberating mantra. Its description is as follows: dakṣiṇāyāṃ diśi muktisthānaṃ tan muktimaṇḍapasaṇjñitam | tatrānekagaṇāḥ pālakāḥ sāyudhāḥ pāpaghātakāḥ | tatra ṛṣayaḥ śāṇbhavāḥ pāśupatā mahāśaivā vedāvataṇṣaṇ śaivaṇ pañcākṣaraṃ japantas tārakaṃ sapraṇavaṃ modamānās tiṣṭhanti | tatraikā ratnavedikā | tatrāham āsīnaḥ kāśyāṃ tyaktakuṇapāñ chaivān ānīya svasyānke saṇṇiveśya bhasitarudrākṣabhūṣitān upaspṛśya mā bhūd eteṣāṃ janma mṛtiś ceti tārakaṃ śaivaṃ manum upadiśāmi.

Cf. also  $\hat{S}r\bar{\imath}pra\hat{s}nasamhit\bar{\imath}$  23:192. This indicates that it is also known as a place in the late mediaeval time where paṇḍits assemble, hold discussion over religious matter and make judgements. See O'Hanlon (2011:265–266). Note that there is a reference to a muktimaṇḍala in a tantric context in  $M\bar{\imath}ulas\bar{\imath}utra$  4:1ff., and this should not be confused with the muktimaṇḍapa. The muktimaṇḍapa is a pavilion and the muktimaṇḍala is a diagram used in the performance of  $d\bar{\imath}ks\bar{\imath}a$ .

for him; he will become an excellent Gana. (118c–119b)

If someone daily performs [the rite of] plastering [using] yellow pigment  $(rocan\bar{a})^{312}$  and saffron (kunkumam) on the top of the linga, he will become a Vidyādhara.

(119c-120b)

By besmearing [the *linga*] with camphor and *agaru* for twelve years, people in [this] world who are intently devoted to Śiva become Gaṇas, and by offering bracelets and armbands [for twelve years], they obtain [whatever] supremacy [is] desired by their minds (*manomatam*). (120c–121)

If someone offers gems [...] to Śiva. 313 (122ab)

[The gift of] even a scentless flower [to Śiva] is of the value of ten gold coins [in heaven?]; a garland is equivalent to one lakh [of gold coins]; if one covers the *liṅga* [with flowers, then the reward is] infinite. (122c–123b)

O best of Brahmins! I have taught the process [of worshipping the *linga*] with scentless flowers; listen also to the fruit of [worshipping the *linga*] with beautiful [flowers,] divinely fragrant and the like (*divyagandhādyail*<sub>1</sub>). (123c–124b)

By offering one [fragrant] flower one will not be reborn in misfortune for eighty crores of *kalpas*:<sup>314</sup> that is the fruit of worshipping the *linga* [with one flower]. (124c–125b)

This great fruit is taught when the *linga* is worshipped without [specific] desire;<sup>315</sup> listen also to the fruit of worshipping the *linga* with a [specific] desire. (125c–126b)

Śaṃkara smells all of the four families of flowers: *Agati Grandiflora* (*buka*), oleander (*karavīrasya*), milkweed (*arkasya*) and thorn-apple (*unmattakasya*).<sup>316</sup> (126c–127b)

[If one worships the *linga*] with *Agati Grandiflora* (*buka*), god bestows boons; [if one worships it] with oleander (*karavīra*), [god] bestows wealth; [if one worships it] with milkweed [god] pursues that which is beneficial [for the worshipper] (*priyam anvicchan*); and [if one worships] with thorn-apple (*dhuttūrakeṇa*),<sup>317</sup> [god bestows] liberation. (127c–128b)

If someone worships the excellent linga by offering blue water lilies, he becomes a  $yog\bar{\imath}$ . [If he worships the linga] with a lotus (padmam), however, [he obtains] a kingdom and [if he worships the linga] with white lotuses, he [becomes] an emperor (cakrinah).<sup>318</sup> (128c–129b)

 $<sup>^{312}</sup>$ This translation assumes that what is meant is *gorocanā*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>313</sup>The reading of Śivadharmasaṅgraha 5:69 ratnadānāni divyāni fills the gap, but its reading is clearly not original since N reads ...kan tu and K and W read ratnadā...kan tu. As Prof. Diwakar Acharya suggests, we could conjecture ratnadāmakam ekaṃ tu; cf. keśaradāmakaiḥ (1:30b). In this case our translation would be: 'if someone offers a garland of jewels.' At least a half verse is probably missing after 122ab; for, before the section on flowers begins in 122cd we expect the reward of offering gems to Śiva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>314</sup>Here this apparently nominative plural aśītikalpakoṭayaḥ is perhaps intended to be an accusative of duration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>315</sup>In the light of what follows, it seems less likely that this means 'not deliberately'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>316</sup>Verses 1:126c–127f have a parallel in *Skandapurāṇa* 28:31abcd. For this, see p. skanda:mukha, above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>317</sup>*dhuttūra, unmattaka* and *dhattūra* are commonly used as synonyms of each other. Surprisingly *dhuttūra* is not recorded in our dictionaries, but this orthography is common in Bauddha and Śaiva tantras.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>318</sup>We understand *cakriṇaḥ* to be used as a masculine nominative singular *cakravartī*. See footnote on *duṣṭa-ceṭasaḥ* in the verse 54 above. Another possibility would be to assume that *cakriṇaḥ* means *cakriṇaḥ padaṇ*. In that case our translation would be "he will achieve the position of Viṣṇu". But this seems unlikely.

[Worshipping the *liṅga*] with the *campaka* flowers [one obtains] all kinds of enjoyments; [worshipping the *liṅga*] with *puṇṇnāga* and *nāgakeśara* flowers, [he] obtains desired enjoyments; similarly (*tathā*) [worshipping the *liṅga*] with *kesara* garlands (*kesaradāmakaiḥ*)<sup>319</sup> (129c–130b)

If someone worships the supreme god with solanum and *agasti* flowers (*bṛhatyāgasti-puṣpakaiḥ*)<sup>320</sup> [or] attentively with *siddhaka*?, [he] obtains mastery of Mantras.<sup>321</sup> (130c–131b)

Whoever worships [Śiva] with fragrant flowers obtains all desired objects: (131cd)

[Worshipping the *linga*] with musk roses(?) (*kubjakail*) one obtains great benefit and *vāruṇī*<sup>322</sup> [is said to be used for worshipping the *linga*] for good fortune. (132ab)

If someone is desirous for a daughter, he should worship the supreme god with Jasminum grandiflorum(?) (jātībhiḥ); he will obtain a beautiful (uttamām) daughter in six months: there is no doubt on this point. (132c–133b)

If someone worships the supreme god with *mallikā* flowers<sup>323</sup> for the sake of knowledge, [he] obtains ultimate knowledge, which destroys the fear of worldly existence. (133c–134b)

In the case of the wish for a son, he should worship [the *linga*], after becoming purified, with *kunda* flowers; he will obtain many wealthy and long-lived sons.<sup>324</sup> (134c–135b)

By worshipping [the *linga*] with *kuśa* flowers one obtains [good] health;<sup>325</sup> union with beloved ones (*priyasangamam*) [comes about from worshipping the *linga*] with *aśoka* [flowers]; [if one worships the *linga*] with *karṇikāra* flowers one obtains wealth; for the sake of subjugation [of others] the *droṇapuṣpikā* [should be used for worshipping the *linga*]. (135c–136b)

One should daily (*satatam*) worship the *linga* with *kadamba* [flower], remaining firm in one's observances (*niyatavratal*<sub>1</sub>) for the sake of controlling one's enemies, one should give [a *kadamba* flower] daily (*nityam eva*). (136c–137b)

The diseases will be destroyed of one who worships [the linga] with Musta grass (ari-

 $<sup>^{319}</sup>$ It is not clear whether 125b should be construed with what precedes or with what follows it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>320</sup>This is an instance of vowel-lengthening in the middle of a compound: cf. *Niśvāsaguhya*: 1:27c, 7:125c; *Niśvāsamukha*: 1:178a etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>321</sup>The syntax is uncertain here. *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* has obviated the difficulty by changing the word *samāhitaḥ* to *tathaiva hi*.

 $<sup>^{322}</sup>$ It is not very clear us what exactly  $v\bar{a}run\bar{n}$  is. From our context we can simply say that it must be some fragrant flower. So we have guessed that it may be a kind of lotus flower, assuming that it is so called because it is 'born from water' ( $varun\bar{n}d$   $utpann\bar{a}$ ). Apte has recorded  $v\bar{a}run\bar{n}$  as a kind of  $d\bar{u}rv\bar{a}$  grass, but this would not fit in our context.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>323</sup>The instrumental *mallikaiḥ* is an irregular instrumental plural for *mallikābhiḥ*.

 $<sup>^{324}</sup>$ Note that 135ab which exists only in  $\bar{S}ivadharmasangraha$  is not smooth: we have assumed that dhanavantam and  $cir\bar{a}yu\bar{s}am$ , which are formally masculine accusative singulars, qualify bahuputratvam, and yet describe the sons themselves.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>325</sup>It is possible that this refers to the broom-like flowers of *darbha* grass, but it is also possible, according to Monier-Williams, that *kuśapuṣpa* refers to 'a kind of oak-apple'.

mustakaih).326 (137d)

One who is bound will be freed from bondage [by worshipping the *linga*] with the flower of Vitex Negundo (*sinduvārasya*).<sup>327</sup> (138ab)

[Flowers of] Alangium (ankoṭakāh) and [any] others [flowers] that are known to be scentless and black—such flowers (tān puṣpān)<sup>328</sup> one should offer (kalpayet) to the god of gods to destroy [one's] enemies. (138c–139b)

Yellow flowers [are understood to be used to worship the *linga*] for the sake of nour-ishment (*puṣṭyarthe*) and victory. If someone offers [them to the *linga*] daily, he will obtain all desired objects. (139c–140b)

One should use (*prakalpayet*) fragrant and water-born<sup>329</sup> [flowers] for subjugation. Blue and red flowers always cause attraction.<sup>330</sup> (140c–141b)

Wood-apple (*bilva*) is the bestower of all desired objects, [as well as] the remover of poverty; there is nothing higher than wood-apple (*bilva*) leaves, by which Śańkara is pleased. (141c–142b)

Damanaka<sup>331</sup> [will be] for victory [for one] who worships with it; if someone worships the supreme god with it, he conquers all his enemies, if he worships the one who has the bull for his banner.<sup>332</sup> [142c–143b]

[The offering of] *maruva* [bestows] all kinds of pleasures, and *jambuta*<sup>333</sup> is a bestower of all desired objects. (143cd)

[One should use] Clerodendrum phlomoides (tilakah) [to worship the linga] for obtaining wealth; and for obtaining cows [one should use]  $\bar{a}mkul\bar{\iota}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>326</sup>The reading is insecure here.

 $<sup>^{327}</sup>$ This whole line is only transmitted in the  $\acute{S}ivadharmasa \acute{n} graha$ ; it is therefore possible that the line might not be exactly the same as it was in N. It is difficult see how one can do this worship while being held captive.  $^{328}t \ddot{a}n~pusp\ddot{a}n$  is an  $ai\acute{s}a$  masculine accusative plural for neuter accusative plural.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>329</sup> saugandhikādyā jalajāḥ is an aiśa masculine plural used instead of the neuter plural, which can equally be translated as "water-born fragrant [flowers]".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>330</sup>Ex conj. Note, however, that Śivadharmasangraha reads instead tāni vaśyakarāṇi tu. This seems inappropriate since we already have subjugation described immediately above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>331</sup>The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:98) reads damanakam here and we have adopted this against the testimony of our manuscripts, which give madanakam, first on the grounds that the thorn-apple has already been mentioned and, secondly, that the name damanaka ("that which tames") is more appropriate to our context here where victory over others is the subject. Accidental metathesis must have produced our reading. Pandanus Database of Plants (http://iu.ff.cuni.cz/pandanus/database, "consulted in March 2013") gives the latin name of damana as Artemisia vulgaris L., and the English names as Indian wormwood, Fleabane, Mugwort. This database gives the Hindi names of it, Nāgdonā, Davanā, daunā; the Bengali name Nāgadānā; Tamil names, Mācipattiri, Makkippū, Tirunāmacceṭi. The botanical information as the database records it is as follows: An aromatic shrub, 1-2m. high, yellow or dark red small flowers, grows throughout India in hills up to 2400m elevation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>332</sup>Note that 142c–143b contains meaningless repetition which the *Śivadharmasangraha* (5:98) has tried to improve by altering 142cd thus: *vijayārthe damanakaṃ yojayen niyamasthitaḥ*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>333</sup>We in fact expect *jambukaḥ*, but both N and *Śivadharmasangraha* agree on this reading. Another interpretation might be to take *jambutaḥ* as an ablative of *jambu*, but that gives a loose construction with *kāmadaḥ*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>334</sup>Exceptionally N writes  $\bar{a}$  here with a hook attached beneath a which is normally used for u- $m\bar{a}$ tr $\bar{a}$ . This feature is common in Licchavi inscriptions and still appears in early Nepalese manuscript such as the manuscripts

Tabernaemontana (*tagaraḥ*), [if someone uses it for worshipping the *linga*, is understood to be] a bestower of good fortune; *kiṃkirāṭa*<sup>335</sup> bestows desired objects, good health and wealth; and panic-seed (*priyaṅguḥ*), [bestows anything] desired. (144a–145b)

[When one uses] Vatica robusta (sālaḥ) [for worshipping the liṅga, it] causes pleasure and [when he uses] Flame of the Forest (kiṃśukaḥ) it increases [his] life-span.<sup>337</sup> (145cd)

To obtain elephants, horses, and cattle, one should worship Hara with Wrightia antidysenterica (*kuṭajena*). (146ab)

Camphor and Damaka<sup>338</sup> (*karpūradamakau*) are to be used [to worship the *linga*] for the destruction of enemies; [his] enemies will quickly be destroyed by worshiping the god of gods [in this manner]. (146c–147b)

*śyāmā*<sup>339</sup> always bestows good health; so too does the China Rose Hibiscus (*javāpuṣ-paḥ*).<sup>340</sup> (147cd)

[It is taught that one should use]  $kera\tilde{n}jaka^{341}$  flowers to subjugate [others]: [someone who wants to subjugate others] should daily (nityam) worship the linga with [them]. (148ab)

Jasminum Auriculatum ( $y\bar{u}thik\bar{u}$ ) is enjoined for worship of the supreme god for the purpose of causing dissension.<sup>342</sup> (148cd)

[The flower of] Pandanus fascicularis ( $ketak\bar{\imath}$ ) is for destroying enemies. If someone is angry [and wishes to destroy his enemies], he should worship the linga with [ $ketak\bar{\imath}$  flowers].  $^{343}$  (149ab)

O goddess! This *vyāghra* [flower] (Pongamia glabra) is proclaimed (*prakīrtital*!) to be the bestower of all desired objects [when one uses it in worshipping the *liṅga*]; likewise

of the *Skandapurāṇa*. Instead of *āṃkulī*, the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (5:99) reads *vaṃkulī*, which is not recorded in our dictionaries. Does this *āṃkulī* have to do with *aṅkola/ aṅkoṭa*?

<sup>335</sup>We find only one parallel for this word and that is in the *Mañjuśriyamūlakalpa*, on p. 679 of chapter fifty-five. It is possible that it is the same plant as *kinkirāla*, which Monier Williams identifies with *varvūra* 'Acacia Arabica.'

<sup>336</sup>Note that there is in fact no verb governing *ārogyam* and *dhanam* and we have supposed that they are to be understood as things bestowed by using *kiṃkirāṭa*.

<sup>337</sup>We have understood āyuvarddhanaḥ as an aiśa usage (m.c.) intended to mean the same as āyurvarddhakaḥ. Note that the Śivadharmasangraha (5:101) has rephrased the sentence to obviate this awkwardness and has instead: kiṃśukād āyur āpnuyāt.

<sup>338</sup>We find no name recorded for *damaka* in our dictionaries.

<sup>339</sup>Monier Williams records various possible identifications (*gundrā*, *priyangu*, *sārivā*) for this plant and we are not sure which to adopt. If *śyāmā* is meant for *śyāmaka* or *śyāmāka* Pandanus Database of Plants gives its names as follows: Latin, *Panicum sumatrense Roth*; English, Little millet; Hindi, *Sāvan*, *Kumku*, *Kuṭkī* and Tamil, *Cāmai*.

<sup>340</sup>Once again, we have an *aiśa* masculine for neuter.

<sup>341</sup>Note that *kerañjaka* is not recorded in our dictionaries; *Śivadharmasangraha* 5:103 reads *kuranṭaka* instead, which Monier Williams records as meaning 'yellow amaranth' or 'a yellow kind of Barleria'.

<sup>342</sup>Note that 148cd is not quite smooth because of the locative *parameśvare*, literally: 'with respect to the supreme god'. In Śivadharmasaṅgraha 5:104 the text has been rephrased to obviate the problem: vidveṣe yūthikā yojyā devadeve maheśvare.

<sup>343</sup>Note that 149ab has a clumsy structure; but in this case *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* has no variant.

*jyotsnākārī*<sup>344</sup> [when so used also] always bestows desired objects. (149c–150b)

One should worship god with  $v\bar{a}saka$  flowers: [by doing so one's] strength (balam) and life-span ( $\bar{a}yuh$ ) will be increased. (150cd)

*Jhaṇṭikā* flowers<sup>345</sup> always bestow happiness, so *tathā* [do] *apsara*<sup>346</sup> and *campaka* (*Michelia Campaka*)<sup>347</sup> [flowers when they are used for worshipping god]. (151ab)

 $Dimb\bar{a}ks\bar{\imath}^{348}$  as well as  $A\dot{s}vakarna^{349}$  [are to be used for worshipping god] for the annihilation of diseases. (151cd)

Sesbania AEgyptiaca (*Jayantī*) is [to be used] for victory (*jayakāmāya*) and [also] white *girikarṇikā;*<sup>350</sup> for [causing] hatred [among people] and driving them away (*vidveṣoccāṭanārthāya*) one should worship with Neem flowers (*nimbapuṣpaiḥ*).<sup>351</sup> (152)

 $Bha \ddagger \bar{\tau}^{352}$  and also  $madayant \bar{\tau}^{353}$  are taught [to be used to worship god] for the act of attraction; Rsipuspa and  $Rudraja \ddagger \bar{a}$ , annihilate misfortunes ( $n\bar{a}$ sayeta  $upadrav \bar{a}n$ ). Similarly  $sanapusp \bar{\tau}$  as well as  $kokil \bar{a}k \bar{s} \bar{a}$ . (153a–154b)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>344</sup>We have found no identification for this plant; there is however a plant called *jyotiṣmati* which may be a synonym for *jyotsnākārī*. Panddanus Database records the Latin name for *jyotiṣmati* (alternatively called *pītatailā*), *Celastrus paniculatus Willd*; the English, climbing staff plant; the Tamil, *Vāluļuvai*; Hindi, *Mālkaṅganī* and *Mālkuṅkī*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>345</sup>Monier Williams does not record this word, but it is possible that it is a variant form of <code>jhintika</code>, which one manuscript of the <code>Śivadharmasangraha</code> transmits here (5:106), and which Monier Williams identifies as <code>Barleria cristata</code>, also known as the 'Philippine violet'. It is also possible that <code>jhantika</code> is simply a copying mistake for <code>jhintika</code>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>346</sup>Note that our dictionaries do not record the flower called *apsara*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>347</sup>Note that *apsaracampakam* is an *aiśa samāhāradvandva* compound.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>348</sup>No plant of this name is known to me, and the form has been accepted on the authority of the Śivadharma-saṅgraha (5:107). Prof. Diwakar Acharya on semantic ground observes a possibility of it being corrupted from ditthākṣī, although this form is also not recorded in lexicons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>349</sup>Monier Williams identifies this as *Vatica Robusta*, which has already been referred to above under the name  $\delta \bar{a} la$  (1:140).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>350</sup>Pandanus Database gives *aparājitā* as a synonym of *girikarṇikā*. The database gives this plant's Latin name as *Clitoria ternatea L.*; English, Clitoria, Butterfly pea; Tamil, *Kaṇṇikkoṭi* and *Kirikaṇṇi*; Hindi, *Aparājit*. Botanical information on the plant according to the Database is as follows: "a perennial twining herb with terete stems and branches, growing throughout India in hedges and thickets, leaves compound, imparipinnate, blue or white flowers, fruits nearly straight, flattened pods, sharply beaked, seeds 6 - 10, yellowish brown."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>351</sup>The syntax here seems problematic: no pronoun answers the relative pronoun. Here the *Śivadharma-saṅgraha* (5:108) offers no improved version.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>352</sup>Note that our dictionaries do not record *bhaṭī*, but it is possible that it is a variant form of *bhaṭā*, which Monier Williams identifies as *Coloquintida*. Here the *Śivadharmasangraha* (5:108) instead reads *bhaṇḍī*, which Monier Williams identifies as *Rubia Munjista*. I assume that the *m* after *bhaṭī* is intended as a hiatus-breaker; note that the *Śivadharmasangraha* (5:108) has inserted a *ca* to deal with the problem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>353</sup>According to Monier Williams, this is Arabian jasmine, in other words *Jasminum sambac*. Note that  $y\bar{a}$  bhavet has no particular role in the sentence.

 $<sup>^{354}</sup>$ Here the  $\tilde{S}ivadharmasangraha$  (5:109) has normalized the syntax of 153cd by reading rsipuṣp $\bar{r}$  rudraja $t\bar{t}$  hanti sarv $\bar{a}$ n upadrav $\bar{a}$ n.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>355</sup>Śaṇapuṣpī is identified by Monier Williams as *Crotolaria Verrucosa*. Note that *kokilākṣā* may be an *aiśa* feminine for masculine ('corrected' in the text of Śivadharmasangraha 5:109). This plant is also called *kokilanayana*, in defining which Monier Williams records the following possible identifications: *Capparis spinosa*, *Asteracantha* 

All white [flowers are to be used to worship god] for peace (*śāntyarthe*) and all yellow [flowers are to be used to worship god] for nourishment (*pauṣṭike*). [154cd]

Blue and red flowers,<sup>356</sup> when used in worship, are [respectively] for controlling and for attracting (*vaśyākarṣaṇe*).<sup>357</sup> In this way one may accomplish everything with these [flowers of various colours]. (155)

One should also offer (*kalpayet*) black flowers to the supreme god for malevolent acts. (156ab)

If someone daily offers ( $dady\bar{a}t$ ) leaves, flowers, fruit, water, grass and milk (payah) to Śańkara, <sup>358</sup> he will not have a bad destiny (durgatim). (156c–157b)

That person, too, reaches the supreme goal (*parāṃ gatim*) of whose tree the leaves, flowers and fruits are offered to Śiva (*mahādevāya*). (157c–158b)

A milkweed (*arka*) is a hundred times better than oleander (*karavīrāt*); a wood-apple (*bilva*), in the same manner [is a hundred times better then an milkweed (*arka*)]; an Agati Grandiflora (*buka*) is a thousand times better then wood-apple (*bilva*); a thorn-apple [flower] (*dhuttūrakaḥ*) is [yet a thousand] better than Agati Grandiflora (*buka*). Having thus worshipped the Lord of gods [with flowers], one should [next] also offer food. (158c–159)

By offering grains [as a] food-offering (annanaivedyadānena) one obtains imperishable happiness. Similarly by offering chewable foods (bhakṣadānāt) one approaches (anuprāptiḥ) the realm of the gods (devalokam). (160)

The offerer of chewable food as offering (*bhakṣyanaivedyadāyakaḥ*) obtains well-being and prosperity (*śivam aiśvaryam*).<sup>359</sup> (161ab)

If someone daily (*sadā*) offers rice-pudding together with ghee [as] the principle food-offering (*naivedyam*) to Śambhu, he will quickly obtain the state of being a leader of Gaṇas; [if he offers them daily for] twelve years [he will obtain the state of being a leader of Gaṇas] together with his family.<sup>360</sup> (161c–162b)

If someone makes [offerings] made out of dainty (*khaṇḍakhādyakṛtam*),<sup>361</sup> he will obtain an excellent destiny (*gatim*).<sup>362</sup> (162cd)

longifolia and Barleria longifolia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>356</sup>Note the use of *aiśa* masculine plurals for neuter plurals.

 $<sup>^{357}</sup>Ex$  conj. We assume that the transmitted m was a hiatus-breaker inserted in the course of transmission, but it may in fact have been authorial. The  $\acute{S}ivadharmasa\acute{n}graha$  (5:110) appears to have rearranged the text here for clarity, but in doing so it has modified slightly the correspondences: there (5:110) red flowers are for attracting and dark flowers are for malevolent rites ( $abhic\bar{a}ra$ ). The categories of  $va\acute{s}ya$  and  $\bar{a}kar\dot{s}an\dot{a}$  are therefore not there distinguished, and the following half-line of our text is dropped.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>358</sup>Here, as in many other places in this work, the locative is used as a dative. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:111), however, has refined the text by reading śambhave instead of śaṅkare.

 $<sup>^{359}</sup>Ex\ conj.$  This conjecture is a tentative one.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>360</sup>Note that the *Śivadharmasangraha* (5:122) reads *dvādaśābdāt* instead of *dvādaśābdam*. It appears to be intended as a clarificatory improvement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>361</sup>Perhaps the expression refers to lumps of raw sugar cane (*khaṇḍa*) offered as a dainty snack. We are unsure of how to take *kṛṭam* at the end of the compound (or *-kṛṭān* in the reading of *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* 5:124).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>362</sup>The *Śivadharmasangraha* (5:124) has made the text smoother by reading *dattvā* instead of *dadyāt*.

By offering chewable and unchewable foods  $(bhakṣyabhojyāni)^{363}$  one may indeed (vai) obtain all desired objects; by offering rice-gruel  $(yav\bar{a}g\bar{u}n)$ , porridge  $(kṛsar\bar{a}m)^{364}$  and cakes  $(p\bar{u}p\bar{a}n)$ , one partakes of happiness  $(sukhabh\bar{a}g\ bhavet)$ . (163)

Having offered [to god] rice-gruel (mandakam), susumālān,  $^{365}$  pastries and sweets (śaṣkulyāmodakāni),  $^{366}$  [and] other fruits and roots, and whatever is lickable or suckable, one obtains all kinds of pleasures. [He will obtain] infinite pleasures if [he offers] a song and music (gītavādite). (164a–165b)

This is the fruit of [offering these things] once; [now] hear from me [the fruit] of playing the lute<sup>367</sup> [in front of god]. (165cd)

If he plays  $(krtv\bar{a})^{368}$  [in front of god], the lute player  $(tantr\bar{t}v\bar{a}dyasya\ v\bar{a}dakah)$  attains the state of being a Gaṇa. (166ab)

If someone makes (*kurvāṇaḥ*) *huḍuk* sounds (*huḍukkārasya*),<sup>369</sup> dances, makes music with [his] mouth and laughs loudly (*mukhavādyasyāṭṭahāsayoḥ*)<sup>370</sup> [as an offering to god]

363 Our text records four divisions of food: bhakşya, bhojya, lehya and coşya. The last two are recorded in the following verse, 160. The Śivadharmasangraha (5:124–26) also mentions this division of food. For the same division, cf. also Skandapurāṇa 162:45, Rāmāyaṇa 2:85:17 etc. Madhusūdana Sarasvatī on the commentary of Bhagavadgītā 15:14 defines the four divisions of food as follows: prāṇibhir bhuktam annaṃ caturvidhaṃ bhakṣaṃ bhojyaṃ lehyaṃ coṣyaṃ ceti | tatra yad dantair avakhāḍyāvakhāḍya bhakṣyate 'apūpādi tad bhakṣyaṃ carvyam iti cocyate | yat tu jihvayā viloḍya nigīryate sūpaudanādi tad bhojyam | yat tu jihvāyāṃ nikṣipya rasāsvādena nigīryate kiṃca dravībhūtaguḍarasālaśikhariṇyādi tal lehyam | yat tu dantair niṣpīḍya rasāṃśaṃ nigīryāvaśiṣṭaṃ tyajyate yathekṣudaṇḍādi tac coṣyam iti bhedaḥ. Cf. also the commentary of Nīlakaṇṭha, Śrīdhara on the same verse of Bhagavadgītā. However, Rāmāyaṇa 2:44:15, Iśvarasaṇhitā 5:12 and 13:36, Jayākhyasaṃhitā 13:171, Nāradasaṃhitā 2:117, Pārameśvarasaṃhitā 6:384 etc. mention the four divisions of food as bhakṣya, bhojya, peya and lehya. On other occasions we come across only three divisions of food, bhakṣya, bhojya and pāṇa or peya, cf. Mahābhārata 3:242:22, 3:265:15, 12:172:27, Viṣṇudharmottara 2:54:5, Pādmasaṃhitā 11:5, 25:120 etc. We also come across five divisions of food, bhakṣya, bhojya, lehya, coṣya and peya, cf. Mahābhārata 12:184:16, Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa 2:16:49, Brahmapurāṇa 215:36, Nāradapurāṇa 1:43:117, Viṣṇudharmottara 1:209:89, Nāradasaṃhitā 19:70, Sarvajñānottara 5:60, Pāñcārthabhāṣya p. 24, Jayasiṃhakalpadruma p. 51 etc.

The *Pārameśvarasaṃhitā* (18:386–387) gives an example of these five divisions of food as follows: *bhakṣyāṇy* apūpapūrvāṇi bhojyāni ca phalāni ca | lehyāni madhupūrvāṇi coṣyāṇy āmrādikāny api | peyāni kṣīrapūrvāṇi anupānān-vitāni ca. Cf. also Jayasimhakalpadruma p. 51.

Rājanighaṇṭu 20:72 mentions eight kinds of food as follows: bhojyaṃ peyaṃ tathā coṣyaṃ lehyaṃ khādyaṃ ca carvaṇam niṣpeyaṃ caiva bhakṣyaṃ syād annam aṣṭavidhaṃ smṛtam. Besides all these divisions, we most commonly find two divisions of food, bhakṣya and bhojya, often mentioned in the Mahābhārata, Rāmāyaṇa, Purāṇas, and in Tantras etc.

<sup>364</sup>We take this to be an accusative plural. Monier-Williams defines it as 'a dish consisting of sesamum and grain'.

 $^{365}$ It is clear from the context that  $susum\bar{a}la$  is a kind of food, but we don't know what it is exactly. Note that our dictionaries do not record the word. Or it might be a corruption of something else.

<sup>366</sup>I assume this to be an *aiśa* compound, the first member of which would normally be *śaṣkulī* (cf. the form of the word *Devyāmata*).

<sup>367</sup>We have understood *tantrīvādyasya* to mean *tantrīvāditasya*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>368</sup>We have understood *kṛtvā* to mean *vādanaṃ kṛtvā*.

 $<sup>^{369}</sup>$ The reading of *huḍuk* remains uncertain. See our note 271 on p. 205.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>370</sup>This verse echoes *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:8. The precise meaning of the *mukhavādya* remains uncertain. We may understand *mukhavādya* as a wind instrument such as *śaṅkha*, *bherī* etc. as opposed to *karavādya* "that which

three times a day, he will become an excellent Gana. (166c–167b)

Those who always remember the oddly-eyed (*virūpākṣam*) once, twice or three times [a day], they are to be known as lords of Gaṇas. (167c–168b)

Pilgrimage to sixty thousand sites or [even] sixty crore [sites] will not be worth even one-sixteenth part of worshipping the *linga* once. (168c–169b)

If someone who is ignorant [of the rules] and has not received Śaiva initiation (śivadīkṣā-vivarjitaḥ) worships [the liṅga] in this fashion (evam), for him these fruits have been taught (uddiṣṭam); in the case of an initiate, [the same worship bestows] liberation (apavargāya).<sup>371</sup> (169cdef)

O Brahmins! This is what I heard from Hara, who was telling the goddess, and I have recounted it to you, the truth that the Lord has taught.<sup>372</sup> (170)

# [1.2 The Lingodbhava myth]

# The sages spoke:

What is the significance (māhātmya) of the linga here, which you have highly extolled

is played by hands"; Nayasūtra 2:41 makes it clear that there is a such a division:

tantrīvādyavicitrāṇi karavādyāni yāni ca l mukhavādyāni ramyāni kāsthāyuktāni caiva hi l 41

According to Kṣemarāja, referring to the commentary on *Svacchandatantra* 2:182, *mukhavādya* is a synonym of *huḍḍunkāra*: *bhaktivaivaśyonmiṣannādāmarśamayo dhvanir mukhavādyāparaparyāyo huḍḍunkāraḥ*; this does not however mean that *mukhavādya* should always be taken to mean *huḍḍunkāra*. *Śivadharmasangraha* 5:129: *huḍḍunkārādikaṃ nityaṃ mukhavādyāṭṭahāsatām\ trikālañ caiva kurvāṇaḥ sa bhaved uttamo gaṇaḥ*. 'He who does *huḍḍunkāra*, dance, mouth-music (*mukhavādya*) and loud laughter three times a day will attain the state of Gaṇa'. Here *mukhavādya* is definitely different from *huḍḍunkāra*. Cf. also *Nāradapurāṇa uttarakhaṇḍa* 49:14 *huḍḍunkāranamaskārair* (corr: ḍuaṃḍukāranamaskār ed.) *nṛtyagītais tathaiva ca\ mukhavādyair anekaiśca stotrair mantrais tathaiva ca\ l*, *Tīrthavivecanakāṇḍa* 8th part, p. 82 kṣīreṇa madhunā caiva toyena saha sarpiṣā\ tarpayanti paraṃ lingam arcayanti devaṃ śubham\ huḍḍunkāranamaskārair (corr; huḍunkāra ed.) *nṛtyagītais tathaiva ca\ mukhavādyair anekaiś ca stotramantrais tathaiva ca*.

There are some occurrences of <code>mukhavādya</code> which can be interpreted in either way, since there are no commentaries that would help us to understand precisely. Cf. <code>Śivadharmaśāstra 5:8 snānakāle trisandhyāṃ ca yaḥ kuryād geyavādinaḥ | nṛtyaṃ vā mukhavādyaṃ vā tasya puṇyaphalaṃ śṛṇu | 'Hear the meritorious fruit of he who performs singing, playing instruments, dance, or <code>mukhavādya'</code>. Cf. also <code>Śivadharmaśāstra 9:42–43 bhūmidānasya yat puṇyaṃ kanyādānasya yat phalam | mukhavādyena tat puṇyam ubhayaṃ labhate naraḥ | tad eva puṇyaṃ gītasya nṛtyasya ca viśeṣataḥ | tad eva jayaśabdasya tad eva tālakadhvaneḥ | where <code>mukhavādya</code> is given more importance even than an offering of land or of a virgin girl; <code>Kriyākālaguṇottara</code> quoted in the <code>Netratantra</code> vol. 2, p. 157 devagṛhagṛhītasya etad bhavati lakṣaṇam | gāyate nṛtyate hṛṣṭo mukhavādyaṃ karoti ca |, Tīrthavivecanakāṇḍa 8th part p. 64 gandhadhūpanamaskārair mukhavādyaiś ca sarvaśaḥ | yo mām arcayate tatra tasya tuṣyāmy ahaṃ sadā | Bisschop & Griffiths (2007:34, fn. 155) mention that in <code>Carakasaṃhitā</code>, <code>Cikitsāsthāna 9:20 mukhavādya</code> is included among the characteristics of one who is possessed by a Gandharva.</code></code>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>371</sup>The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:133) makes the syntax smoother by reading tasyedaṃ phalam uddiṣṭaṃ nirvāṇaṃ dīksitasya tu.

 $<sup>^{372}</sup>$ The remainder of the text has a different character, and it is possible that it was added at a later stage.

(ativarnitam)?<sup>373</sup> Tell [us] the fruit if someone makes [one]. [And also tell us the fruit] if someone makes [one] every day.<sup>374</sup> (171)

# Nandikeśvara spoke:

There was  $(bhavet)^{375}$  a dispute which  $(yat)^{376}$  took place  $(p\bar{u}rvavrttam)$  between Brahmā and Viṣṇu [in which each claimed]: « I am the Cause [of all] ». Fiery energy rose up in the water.<sup>377</sup> (172)

In the midst of the fiery energy there stood a *liṅga* of the size of the thumb's [topmost] joint (*parvāṅguṣṭhapramāṇataḥ*).<sup>378</sup> Both of them were astonished at this (*tatra*). What is this wonder that has happened? (173)

Then they both started watching the *linga* grow, thinking "it is a wonder", they both went up and down: Viṣṇu went down from there (*tataḥ*)<sup>379</sup> and Brahmā went up from there. And not finding (*paśyantau*) the end of it, both of them became weary. (174–175)

And then having returned back again, [both of them] praised<sup>380</sup> Hara with a hymn. (176ab)

Then, the supreme god, being pleased, in order to bestow boons on both of them  $(varan\ dattv\bar{a}\ ubh\bar{a}vapi)^{381}$  took the form of a man standing [before them]  $(puruṣar\bar{u}p\bar{\imath}\ sthito\ bh\bar{u}tv\bar{a})^{382}$  [and said]: « I will give whatever you desire. » (176c-177b) Brahmā said (va-177b) Brahmā said (va-177b) Brahmā said (va-177b) Brahmā said (va-177b) Brahmā said (va-175b) Brahmā said (va

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>373</sup>It is possible that the scribe of N in fact intended to write the more conventional word *abhivarnitam*, in which case we might translate simply 'which you have described'. But given that *Śivadharmasangraha* 5:135 (*kiṃ lingasya hi māhātmyaṃ tvayā yad iti varṇitam*) has modified the text here, it is perhaps more likely that a slightly odd usage in the *Niśvāsamukha* lay before the redactor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>374</sup>The Sanskrit is elliptical here and some other understanding of the scenario could be imagined.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>375</sup>We have understood *bhavet* to mean *abhavat*. Here starts the *Lingodbhava myth*, for details see Kafle, 2013.

 $<sup>^{376}</sup>$ This hanging relative pronoun is particularly problematic in this awkward sentence. Note that the  $\acute{S}iva-dharmasa\dot{n}graha$  (5:136) has rephrased this introduction to the story to make it clearer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>377</sup>We suppose that *kāraṇakartā* is so intended, rather than a *tatpuruṣa* or *dvandva*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>378</sup>Once again, the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (5:137) has tightened up the phrasing here, in this case by replacing it with a *bahuvrīhi*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>379</sup>This is the suggestion of Dr. Kahrs: one went down the *linga*, the other up. Otherwise we would have to interpret the repeated *tatal*<sub>1</sub> to mean simply "then".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>380</sup> tuṣṭuve is an aiśa use of the ātmanepada perfect third person singular instead of the required dual. Note that the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:140) has corrected this as required.

 $<sup>^{381}</sup>$ The word order suggests, as we have translated it, that  $dattv\bar{a}$  (or perhaps dattvai before sandhi) may be intended as an infinitive. In that case, we could either have an  $ai\dot{s}a$  hiatus within a  $p\bar{a}da$ , with  $dattv\bar{a}$  irregularly used as an infinitive, or a regular sandhi reduction of dattvai, in an archaic use of a Vedic infinitive. The syntax of the whole sentence is in any case clumsy and once again the  $\dot{S}ivadharmasangraha$  (5:140) has rephrased it to "improve" the text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>382</sup>The Śivadharmasangraha (5:141) alters the wording of our text (svarūpaṃ divyam āsthāya sarvalokanamaskṛtam) to develop Śiva's anthropomorphism. Chapter 81 of the Brahmayāmala records the theomorphic form of Śiva, whereas the myth found in chapter 3 of the Śivadharmaśāstra mentions neither Śiva's theomorphic form nor his anthropomorphic one. Phyllis Granoff (2006), in her article Śiva and his Gaṇas: Techniques of Narrative distancing in Purāṇic Stories ingeniously shows that in early versions of certain Śaiva myths Śiva is not directly involved in the action; he employs instead one of his gaṇas or of his weapons to do his work for him. But in the later version of the same story Śiva is involved in the main action. We may observe the

dati): « O you of excellent observances! You yourself be [my] son ».383

« So be it, » replied god.<sup>384</sup>

But you will not be worshipped,<sup>385</sup> since (*yasmād dhi*), O twice born, the boon you desire is inappropriate (*anānurūpam*).<sup>386</sup> (177c–178)

O Viṣṇu! I certainly will bestow a boon that you desire, tell me! For (*hi*) my speech is not false. Ask me (*vadasva me*) now whatever you desire. (179)

## Visnu asked:

O god! If you are pleased and want to give me a boon, I will be your devotee and favourite to you (*tvatpriyaḥ*):<sup>387</sup> there is no doubt on this point. (180)

# Īśvara replied:

So be it! May good be with you! All the creatures belong to Rudra and Nārāyaṇā (rudranārāyaṇī prajā).<sup>388</sup> There is no difference between the two of them, between Keśava and Hara. (181)

For (*hi*), this very *linga*<sup>389</sup> was installed by Brahmā and Viṣṇu, <sup>390</sup> together with the gods including Indra, the Asuras, Yakṣas, Uragas, Rākṣasas, Siddhas, Vidyādharas, Bhūtas, Apsaras, Uragas, <sup>391</sup> Pisācas, Grahas, Nakṣatras and the best of the sages (*munisattamaiḥ*). (182–183)

Having worshipped the boon-bestowing god and obtained [their desired] boons, they were delighted. 'I have taught (*uktal*<sub>1</sub>)<sup>392</sup> that the *linga* bestows all desired wishes, O stainless ones!'<sup>393</sup> (184)

same kind of development in the case of the *Lingodbhava* myth. I have argued (Kafle, 2013) that the myth found in the *Śivadharmaśāstra* may be the earliest since it preserves the primitive idea peculiar to early Śaiva myths. Since our text mentions the anthropomorphic form of Śiva, its version of the myth is one step further developed from the one found in the *Śivadharmaśāstra*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>383</sup>For Brahmā's desire to have Śiva as his son see *Skandapurāṇa* 4:5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>384</sup>Ex conj. Niśvāsamukha 1:178ab is close to the reading of Śivadharmasangraha (5:144), the portion evama being alone visible in the manuscript N. We have conjectured evam astv abravīd devaḥ, thus following Śivadharmasangraha as closely as possible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>385</sup>Does this perhaps refer to the paucity of temples dedicated to Brahmā?

 $<sup>^{386}</sup>$ We assume that the second syllable is lengthened to obviate a metrical fault (the second and third syllable of a  $p\bar{a}da$  cannot both be short).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>387</sup>Of course this could equally mean "fond of you".

 $<sup>^{388}</sup>$ The same idea is presented in a different context in  $V\bar{a}yupur\bar{a}na$  20:21 and  $K\bar{u}rmapur\bar{a}na$  1:14:90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>389</sup>Note that the masculine pronoun *eṣa* is used in apposition here with a neuter noun. It is not made quite clear why the fact that this *linga* is established by Brahmā and Viṣṇu should be connected with there being creatures belonging to Hara and Keśava.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>390</sup>brahmaviṣṇunā is an aiśa samāhāradvandva compound (m.c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>391</sup>One or the other of these *uragas* is only to pad out the metre.

 $<sup>^{392}</sup>$ Once again, a masculine is put in apposition with a neuter noun. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (5:155) has dealt with the problem here by treating linga in every respect as a masculine noun.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>393</sup>This plural vocative suggested by the *Śivadharmasangraha* (5:155) seems to be right, since, here it is Nandikeśvara speaking to sages, not Śiva to Devī.

With minds frightened by the pain of the ocean that is worldly existence, Brahmā, Viṣṇu, Mahendra, snakes, the sages and Yakṣas, together with Vidyādharas [are] devoted to worshipping the *liṅga*. Desirous of boons, they worship [the *liṅga*] daily (aharahaḥ), <sup>394</sup> joining their hands together and raising them to [their] foreheads. How is prosperity possible for those mortals who do not worship (namanti) the unborn [god] in this form (īdṛśam) ? (185)

Thus is the first chapter, about worldly duty/religion in the *Niśvāsamukhatattvasaṃhitā*. verses 187.

 $<sup>^{394}</sup>$ Note that our text reads *raharahaḥ* instead of *aharahaḥ* to avoid hiatus with the previous word.

#### **CHAPTER II**

# [1.3 Temporary lingas]

## Sages asked:

What is the fruit of making a *linga*? [What is the fruit of] installing it? And what is the fruit that accrues to someone who makes one every day?<sup>395</sup> (1)

**Nandi replied:** Those children who make a *linga* with dust while playing will definitely obtain an unrivalled kingdom without enemies.<sup>396</sup> (2)

If someone daily makes a [liṅga], without knowing this [relevant] rule,<sup>397</sup> relying [just] on devotion, listen also to the fruit [that accrues] to him. (3)

Having made [any *liṅga* without knowing the precise rule], if someone worships Śiva, [he will obtain] wealth, [various] enjoyments and sovereignty; a worshipper of the *liṅga* always enjoys great fortune. (4)

If one worships a thousand of them, one should know ( $vidy\bar{a}t$ ) that he will not see hell (nirayam); <sup>398</sup> having enjoyed [various] irreproachable enjoyments he will obtain the world of Rudra. (5)

If someone makes [and worships a *linga*] one hundred thousand times, [the *linga*] will become aflame for him one [time(?)]; having seen [that] flaming *linga*, he [becomes] an accomplished one (*siddhaḥ*), [and being an accomplished one, he] obtains the state of god. (6)

[Worshipping the linga] one million times, he will obtain the state of being Indra; [worshipping the linga] two million times  $(vim\acute{s}abhih)^{399}$  it is [to be] understood that he will obtain the state of Brahma; worshipping [the linga] three million times<sup>400</sup> [he will attain] the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>395</sup>Note that the syntax is irregular: no correlative pronoun picks up the *yat* of the first half-line.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>396</sup>This very closely is paralleled by *Śivadharmaśāstra* 3:77c–78b. For this see our discussion in the introduction p. 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>397</sup>The words *vidhim etat*'this rule' expect some rule to have been mentioned in the text, but do not have any rules mentioned so far. Thus this may have happened due to borrowing. It could be the case that the rule may have been mentioned the source of the *Niśvāsamukha* in the preceding passage which has not been borrowed and the incautious borrowing gave rise to this acwordness in our text. Note that the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* has entirely rephrased this half-line to avoid these awkwardnesses. The syntax is irregular here: an instrumental participle agreeing with the nominative subject and a neuter pronoun agreeing with a masculine accusative noun.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>398</sup>Among the hells (*narakas*) listed in this chapter, the *śilāvarṣa* is not known from any other source, except the *Śivadharmasangraha*. The *medahrada* is also not found anywhere else, if *mahāhrada* of the *Śivadharmottara* is not a corruption for it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>399</sup>viṃśabhiḥ is an aiśa shortening for viṃśatibhiḥ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>400</sup>triṃśabhiḥ is an aiśa shortening for triṃśadbhiḥ.

Chapter Two 225

state of Viṣṇu and [worshipping the linga] four million times,<sup>401</sup> he will attain the state of Rudra. (7)

Having cleansed [the ground] with the five products of the cow, one should make a linga of clay <sup>402</sup> If someone makes a linga of cooked rice (anna), he will obtain desired fruits. (8)

Having worshipped a *linga* made of jaggery, one obtains the greatest prosperity; one will be the master of a hundred virgins and the ruler of all vassal kingdoms. (9)

And [if a] woman [makes a *linga* out of jaggery and worships it], she, being above all [women<sup>403</sup> and always] surrounded by thousands (*sahasreṇa*) of women on all sides, will obtain incomparable good fortune. (10)

If a man or a woman  $(naran\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}\ v\bar{a})^{404}$  worships a *linga* of jaggery daily (nityaśah), they will rejoice in children and grandchildren; they will also enjoy happiness greatly (atyantam). (11)

If someone daily worships a *linga* having made [it] of refined sugar (*sita*), he will obtain all desired objects within six months; there is no doubt on this point. (12)

[If someone worships] a *linga* made of unclarified butter, he will obtain [any] desired fruit;<sup>405</sup> [by worshipping it] for six months with his self controlled,<sup>406</sup> goes to the world of Siva. (13)

If someone daily makes and worships a *linga* made of leaves, he will obtain great power and always enjoy [it] remaining in good health. 407 (14)

One obtains sovereignty on earth by worshipping a *linga* made of flowers; without rival one enjoys [sovereignty] and gives [it to others as well?]. (15)

If someone worships a linga [made of] salt, he will obtain the greatest fortune; and if he [worships it] daily, he will obtain eternal and unbroken lordship. (16)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>401</sup>We have guessed that *caturguṇaiḥ* is intended to mean "multiplied by forty" even though it properly means "multiplied by four".

 $<sup>^{402}</sup>$ We understand this causative  $k\bar{a}rayet$  in the sense of karoti. The statement of Verse 8ab remains incomplete. To be precise, the reward of the recommended act remains untold. Even the  $\acute{S}ivadharmasa\acute{n}graha$  retains this problem as it is.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>403</sup> Although we have not adopted W's *sarvāsām*, we assume that *sarveṣām* was intended as a feminine genitive plural.

 $<sup>^{404}</sup>$ naranārī vā may be taken as an aiśa dvandva compound followed by  $v\bar{a}$  connecting its elements or, alternatively, nara may be taken as a uninflected nominative singular for metrical reasons.

 $<sup>^{405}</sup>$ Note a hiatus within a  $p\bar{a}da$ . The  $\acute{S}ivadharmasangraha$  (6:13) has added semantically meaningless ca, that is it reads cepsitam instead of  $\bar{\imath}psitam$  to obviate the problem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>406</sup>We have accepted the reading of K and Śivadharmasangraha against the reading of the original manuscript N and apograph W, yuktyātmā. A similar situation appears in the Nayasūtra (4:80): dhyāyate yas tu yuktātmā māsamekam suyantritah | prākrtā jāyate siddhir dvimāsena tu pauruṣī | | Here too, the old manuscript N and apograph W read muktyātmā, which does not fit in the context, and other sources read yuktātmā, which is fitting. It is also noteworthy that in the Niśvāsa-corpus the expression yuktātmā appears frequently, but muktātmā is found nowhere except in these two cases.

 $<sup>^{407}</sup>$ An *aiśa* form for *niruk*, treated as an *a*-stem. This is a reoccurring phenomenon, see for example, 2:91 and 3:89.

If someone makes<sup>408</sup> [and worships] earthen *linga*s made from a mould (*saccakena*)<sup>409</sup> a thousand times, he will certainly (*hi*) obtain the desired fruits. By worshipping [it] ten thousand times, he will obtain the state of Gaṇa, and by worshipping [it] one hundred thousand times, he will obtain (*gacchati*) union with [Śiva] in his own body (*svaśarīreṇa*) and will never come back [to worldly existence] again. (17–18)

Having worshipped these [*lingas*], gods together with demons and men [become] endowed with all their desires and [they become] freed from pleasure and pain. By the grace of god they play with [the eight yogic powers, namely] *aṇiman* (the supernatural capability to become minute) and so forth. 410 (19a–20b)

#### [1.4 Donations]

Those who make a Śiva temple furnished with marked bricks<sup>411</sup> will dwell in heaven until ( $y\bar{a}vat...\ t\bar{a}vat$ ) fourteen Indras [finish their term of office].<sup>412</sup> (20c–21b)

[Having] made [*lingas*] of gems, precious stones, corals, crystals, emeralds, <sup>413</sup> glass, gold, sliver, copper, bell-metal, brass, iron, lead and tin, and having then (*punaś ca*) worshipped them, after enjoying pleasures one will attain union with Śiva (*śivaṃ vrajet*). <sup>414</sup> (21c–23b)

There is no rebirth for him who installs the *linga* on earth; but, if he installs [it] in the middle of a temple, he [becomes equal to] Siva: there is no doubt on this point. (23c–24b)

He who plants ten mango trees will never see dreadful hells; if someone creates a garden, he will enjoy [everything] in heaven as Indra does. (24c–25b)

aşteştakāsamāyuktam yah prakuryāc chivālayam \vidhūya pāpasamghātam so 'pi gacchec chivālayam \

ullet aşteştakāsamāyuktam ] BC; aştoşta kālamāyuktam  $\mathbf{E}_N$ 

See also Agnipurāṇa 41:15ab kumbhān na cālayet teṣu nyased **aṣṭeṣṭakāḥ** kramāt, 41:34a–35b kṛte tu kiṃ punas ta-sya prāsāde vidhinaiva tu | aṣṭeṣṭakasamāyuktaṃ yaḥ kuryyād devatālayam | na tasya phalasampattir vaktuṃ śakyeta kenacit | and 327:19ab aṣṭeṣṭakasurāgārakārī svargam avāpnuyāt; Vāyavīyasaṃhitā of the Śivapurāṇa 34:53 aṣṭeṣṭakāb-hiḥ prāsādaṃ kṛtvā liṃgaṃ ca mṛnmayam | tatrāvāhya mahādevaṃ sāṃbaṃ sagaṇam avyayam.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>408</sup>Causative is meant for simplex.

 $<sup>^{409}</sup>$ Profs. Harunaga Isaacson and Diwakar Acharya point out to us that saccaka is meant for sancaka 'a mound'. We feel no necessity for an emendation from saccaka to sancaka since this orthography appears, apart from our text, in the  $\acute{S}ivadharmasangraha$  (6:17) and in  $\emph{Adikarmaprad}pa$  (e-text provided by Prof. Isaacson), where the word appears three times.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>410</sup>The *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:40) reads *prakrīḍaṃty aṇimādibhiḥ* instead of *krīḍante aṇimādibhiḥ* to obviate an *aiśa* use of the *ātmanepada* and *aiśa* hiatus within a *pāda*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>411</sup>From the context it appears to us that *aṣteṣṭaka* means 'marked bricks', but we are not sure what the bricks were marked with: Śiva's names, a *linga*, or other emblems of Śiva. A statement parallel to this is found in the Śivadharmaśāstra (4:25):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>412</sup>This must refers to the time of fourteen Manvantaras, each ruled by one Indra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>413</sup>Inflected nominative singular *sphaṭir* meant for a *prātipadika*, meaning *sphaṭika*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>414</sup>Note that 22ab is restored from the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:42). We assume that this reading is plausible since N reads *kācahema* ... *tāmrakāsyāni* ..., but K and W has no text transcribed at all.

Chapter Two 227

Those people who plant (*kurvanti*) trees, <sup>415</sup> such as the fig-tree and others, on a road, they will reach to the house of Yama (*yamasādanam*) accompanied by cool shadows. <sup>416</sup> For those there will not be [those] dreadful [possible] sorrows of the world of Yama. This is the virtuous act of planting trees [on the way, which] I have told to you (*te*). <sup>417</sup> (25c–27b)

Those who install Viṣṇu, having had a temple constructed [for him], will go to the world of Viṣṇu and rejoice with Him. (27c–28b)

If someone worships<sup>418</sup> [whomsoever among] Brahmā, Skanda, Rudrāṇī, Gaṇeśa, the mothers (*mātaram*),<sup>419</sup> sun, fire, Indra (*śatakratum*), the Yakṣas,<sup>420</sup> Vāyu, Dharma or Varuṇa (*jaleśvaram*) with highest devotion, having installed them in a beautiful temple, he becomes immortal and [achieves] the world of that [particular deity]. (28c–30b)

If someone makes a bridge (saṅkramam) on a way which is hard to cross (asaṅkrama-pathe), he will go comfortably [down] the path of Yama (dharmarājapathe). He will cross (santaret) by a bridge the river Vaitaraṇī, with its steaming water (uṣṇatoyām), 421 loudly roaring and difficult to traverse because of its deep eddies. (30c–32b)

If someone makes a causeway (*setubandham*) on a terrible muddy path, he will go easily to the city of Yama (*dharmarājapure*), which is so difficult to attain.<sup>422</sup> (32c–33b)

One who makes the path of a water-channel to flow freely  $(n\bar{a}l\bar{i}m\bar{a}rgapray\bar{a}yinah)^{423}$  passes through the terrible hells, [namely] Paṅkalepa and that of Taptatrapu and Taptajatu (taptatrapujatuś ca yah). (33c-34b)

If someone makes  $(k\bar{a}rinah)^{424}$  a hut [for an ascetic], an abode  $(\bar{a}vasathasya)$ , or a pavilion (mandapasya), after first going [for judgement] to the city of Yama  $(dharmar\bar{a}japuran\ gatv\bar{a})$ , [there will be a] golden house [for him] in heaven; there will be no fear of him [falling

 $<sup>^{415}</sup>$ We have understood  $v_r k_s \bar{a} m$  as an accusative plural, as in other cases we find the ending  $\bar{a} m$  for  $\bar{a} n$ . Note that  $\acute{S}ivadharmasangraha$  (6:46) has corrected it to  $v_r k_s \bar{a} n$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>416</sup>The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:46) reads na te yānti, which might at first glance appear smoother but in fact makes little sense, since it is then impossible to construe chāyābhiḥ śītalābhiś ca with their action, since that action does not take place.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>417</sup>Dative singular *te* remains for plural *vaḥ*.

 $<sup>^{418}</sup>$ Note an irregular elision of the final t of the optative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>419</sup>We understand *mātaram* as categorical singular and take it to mean any of the seven or eight mothers. The seven mothers in general are: Brāhmī, Māheśvarī, Kaumārī, Vaiṣṇavī/Yāmī, Vārāhī, Aindrī/Indrāṇi/Māhendrī, Cāmuṇḍā; an eighth, added later, is most commonly Mahālakṣmī. For further details, see Hatley 2007: specifically pp. 33, 44 and 67.

 $<sup>^{420}</sup>$ As in the case of  $m\bar{a}taram$ , we take yak; am as categorical singular. It is possible, however, that Kubera is meant, cf. the translation of verse 3:70ff. below.

 $<sup>^{421}</sup>$ The letter *m* preceding this adjective is presumably intended as a hiatus-breaker.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>422</sup>Note the unnecessary use of two pronouns. The Śivadharmasangraha (6:53) has rephrased 33ab, reading dharmarājapure mārge durgame sa sukham vrajet; 'he will go at ease on the way [which is] difficult to cross (durgame) to the city of Yama (dharmarājapure).' In the Śivadharmasangraha's reading sentence structure is improved and non-standered sandhi is avoided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>423</sup>We suppose that this is the sense (cf. Śivadharmasaṅgraha 6:54), and we suppose that it may be arrived at by taking °prayāyinaḥ as a nominative singular and as having causative sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>424</sup>This can be interpreted as genitive singular or, alternatively, as nominative plural of singular. See *nālīmār-gaprayāyinaḥ* in 2:34b.

down] into the [hells called] Taptāngāra and Śilāvarṣa (taptāngāraśilāvarṣe). 425 (34c–35d)

I have told you all the fruit of [making] a hut, an abode or a pavilion; now listen to the fruit of giving donations ( $d\bar{a}nasya$ ). (36)

Whoever is a donator of food (annadātā yo hi), that man (asau naraḥ) will not have a bad rebirth (durgatim): he will obtain the world of Brahmā (brahmalokagato bhavet)<sup>426</sup> [and will enjoy] imperishable pleasure. There is no possibility of his being [re]born in the mortal world until Brahmā [himself] is destroyed (naśyati). (37a–38b)

If someone gives a place for supplying water to thirst-afflicted passers-by (*pathike jane*) in the hot season (*grīṣme*), his thirst will be quenched in the house of the dead (*preta-bhavane*); devoid of thirst and [every possible] pair of extremes [such as hot and cold, pleasure and pain and so forth]. 427 (38c–39b)

If someone offers sesame and water  $(tilodak\bar{a}n)^{428}$  to the gods and ancestors  $(dev\bar{a}n\ pit\bar{R}m\ samuddisya)$ ,  $^{429}$  [his] ancestors will be satisfied [and] they will be freed  $(varjit\bar{a}h)$  from the three [following] hells: these men will not sink (nimajjanti) in [the hells that are] the pond[s] Pūya, Asṛk and Meda.  $^{430}$  [Thus] his ancestors will be liberated by the fruit of offering sesame and water (tilodakaphalena). (39c-41b)

If someone gives the skin of a black buck filled with sesame seeds, having hooves decorated with silver, horns [decorated] with gold, its body dressed with cloths (*sacailāṅgam*) and having a brazen milk-pail (*kānsadoham*),<sup>431</sup> this giver of a cow of sesame seeds,<sup>432</sup> will obtain indestructible worlds. And when that person falls [from those worlds] at the end of the Yuga (*yugānte*), he will be born in a respectable family (*vipule kule*). (41c-43b)

People who, devoted to their ancestors, regularly (nityam) perform  $śr\bar{a}ddha$  [rites], their ancestors as well as (ca) they [themselves]<sup>433</sup> will certainly be content in the house of Yama ( $yam\bar{a}laye$ ), and the hell [called] Kumbhīpāka will not be for them;<sup>434</sup> moreover (ca), those

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>425</sup>We find no record of *śilāvarṣa* as a hell except in our text and the *Śivadharmasangraha*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>426</sup>The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:57) has tried to make the sentence smoother by replacing bhavet (which seems uncomfortably like a non-Sanskritic auxiliary verb) with naraḥ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>427</sup>Note that 38c–39b is anacoluthic: we start with a singular subject and finish with a plural one.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>428</sup>Note that the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:65) reads *tilodakam*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>429</sup>Even though it may seem strange that sesame and water would be offered to gods as well, we have taken it in this sense on the strength of the parallel expression *devān pitṛṣn samuddiśya* or *pitṛṣn devān samuddiśya* in 2:52c and 2:53a, where the gods and ancestors clearly form two separate groups.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>430</sup>These three hells appear together again in *Niśvāsamukha* 4:102 and in a slightly modified form in *Guhyasūtra* 4:38–39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>431</sup>Literally: "whose milk-pail is of bronze". *kānsa*- should perhaps be emended to *kāṃsya*-, but cf. 2:58 below. This qualification (elsewhere expressed by adjectives such as *sakāṃsyapātra*) is standard in gifts of cows to Brahmins, such as that described in *Yājñavalkyasmṛti* 1:204ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>432</sup>For references to other passages mentioning the gift of a "sesame-seed cow" (*tiladhenu*) made by filling a black-buck-skin with sesame seeds, see Kane's *History of Dharmaśāstra*, Vol. II, Part 2, pp. 880f. Cf. also *Śivopaniṣat* 6:70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>433</sup>Note that our adopted text actually has *sa ca tṛpto yamālaye*, which is anacoluthic, but it is conceivable that the singular is "wrongly" used here in order to obviate a possible confusion: if he had said *te ca tṛptāḥ*, a reader might have supposed that the ancestors were again being referred to.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>434</sup>We take *tasya* to refer to the ancestors as well as the agent of the rite.

Chapter Two 229

people who perform the [rites] of *śrāddha* (*śrāddhakārayitā*)<sup>435</sup> will go to the world of the ancestors. (43c–45b)

For one who daily (*nityam*) offers a lamp to gods and ancestors there will not be the hells [called] Tāmisra and Andhatāmisra.<sup>436</sup> His eyes will become bright and [his] power of sight will [never be] destroyed. (45c–46)

If somebody donates a virtuous [cow with] hoofs decorated with silver, horns [decorated] with gold, [with] a copper milk-pail ( $k\bar{a}msyadohan\bar{t}m$ ), neck decorated with cloths again and again, his abode ( $v\bar{a}sah$ ) will be either in the world of cows or in heaven. A cow donator lives (vasate) free from all [possible] pair of opposites (sarvadvandvavinirmuktah). (47–48)

If someone gives a calving cow, which has two beautiful faces (*vaktrobhayasu-saṃsthitām*),<sup>437</sup> this [bears the same] fruit as giving land, [and this cow donator] will go to heaven.<sup>438</sup> (49)

If someone gives bulls  $(anadvāhāni)^{439}$  regularly (nityaśah) to the best of the twice born (dvijottame), by the fruit of that merit [he] will be honoured in heaven (svargaloke). (50)

If someone even with great sins (bahupātakikaḥ)<sup>440</sup> offers a golden haired goat daily (nityaśaḥ), he will obtain the world of fire (agnilokam).<sup>441</sup> (51)

If someone gives a white, red, yellow or a black woollen garment [to a Brahmin]<sup>442</sup> in the name of the gods or [his] ancestors, he will go to the world of the moon. (52)

If someone gives a buffalo to a Brahmin<sup>443</sup> in the name of the gods or [his] ancestors, by the fruit of that merit he will be honoured in the world of Viṣṇu. (53)

One should offer (*prayaccheta*) a white, black or bee-coloured [viz. mottled?] (*bhra-marākṛtim*) and well-mannered buffalo (*sudhenu*)<sup>444</sup> to the gods or to the best of the twice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>435</sup>Note the irregular use of the nominative singular or an agent noun as though it were a plural.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>436</sup>The dual subject is here (irregularly) restated as a plural (*narakāḥ*), presumably in order to be able to avoid using a dual verb-form.

<sup>437</sup> This presumably means that the calving cow is given away right at the moment when the calf face appears. The expression *vaktrobhayasusaṃsthitām* is not very common. However, see Śivadharmasaṅgraha 10:220ab: dadyād ubhayamukhīṃ gāṃ śivāyātīvaśobhanām. Yājñavalkyasmṛti 1:206ab savatsāromatulyāni yugāny ubhayatomukhīm further supports our idea. The same expression ubhayatomukhīm appears in a similar context in *Skandapurāṇa* 111:93 and *Nāradapurāṇa pūrvakhaṇḍa* 13:87 and *Viṣṇusmṛti* 88:4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>438</sup>Note that the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:118) reads *hy etat svargalokābhikāmkṣiṇām* instead of *hy etat svargalokañ ca gacchati.* 

<sup>439</sup> Note that the Śivadharmasangraha (6:106) reads anaḍvāho 'pi- instead of anaḍvāhāni-.

 $<sup>^{440}</sup>$ I take bahupātakikaḥ in the sense of mahāpātakī.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>441</sup>Note that the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:123) reads *'pi san* instead of *'pi yaḥ* to obviate the problem of having two pronouns.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>442</sup>For this suppletion, see the next verse.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>443</sup>Note an irregular optative and the use of the locative in the sense of the dative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>444</sup>Verses 2:54–2:55 are redundant since the procedure of offering *dhenu* – a cow or a buffalo – has already been mentioned. Here we may have translated *sudhenu* as 'cow' but this translation would not fit with 55a, where we do have clear mention of a buffalo. If we were to translate *sudhenu* as 'cow' then *mahiṣīm*, in 55a would remain problematic. In this case, we expect, in 55a, some word denoting a cow, not a buffalo; either we understand *mahiṣī* to mean a cow or we need to replace it by an accusative noun denoting a cow. Note that

born. By offering this kind of buffalo (*mahiṣīm*) he will be honoured in the world of Śiva. Once he falls from the world of Śiva, he will be reborn as a king. (54–55)

If someone gives land tilled with a plough, sown with seed  $(sab\bar{\imath}j\bar{a}m)$  and grain-garlanded  $(sasyam\bar{a}lin\bar{\imath}m)$ , <sup>445</sup> he will remain like the sun as long as the sun-created worlds [exist]. <sup>446</sup> (56)

By giving land one goes to heaven; by giving gems one goes to the world (*puram*) of the sun. He who donates cloth [goes] to the world of the moon and he who donates silver (*tāradaḥ*) [goes] to the world of Viṣṇu (*vaiṣṇave pure*).<sup>447</sup> (57)

And those who donate sesame seeds and gold will go to the world of Rudra; by giving brass, copper and coral one goes to the world of Indra (vasoḥ puram). (58)

'No matter  $(y\bar{a}ny\ api)^{448}$  what kinds of pearls, gems or necklaces one gives, one goes to [the world of] the moon (somapuram); [and also] for [having offered] an oblation of sesame seeds: there is no doubt on this point. (59)

If someone daily gives treacle, milk, curds or ghee, he goes to the Yakṣa-world (*yakṣa-lokapuram*).<sup>450</sup> So too by giving honey. (60)

By giving sandalwood, Agallochum, camphor, *kallokakalı*, cloves and other fragrant things, a man will attain the state of being a Gandharva. (61)

If someone offers unsollicited a [virgin] girl<sup>451</sup> having first adorned [her],<sup>452</sup> that man will obtain heaven. So does a donator of grains (*dhānyapradāyakah*). (62)

Those who daily offer grains [such as] Phaseolus radiatus, Phaseolus mungo (māṣa-

the Śivadharmasangraha does not have these problematic verses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>445</sup>Cf. Mahābhārata 13:61:28ab: halakṛṣṭāṃ mahīm dattvā sabījām saphalām api.

 $<sup>^{446}</sup>$ The syntax of the sentence is clumsy, not only because it starts with a singular subject and ends with a plural one, but also because there seems to be no reason why the worlds created/illuminated by the sun should be in the accusative. The intended meaning may have been: as long as the light of the Sun remains. We are not, however, sure how to draw out this meaning. Minimally, we need to understand that  $\bar{a}loka$  has been reduced to loka, perhaps, for metrical reasons. Perhaps the complete interpretation here should be reexamined.

 $<sup>^{447}</sup>$ The syntax of the sentence is clumsy. Note an *aiśa* use of dative singular for genitive singular and the word *pura* being used in the sense of *loka*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>448</sup>We understood *yāny api* in the sense of *yāni kāny api* 'any'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>449</sup>The *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:126) reads *śakrapuram* 'the world of Indra' instead of *somapuram*, but this does not seem quite right since it reads *śakrapuram* below (71).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>450</sup>Here we expect either *yakşalokam* or *yakşapuram*, but not both in the same place. Does this refer to the world of Kubera?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>451</sup>The Śivadharmasangraha (6:129) reads tv ayācitām instead of ayācitām to obviate an aiśa hiatus within a pāda. A similar verse appears in the southern recension of Sivadharmaśāstra 12:47c-49b (T. 32, p. 146). This passage states that the girl should be offered to a Brhamin but does not, however, refer to the context. We are not sure whether or not this offering should be made for the marriage or not: kanyām alaṃkṛtāṃ dadyād alaṃ jīvanasaṃyutām | dvijāya vedaviduṣe kanyādānaṃ tad ucyate | śivabhaktāya viprāya dattvā kanyāṃ sv alaṃkṛtām | kulatrayaṃ samuddhṛtya svargaṃ prāpnoti niścalam | . The offering of a virgin girl mentioned in our text here may refer to the marriage ritual where a father gives his daughter to the groom (cf. Mahābhārata (appendix) 13:15:3339ff.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>452</sup>Note an irregular ktvā for lyap.

Chapter Two 231

 $mudg\bar{a}dik\bar{a}m)^{453}$  and others will obtain heaven [after death], as well as those who offer protection  $(abhayaprad\bar{a}h)^{454}$  [to living beings]. (63)

[Those who] offer a woman (*striyam*) possessed of beauty and youth and adorned with cloths and ornaments will obtain the state of being a *Vidyādhara*. (64)

Those men who continually (satatam) provide  $d\bar{a}payet$  a feast of lovemaking (ratisatram) among beautiful women ( $varan\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}su$ )<sup>455</sup> will rejoice in heaven among companies (samghesu) of celestial nymphs.<sup>456</sup> (65)

Those who yearly offer (*prativarṣapradāyinaḥ*)<sup>457</sup> a cane-seat or a couch (*vetrāsanañ ca śayyāñ ca*),<sup>458</sup> will rejoice in the Yakṣa-world with thousands of Yakṣiṇīs. (66)

If someone gives fuel to Brahmins at the arrival of the cold season, he will become rich, handsome (*rūpasampannah*) and possessed of good fortune (*subhagah*). 459 (67)

Those people who daily offer<sup>460</sup> shelter, straw (*tṛnam*), a couch, a blanket, food (*prā-varānnam*)<sup>461</sup> and fire will go to heaven (*svargagāminaḥ*) (68)

For those who regularly offer songs, musical instruments and vehicles to the gods, they will be [re]born to have great enjoyments, [they will regularly be] awakened by songs and instrumental music (gītavāditrabodhitāḥ). (69)

If someone offers a horse possessed of beauty and youth<sup>462</sup> and adorned with golden [ornaments] to Brahmins, he will obtain the heaven of the sun (*bradhnasyāpnoti viṣṭapam*).<sup>463</sup> (70)

By giving a caparisoned (sārīsaṃyogasaṃyuktam) elephant with a golden garland one

 $<sup>^{453}</sup>$ Note that  $m\bar{a}$ samudg $\bar{a}$ dik $\bar{a}$ m is intended as an accusative plural, which is what we find in the Śivadharma-sangraha (6:130).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>454</sup>Note that the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:130) reads *hy abhayapradāḥ* just to avoid a hiatus within a *pāda*.

 $<sup>^{455}</sup>$ The syntax is clumsy here. Firstly, the plural subject has a singular verb ( $d\bar{a}payet$ ). Secondly, the relative pronoun is missing. Next, the locative is used in the sense of instrumental. All these problems have been displaced in the Śivadharmasangraha (6:132) by reading: ratisatrañ ca yo dadyād varābhiḥ pramadājanaiḥ |  $k\bar{a}madevapuram yāti jāto 'nangasamo bhavet | | .$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>456</sup>The *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:132) has rephrased 56cd quite differently by reading *kāmadevapuraṃ yāti jāto 'nangasamo bhavet* which means that the giver will obtain the world of Kāmadeva and, once born there, he will become equal to Kāmadeva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>457</sup>Note that the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:133) has polished Sanskrit *prativarṣapradāyinaḥ* by reading *pratyabdaṃ yaḥ prayacchati* at the same time making the plural agent singular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>458</sup>We could equally translate *vetrāsanañ ca śayyāñ ca* as 'a cane-seat or a cane-couch' or as 'a cane-seat and a couch/ cane-couch'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>459</sup>Note that the Śivadharmasangraha (6:134) reads dīptāgnih subhago bhavet 'will become [like] a blazing fire [and] possessed of good fortune' instead of jāyate subhagas tathā. We have understand dīptāgniḥ 'blazing fire' to mean dīptāgniprabhah 'like a blazing fire'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>460</sup>Note that the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:135) rephrased *prayacchante* to *prayacchanti* in order to normalize the Sanskrit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>461</sup>To avoid this awkward compound the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:135) reads *puṇyāgniṃ śuddhamānasāḥ* instead of *prāvarānnam hutāśanam*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>462</sup>It is conceivable that we should read instead, with the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:137), *jave yauvanasampannam*, where we could perhaps take *jave* as though it were an instrumental: 'possessed of youth and speed'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>463</sup>We find the phrase *bradhnasyāpnoti viṣṭapam* in *Manusmṛti* 9:137, but in a different context.

goes to the world of Indra ( $\acute{s}akrapuram$ ); once one falls from there one will be reborn ( $j\bar{a}y$ -ati)<sup>464</sup> as a king ( $bhogav\bar{a}n$ ). (71)

By offering an umbrella ( $\bar{a}tapatraprad\bar{a}nena$ ) this man ( $asau\ narah$ ) will be<sup>465</sup> endowed with good fortune ( $sr\bar{n}m\bar{a}n$ ). He will not be afflicted by the heat [on his way] to the world of Yama.<sup>466</sup> (72)

If someone offers a pair of shoes, he will be freed<sup>467</sup> from all sin, [and] he will have a beautiful horse<sup>468</sup> on the way to [the world of] Yama. (73)

Also, for one who offers a pair of shoes there will be no torture caused by terrible thorns and the pains of heated sand [on the way to Yama's world]. 469 (74)

If someone offers an elephant-chariot<sup>470</sup> to a virtuous  $(gun\bar{a}nvite)^{471}$  Brahmin, by the merit of that fruit he will be honoured in heaven; he will not fall from heaven until the gods together with Indra  $(y\bar{a}vad\ dev\bar{a}h\ sav\bar{a}sav\bar{a}h)^{472}$  [themselves fall down]; and once he falls from there<sup>473</sup> he will be reborn as a pious king. (75–76)

By offering a divine horse-[drawn] chariot together with many accountrements one obtains the world of the sun; [once one gets there] he will rejoice with him. He will remain like the sun as long as the sun-created worlds [exist]; and once he falls from there will be reborn as a rich [person]. (77–78)

By offering a bullock-cart<sup>474</sup> together with all accoutrements, a man will obtain heaven together with male and female servants.<sup>475</sup> Once he falls from there, he will then ( $bh\bar{u}yah$ ) invariably ( $sad\bar{a}$ ) be reborn as a rich person. (79a–80b)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>464</sup>Note an *aiśa parasmaipada*. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:154) reads bhavati instead of jāyati to obviate the grammatical problem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>465</sup>Once again, to avoid an aiśa parasmaipada problem the Śivadharmasangraha (6:154) reads jāyeta mānavaḥ instead of jāyaty asau narah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>466</sup>Cf. verse 26 above. In this case, however, the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:154c–155b) has not distorted the meaning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>467</sup>The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:155) has rephrased the text as sa tu mucyeta in order to avoid the irregular form mucyati.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>468</sup>Note an *aiśa parasmaipada* for *ātmanepada*; to obviate the problem the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:156) has rephrased the text to read *jāyate śobhano hayaḥ* (instead of *aśvo jāyati śobhanaḥ*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>469</sup>The Śivadharmasangraha (6:157) reads hi dadyād upānahau instead of dadāti upānahau to avoid an aiśa hiatus within a pāda.

 $<sup>^{470}</sup>$ The Śivadharmasangraha (6:157) has rephrased gajarathan tu yo dadyād to read dadyād rājaratham yas tu. But this might in part be a secondary corruption, for  $r\bar{a}$  and ga look similar in old Newari script.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>471</sup>The locative adjective is used here to qualify a noun in the dative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>472</sup>Note that this whole line has been adopted from the  $\acute{S}ivadharmasangraha$  (6:158). We assume it to be a plausible reading since W also reads  $t\bar{a}van$  na cyavate [[...]].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>473</sup>Here we have adopted the reading of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:159) tataś caiva instead of K's reading svargalokāt since the last letter in W is clearly va, and K tends to repair the text imaginatively (whereas W simply copies what he believes he sees).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>474</sup>Ex conj. We assume that the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:161) has banalised the text by choosing a more common word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>475</sup>Ex conj.: this is largely drawn from the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:161), for this portion of text is lost in our manuscripts.

Chapter Two 233

If someone wakes up early in the morning and [daily] gives<sup>476</sup> a mouthful of grass (*grāsaṃ*) to cows while reciting the mantra [that follows below], (*mantreṇaiva samāyuktam*), he will be destined to go to heaven (*svargagāmī ca bhavate*);<sup>477</sup> once he falls [from there, he will be reborn as] a rich person: his birth [will take place] in a family rich in cattle and he will [himself] be rich in cattle. (80c–82b)

"O Surabhi ( $surabh\bar{\imath}$ ), world-maintainer, born from the churning of nectar, <sup>478</sup> please accept this mouthful of grass. This is my excellent observance."

Just as one gives a mouthful of grass to cows, in the same manner one may give to a bull (*saurabheye*). The same fruit is seen (*dṛṣṭam*), but the mantra<sup>479</sup> [to be used] is different in each case (*pṛthak pṛthak*). (83c–84b)

"These (*ete*) [bulls] sustain the entire world and give food for living beings: may they be pleased to accept [this] clump of grass. This is my excellent observance.<sup>480</sup>" (84c–85b)

If some one daily offers [a clump of grass] to another man's cow, adopting this difficult observance, they [viz. the cows?] will protect him from danger and disease; if someone touches (*sparśane*)<sup>481</sup> [them], they (the cows) will remove his sins. (85a–86b)

If someone lets a bull free<sup>482</sup> at the arrival of an auspicious time ( $punyak\bar{a}le\ tu\ sampr\bar{a}pte$ ), <sup>483</sup> he will go to the world of Rudra, providing the bull is a black one. If, however, he cannot obtain a black one<sup>484</sup> he will obtain heaven together with his ancestors; once he falls from there will be reborn ( $j\bar{a}yati$ )<sup>485</sup> as a king ( $bhogav\bar{a}n$ ). (86c–88b)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>476</sup>The Śivadharmasangraha (6:95) has rephrased 80ab to read gavāṃ grāsaṃ daridreṇa kartavyaṃ prātar eva hi instead of gavāṃ grāsan tu yo dadyāt prātar utthāya mānavaḥ. This gives the extra element daridreṇa 'by a pauper', but it means also the loss of prātar utthāya, which implies that the gift is to be made on a daily basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>477</sup>The *ca* appears to be meaningless and the *ātmanepada* is irregular; the latter oddity has been corrected in the reading of the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:96): *svargagāmī ca bhavati*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>478</sup>We have understood the nominative singulars to be intended as vocative singulars. The verse appears in the *Somaśambhupaddhati* (1:6:5), the *Kriyākramadyotikā* (§ 67, p.134) and the *Jñānaratnāvalī* (R 14898, p.144) in the following form: *amṛtamathanotpanne surabhe lokadhāriṇi i imaṃ grāsaṃ gṛhāṇa tvam idaṃ me vratam uttamam*. The *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:98) corrects 82a *amṛtamathanotpannā* to *utpannāmṛtamathane*, but it does not correct 82b, perhaps for metrical reasons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>479</sup>The word *mantra* is rarely used in the neuter. The *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:99) reads *mantraḥ* instead of *mantram*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>480</sup>This is presumably the mantra to be used when offering grass to a bull.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>481</sup>The *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:101) reads *darśane* instead of *sparśane*. The syntax is clumsy and the interpretation of the whole unit is uncertain. Perhaps, since the cow is not one's own, the action is considered altruistic, for one gains no milk or other products from another man's cow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>482</sup>According to Kane (*History of Dharmaśāstra*, Vol. IV, pp. 539ff.) the bull should preferably be black and have auspicious signs: having intact limbs and so forth. Letting a bull free is prescribed on two occasions; one is on the full moon day of Kārttika or Āśvina, and an other is the 11th (according to *Garuḍapurāṇa*) or 12th (according to *Bhaviṣyapurāṇa*) day after death.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>483</sup>Here, the auspicious time means the full moon day of Kārttika or Āśvina, see Kane's *History of Dharmaśās-tra*, Vol. IV, pp. 539f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>484</sup>The *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:104) reads *alābhe nīlaṣaṇḍasya* to obviate the hiatus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>485</sup>An aiśa parasmaipada</sup> has been used here for metrical reasons. The Śivadharmasangraha (6:104) corrects jāyati to bhavati.

By offering the fruit of Feronia Elephantum (*kapittham*), pomegranate, mango, roseapple (*jambum*), wood-apple (*bilvam*), bread-fruit (*panasam*), sweet lime (*mātuluṅgam*),

coconut, together with banana (samocakam), Clypea Hernandifolia, Emblica Officinalis Gaertn, orange ( $pr\bar{a}c\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}malan\bar{a}rangam$ ), grape and dates ( $kharj\bar{u}ram\ eva\ ca$ )<sup>486</sup> and other nectar-like fruits ( $any\bar{a}mrtaphal\bar{a}\ ye$ )<sup>487</sup> one will be fortunate, have many sons and be endowed with beauty, and [then again] be reborn a very fortunate man.<sup>488</sup> (88c–90d)

One who offers fruits will be [reborn] with all limbs intact (saṃpūrṇāṅgaḥ) and will be healthy. And one who offers teeth-cleaning sticks (dantadhāvanadātā) will obtain a beautiful wife. 489 (91)

By offering fragrant betel and flowers one will become a pandit and one who offers fragrant substances (*gandhapradāyakaḥ*)<sup>490</sup> will have fragrant breath (*saugandhāsyaḥ*) and eloquence. (92)

By offering a sacred thread and a cushion made of *kuśa*-grass one will be born among Brahmins.<sup>491</sup> (93ab)

By offering swords, discuses, [and] weapons, [such as] spears, darts and hatchets ( $\frac{\dot{s}akti-kuntapara\dot{s}vadh\bar{a}n}$ ) one will have no fear of the terrible [hell called] Asipatravana (fierce jungle of sword blades). (93c–94b)

By offering unworked iron (*asaṃskṛtasya lohasya*) one will have no fear of bondage and by offering iron fashioned into utensils (*ghaṭitopaskaram*),<sup>492</sup> there will be no fear from weapons [for him,] and there will never be the hell [called] Lohakāra.<sup>493</sup> (94c–95d)

Offering cups made of clay or a water jar is the best [type of] offering to ascetics; by giving [these] one will obtain pleasures. (96)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>486</sup>Note an aiśa samāhāradvandva compound.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>487</sup>We assume that the intended meaning here is that of *anyāni cāmṛṭarasāṇi phalāni yāni*. The *Śivadharma-saṅgraha* (6:163–6:164) has rearranged these two verses, adding more fruits than we have in our text and it has obviated the grammatical problem by reading *anyāni ca phalāny evam*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>488</sup>There is an awkward repetition here of *subhaga*, which the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:164) has avoided by reading *sukhabhāg* instead of *subhaga*.

<sup>489</sup> Śivadharmaśāstra 12:72 also relates the offering of teeth-cleaning sticks to women. The recipient in the Śivadharmaśāstra, however, is a Śivayogin: dantadhāvanam uddiṣṭaṃ nivedya śivayogine | divyastrībhogasaṃyuktaṃ divi ramyaṃ puraṃ labhet | |. It is to be noted that our text does not speak of a recipient.

The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:166) reads bhāryā bhavati śobhanā instead of bhāryām labhati śobhanām, presumably just to obviate an aiśa parasmaipada, but with the unintended result that the pāda could then be taken to mean 'will become a beautiful wife'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>490</sup>We have assumed here that this last word is a postponed repetition of the original subject, but one could instead take each verse-half as a separate statement with a separate gift and a separate reward.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>491</sup>Literally this means 'in Brahmin wombs'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>492</sup>This *bahuvrīhi* perhaps more literally means 'out of which utensils have been fashioned'.

<sup>493</sup> lohakāraś ca is our conjecture on the strength of N's reading [[...]] kāraś ca, where K reads śastrakāraṃś ca, W reads lohakāra sa and the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:170) reads lohāpākaś ca. As mentioned above, this is not a hell that typically features in Śaiva lists (e.g. in the other sūtras of the Niśvāsa or in Parākhya 5), but both occur in dharmaśāstra literature: just after asipatravana, Manusmṛti 4:90 speaks of a hell called lohadāraka, lohāṅgāraka or lohakāraka, etc. depending on which manuscripts are followed (see Olivelle 2005:934). The same hell appears in Viṣnusmṛti 43:22 and perhaps, arguably, in Parākhya 5:23.

Chapter Two 235

[The offering of] a golden, silver, copper, iron or tin ( $\bar{a}yasatr\bar{a}puṣam$ ) vessel<sup>494</sup> will be an indestructible offering, <sup>495</sup> and [the donor] will have a long life. (97)

If someone offers male or female slaves<sup>496</sup> to the gods or to Brahmins,<sup>497</sup> he will be highly fortunate, surrounded by many dependants. (98)

By offering rock-salt coming from Sindh (*sindhūttham*) one becomes handsome and highly fortunate. 498 (99ab)

By offering piper longum ( $pipal\bar{\imath}m$ ), ginger, pepper and dry ginger ( $vi\acute{s}vabhe \acute{s}ajam$ ), one obtains good health, and also by [offering] remedies to the sick ( $\bar{a}ture$ ). <sup>499</sup> (99c–100b)

By restoring health to a sick person one becomes healthy and [acquires] long life  $(d\bar{\imath} rgham \bar{\imath} yu\bar{\imath} am)$ . (100cd)

[By giving] sweet, sour, pungent, bitter, astringent, salty [things] he becomes a connoisseur of the flavours of all pleasures (sarvakrīḍārasābhijño) and a Pandit. (101)

By offering oil one obtains supreme power (tejah);<sup>501</sup> by offering sugar and treacle one will be long lived; by offering thickened curd<sup>502</sup> or buttermilk, one becomes rich in cows if one [also] worships cows. (102)

By offering pearl [or] nacreous shells<sup>503</sup> one will have many sons.(103ab)

If someone offers cowrie shells, and a stainless [and] bright mirror, he will become handsome, rich and beloved among women. (103c–104b)

If someone daily offers<sup>504</sup> nourishment, expressions of compassion (hantatim)<sup>505</sup> or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>494</sup>Note that almost the whole line 97ab is reconstructed from the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:171), but in place of the word *-trāpuṣam*, which is the reading of our manuscripts, the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:171) reads *-sīsakam*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>495</sup>Presumably it is not the object given that is indestructible, but rather the moral retributive force of the act of giving, which is presumably only indestructible in the sense that it cannot be destroyed without giving its fruit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>496</sup>Note the aiśa optative. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:172) has obviated this awkwardness by reading dadāti yaḥ instead of ca yo dadet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>497</sup> *devatābhyo dvijātibhyo* is reconstructed from the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:172).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>498</sup>Presumably this refers to a kind of salt, that is typically but not necessarily found in Sindh. The offering of salt (*lavaṇa*) is implicitly paired here with the acquisition of *lāvaṇya*, "loveliness".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>499</sup>It is an *aiśa* locative use for dative, to which no *sandhi* has been applied.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>500</sup>The *aiśa* use of *āyuṣam* in the sense of *āyuḥ*, which one could take to be a nominative ("[there will be] long life [for him]") or an accusative for which the verb must be supplied. The redactor of the *Śivadharmasaṅgraḥa* (6:175) has obviated the problem by rewriting the line.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>501</sup>Note that the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:176) reads *tailāt prāṇā ghṛtāt tejaḥ* 'by offering oil one obtains the breath of life [and] by offering ghee one obtains power' instead of *tailāt sarvādhikaṃ tejaḥ*.

 $<sup>^{502}</sup>$ This translates  $marjjit\bar{a}$ , which might be an error for  $m\bar{a}rjit\bar{a}$ , which is in turn listed among milk-products in the Amarakośa (sometimes given in the variant form  $m\bar{a}rjik\bar{a}$ ) 2:9:44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>503</sup>We have assumed that śaṅkhaśuktīni is irregularly treated as neuter and that it is intended not as a *dvandva*, but rather as a single unit, meaning "shells covered with mother of pearl". One could, of course, take it as a *dvandva*, but there seem to be other usages of the collocation where a *dvandva* analysis is unlikely or impossible, e.g. *Jayākhya* 26:64 and *Iśvarasaṃhitā* 2:26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>504</sup>Note the *aiśa* optative. Note that the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:179) reads *kṣipet* instead of *dadet* to obviate the problem.

 $<sup>^{505}</sup>$ We have understand the otherwise unparalleled expression *hantatim* to be intended to mean *hantoktim* on

alms (*bhikṣāṃ*), he will become rich; if he does not, he will have a bad rebirth. 506 (104c–105b)

This is the injunction of making offerings [that has been] taught. Hear from me also (*ca*) the [injunction] of extreme offering ( $atid\bar{a}na$ ).<sup>507</sup> (105d)

One should always offer food and water; [but as for the offering of] cloths, bed, refuge (vastraśayyāpratiśrayam), cows, gold and land — what else among virtuous acts can be greater than this. (106)

Likewise ( $tath\bar{a}$ ) the offering of knowledge is excellent,<sup>508</sup> but the most excellent is protection of life: if someone protects a living being,<sup>509</sup> that very [protector] ( $sa\ ca$ ) is understood to be the best [sort of] giver. (107)

Among all kinds of offerings the gift of the absence of fear to living beings [is the best]. Whoever gives that is verily (hi) a 'Giver'; others are beguiled by desire. Therefore one should protect all [living beings] when the life of living beings is at risk ( $j\bar{\imath}vit\bar{\imath}tyaye$ ); he who [does] so is a [true] giver, he is a [true] ascetic ( $tapasv\bar{\imath}$ ) and will attain the supreme goal.  $^{510}$  (108–109)

I have taught the injunction of extreme offering (atidānavidhiḥ) for the benefit of the people. If someone makes offerings every day, hear from me [the fruit of] that offering too.<sup>511</sup> (110)

If someone offers (*yo dadāti*) teeth-cleaning sticks, betel leaves (*dantadhāvanatāmbūlam*), garlands, incense, ointment (*vilepanam*), yellow orpiment, collyrium, cloths,<sup>512</sup> decora-

the basis of comparison with the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:179), which reads hantakāraṇ.

<sup>506</sup>Here the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:179) has an anacoluthic sentence (beginning in the plural and ending with a singular), and hiatus.

<sup>507</sup>The underlining meaning of the extreme offering (atidāna) according to our text (2:109) is the protection of life. In the Pāśupata context, on the basis of Kauṇḍinya's understanding of Pāśupatasūtra 2:15 atidattam atīṣṭam, the extreme offering refers to offering oneself to god (see Kauṇḍinya's on Pāśupatasūtra 2:15). According to Vasiṣṭhadharmasūtra 29:19 the extreme offerings are the offering of cows, land and knowledge. Thus, the term atidāna refers to different concepts in different traditions.

<sup>508</sup>The offering of knowledge includes three kinds of notions: "the gifts of book, the gift of icons and the impartation of teachings" (De Simini 2013:1). Most probably *vidyādāna* here refers to the offering of books in the form of manuscripts. Florinda De Simini wrote her doctoral thesis on *vidyādāna*. Thus, the reader is referred here to De Simini 2013 for full treatment of this subject.

<sup>509</sup>The *Niśvāsamukha* has an *ātmanepada* for *parasmaipada* for metrical reasons, while the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:182) reads *jīvaṃ rakṣati yo nityaṃ sa* instead of *jīvaṃ rakṣayate yo hi sa ca* to obviate the problem.

<sup>510</sup>It stands to reason that the protector of living beings in most of the cases is the king. In some instances, however, an ordinary person could also be the agent. Whoever the agent might be, it is clear that protecting life is the best offering according according to our text.

<sup>511</sup>Here perhaps starts the section on temple donation. We assume that *dine dine* implies some daily ritual. If the interpretation is right, most likely the capable agent of the following daily offering is the king, which involves the riding of a horse or an elephant and the offering of vehicles together with other expensive objects (2:112).

We have understood dānan tañ ca 'that offering too' to mean taddānaphalaṃ ca 'the fruit of that offering too'. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:185) has rephrased yo dadyād dānan tañ ca to read yad dānaṃ tac cāpi hi, in order to remove anacoluthon.

<sup>512</sup>Note that 111c rocanāñjanavastrāņi is reconstructed from the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:186).

Chapter Two 237

tion with wonderful ornaments (*divyālankāramaṇḍanam*), the riding of a horse or elephant (*gajāśvārohaṇam*), vehicles, unguents and massage (*abhyaṅgodvartanan*), bathing with divine perfumes, anointing with sandal paste, Agallochum, saffron (*candanāgarukuṅkumaiḥ*) mixed with camphor, incense together with flowers, gifts of sweets and beverages, a comfortable couch in the night (*sukhaśayyāniśītavān*),<sup>513</sup> he will enjoy the pleasure of amorous enjoyment with most excellent women.<sup>514</sup> (111–114b)

If someone does not offer [the above] and [yet] desires it [viz. the pleasure of amorous enjoyment], he will be extremely grieved. (114cd)

**Devi asked:** Who is the best recipient [to offer something to], by giving (*datte*) to whom there is great benefit, [and whereby] the offering will be indestructible? Tell me that O Maheśvara. (115)

### [1.5 Hierarchy of recipients]

# Īśvara replied:

Offering to [one's] mother, father, teacher, relatives, a virgin girl (*kanyayal*ı),<sup>515</sup> the unfortunate, the afflicted, the blind and the poor will be valid for eternity (*ānantāya kalpate*). (116)

One learned in the Vedas is considered to be better than thousands of foolish Brahmins;<sup>516</sup> one who has installed the Vedic fires is considered to be better than thousands of men learned in Vedas. (117)

Among thousands of those who have installed the Vedic fires (āhitāgni) an agnihotrī (one who maintains the sacrificial fire) is considered to be better. Among thousands of agnihotrīs, one who knows brahman (brahmavettā) is considered to be better. (118)

 $<sup>^{513}</sup>$ It is not clear to us what sukhaśayyāniśītavān is. We might understand sukhaśayyāniśītavān as sukhaśayyāni niśīthe, but then the vān would be meaningless. Or it might be possible to translate it togther with varanārīratisukham, and in this case our translation would be 'he will enjoy the pleasure of amorous enjoyment with most excellent women on a comfortable couch at nights'. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:188) reads -niṣīdanam instead of -nis̄tavān, which could make the compound mean simply '[the gift of] sleeping well on a bed'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>514</sup>Alternatively, *varanārīratisukhaṃ* could be intended to be yet another offering. In this case, we have to imagine that the giver will obtain all of the enumerated items in the other world as the reward of his offering here. Note that 114ab is the reading of the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:188); our manuscripts have only *varanārīrati-*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>515</sup>The *metri causa* reading *kanyayaḥ* should be understood to mean *kanyāyāḥ*. This understanding is compatible with the understanding of *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* 6:190: *mātāpitṛṣu yad dānaṃ dīnāndhakṛpaṇeṣu ca* | *guruband-huṣu kanyāsu tad anantyāya kalpyate* | |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>516</sup>Note that the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:191) reads *-sahasrāṇām* instead of *-sahasrebhyaḥ* in order to make the reading smoother.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>517</sup> āhitāgni is he who has installed the Vedic fires viz. Gārhapatya, Āhavanīya and Dakṣināgni. The moment he installs these fires he will have the right to perform agnihotra. He who installs these Vedic fires but cannot continue to sacrifice in them for some reason, such as old age or being widowed, is only āhitāgni. An agnihotrī, as commonly known, is he who maintains the sacrificial fires by performing sacrifice in them twice a day, morning and evening (e.g. Aitareya Brāhmaṇa 5:31:4). I wrote this footnote on the strength of Sriramn Sharma's mail to me, dated 05-12-2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>518</sup>The *Śivadharmaśāstra* attests a hierarchy of recipients (7:69–71) that is somewhat similar to our passage here. For more treatment on this topic see p. 14, above.

The [offering] given to him (i.e. *brahmavettā*) will [bear] an eternal [fruit] (*bhave 'nan-tam*);<sup>519</sup> he is considered the supreme saviour (*trātā*).<sup>520</sup>

If someone offers ten thousand times to them [i.e. to those who know *brahman*], [a gift of the same value in terms of merit would be made as if] he had offered once to a [Śiva-]knower ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}nin$ );<sup>521</sup> this [act of giving] to them is not equal; he [viz. the knower] is the supreme saviour of all. (119a–120b)

By offering to him there will be no sorrows; givers [to such a recipient] indeed (hi) cannot become born [in the rebirths known] as naraka and preta (narakapretasambhavāḥ), $^{522}$  [since they are] freed from sin ( $vip\bar{a}p\bar{a}h$ ) and destined to go to heaven ( $svargag\bar{a}minah$ ). $^{523}$  (120c–121b)

Therefore among all recipients the knower of Siva [is certainly] the best of the best (*varo varah*). (120c–121d)

One who desires one's welfare should [always] offer to that [Śaiva] recipient; that [act of] offering will be indestructible, even if (api) what is offered is very little (svalpam alpapi).<sup>524</sup> (122)

Thus is the second chapter, with regard to worldly duty in the *Niśvāsamukhatattvasaṃhitā*.

 $<sup>^{519}</sup>$ Note a double *sandhi* with an elision of final t. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (6:192) has rephrased the text by reading tasmai dattaṃ bhaved dattaṃ ('what is given to him is [truly] given') instead of tasya dattaṃ bhave 'nantam in order to avoid the problem. As for the sense, it could be that this is rhetorical exaggeration, since even svarga is not eternal, or it could more likely be, as perhaps in earlier passages where  $d\bar{a}na$  was said to be akṣaya (e.g. in 115 above), a statement to the effect that the pious act of giving will perdure until such time as it bears karmic fruit.

 $<sup>^{520}</sup>$ Although this word literally means 'protector', it is used here in the sense of  $d\bar{a}t\bar{a}$  'donor'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>521</sup>The knower (*jñānin*) is understood as a Śiva-knower. The text further down (2:121) makes it clear that the intended meaning is a Śiva-knower (*śivajñānine*). For metrical reasons, it is impossible to have (*śivajñānine*) here.

The Śivadharmasangraha (6:193) has tried to improve the text by reading anyeṣāṃ koṭiguṇitaṃ dadyād ekaṃ tu jñānine instead of eṣāṃ lakṣaguṇān dadyād ekan dadyāt tu jñānine. However, this changes the meaning: "If he gives one [gift] to a [single] knower, he [effectively obtains the merit that he would obtain if he] gave ten million times [that gift] to others."

<sup>522</sup> If we were to follow the Śivadharmasaṅgraha here, we would translate instead "By offering to him there will be no sorrows arising from hells or pretas." This would then simply refer to troubles in hells and troubles from not performing śrāddha-rites for deceased ancestors. (Cf. Śivadharmasaṅgraha 2:184cd ... narakapretajair duḥkhais sattvānāṃ kliśyatāṃ bhṛśam.) But such an interpretation would involve assuming an unlikely aiśa switch of gender: "sambhavāḥ would have to agree with duḥkhāni. Note, however, that the pair naraka and preta occur together elsewhere in relatively early literature as part of a list of possible rebirths (yoni, gati), e.g. Abhidharmakośabhāṣya at the beginning of the third kośasthāna. We therefore think it more likely that the text is referring to those rebirths.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>523</sup>Here too, it looks as though the redactor of the *Śivadharmasangraha* (6:195) may have misunderstood the text, taking *vipāpa* to mean "especially sinful", for he has apparently rephrased this line as follows: *pāpakarmā yadā kaścid dātuṃ notsahate manaḥ*, "When someone is an evil doer, [his] mind is incapable of giving [to such a recipient]." Such a misunderstanding is perhaps entailed by the immediately preceding one.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>524</sup>Prof. Isaacson thinks that *svalpam alpapi* may be a corruption for (*svalpam aṇv api*), which is what K also has. We decided keep *svalpam alpapi* on the basis of the reading of manuscripts, N and W. We take *alp* as *metri causa* for *alpam*.

#### **CHAPTER III**

#### [1.6 Sacred sites]

### Goddess spoke:

You have taught the merit of donation ( $d\bar{a}nadharmah$ ), [now] teach (vada) me the merit of pilgrimage, what will be<sup>525</sup> the virtuous fruit from bathing in each pilgrimage site? (1)

## [1.6.1 Rivers]

God spoke: [1] Gaṅgā, <sup>526</sup> [2] Sarasvatī, [3] Puṇyā, [4] Yamunā, [5] Gomatī, [6] Carmilā, <sup>527</sup> [7] Candrabhāgā, [8] Sarayu, [9] Gaṇḍakī, [10] Jambukā, [11] Śatadrū, [12] Kālikā, [13] Suprabhā, [14] Vitastī, [15] Vipāśā, [15] Narmadā, [16] Punaḥpunā, [17] Godāvarī, [18] Mahāvarttā, [19] Śarkarāvarttā, [20] Arjunī (*śarkarāvarttamarjunī*)<sup>528</sup> [21] Kāverī, [22] Kauśikī, and [23] Tṛtīyā, [24] Mahānadī, <sup>529</sup> [25] Viṭaṅkā, [26] Pratikūlā, [27] Somanandā, [28] Viśrutā, <sup>530</sup> [29] Karatoyā, [30] Vetravatī, [31] Reṇukā, [32] Veṇukā, [33] Ātreyagaṅgā, [34] Vaitaraṇī, [35] Karmārī, [36] Hlādanī, [37] Plāvanī, [38] Savarṇā, [39] Kalmāṣā [40] Sraṃsinī, [41] Śubhā, <sup>531</sup> [42] Vasiṣṭhā, [43] Vipāpā, [44] Sindhuvatī, [45] Aruṇī (*sindhuvatyāruṇī*) <sup>532</sup> [46] Tāmrā, [47] Trisandhyā and [one] known [as] the supreme [48] Mandākinī. <sup>533</sup> (2–7)

[As also are] [49] Tailakośī, [50] Pārā, [51] Dundubhī, [52] Nalinī, [53] Nīlagaṅgā, [54] Godhā, [55] Pūrṇacandrā and [56] Śaśiprabhā; if someone having first worshipped [his]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>525</sup>The text somewhat clumsily gives us two verbs, *syāt* and *bhaviṣyati*. The *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (7:1) obviates this awkwardness by replacing the second with *sureśvara* 'O lord of the gods'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>526</sup>Here follows a list of rivers. Although we are unable to identify many rivers, there are only a few southern rivers in the list: Kāverī, Vasiṣṭhā and Tāmrā. The rest of the identified rivers run their course in the northern or central part of India. This list could suggest that the redactor was more familiar with northern geography than with southern, especially if the list was the innovation of the *Niśvāsamukha*. As such lists of rivers are found in a vast range of Indian texts, the actual geographical details are hard to determine for certain.

 $<sup>^{527}</sup>$ This name of the river occurs also in *Guhyasūtra* 1:31 as Carmiṇī. The name of this rive is hardly attested in other sources.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>528</sup>This is the reading of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:4). Note that śarkarāvarttamarjun $\bar{\imath}$  is an aiśa formation with a hiatus breaker m in between two words: śarkarāvartā and arjun $\bar{\imath}$ .

 $<sup>^{529}</sup>$ Otherwise we might take  $mah\bar{a}nad\bar{\imath}$  as an adjective of  $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$  and in that case our translation would be ' [23] Trtīyā, a great river ...' We should not, however, forget that there exists a river named Mahanadī in Gayā as well in Orissa (Dey 1927:117).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>530</sup>We might otherwise understand *viśrutā* as an adjective of *somanandā*.

 $<sup>^{531}</sup>$ I have not found these names:  $kam\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ ,  $sramsin\bar{\imath}$ ,  $\acute{s}ubh\bar{a}$  attested as rivers. We might think of  $\acute{s}ubh\bar{a}$  as an adjective of  $\acute{s}ramsin\bar{\imath}$ .

 $<sup>^{532}</sup>$ We assume this to be an *aiśa* compound where an instrumental singular is treated as a nominative singular: the first member of this would normally be  $sindhuvat\bar{\imath}$  (cf. the form of the word  $saskuly\bar{\imath} modak\bar{\imath}ni$  in 1:159).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>533</sup>We assume that *mandākinyaḥ* is intended as a singular and is thus another name.

ancestors and the gods and fasted ( $upav\bar{a}saratah$ ) bathes in [these] best of rivers,<sup>534</sup> he will be freed from sin. (8-9)

« This river is of pure water [that] has come from the embodiment of Śiva; whoever bathes [in these waters] (yaih) will be liberated; O you who have water as your form! Let there be veneration to you. »  $^{535}$  (10)

Reciting (anusmṛtya) this mantra (ayaṃ mantram)<sup>536</sup> one should bathe in a river ( $nadyav-ag\bar{a}hanam$ );[as a result of doing so] he becomes freed from all sins and goes (yayau)<sup>537</sup> to heaven when he abandons his body. (11)

Having bathed in the Śoṇa [river], Puṣkara [lake?] or Lohitya [river] (śoṇapuṣkara-lohitye),<sup>538</sup> in [lake] Mānasa, in the place the Indus meets the ocean (*sindhusāgare*)<sup>539</sup> or in Brahmāvartta,<sup>540</sup> or Kardamāla<sup>541</sup> or in the salty ocean, one [becomes] free from all sins [and] he should [then] worship one's ancestors and the gods. (12a–13b)

It is always (*nityam*) taught (*bhavet*) [that] fire is the womb [and it is] taught [that] Viṣṇu is the seminal fluid; one should know<sup>542</sup> Brahmā to be the father and water is to be known to be a form of Rudra.<sup>543</sup> If someone bathes reciting those<sup>544</sup> [names], he will obtain the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>534</sup> saridvarām is presumably a collective feminine accusative singular for locative plural.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>535</sup>It was already stated that water is one of the eight forms of Śiva (1:32–39). The verse as a whole is a mantra that is supposed to be recited during the bath in the aforementioned rivers. In his 2008 presentation at the EFEO at Pondicherry, Prof. Peter Bisschop noted a parallel of this mantra in *Himavatkhaṇḍa* 88:39 of the *Skandapurāṇa*. This mantra in the *Himavatkhaṇḍa*, however, is slightly different from the one attested in our text.

 $<sup>^{536}</sup>$ This is intended as an accusative phrase, as is indicated by the correction *imaṃ mantram*, which we find in the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (7:11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>537</sup>Note that the perfect *yayau* is irregularly used here with future meaning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>538</sup>Lohitya is otherwise commonly called *Brahmaputra*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>539</sup>We have understood *sindhusāgare* to mean *sindhusāgarasangame*. Both forms are attested in Purāṇas. For instance, see the *Skandapurāṇa* (73:8 and 73:71). This is a particular place connected to Śankukarṇa, one of Śiva's Gaṇas. This is the place where Śiva performed *tapas* while guarded by his Gaṇa, Śankukarṇa. This suggests that the phrase *sindhusāgare* is a particular location where Sindhu and ocean meet (Bisschop 2006:220). The reader is also referred here to Bakker 2014:2, 118, 151 and 173. For the *māhātmya* of Śankukarṇa, see *Skandapurāṇa* chapter 73.

This is probably not the well-known region of North India, identified by the *Manusmṛti* (2:17), but it seems to be a place particularly connected to Brahmā. Bakker (2014:183–184) assumes that this place, in the *Skandapurāṇa* could correspond "with the early historical mount at Shyampur Garhi, a small tributary of the Ganges" nearby Haridvāra. This suggests that this is a pilgrimage site, which fits the context of our text. Bakker (2014:168) also mentions that the *Mahābhārata* identifies the same place as Kurukṣetra. This clearly is a location for pilgrimage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>541</sup>This is a rare toponym, which is located in Gujarāt. The reader is referred to our introduction p. 30 for the discussion of this place. The last three are names of territories and not of bodies of water. These are probably the places that had important bodies of water, which could be a river, tank, the ocean and the like.

 $<sup>^{542}</sup>$ If correctly transmitted, this is in an instance of *vindyāt* ("one should find") being used in the sense of "one should know".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>543</sup>Note that the sentence structure changes in 14a. The *Śivadharmasangraha* (7:13) has squeezed 13c–14b into one line reading *agnir yonir viṣṇu retā brahmaṇaḥ pitā rudramūrtir āpaḥ*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>544</sup>Note that *etān utsmṛtya* is the reading of N and W and we are assuming that it is a corruption of *etānusmṛtya*, which we suppose in turn to be a contraction (for metrical reasons of *etān anusmṛtya*, which is what the *Śiva*-

highest destiny. (13c–14)

If someone, with desire or without desire, abandons (*samutsṛjya*) his body in female or male rivers (*nadīṇadeṣu*), he, his soul pure, will go [directly] to heaven (*svargalokam*) from this world (*iha*).<sup>545</sup> Once he falls from heaven he will be reborn in an excellent family. (15–16b)

He who always<sup>546</sup> remembers [a certain] pilgrimage site and desires to die ( $maraṇaṃ c\bar{a}bhik\bar{a}ṃ kṣate$ ) [there]<sup>547</sup> [and] who [therefore] enters the fire [there],<sup>548</sup> following the prescribed injunction, ( $niyame\ sthitah$ ), [that] man ( $m\bar{a}navah$ ) will obtain the world of Rudra and rejoice [there] with him. Once he falls from the world of Rudra, he will be reborn ( $\bar{a}pnuy\bar{a}t$ ) in the world of fire; having enjoyed the delights of the fire-world ( $vahnimay\bar{a}n$ )  $bhog\bar{a}n$ ),<sup>549</sup> he will be reborn as a king ( $vahnimay\bar{a}n$ ) (16c–18)

[1.6.2 The pañcāṣṭakas]

dharmasangraha (7:14) reads. K has also tried to correct the text by reading etān sanısmṛtya.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>545</sup>We understand *iha* to mean *ital*. What does the whole expression mean? Does the person who commits suicide here in these bodies of water travel directly to heaven from here? The *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (7:15) obviates the problem of *iha* by reading *somalokam iyān naraḥ*, thus changing the destination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>546</sup>Ex conj.; nityam is reconstructed from the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:17).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>547</sup>The *Śivadharmasangraha* (7:17) tries to make the text clear simply by reading *maranaṃ cātra kāṃkṣate* instead of *maranam cābhikāmkṣate*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>548</sup>An alternative interpretation of his verse would be: "He who alway remembers [a certain] pilgrimage site and desires to die there [and] who [therefore] enters the fire [anywhere he likes]...". These are tentative translations which assume that the text is correctly transmitted here. It is possible, however, that a corruption has taken place. Note that in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha verses 17 and 18 are missing, which means that there is no reference to agnipraveśa and that a list of tīrthas follows on relatively smoothly from the mention of dying in a tīrtha in 16cd. Perhaps, then, verses 17 and 18 are an interpolation made after the redaction of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha. It is also possible that 17 and 18 are original and that 16cd should have come after them but has somehow been misplaced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>549</sup>Ex conj.

 $<sup>^{550}</sup>$ It is to be noted that when someone enters fire while remembering a certain  $t\bar{t}rtha$ , in this case a body of water, he first goes to the world of Rudra. Once he falls from there, he goes to the world of fire. It seems to us that the power of calling the water to the mind at the time of entering fire leads the person to the world of Rudra, as the water is one of the form of Rudra/Śiva. Then the merit of entering fire causes him to stay transitorily in the world of fire. For the attainment of the world of fire by abandoning one's body in the fire see  $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$  13:130:51.

[1] Amareśa, <sup>551</sup> [2] Prabhāsa, <sup>552</sup> [3] Naimiṣa, [4] Puṣkara, [5] Āṣāḍha, <sup>553</sup> [6] Diṇḍimuṇḍi, [7] Bhārabhūti, <sup>554</sup> [8] Lākuli, [9] Hariścandra is very secret, [10] Madhyamakeśvara is [also] secret, [11] Śrīparvata is [then] taught, and beyond that [12] Jalpeśvara and [13] Amrātikeśvara, <sup>555</sup> and also [14] Mahākala and [15] Kedāra are excellent secret [pilgrimages], and so is [16] Mahābhairava. (21) [17] Gayā, [18] Kurukṣetra, [19] Nakhala, [20] Kanakhala, [21] Vimala, [22] Aṭṭahāsa, [23] Māhendra and [24] Bhīma [as] the eighth [of that group of eight], [25] Vastrāpada, <sup>556</sup> [26] Rudrakoṭi, [27] Avimukta, [28] Mahābala, <sup>557</sup> [29] Gokarṇa, [30] Bhadrakarṇa, [31] Svarṇākṣa and [32] Sthāṇu [as] the eighth [of that group of eight]; [33] Chagalaṇḍa, [34] Dviraṇḍa, [35] Mākoṭa, [36] Maṇḍaleśvara, [37] Kālañjara <sup>558</sup> is taught [next] [38] Devadāru [39] Śaṅkukarṇa and after that [40] Thaleśvara. <sup>559</sup> By bathing, seeing or performing worship there one becomes free from all sins. (22–25)

Those who die in these places go [up], penetrating the [shell of the] egg of Brahmā (brahmāṇḍam), to [the respective world in] this divine set of five groups of eight [worlds

<sup>551</sup> Here follows a list of 40 worlds, grouped into five ogdoads and known as the <code>pañcāṣṭaka</code>. The list of five ogdoads (<code>pañcāṣṭaka</code>) occurs in the <code>Niśvāsamukha</code> in the context of places sacred to Śaivas. Some of these same places are to be found in the <code>Mahābhārata</code> (see Bisschop, 2006:19–22), where they are not restricted to Śaivas. Thus, some of the places listed in the list of <code>pañcāṣṭaka</code> at first were not necessarily only Śaiva pilgrimage sites. Therefore, although the list of <code>pañcāṣṭaka</code> appears to be a quite early phenomenon in Śaiva literature (it is, however, not found in the the <code>Skandapurāṇa</code>), it is later fashioned into five groups of eight sites by the Śaivas, incorporating already existing sites and giving them a Śaiva identity. The important point about the list of the five <code>aṣṭakas</code> of this text is that it is not incorporated within the Śaiva cosmology explicitly; thus it supports the argument of Goodall (2004:15, fn.617) that the five ogdoads are an earlier, not exclusively tantric, structure. For more details see Goodall (2004:315) and Bisschop, (2006:27–37) and TAK2 s.v. <code>guhyāṣṭaka</code>. See also our introduction p. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>552</sup>The *Guhyasūtra* (3:112) reads *prahāsañ ca* instead.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>553</sup>The *Guhyasūtra* (3:113) reads *āṣāḍhin* in stead of *āṣāḍha*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>554</sup>Ex conj., we have adopted the reading bhārabhūtiñ ca from the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:18).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>555</sup>The *Guhyasūtra* (7:115), which is our conjecture, reads  $\bar{a}mbr\bar{a}tike\acute{s}vara$ . Our source there read:  $ambr\bar{a}$  --- N;  $amdhr\bar{a} \sqcup K$  and  $ambr\bar{a}tike \sqcup W$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>556</sup>The original name of this place is probably Bhastrāpada (Bisschop 2006:31). Once again the *Guhyasūtra* (7:118) reads *bhadrāpada* instead.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>557</sup>Ex conj. This reading is based on the reading of W, mahāba..., which is further conformed by the Guhyasūtra (7:117). In other Śaiva sources we come across Mahālaya instead. The Śivadharmaśāstra which is the first and earliest book of the Sivadharma corpus reads Mahālaya at this place. The Svacchandatantra (10:887), which borrows a great deal of text from the Niśvāsa also records Mahālaya. We are not able to propose which of these names could be the original as the Niśvāsa records Mahābala but other sources Mahālaya. It is interesting to note that Mahābala occurs in the Kāravaṇamāhātmya as one of the four names Śiva related to four yugas (see Bisschop 2006:208).

We could have adopted the reading *mahālayam* from the Śivadharmasangraha (7:22). According to the *Skandapurāṇa* this is the foremost abode of Śiva, and it is otherwise also called Rudranātha, Rudrālaya or Rudramahālaya. See Bisschop 2006: 177–179.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>558</sup>It is one of the very few toponyms to be mentioned in early scripture outside of such lists of places, for it occurs in the *upodghāta* to the *Rauravasūtrasaṅgraha*. It also features in the frame narrative of the *Niśvāsamukha*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>559</sup>Note that *thaleśvara* is meant for *sthaleśvara*, which is what the *Guhyasūtra* (7:121) reads.

bearing the same names as the pilgrimage sites], upon reaching which  $(yam \ gatv\bar{a})^{560}$  he will not be reborn [in this world(?)]. (26)

He who stands in Mahāpralaya ( $mahāpralayasthāy\bar{\imath}$ )<sup>561</sup> [is] the creator and agent of grace; from merely (eva) seeing [his footprint (padam)] in [the sacred site of] Mahālaya, people will attain (gacchante)<sup>562</sup> [in the next life] the divine state (padam). (27)

Also by drinking the water of Kedāra one certainly obtains the fruit (gatim) [of attaining] the five sets of eight [i.e. of all forty bhuvanas] ( $pañc\bar{a}stam\bar{\imath}m$ ). As for those who possess ( $samyut\bar{a}h$ ) the Vidyā-mantra (vidyaya)<sup>563</sup> and who drink [this] pure water [of Kedāra], in whatsoever walk of life they will obtain ( $y\bar{a}nti$ ) union with Śiva.<sup>564</sup> (28a–29a)

Men in all walks of life (sarvāvasthā"pi mānavāḥ),<sup>565</sup> by visiting (dṛṣṭvā) other secret (guhyānyāny api)<sup>566</sup> [places] of god will be freed from all sins; they will obtain the state of being gaṇas if they die (nidhanan gatāḥ) there. [Thus] the greatness of [the sacred sites associated with] Hara has been taught; now hear the greatness of [the sites associated with] Hari from me. (29b–30)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>560</sup> Ex conj.; yaṃ gatvā is the reading of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:24). This portion of the text is lost in our Mss. This is a curious doctrine here. These five groups of eight sites are some kind of divine abode, higher than the egg of Brahmā corresponding to earthly pilgrimage places. These divine abodes are equated with the state of liberation mokṣa. It looks that once one reaches one of these places, the new condition achieved is permanent. If it is so, what is the role of the world of Śiva in this connection? The divine pañcāṣṭakas are not part of the cosmology of the Śivadharmaśāstra (12:119). If one dies in one of those places, his destination is the world of Rudra. In this respect the list of the Śivadharmaśāstra is less developed than the list of the Niśvāsamukha, which could suggest the Niśvāsamukha's later composition. See also Bisschop: 2006: 28, fn. 71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>561</sup>This is perhaps meant to be understood in two ways: "He who remains [even] in a period of total resorption [of the universe]" and "He who stands in [the sacred site called] Mahā(pra)laya".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>562</sup>Mahālaya is one of the foremost sacred sites of Śaivas. It is the place of high importance for them because, we are told that this is the place where Mahādeva planted his footprint (Bisschop 2006:22). The reader is referred here to Bisschop (2006:177-179).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>563</sup>This refers to the ten-syllable *vidyāmantra* taught in chapter 16 of the *Guhyasūtra*, also referred to as Daśākṣaradeva. For a summary of the legend, see TAK 3, s.v. *daśākṣara*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>564</sup>Kedāra is treated as special and certain special values are attached to it (3:28a–29b). It is to be noted that by dying in each site of the *pañcāṣṭaka* one goes up, penetrating the shell of the egg of Brahmā and will not be reborn in this world again. On the other hand, by merely drinking water from the sacred site of Kedāra one can obtain the fruit of attaining the five sets of eight sacred places. Alternatively, he could obtain the divine abode of Kedāra. In addition to this, by drinking the water of Kedāra together with *vidyā* grants the union with Śiva, which seems to be a higher state then the divine sets of five or the divine abode of Kedāra. The text seems to draw a clear distinction in reward if it is an ordinary person or an initiated one who drinks the water of Kedāra. The ordinary one somehow does not get the union with Śiva, but the initiated one does.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>565</sup>This is a tentative interpretation. Note that K reads *sarvāvasthāsu*, which might be the intended meaning, whereas the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (6:26) has perhaps tried to correct it by reading: *sarvāvasthāś ca*, but this does not seem any clearer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>566</sup>This irregular usage has been supplanted in the *Śivadharmasangraha* (7:27) It is not clear to us which sites are referred to in our text, or which site in the *Śivadharmasangraha* is referred to by *guhyāyatanam*.

Hari always [resides] in Śālagrāma,<sup>567</sup> Mallakūpa,<sup>568</sup> Saukarava,<sup>569</sup> in Sannidhāna, Mathurā,<sup>570</sup> as well as in Śvetadvīpa;<sup>571</sup> having seen Viṣṇu [[...]]<sup>572</sup> one will be freed from all sins; people deceased in these places will go to that highest abode (*paramaṃ padam*) of Viṣṇu. (31–32)

As for Brahmā, Skanda, Gaṇeśa (brahmaskandagaṇeśasya), the Lokapālas, the planets (lokapālagraheṣu), Devī, the Mothers and Yakṣas (devyāmātarayakṣeṣu), Piśācas, and snakes,

<sup>569</sup>For this name cf. *Brahmapurāṇa* 228:149. In the same Purāṇa, this place is also called Śūkarava and identified as rūpatīrtha, see *Brahmapurāṇa* 228:145 gaccha śūkaravoddeśaṇ rūpatīrtheti viśrutam siddhiṃ yāsyasi viprendra tatas tvaṇ mām avāpsyasi (cf. also the same Purāṇa 25:12). If this tīrtha is also known as śūkaratīrtha or sukaratīrtha then it is the place that Kane IV:808 says is on the west bank of Gaṅgā between Bareli and Mathurā.

<sup>570</sup>It is possible that this is the sacred area known as Saṃnihitā (see Kane IV:2:801). Alternatively, we could conjecture *sannidhāno* and take this, irregularly, as an adjective with the sense of *sannihita*, for which cf., e.g., *Parākhya* 2:25 and 14:61. In the latter case, we might translate: "…[Hari] is present in Mathurā".

571 Since this place here occurs among sacred places to Viṣṇu, we expect it to be a real historical place, as the context demands. Unfortunately we are not able to locate the place because of lack of evidence. This place is, however, a well known mythical region sacred to Viṣṇu. The Bhāgavatapurāṇa (8:4:18) mentions that this is one of the favourite places of Viṣṇu: kṣīrodaṃ me priyaṃ dhāma śvetadvīpaṃ ca bhāsvaram |. According to the Mahābhārata (12:323:23) it is situated to the north of Kṣīrodadhi where the devotees of Viṣṇu, after doing tapas there, attain union with him. This island may have been called Śvetadvīpa because the people there are white, resembling the moon (Mahābhārata 12:323:31) or the name may refer to the people there who are without indriyas i.e. pure. Mahābhārata 12:323:19ff presents us with the description of the Śvetadvīpa as seen by sages Ekata, Dvīta and Trita.

We find references to this place in a wide range of Sanskrit texts: *Mahābhārata*, Purāṇas, Āyurveda, Kāvyas Tantras etc. For instance, cf. *Kūrmapurāṇa* 1:47:39, 2:34:33; *Lingapurāṇa* 2:1:43ff and 2:3:76; *Nāradapurāṇa* 1:62:38; *Vāmanapurāṇa* 34:57; *Kathāsaritsāgara* 11:69, 17:101 etc.; *Vāsavadattā* p. 35; *Bhāratamañjarī* 13:1195; *Iśānagurudevapaddhati* II:36-35; *Bahmasaṃhitā* 5:6; *Laghubhāgavata* 1:2:41; *Devāmṛtapāñcarātra* 7:3; *Iśvarasaṃhitā* 1:29, 20:52 etc.; *Pādmasaṃhitā* 2:47; *Rasaratnasamuccaya* 3:2ff etc.

<sup>572</sup>The Śivadharmasangraha (7:42) reads tam dṛṣṭvā puruṣavaṭe viṣṇum mucyeta kilbiṣaiḥ, which suggests that there should be a place called *Puruṣavaṭa*, for which we find no other testimony. We could conjecture something like pañcāvaṭe, assuming an irregular lengthening of the vowel in the middle of a compound. The reason we are tempted to do this is that the ā is clearly visible in the manuscript. We know that the Pañcavaṭī is the name of forest where Rāma made his dwelling at the time of exile (see Rāmāyaṇa 3:14:11). This could be a secret place for Vaiṣṇavas, which is what the context demands. The problem is that the our text does not seem to have Pañcāvaṭī, it rather has Pañcāvaṭa, which is a Śaiva pilgrimage site according to the Mahābhārata (3:81:141). We could also consider conjecturing bhadrāvaṭe (Mahābhārata 3:8:69) or muñjāvaṭe (Mahābhārata 3:81:18). We again would end up with the unwanted corollary that these places are connected with Śaivas, but not with Vaiṣṇavas. If we were to accept one of these readings, our translation would be 'having seen Viṣṇu in [the place called] pañcavaṭa | bhadravaṭe | mundravaṭe one will be freed...'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>567</sup>A famous vaiṣṇava tīrtha. Mahābhārata 3:821:6 speaks of this sacred place thus tato gaccheta rājendra sthānaṃ nārāyaṇasya tu sadā saṃnihito yatra harir vasati bhārata śālagrāma iti khyāto viṣṇor adbhutakarmaṇaḥ abhigamya trilokeśaṃ varadaṃ viṣṇum avyayam aśvamedham avāpnoti viṣṇulokaṃ ca gacchati. Cf. also Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa 2:13:89, 2:25:66; Brahmapurāṇa 64:4, 65:89; Viṣṇudharmottara 36:15, 70:97; Nāradapurāṇa 1:4:50; Matsyapurāṇa 2:62; Kārmapurāṇa 2:34:37; Agnipurāṇa 219:68, 305:5 380:1 etc. It is a well known fact that śālagrāma is also a kind of stone worshiped as a form of Viṣṇu. Here śālagrāma does not mean the stone form of Viṣṇu as it is a place name. Kane IV:799 and Dey 1927:174 mention that it is a sacred place near the shore of the Gaṇḍakī river.

 $<sup>^{568}</sup>$ As far as we can see, this place sacred to Viṣṇu is attested only in our text and in the  $\acute{S}ivadharmasangraha$  (7:41).

Rākṣasas: devotees of these will obtain the worlds of those (tadgatim). 573 (33a–34a)

If a bad person who has accrued bad *karman* (*pāpasaṃyutaḥ*) undertakes a fast until death (*anāśakaṃ yaḥ kurute*) with mantra-recitation, oblation into fire and worship (*japahomādyapūjanaiḥ*).<sup>574</sup> he too (*ca*), freed from all sins, will go to the world of Viṣṇu. Once he falls from the world of Viṣṇu, he will be reborn as a learned Brāhmin. (34b–35)

#### [1.7 Observance of fasts]

By applying the same procedure he will further practice the same [fasting].<sup>575</sup> Thus I have told you all [that]; now listen to the process of fasting. (36)

If someone observes ( $kury\bar{a}t$ ) fasting for one night every month ( $m\bar{a}se\ m\bar{a}se$ ) after consuming only the five products of the cow having first purified himself— [this] would be  $s\bar{a}ntapana$ . By observing [this practice] ( $krtv\bar{a}$ ) for a year, one [becomes] pure and will be honoured in the world of Brahmā. (37a–38b)

Another *sāntapana* [is defined as follows]: fasting for twelve days. By doing this [kind of *sāntapana*], one will be freed from sins and will not be degraded from Brahmin-hood.<sup>577</sup> By doing this twelve times a man will certainly obtain a good rebirth. (38c–39)

Having subdued one's sense faculties, one should, for three days, eat [only] a mouthful and one should fast for three nights. [This kind of religious observance is called]

 $<sup>^{573}</sup>$ This part of the text contains a number of problems whose import is difficult to assess. The first is that text text seems to be incomplete or it is out of place. Secondly, the locative and genitive are used interchangeably. Thirdly, members of a compound are used in inflected forms:  $devy\bar{a}$  for  $dev\bar{\imath}$  and  $m\bar{a}tara$  for  $m\bar{a}tr$ , i.e. the seven (or sometimes eight) mother-goddesses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>574</sup>This is an odd compound in that it has  $\bar{a}dya$  in the middle, standing for  $\bar{a}di$ . This oddity has been removed in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:44) by reading japahomārcanādibhiḥ.

<sup>575</sup>We are unfortunately not sure that we have understood this line; we believe it may refer to the notion that pious acts in one rebirth tend to lead to further pious acts in subsequent rebirths. Once again, it is noteworthy that this return to the theme of Viṣṇuloka has the air of an insertion and is not in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha, which may mean that it was interpolated here in a version of our text later than that on which the Śivadharmasaṅgraha was based. Note that the awkwardness we feel in interpreting this verse may be because it has been indiscriminately adapted from a well-known cliché about good acts leading in a virtuous cycle to further good acts in later rebirths. A similar half-verse appears quoted in the Bhāmatī on Brahmasūtraśāṅkarabhāṣya 2:1:24, p. 482 as: janmajanma yad abhyastaṃ dānam adhyayanaṃ tapaḥ | tenaivābhyāsayogena tac caivābhyasate punaḥ. Cf. also Viṣṇudharmottara chapter 98:27. There is also a possibility that some text has been lost during transmission, and then subsequently the redactor of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha encountered an incomplete part of this passage and did not transmit the problematic section. Or, alternatively, it is possible that the awkwardness of expression of this section led to its being left out by the redactor of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>576</sup>This verse echoes *Manusmṛti* 11:213: *gomūtraṃ gomayaṃ kṣīraṃ dadhi sarpiḥ kuśodakam | ekarātropavāsaś ca kṛcchraṃ sāṃtapanaṃ smṛtam | |* The commentators of the *Manusmṛti* vary over the point how this observance is to be practiced; taking two days, consuming the five products of cow and fasting the other day or consuming the products each for six days and fasting on the seventh. See Olivelle 2005:346, a note to the translation of verse 11:213.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>577</sup> According to the *Manusmṛti* this observance is called *parāka*, one of the *sāntapana / kṛcchra* observances. *Manusmṛti* 11:215 presents it as follows: *yatātmano 'pramattasya dvādaśāham abhojanam | parāko nāma kṛcchro 'yaṃ sarvapāpāpanodanah* | |

atikṛccha, for purification'<sup>578</sup> If someone observes (*kuryāt*) [the *atikṛcchra*] every fortnight (*pratipakṣaṃ*), he will partake of the fruit of heaven. (40a–41b)

One should drink hot water, hot milk and hot ghee, each for three days, and one should bathe three times a day: [this religious observance is called hot-and-arduous (*tapta-kṛcchra*).]<sup>579</sup> [In this way] a pure-souled Brahmin who is devoid of all sin will go to heaven; [and a Brahmin who is] a sinner will be purified [from sin]. (41c–42)

One should increase [his food] by a mouthful [a day in the days of] the bright fortnight and should decrease it [in the days] of the dark fortnight [by a mouthful a day] and should bathe three times a day; one should observe this observance for a month in accordance with the change of the moon ( $candravrddhy\bar{a}$ ). This is the excellent lunar-observance ( $c\bar{a}ndr\bar{a}yana$ ), which removes all sins. <sup>580</sup> A sinner will be freed from sin [by performing it], and one who has not committed sin will go to heaven. (43–44)

One should eat eight rice-lumps at each noon from the sacrificial oblation (haviṣyeṇa samāyuktān);<sup>581</sup> By [this religious observance which is called] yaticāndrāyaṇa one will be freed from all crimes (sarvapātakaiḥ); [but] if he is sinless, he will go to heaven. (45a–46b)

A wise man should eat four lumps of rice in the forenoon, and again he should [eat] four lumps of rice after the setting of the sun; this observance which [is called]  $\dot{s}i\dot{s}uc\bar{a}ndr\bar{a}yana$ ,  $^{582}$  destroys [the demerit accrued from] minor transgressions. By observing it for a month ( $m\bar{a}senaikena$ ) one becomes pure-souled; if someone who is [already] free of sin performs it for three nights, he will go to heaven.  $^{584}$  (46c–48c)

Someone who remains constantly (*sarvakālam*) strict in his observance<sup>585</sup> will be freed from all sins by one hundred complete repetitions of it. If someone observes it for a thousand nights,<sup>586</sup> together with mantra- recitation, he will be freed from the great sins.<sup>587</sup> If he is sinless, he will go to heaven and, once he falls [from there], he will be reborn as (*bhavet*) a rich man. (48d–50b)

If someone fasts intermediated by a day [viz. every other day] for twelve years, he will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>578</sup>Alternatively *viśodhane* can be vocative. In this case our translation would be '[This kind of religious observance is called] *atikṛccha*, [and is especially observed] O pure lady (*viśodhane*).' Here there is a rather closer verbal echo of Manu, for which see the apparatus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>579</sup>The *taptakṛcchra* as recorded in the *Niśvāsamukha* is slightly different from its appearance in the *Manusmṛti*. The *Manusmṛti* (11:215) records it as *taptakṛcchraṃ caran vipro jalakṣīraghṛtānilān* | *pratitryahaṃ pibed uṣṇān sakṛt-snāyī samāhitaḥ* | 'A Brahmin should drink hot water, hot milk, hot ghee, and hot air, each for three days and bathe once [a day so as] attentively to observe (*caran*) [the religious practice called] hot-and-arduous (*tapta-kṛcchra*).'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>580</sup>This has the echo of *Manusmṛti* 11:217, for which see the apparatus.

 $<sup>^{581}</sup>$ Once again, we have a close verbal echo of the Manusmṛti ( $\bar{1}1:219$ ), for which see the apparatus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>582</sup>This also has the echo of the *Manusmṛti* (11:220) for which see the apparatus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>583</sup>Ex. conj., this is the reading of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:58).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>584</sup>The division of the syntactic units here is quite uncertain. Very different statements could be read in the text by punctuating it differently here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>585</sup>Ex. conj., this is the reading of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:58).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>586</sup>Ex. conj.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>587</sup>Ex. conj.

be freed from the great sins; [if he is] a pure soul, he will obtain heaven. (50c–51b)

If somebody [free from sin] fasts for a fortnight [every year]<sup>588</sup> (*pakṣopavāsaṃ*) for twelve years, he will attain heaven; as for a sinner, he will be freed from sin. (51c–52b)

If somebody, having his senses controlled,<sup>589</sup> fasts for one month every year, that man will obtain an excellent rebirth (*gatim uttamāṃ vrajet*) in [this] world; he will be purified from the great sin and he will be [reborn as] a rich man.<sup>590</sup> (52c–53)

He who eats only one meal [a day] will be reborn as a rich man; if an excellent man (narottamaḥ) eats a meal [only] in the evening for a lifetime, that excellent man will be reborn as someone rich in money and grains. (54)

If someone [being] in a religious observance  $(vrate)^{591}$  eats unsolicited food for a lifetime, he will become a god when he dies (mrtah); [if someone is] sinful  $(p\bar{a}tak\bar{t})$ , he will be freed from sin. (55)

One should not consume intoxicating drink and meat, this is the most excellent observance: whoever always remains thus will obtain an excellent rebirth. (56)

If someone practises a difficult observance [called] celibacy, together with [his] spouse, he will obtain supernatural power here and hereafter, and he will obtain an excellent rebirth.<sup>593</sup> (57)

If somebody gives up the wealth that he has,<sup>594</sup> he will obtain a great reward,<sup>595</sup> and that [reward] will be without end. (58)

Fish, meat, any spirituous liquor ( $sur\bar{a}$ ) or spirituous liquor distilled from molasses ( $s\bar{\imath}dhu$ ) are considered to be the food of Rākṣasas; <sup>596</sup> these should not be offered to a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>588</sup>For this suppletion, see 52c below. Alternatively we might conjecture that the intended sense is that one should fast on alternate fortnights; but it might then be difficult to remain alive for 12 years.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>589</sup>Ex. conj., basically this is the reading of the Śivadharmasangraha (7:62).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>590</sup>The reading  $p\bar{u}jayet$  in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:63) is perhaps a corruption of  $p\bar{u}jyate$ : 'he will also be revered [as] a rich man'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>591</sup>Ex. conj., vrate naraḥ is the reading of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:65); the text is broken off in other manuscripts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>592</sup>Ex. conj., here N reads --- to, and this is the basis to our emendation, whereas K and W are silent; but the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:66) reads mṛte, which, though grammatically wrong, might also be a possible reading. It seems that the practice of eating unsolicited food is somehow related to ascetic behaviour. It is, however, as seen in this text, also meant for householders (see, for example, the Dharmasūtra of Āpastambha 1:9:27:7 and Manusmṛti 4:5). This observance is sometime called ayācitavrata 'the observance of [eating] unsolicited [food] ' (see the Dharmasūtra of Vasiṣṭha 21:20.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>593</sup>Although it is not mentioned when exactly someone is supposed to start the observance of celibacy with his wife, most probably it is after having offspring. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:69c) reads brahmacaryaṃ vrataṃ kaṣṭaṃ, where we have to understand vrataṃ as in apposition to brahmacaryaṃ, instead of brahmacaryavrataṃ kaṣṭaṃ (37a). Further, the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:70ab) reads quite differently: ihaiva mantrāḥ siddhyante gatiṃ vrajati cottamāṃ. 'Mantras will work for him in this world and he will obtain an excellent rebirth'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>594</sup>See *Niśvāsamukha* 1:55 for a similar expression. Note that *kuruteti* is perhaps to be seen as an *aiśa sandhi* for *kurute iti*, but the resulting form has the sense of *kurute*; the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (7:70) reads *kurute tu yaḥ* to obviate the problem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>595</sup>Note that we are not told what the great reward is.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>596</sup>The *Mahābhārata* (9:42:21–22), however, gives the list *rākṣasānna* as follows: *kṣutakīṭāvapannaṃ ca yac* 

Brāhmin by a noble man who desires [good] fortune. 597 (59)

# [1.8 Worship of different divinities]

#### Devi spoke:

By resorting to which god will fasting bear great fruit? And how should [the god] be worshipped? Tell [me this] by your grace. (60)

### God spoke:

If somebody fasts and worships Brahmā<sup>598</sup> on the first day of both lunar fortnights for a year using the mantra *brahmaṇe namaḥ*<sup>599</sup> with fragrance, flowers, and incense, together with *bhakṣya* and *bhojya* (*bhakṣyabhojyasamanvitaiḥ*),<sup>600</sup> he will obtain the fruit of sacrifices [namely]: *Aśvamedha*, *Rājasūya*, *Sauvarṇa* and *Gavāmaya*, along with seven *Somasaṃsthas*<sup>601</sup> together with the *naramedha*. (61–63)

If someone of concentrated mind (*yuktātmā*) worships Brahmā, of infinite splendour, for a year with these names: [1] Brahmā, [2] Svayambhū, [3] Viriñci, <sup>602</sup> [4] Padmayoni, [5] Prajāpati, [6] Caturmukha, [7] Padmahasta, [8] He who is the single syllable Om (*om ity ekākṣaraḥ*), [9] Caturvedadharaḥ, [10] Sraṣṭā, [11] Gīrvāṇa and [12] Parameṣṭhī, <sup>603</sup> he will be honoured in heaven; he who does so for a lifetime goes to the world of Brahmā. (64-66)

If someone worships the fire-god and pleases him, with nothing other than (eva) ghee, on the second day of both halves of the month every month for one year, reciting ( $k\bar{\imath}rtti-tam$ )<sup>604</sup> his excellent names: [1] Vaiśvānara, [2] Jātavedas, [3] Hutabhuk, [4] Havyavāhana,

cocchişţāśitaṃ bhavet | keśāvapannam ādhūtam ārugṇam api yad bhavet | śvabhiḥ saṃspṛṣṭam annaṃ ca bhāgo 'sau rakṣasām iha | tasmāj jñātvā sadā vidvān etāny annāni varjayet | rākṣasānnam asau bhunkte yo bhunkte hy annam īdṛśam.

<sup>597</sup> Although the meaning is clear, the construction of pāda 59cd is ambiguous. We understand the locative brāhmaṇe to stand for the dative brāhmaṇāya and gatim icchan mahātmanām as gatiṃ icchatā mahātmanā. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (7:72) rephrases the first part to read: tac chāmbhavena moktavyaṇ, which may mean 'this [type of food] should be given up (moktavyaṃ) by a Śaiva devotee'; but the more problematic pāda appears not to have been altered.

<sup>598</sup>The *Śivadharmasangraha* (8:2) reads *brahmāṇaṃ pūjayen naraḥ* instead of *brahmāṇaṃ pūjayīta yaḥ* to obviate the problem of having an *aiśa ātmanepada* optative form.

<sup>599</sup>The reading *brāhmaṇe namo mantreṇa* is a conjecture based on *Śivadharmasangraha* (8:2). Note that it is not metrical and that it omits a quotative *iti*. We might instead conjecture *brāhmaṇe-nama-mantreṇa*, treating it as a sort of compound.

<sup>600</sup>Ex conj.; perhaps N's reading, bhakṣyabhojyasamanvitaiḥ, could be defended.

601 The *Dharmasūtra* of Gautama (8:20) mentions the seven Soma sacrifices as: *agniṣṭomo 'tyagniṣṭoma ukthyaḥ ṣoḍaśī vājapeyo 'tirātro 'ptoryāma iti sapta somasaṃsthāḥ*. The same list is found in the *Viṣṇudharmottara* 2:95:14–16, *Sarvajñānottara* 10:48–49, *Niśvāsakārikā* (for example, T. 150, pp.190) and *Svacchandatantra* 10:403–4.

<sup>602</sup>Ex. conj.; the readings of 64ab are basically those of the Śivadharmasangraha (8:5).

<sup>603</sup>Note that *parameṣṭhinaḥ* is used as a nominative singular *parameṣṭhī*. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (8:5–6) appears to have rearranged the order of the names to avoid the problem.

 $^{604}$ We have understood this as present participial  $k\bar{\imath}rtayan$ , but we could also take it as a description: "who is well known by these names".

[5] Devavaktra, [6] Sarvabhakṣa, [7] Ghṛṇin, [8] Jagadāhaka, 605 [9] Vibhāvasu and [10] Saptajihva, 606 he will be pure [from sin]; [if he does so] for a lifetime, he will [obtain] the world of fire. 607 (67–69)

If he should worship Yakṣa on the third day<sup>608</sup> in both halves of the month, with fragrances, incense and food-offerings until a year is completed, Kubera, being thoroughly honoured with devotion, will give him wealth here [in this world itself] (*iha*).<sup>609</sup> If he does so for a lifetime, he will go to the world of Kubera (*dhanadasya*). (70–71)

[He should worship Yakṣa] reciting (parikīrttitaḥ) [his names]: [1] Dhanada, [2] Yakṣa-pati, [3] Vitteśa, [4] Nidhipālaka, [5] Rākṣasādhipati, [6] Pingalākṣa, [6] Vimānaga, [8] Rudrasakhā, [6] Kubera, [10] Paulastyakulanandana, [11] Lokapāleśvara and [12] Yakṣendra. (72–73)

If someone worships Kubera (yakṣam) for a year with devotion, [he will be] rich in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>605</sup>A *metri causa* irregular form for *jagaddāhaka*.

 $<sup>^{606}</sup>$ Strictly speaking, we expect twelve names of fire, since one is supposed to worship the fire-god for a year under different names (see 69 below). Even if we count Agni (mentioned 67a) we will have eleven names. We could make the names twelve by counting Varanāmā, 'he who has excellent names' as a name of Agni. We are not sure whether or not it is natural to assume so. The  $\acute{S}ivadharmasangraha$  (8:8–10) as well mentions the same list of the names of Agni. In this list of names we have nominative and accusative forms of the neuter and masculine singular used indiscriminately, as though all such forms belonged to the same case and gender.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>607</sup>yāvajjīvāgnilokatā is presumably for yāvajjīvenāgnilokatām.

<sup>608</sup> Here Yakşa appears to be a proper name of Kubera rather than an adjective defining a class of semi-divine being. The names listed below (verses 72–73) clearly suggest that Yakşa is meant to be Kubera. Kubera, as attested below (verse 72), is generally called the lord of Yakşas (see also Rāmāyaṇa 4:42:223) not simply Yakṣa. The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (8:11) reads tṛtīye pūjayed yakṣaṃ instead, changing the metrically incorrect text into metrically correct form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>609</sup>Here, the *Śivadharmasangraha* (8:12) has understood the text differently as *dhanan dāsyanti yakṣā hi dhanadādyāḥ supūjitāḥ* 'Kubera and others, being thoroughly honoured with devotion, will give him wealth'. The plurals are quite problematic and do not fit in either the following and or preceding text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>610</sup>The term *adhipati*- may have been used in the sense of king. Kubera is often said to be the lord of the demons, and ruled the city of Lankā, which is full of troops of demons (*Mahābhārata* 3:258:16). He obtained the city by the grace of Brahmā (*Mahābhārata* 3:258:15). This name of Kubera might indeed be suggesting that he ruled the city of demons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>611</sup>According to *Rāmāyaṇa* 7:13:19–24, in his visit to god [i.e. Śiva] together with goddess Umā, Kubera was captivated by her unprecedented beauty. He looked at Umā with his right eye and by the power of Goddess that right eye turned tawny.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>612</sup>According to *Rāmāyaṇa* 5:7:10–11, Kubera obtains a flying chariot by Brahmā as a result of his (i.e. Kubera's) great *tapas*.

 $<sup>^{613}</sup>$ Kubera performs one hundred and eight year long *tapas* that Śiva had done previously. Thus, Śiva, being pleased with Kubera's penance, accepts him as his friend. For the story see  $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$  7:13:25ff. See also  $Meghad\bar{u}ta$  verse 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>614</sup>Kubera is the one of the guardians of the North in the post-Vedic period. He does not appear as a *lokapāla* in the Vedic period; most commonly in this period Soma is the lord of the North; sometimes Varuṇa, Dhātṛ, Parjanya, and Rudra substituted Soma in this early phase. Kubera seems to appear for the first time as a *lokapāla* in the *Māṇavaśrautasūtra*. From the *Mahābhārata* onwards, he is commonly the standard *lokapāla* of the North. For further details, see Corinna Wessels-Mevissen 2001:4–17.

wealth and grain; [by doing so] for a lifetime [he will be] the king of Yakṣas. 615 (74)

If someone should worship Gaṇeśa on the fourth day<sup>616</sup> in both halves of the month, with fragrances, flowers, plenty of *bhakṣya* and *bhojya* for a year, he will be purified [from sins]; by doing so for a lifetime  $(y\bar{a}vajj\bar{v}e)$ ,<sup>617</sup> [he will be reborn as] an excellent gaṇa. He who worships the lord of the gaṇas will not be overpowered<sup>618</sup> by demons  $(vin\bar{a}yakaih)$ . (75–76)

If a religious practitioner of controlled senses worships (pūjayed yaḥ), the lord of the gaṇas, with modakas, laḍḍukas or with delicious roots (mūlakaiḥ), using these names: [1] Vighneśvara, [2] Gaṇapati, [3] Ekadanta, [4] Gajānana, [5] Gajakarṇa, [6] Tryakṣa [7] Nā-gayajñopavītin, [8] Caturbhuja, [9] Dhūmrākṣa, 619 [10] Vajratuṇḍa (adamantine-snout), 620 [11] Vināyaka and [12] Mahodara (having a big belly), for him, nothing is impossible to obtain. (77–79)

One should worship serpents on the fifth day in both halves of the month with brilliant, fragrant flowers, incense, perfumes, treacle, milk, milk-rice (guḍakṣīrasapāyasaiḥ),<sup>621</sup> flowers,<sup>622</sup> sugar, honey (śarkaramadhvābhiḥ);<sup>623</sup> [by doing so] for a year, he will obtain the desired objects; by worshipping [the serpents] for a lifetime, he will obtain the world of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>615</sup>This is rhetorical; the same thing has been already expressed in verse 70–71 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>616</sup>The elephant-head god is addressed as Gaṇeśa. The early Purāṇas, such as the *Vāyu* and the earliest known recension of the *Skandapurāṇa* do not call him Gaṇeśa, but refer to him as Vināyaka. Bhavabhūti, the author of the *Mālatīmādhava* (late 7th to early 8th century) still calls him Vināyaka (Törzsök 2004:19–22). The reference of Gaṇeśa here is evidence that this figure is already Gaṇeśa by the time of the *Niśvāsamukha*. Note that *caturthī* has here been used as though it were the inflected form *caturthyām*. This usage is found often in other parts of the corpus, particularly for days of the fortnight.

 $<sup>^{617}</sup>$ We could take this as a locative, as a curtailed instrumental, as a curtailed optative, or perhaps as an error for  $y\bar{a}vajj\bar{t}va\eta n$ , as K has supposed. Parallels for each could be adduced. Whichever solution is prefered, the sense remains the same.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>618</sup>As the rephrasing of the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* shows (8:17), *abhibhūyet* must be intended to have passive sense, as though it were *abhibhūyeta* (which is metrically impossible here).

<sup>619</sup> This is not a commonly known name of Gaṇeśa. He may have been called Dhūmrākṣa 'smokey eyed' as he is already depicted (3:165) as elephant-headed. Thus, the text may be pointing to the colour of the eyes of an elephant. Alternatively, it may simply have indicated an aggressive colour of the eyes. According to the Mahābhārata (3:27:15), however, Dhūmrākṣa is a demon figure who was killed by Hanumān. In a similar context to our text, the Garuḍapurāṇa (1:129:26) refers to Gaṇeśa as Dhūmravarṇa. This may indicate the colour of his skin. This could suggest the dhūmra, 'smokey' colour is somehow connected to Gaṇeśa. Yet, his name Dhūmrākṣa remains out of the ordinary.

<sup>620</sup> Except for the *Niśvāsa*, we have not been able to find a single text which refers to *vajratuṇḍa* as a name of Gaṇeśa. It is, however, noteworthy that the Sanskrit-Wöterbuch attests *vajratuṇḍa* as a name of Gaṇeśa referring to the *Trikāṇḍakośa*. Either *vakratuṇḍa* or *vakraśuṇḍa* would be more common names for him. The *Śivadharmasangraha* (8:19) here reads *vakraśuṇḍa*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>621</sup>This is an *aiśa dvandva* compound with an otiose -sa- in the middle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>622</sup>Note that "flowers" is mentioned twice.

<sup>623</sup> If the conjectured text is correct, we can either interpret this as a shortened form of °madhvādibhiḥ or, as we have assumed here, as a case of irregular metrical lengthening before the instrumental ending, perhaps on the analogy of other endings with bh in them that are preceded by long vowels: in other words °madhvābhiḥ would stand for °madhubhiḥ. Note also that śarkara too has been metrically shortened: the correct form would be śarkarā, as we find in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (8:22).

the serpents.<sup>624</sup> (80–81)

One should fast and worship Skanda on the sixth day of the half month with fragrances, flowers, incense (gandhapuṣpasadhūpena),<sup>625</sup> and together with (saṇṇyutaḥ) bhakṣya and bhojya, worship him concentratedly in both halves of the fortnight. (82–83b)

[1] Viśākha, [2] Trivarṇa, 626 [3] Umānanda, [4] Agnigarbhaja, [5] Gaṅgāgarbha, [6] Śaradgarbha, 627 [7] Kṛttikāsuta, [8] Ṣaṇmukha, [9] Śaktihasta, [10] Mayūravāhana, [11] Pañcachaṭa 628 and [12] Kumāra: One should worship Skanda 629 every month with these auspicious names, being self-controlled (yuktātmā) and with concentration (samāhitaḥ) in mārgaśīrṣa [the month in which the full moon enters the constellation Mṛgaśiras]. [By doing so] for a year, a man will obtain all desired objects. By worshipping him for a lifetime, he will obtain union with Skanda. (83c–86)

If someone, self-controlled, fasts and worships the sun on [every] seventh [day], beginning in *mārgaśīrṣa*, with flowers, incense, unguents, different kinds of *bhakṣa*, *bhojya* and with oblations, mantra-recitations and so forth for a year, he will be purified [from sins], [and if he is already] freed from sins, he will obtain [his] highest desire. By worshipping him for a lifetime he will go to the world of the sun. Once he has fallen from there he will be reborn as a rich, healthy and long-lived man. <sup>630</sup> (87–89)

One should worship [the sun ] with [these] names: [1] Āditya, [2] Savitṛ, [3] Sūrya, [4] Khaga, [5] Pūṣan, [6] Gabhastimān, [7] Hiraṇyagarbha, [8] Triśiras, <sup>631</sup> [9] Tapana, [10] Bhāskara, [11] Ravi and [12] Jagannetra, the witness of the world (*lokasākṣi*), <sup>632</sup> Whoever worships [in this manner] the sun will obtain all desired objects. (90–91)

If someone of concentrated mind fasts and worships [1] Śańkara while consuming

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>624</sup>There exist eight standard names of serpents. To our surprise, they are not mentioned here. Instead they are listed in verse (3:168) below in the section on the worship of god. They may not have been listed here as the names of serpents are not twelve, but only eight. These names are expected for the twelve months, as in the case for the other divinities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>625</sup>Once again an *aiśa dvandva* compound with an otiose -sa- in the middle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>626</sup>We am not aware of Trivarṇa as a name of Kumāra. Could this name appear here because it is related to three tops of his hair?

 $<sup>^{627}</sup>$ We am not aware of such a name of Kumāra. It may stand for the commonly known name, Śarajanmā or for the less commonly used name Saridgarbha?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>628</sup>The Śivadharmasaṅgraha (8:26) records Pañcaśikha instead of Pañcachaṭa. We cannot trace any source apart from our text that uses the Pañcachaṭa as a name of Skanda.

<sup>629</sup> The reason for not counting this as one of the names is that we suspect that 12 names are given for each divinity, one for each month. Note that the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (8:25–26), perhaps not following the text precisely, records sixteen names of Kumāra, but does not mention Gaṅgāgarbha or Śaradgarbha (we find Pañca-śikha in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha instead of Pañcachaṭa) that are recorded in our text. Additional names in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha are: Devasenāpati, Guha, Naigameśa, Mahāsena, Krauñcāri and Skanda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>630</sup>Masculine plural is functioning as masculine singular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>631</sup>It is not clear to what this name of the sun refers to. Does this allude to the movement of the sun, which appears as sunrise, noon, and sunset? We have not been able to find any attestation of this name of the sun.

 $<sup>^{6\</sup>bar{3}2}$  Alternatively, Lokasākṣi, the eye of the world. *lokasākṣi* has actually been transformed into an *i*-stem noun in the text: the correct form would be *lokasākṣī*, as in the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (8:33).

[only] the urine of the cow, on the eighth day of both halves, in the month of *Mārgaśiras*, <sup>633</sup> he will obtain <sup>634</sup> the fruit of the *Atirātra*. And [by worshipping] with *bhakṣya* and *bhojya* and with beverages, he will obtain this same fruit. (92–93)

If someone, undertaking a fast, worships [2] Devadeva<sup>635</sup> in the month of Pauṣa, consuming [only] cow dung, he will obtain the fruit of the  $V\bar{a}japeya$ . (94)

If someone fasts and worships [3] Tryambaka in the dark half of the month of Māgha, consuming [only] milk  $(payas\bar{a})$ , <sup>636</sup> he will obtain  $(lebhe)^{637}$  the fruit of  $A\dot{s}vamedha$ . (95)

If someone fasts and worships [4] Sthāṇu in the dark half of Phālguna, consuming [only] curds, he will become pure and obtain the fruit of the *Naramedha*. (96)

If someone fasts and worships [5] Hara on the eight day of the dark half of the month of Caitra, consuming [only] clarified butter, becoming pure, he will obtain the fruit of the  $R\bar{a}jas\bar{u}ya$ . (97)

If someone fasts and worships [6] Śiva in the month of Vaiśākha, consuming [only] water boiled with *kuśa*-grass, he becomes self-controlled, and will obtain the fruit of a *Sautrāmaṇi*. (98)

If someone fasts and worships, [remaining] pure, [7] Bhava in the month of Jyeṣṭha, consuming water [passed through] the horn of a cow, he will obtain the fruit of all sacrifices. (99)

One should worship [8] Nīlakaṇṭha on the eighth day of the dark half of the month of Āṣāḍha, drinking [only] water [passed through] a conch;<sup>638</sup> he will obtain the fruit of the *Gomedha*. (100)

If someone fasts and worships [9] Pingala, 639 on the eighth day of the dark half of the

<sup>633</sup> mārgaśire is an aiśa a-stem locative form of mārgaśiras. The worship of Śiva is recommended twice: first on the eighth day (verses 83a–107b) and second on the fourteenth day (verses 147:151) of the fortnight. In these two places we find two slightly different lists of twelve names prescribed for the twelve months' worship. The following names are the same in both lists: Śaṅkara, Tryambaka (this is replaced by Tryakṣa in the later), Sthāṇu, Hara, Śiva, Bhava, Rudra, and Iśāna. Instead of the names Devadeva, Nīlakaṇṭha, Piṅgala and Ugra, we find Śarva, Śambhu, Vibhu and Paśupati in the second. The order of the names is also different, except the 10th (Rudra) and 11th (Iśāna).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>634</sup>93a is unmetrical, the seventh letter being short. A similar case once again occurs in 94c below. Note that 95c reads *lebhe* to avoid this problem.

 $<sup>^{635}</sup>$ Devadeva has not been translated because it is presumably intended as the name of Siva that is to be used in the month of Pauşa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>636</sup>If the text is right here (and we do not emend to *payasāṃ* or *payasaḥ*), then perhaps we should literally render this "by milk, by eating it".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>637</sup> Although this is formally a perfect, we take it as an optative singular (*labheta*), used for the sake of metre. Note that the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (8:38) has rephrased the text to get rid of the irregular use of the perfect, *lebhe*.

 $<sup>^{638}</sup>$ Note that ap is irregularly treated as a singular noun here.

<sup>639</sup> For pingala as a name of Śiva cf. Vāyupurāṇa 24:122, Lingapurāṇa 2:18:29, Haracaritacintāmaṇi 11:8, 11:8 etc. This name is not so common in scriptures. Also note that pingala can mean many things such as sun, fire, the colour (yellow), Yakṣa (Mahābhārata 3:221:22: pingalo nāma yakṣendro lokasyānandadāyakaḥ), attendent of Śiva (Skandapurāṇa 135:15: mahākālaś ca kālābho nandiṣeṇaś ca viśrutaḥ | pingalo lohitākṣaś ca somanandī ca vīryavān) etc. The Anekārthasangraha (verse 704) records various (of course not all) possibilities as follows: pingalaḥ

month of Śrāvaṇa, drinking [only] mustard-water (*siddhārtham udakam*),<sup>640</sup> he will obtain the fruit of having offered a virgin. (101)

If someone fasts and worships [10] Rudra in the month of Bhādra, consuming [only] water [mixed] with barley seeds, he will be honoured in the world of Rudra. (102)

One should worship [11]  $\bar{I}$ sāna on the eighth day of the dark half of the month of  $\bar{A}$ svina, drinking [only] water [mixed] with sesame seeds; [by doing so], he will obtain much gold (rugma)<sup>641</sup> as the fruit. (103)

One should fast and [worship] [12] Ugra on the eighth day of the dark half of the month of Kārttika, drinking [only] water mixed with gold; [by doing so], he will obtain the state of being a lord of gaṇas (gāṇāpatyam). If a man then does [this worship of Śiva every month] for a year, he will obtain [the above] desired objects. [If someone worships] without [particular] desires, he will obtain the fruit of the sacrifices [mentioned],<sup>642</sup> and [if someone worships] with desires, he will obtain the state of being a lord of gaṇas.<sup>643</sup> This procedure has been described for both halves of the month. (104a–106b)

I shall teach (*pravakṣyāmi*), the worship of Mahādevī, on the ninth day. One should fast and worship [Her] with these auspicious names: [1] Umā, [2] The goddess Kātyāyinī, <sup>644</sup> [3] Durgā, [4] Rudrā, [5] Subhadrikā, [6] Kālarātrī, [7] Mahāgaurī, [8] Revatī, [9] Bhūtanāyikā, [10] Āryā, and [11] Prakṛtirūpā, also [12] The leader of gaṇas (gaṇānāñ caiva nāyikā).

One should worship [Her] with these names in both halves of the month. One should always worship the boon-giving [goddess] (*varadāṃ*) with fragrance, flowers, incense, cloth, ornaments, decorations, offering of eatables, gifts (*upahāraiḥ*), bulbs, roots and fruits, and various kinds of foods. (106c–110)

[One should worship the goddess] consuming [only] water, flowers, gruel, parched rice grains ( $l\bar{a}j\bar{a}m$ ) with husks ( $sadh\bar{a}nak\bar{a}m$ ), krsara,  $^{645}$  milk, roots, fruits, leaves, green vegetables, sesame seeds [or] sediment of [oil of] sesame seeds (khalim). And one may consume mung beans ( $mudg\bar{a}ni$ ) and ( $tath\bar{a}\ caiva$ ) [he should] abstain from [all other] food. Having thus eaten these [above mentioned foods], one will obtain all desired objects. (111a–113b)

kapile vahnau rudre 'rkaparipārśvake | kapau munau nidher bhede pingalā kumudastriyām.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>640</sup>The syntax is irregular here: we expect a compound.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>641</sup>It is, otherwise, more commonly known as *rukma*. Note that *rugma* also occurs in other parts of the *Niśvāsa* (*Nayasūtra* 3:28 and *Guhyasūtra* 6:28).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>642</sup>This interpretation is uncertain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>643</sup>This makes little sense, since he already has this fruit from worshipping Ugra in Kārttika. Furthermore, the fruit of the sacrifices is regarded grater than the state of being a lord of *gaṇas*. It would rather be natural to state: if someone worships without desires, he will obtain the state of being a lord of *gaṇa*, as in the case of (3:150). The state of a Gaṇa is certainly a higher reward than the reward of sacrifices in the Śaiva context. The *Śivadharmasangraha* (8:47) rewrites the text and makes it the other way round.

 $<sup>^{644}</sup>$ We have taken  $dev\bar{\iota}$  as an adjective to  $k\bar{a}ty\bar{a}yin\bar{\iota}$ . This is probably intended to be one name, since it would not otherwise be a list of twelve, one for each month of the year. There are two other ways of avoiding the problem, [1] we could take  $bh\bar{\iota}tan\bar{a}yik\bar{a}$  as an adjective of Revatī or [2]  $prakrtir\bar{\iota}p\bar{a}$  as an adjective of  $\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>645</sup>According to Monier-Williams, this is: "a dish consisting of sesamum and grain".

If someone consumes wet ginger at dawn, eats [only] white [viz. sāttvika] food (śukla-bhojin)<sup>646</sup> and fasts and [worships the goddess] on the ninth day of a fortnight nine times [in a row] (navamīnavamoṣitaḥ),<sup>647</sup> he will obtain all desired objects. (113c–114b)

If someone worships [the goddess] for nine ninth days ( $navam\bar{\imath}nava$ )<sup>648</sup> consuming only pepper, he will obtain all desired objects and the goddess will be generous. If someone worships [the goddess] nine ninth days sleeping on a bed of  $ku\acute{s}a$  grass and consuming the five products of the cow, the goddess will bestow an excellent boon [upon him]. (114c–116b)

Venerating Yama in the bright half of the month (*māsi*) Mārgaśiras with flowers, fragrances, incense, together with *bhakṣya* and *bhojya*, one should worship [him] using these names: [1] Yama, [2] Dharmarāja, [3] Mṛṭyu, [4] Antaka, [5] Vaivasvata, [6] Kāla, [7] Sarvalokakṣaya, [8] always Ugradaṇḍadhṛṭ, [9] He who travel sitting on a buffalo (*mahiṣāsana-yāyine*), [10] Punisher and [11] Overlord of the hells (*narakādhipate*), <sup>649</sup> obeisance [to you]! and one should make a libation to him with water mixed with sesame seeds. If someone [self-]controlled [worships him] in both halves of [each] month for a year, he will be liberated from all sins and there will be no sorrow arising from *naraka* [for him]; worshipping him for a lifetime, the worshipper (*sa*) will obtain an excellent rebirth. (116c–121b)

If someone, of pure observance, worships Dharma<sup>650</sup> on the eleventh day with fragrances, flowers, incense and different kinds of eatables [and] should worship Dharma, [that is to say] Satya, [that is to say] Parākrama, with these names: [1] Dharma, [2] Satya, [3] Dayā, [4] Kṣānti, [5] Śauca, [6] Ācāra, [7] Ahiṃsā, [8] Adambha and [9] Rakṣā,<sup>651</sup> [10]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>646</sup>This could mean "eats [only] in the bright half of the month", but that sounds hard to sustain over four and a half months. Note that the previous couple of verses seem to describe pure food.

<sup>647</sup> This aiśa compound involves an ordinal number navama in the sense of a cardinal nava and the participle uṣitaḥ, "spent", written as oṣitaḥ, is used in the sense of upoṣitaḥ "fasted." Thus, we have understood the compound to mean something like nava navamīr upoṣitaḥ, although the reading remains doubtful.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>648</sup>We assume this to be an irregular *tatpuruṣa* compound. It would of course be possible to emend to *navamīr nava*.

<sup>649</sup> The vocative has been used for metrical reasons where we would expect the dative. It seems probable that one name is missing from the list here, for we require 12 names for the 12 months. The redactor of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha appears to have responded to this need by reading ugradaṇḍograhastāya (8:60). It is likely that in the passage of the Niśvāsamukha here, there might have been a textual corruption in an earlier stage. The indicator for this might be the word nityaṃ, which does not serve special propose here.

<sup>650</sup> Some items in the list refer to yamas and niyamas. The Yogasūtra (2:30) records yamas as ahiṃsāsatyāsteyabrahmacaryāparigrahā yamāḥ, and (2:32) the niyamas as śaucasaṃtoṣatapaḥsvādhyāyeśvara-praṇidhānāni niyamāḥ. In our text, among the twelve names of Dharma, two qualities [vis. ahiṃśā and satya] of yamas and one quality [viz. śauca] of niyama are shared. The Mataṅgavidyāpāda 17:29c–31 gives a list of yamas and niyamas that also shares the three names [viz. ahiṃsā, satya, and śauca] of Dharma. Furthermore, the Mataṅgavidyāpāda (17:29cd) clearly states that the Dharma is of twofold: yama and niyama (dharmaś ca dvividhaḥ prokto yamaś ca niyamo 'paraḥ). The Parākhya (4:75–78) has the same list of yamas and niyamas as the Mataṅga does. The list of yamas and niyamas is commonly mentioned in Purāṇas and it differs from text to text. For more detail see (Goodall 2004: 253–254).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>651</sup>Note an irregular use of gender.

Lokasākṣin, [11] Vṛṣabha,<sup>652</sup> [12] Adṛṣṭa,<sup>653</sup> obeisance [to you]!, being controlled, in both halves [of each month] for a year, he will be freed from the [possible] sorrows of the world of Yama; he will be reborn as a king. (121c–125b)

By worshipping him (*samarcan tan*)<sup>654</sup> and making a libation with water mixed with sesame seeds [in each half of each month] for a lifetime, one obtains an excellent rebirth [in heaven]; once he obtains this [excellent birth] he will not return [to this world]. (125c–126b)

By worshipping [1] Keśava on the twelfth day of each half of Mārgaśira, <sup>655</sup> while consuming [only] the urine of a cow, a man obtains the fruit of the *Agniṣṭoma*. <sup>656</sup> (126c–127b)

If someone fasts<sup>657</sup> and worships [2] Nārāyaṇa on the twelfth day [when the sun is] in [the constellation of] *puṣya* [viz. in the month of Pauṣa], consuming [only] cow-dung, he obtains the fruit of the *Agniṣṭoma*.<sup>658</sup> (127c–128b)

If someone fasts<sup>659</sup> and worships [3] Mādhava on the twelfth day in the month of Māgha, consuming [only] milk, he will obtain the fruit of the *Ukthyamedha*.<sup>660</sup> (128c–129b) If someone fasts and worships [4] Govinda on the twelfth day in the month of Phāl-

<sup>652</sup>This depiction of Dharma as a bull is known from other sources, for example *Manusmṛti* 8:16a *vṛṣo hi bhagavān dharma*.

<sup>653</sup> It is not clear to us why Dharma is called unseen but it is possible that he has no bodily form, and so is called adṛṣṭa. In the Mīmāṃsā system adṛṣṭa is a key term and refers to the unseen force produced from the sacrificial act that will provide its reward in the next life. In the Vaiśeṣika system both dharma and adharma are defined as atīndrīya or adṛṣṭa. Cf. Praśastapādabhāṣya pp.272–280: dharmaḥ puruṣaguṇaḥ kartuḥ priyahitamokṣahetur atīndriyo 'ntyasukhasaṃvijñānavirodhī puruṣāntaḥkaraṇasaṃyogaviśuddhābhisandhijaḥ varṇāśramiṇāṃ pratiniyatasādhananimittaḥ... adharmo 'py ātmaguṇaḥ kartur ahitapratyavāyahetur atīndriyo 'ntyaduḥkhasaṃvijñānavirodhī. Cf. also Ṣaḍdarśanasaṅgraha pp. 416–417 kartṛphaladāyy ātmaguṇa ātmamanaḥsaṃyoga-jaḥ svakāryavirodhī dharmādharmarūpatayā bhedavān parokṣo 'dṛṣṭākhyo guṇaḥ | tatra dharmaḥ puruṣaguṇaḥ ....

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>654</sup>Understand *samarcayams tam*.

<sup>655</sup> We find precisely the same list of twelve names of Viṣṇu with reference to the twelve months, starting from Mārgaśīrṣa up to Kārttika, in *Mahābhārata* (appendix) 14:4:2998ff. The reward of worship, however, is different. The fact that we find this list of twelve names of Viṣṇu also in Vaiṣṇava sources, such as the appendix passage of the *Mahābhārata*, indicates that the *Niśvāsamukha* is dependent on a Vaiṣṇava tradition with regard to this framework of twelve names and their association with twelve months.

<sup>656</sup>Our text (3:127–133) follows the traditional list of seven *Somasaṃsthās*, basis of a *Soma* sacrifice, in the same order. This shows the author's authoritative knowledge of Vedic sacrifices. Kane II:2:1204 gives the list of the seven *Somasaṃsthā*s as follows: Agniṣṭoma, Atyagniṣṭoma, Ukthya, Ṣoḍaśin, Vājapeya, Atirātra and Āptoryāma. This sacrifice may have been called Ṣoḍaśin because during it one should add a *stotra* (also called *uktha stotra*) and a corresponding śastra (called *uktha śastra*), called Ṣoḍaśin in the third *savana* to the fifteen *stotras* and the fifteen śastras of the Ukthya. For more detail see Kane II:2:1204–1205.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>657</sup>Note an *aiśa* hiatus within a *pāda*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>658</sup>Perhaps there is transmission error here, for we expect a different soma sacrifice to be mentioned. According to the list mentioned above, p. 255, the *Atyagnistoma* needs to be mentioned. Therefore, we could conjecture something like *phalaṃ cātyagniṣtomasya*. The *Śivadharmasangraha* (8:70) has *Jyotiṣtoma* instead, which does not seem to be a right choice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>659</sup>Once again an *aiśa* hiatus within a *pāda*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>660</sup>Ex. conj.</sup> The name *Ukthyamedha* is not common among Vedic sacrifices, but it might well refer merely to the *Ukthya*. We conjectured *Ukthyamedha* as all the sources agree on the reading *uk* in the beginning and, after a gap, *medha* in the end.

guna, consuming [only] curds, he will obtain the fruit of the Ṣoḍaśī. (129c–130b)

If someone fasts and worships [5] Viṣṇu on the twelfth day in the month of Caitra, consuming [only] clarified butter, he will obtain the fruit of the  $V\bar{a}japeya$ . (130c–131b)

If someone fasts and worships [6] Madhusūdana on the twelfth day in the month of Vaiśākha, consuming [only] water mixed with *kuśa* grass, he will obtain the fruit of the *atirātra*. (131c–132b)

If someone fasts and worships [7] Trivikrama on the twelfth day in the month of Jyeṣṭha, consuming [only] water mixed with sesame seeds, he will obtain the fruit of the *Āptoryāma*. (132c–133b)

By worshipping [8] Vāmana attentively on the twelfth day in the month of Āṣāḍha, consuming [only] fruits, a pure soul will obtain the fruit of the *Aśvamedha*. (133c–134b)

If someone fasts and worships [9] Śrīdhara on the twelfth day in the month of Śrāvaṇa, consuming [only] leaves, that pure soul will obtain the fruit of the *Rājasūya*. (134c–135b)

Similarly, by worshipping [10] Hṛṣīkeśa, as prescribed,  $^{661}$  [on the twelfth day] in the month of Bhādra, the wise man obtains the fruit of the  $Gav\bar{a}maya$ .  $^{662}$  (135c–136b)

One should worship the god [11] Padmanābha, in the month of Āśvayuja; $^{663}$  [by doing so], a man obtains ( $labhati^{664}$ ) the fruit of the Naramedha sacrifice. (136c–137b)

If a man fasts and worships [12] Dāmodara on the twelfth day of each half of the month of Kārttika, he will obtain the fruit of the *Bahusuvarṇa*. 665 (137c–138b)

By worshipping [Viṣṇu thus] for a year he will obtain all desired fruits. If someone is sinless, he will obtain [the fruit of having performed the above mentioned] sacrifices, [and if someone is sinful, he will] be freed from [possible] destruction. By worshipping [Viṣṇu thus] for a lifetime with flowers, sweet-smelling fragrances, bhakṣya, bhojya, incense, umbrellas, banners, awnings, divine golden ornaments, various gems and jewels, cloths and performing a splendid worship, one will go to the world of Viṣṇu (literally 'locality of Viṣṇu'). (138c–141b)

If someone who knows precepts worships Ananga on the thirteenth day of [each] half month with *bhakṣya*, *bhojya*, beverages, fragrances, incense, garlands and the like [and] should worship mighty Kāmadeva with these [of his] names: [1] Ananga, [2] Manmatha, [3] Kāma, [4] Īśvara, [5] Mohana, [6] Pañcabāṇa, [7] Dhanurhasta, [8] Unmāda, [9]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>661</sup>Ex. conj. This is the reading of the Śivadharmasaṅgraha(8:77). The corresponding text is lost in our manuscripts. The text states *vidhivad*, 'as prescribed,' but the *vidhi*, 'method' is not mentioned. Thus, this passage might not be original. Since we are on the section of observance, as in most of other cases (for example, 3:31–33), we expect some substance that is to be consumed during the time of observance.

<sup>662</sup> Cf. Chāndogyopaniṣad 4:2:2, Mahābhārata 13:109:44 etc.

<sup>663</sup> Most commonly known as a āśvina.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>664</sup>Note an aiśa parasmaipada for ātmanepada.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>665</sup>This sacrifice, as its name suggests, may indicate that it is connected with offering much of gold or grains to the priest. Sanderson (forthcoming, p. 77) relates that Narasimhavarman I is reported to have performed a Bahusuvarṇa, which might have been equated to ten Aśvamedhas. He (forthcoming, p. 74–75) takes note of Mādhavavarman who performs Bahusuvarṇa along with other Vedic sacrifices. The occurrence of the Bahusuvarṇa sacrifice is frequent in inscriptions, but not in "technical Śrauta literature" (forthcoming, p. 78).

Vaśaṃkara, [10] Ratipriya, [11] Prītikara [and] [12] Hṛdayāpahārin (hṛdayasyāpahāriṇam). By worshipping [him] beginning in the month of Mārgaśira and up until Kārttika, there will be [sexual] good fortune (saubhāgyam), wealth, grain and sons and wives [for that worshipper]; one obtains union with Kāmadeva by worshipping [him] for a lifetime. 666 (141c–145)

One should worship god, the supreme lord, again on the fourteenth day [of the fortnight]. One should worship the supreme lord with the prescribed procedure and with these [of his] names: [1] Hara, [2] Śarva, [3] Bhava, [4] Tryakṣa, [5] Śambhu, [6] Vibhu, [7] Śiva, [8] Sthāṇu, [9] Paśupati, [10] Rudra, [11] Īśāna, [12] Śankara [and] practice a religious observance in both halves of the month, beginning in the month of Mārgaśīrṣa (mārgaśīrṣasya māsādau), for a year, with flowers, fragrances, incense, bhakṣya, bhojya, different kinds of decorations, parasols, banners and awnings. [By doing so,] one will obtain all desired objects; if a concentrated person who has no [worldly] desires worships [the god thus] for a year, he will become a gaṇa; [by doing so] for a lifetime, he will obtain union with [the supreme god]; [if a worshipper is a] sinful [person], he will be freed from sins. (146–150)

If someone, on the new moon day (amāvasyā) of Mārgaśiras, satisfies [his] ancestors [[...]]<sup>667</sup> by means of the ritual called(?) śrāddha (karmaṇā śrāddhayuktena), [i.e.] by [the act of offering] balls of rice (piṇḍena), sesame seeds and water; similarly, if he satisfies [his] ancestors with rice-balls together with sesame seeds and water by the means of the ritual connected to śrāddha on the full-moon day, listen to the fruit of that for him: those of his ancestors will be satisfied who dwell in the world of Yama. By doing so for a year, [his] ancestors will be liberated from the punishments [assigned] by Yama. (151–153)

If he does so for a lifetime in both halves of the month, he will be freed from sin [if] he is a sinner; if [already] sinless, he will go to heaven. (154)

In the case of a Brahmin, the ancestors are [called] Somapās; in the case of a Kṣatriya, Havirbhujas;<sup>669</sup> in the case of a Vaiśya, Ājyapas; and for Śūdras, [they are called] Sukālins. (155)

[If someone] fasts [and] worships Agni again<sup>670</sup> on the full moon day [[...]], he will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>666</sup>The syntax of the sentence is slightly clumsy because the correlative of  $y_0$  in 141d is missing and an unusual genitive  $y\bar{a}vajj\bar{t}vasya$  is used (which could either be understood as  $y\bar{a}vajj\bar{t}van$  or  $y\bar{a}vajj\bar{t}vena$ .) Finally we are also missing the reward of worshipping Kāmadeva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>667</sup>Although we have a lacuna after *pitṛṃs tarpa*, it is, nevertheless, clear that we are not missing anything crucial from the sentence. We may conjecture something like *pitṛṃs tarpayate tu yaḥ*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>668</sup>The manuscript, N, is damaged hereafter, K leaves a gap for about two *pādas*, and W, which is faithfully coping N, leaves no gap. There are several instances in the case of the sixth line that the scribe stopped copying before reaching the end of the line. We assume that here too, this must have been the case as the context also leaves no mark of textual loss.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>669</sup>The Śivadharmasaṅgraha</sup> (8:109) corrects an irregular plural to a standard plural. For the parallel to this verse see Manusmṛti 3:197 and our discussion on page 52.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>670</sup>The word *punaś* in the verse is significant as the text already mentioned an observance of Agni on the second day of the lunar calendar in verses 3:67–69.

obtain the world of Agni; [If someone] is a sinner, he will be freed from sin, and [if someone is already sinless,] he will be reborn as a rich man. (156a–157b)

O Brahmins, I have taught this procedure of fasting for both halves of a month; now listen to [the procedure of] worshipping gods.<sup>671</sup> (157c–158b)

On the new moon day, one should feed Brahmins after first worshipping Prajāpati, [and one should] make a golden lotus marked with [Prajāpati's] names;<sup>672</sup> then [he] should give it to a Brahmin having put it in a copper vessel filled with clarified butter; one will get the desired objects. If someone is without desire, he will obtain the world of Brahmā. (158c–160b)

After first worshipping Agni on the second day [of the fortnight], a man should satisfy Brahmins [i.e. by offering food], and having carefully (*yatnataḥ*) written the names of Agni on a golden goat (*sauvarṇavaste*), he should put it into a vessel [of] *udumbara* filled with clarified butter;<sup>673</sup> having installed two pots filled with milk together with *bhakṣya* and *bhojya*, one should give this to an excellent Brahmin<sup>674</sup> in both halves of the month; [by doing so] the fire will be the bestower of all desired objects [to the giver] within a year. If one does so for a lifetime he will go to the world of Agni. (160c–163)

Having first worshipped Yakṣa on the third day [of the fortnight] one should give a golden mace<sup>675</sup> [to a Brahmin] writing the names of [Kubera on it and putting it] in a vessel filled with clarified butter.<sup>676</sup> (164)

On the fourth day [of the fortnight], one should give a golden elephant<sup>677</sup> marked with

 $<sup>^{671}</sup>$ The details of the fasts have indeed been given above in every case, whereas no details of how the  $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$  of each divinity is to be conducted have as yet been given. Furthermore, it is uncertain who is the speaker here and who has/have been addressed. We could certainly retain the reading of N and W (dvija) which would easily argue with  $\acute{s}r\mu u$ . If Nandikeśvara is addressing the Brahmins we expect the optative verb in plural. If Śiva is addressing Devī, the word dvija,  $dvij\bar{a}h$  is problematic. It is also possible to read 3:157cd separately. In this case we assume that Nandikeśvara is addressing the Brahmins. In the following lines (3:158a ff.) Śiva is addressing Devī. Then  $\acute{s}r\mu u$  in optative third person singular remains unproblematic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>672</sup>This probably refers back to the names of Brahmā (3:64–65) mentioned in the section on fasting. If it is so, particularly this present section on worship (3:158–195) of divinities who are the lords of the different lunar days and the section on fasting (3:61–156) of the same divinities are systematically linked. Therefore, the names of the divinities who alluded to the lords of the fifteen lunar days in this section of worship (3:158–195) refer respectively to the names of the same divinities mentioned in the section on fasting (3:61–156). The whole section on worship here seems to be related with the accomplishment (samāpana) of fasting as it involves the donation to Brahmins too.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>673</sup>Presumably *udumbarejyapūrņe* is an *aiśa* formulation for *audumbare ājyapūrņe*. The reading of the *Śiva-dharmasangraha* (8:118) supports this.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>674</sup>Note an *aiśa* use of locative which is used in apposition to a dative noun.

 $<sup>^{675}</sup>$ The  $gad\bar{a}$  is the weapon of Kubera as the Lord of the Northern direction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>676</sup>The syntax of the sentence is clumsy and there is no mention of the reward of worshipping Kubera.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>677</sup>This evidence shows that Vighneśvara is already identified with *gajavaktra* in this period. The *Śivadharma-sangraha* (8:121) reads *radanam* 'tusk' instead of *dantinam* 'elephant'. This reading of the *Śivadharmasangraha* might be secondary.

the names<sup>678</sup> of the god Vighneśvara placed in [a vessel made of] *udumbara* wood<sup>679</sup> [to a Brahmin], after first having feasted Brahmins,<sup>680</sup> and offered pots as well as eatables.<sup>681</sup> Supernatural power will arise for him within a year; by [doing so for] a lifetime, he will obtain the state of being a lord of *gaṇas*. (165–166)

On the fifth day [of the fortnight], after having feasted Brahmins, one should give  $(dattv\bar{a})^{682}$  a golden  $padma^{683}$  marked with the name [of a serpent from among those listed below], putting it in a copper pot filled with clarified butter, [to a Brahmin]. (167)

[1] Ananta, [2] Vāsuki, [3] Takṣaka, [4] Trirekhin, [5] Padma, [6] Mahābja,<sup>684</sup> [7] Śaṅkha,<sup>685</sup> or the great serpent [8] Kulika: <sup>686</sup> one should worship one of these with fragrances, incense, garlands, etc., and also with *bhakṣya* and *bhojya* food and beverages; [as a result of this, that serpent will become a] bestower of desired objects, [and] a destroyer of sins. (168–169)

Having made a golden peacock marked with the auspicious names of Skanda, one should give it, placed in a pot of *udumbara* filled with ghee, to a Brahmin [and also one should give] jars filled with milk together with *bhakṣya* and *bhojya*.<sup>687</sup> By giving [thus] in

686 Kulika is otherwise recorded as Gulika. For the list cf. Mahābhārata 1:59:40, śeṣo 'nanto vāsukiś ca takṣakaś ca bhujaṃgamaḥ kārmaś ca kulikaś caiva kādraveyā mahābalāḥ; Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa 3:20:53–54, ananto vāsukis takṣaḥ karkoṭaḥ padma eva ca | mahāpadmaḥ śaṅkhapālo gulikaḥ subalas tathā | ete nāgeśvarāś caiva nāgakoṭibhir āvṛtāḥ (We have considered subala as an adjective of gulika, otherwise we have nine names of serpents). Cf. also Rājanighaṇṭu 19:65; Svacchandatantrodyota chapter 7, p. 198; Ajitāgama 39:29–30; Kāmikauttarabhāga 80:69–70; Rudra-Yāmala 22:68; Kāraṇauttarabhāga 107:15; Īśvarasaṃhitā 10:252–254; Pādmasaṃhitā 10:65–67 etc. In our text we have the standard list of eight serpents with one variant, Trirekhin; in its place we generally find Karkoṭa (Rājanighaṇṭu), Kārkoṭaka (Īśvarasaṃhitā) or Kākoṭa (Pādmasaṃhitā). trirekhin alludes to the bodily feature of Kārkoṭaka. According to Śivadharmaśāstra 6:188, Karkoṭaka has three lines in his throat.

Note that the *Mahābhārata* presents a different list of eight serpents, including only four (Ananta, Vāsuki, Takṣaka and Kulika which are also shared by our text) of the names that are "standard" in later texts. The *Garuḍapurāṇa* 1:129:29–32, prescribing each to be worshipped in each month, records 12 names of serpents as follows: Ananta, Vāsukī, Śaṅkha, Padma, Kumbala, Kārkoṭaka, Nāga, Dhṛtarāṣṭra, Śaṅkhaka, Kālīya, Takṣaka and Piṅgala. Five of these names are to be found in our text: Ananta, Vāsukī, Śaṅkha, Padma and Takṣaka. However, this list of twelve serpents in the *Garuḍapurāṇa* blends with the standard system of listing eight names of serpents. In fact, by almost contradicting itself, the text mentions that one should actually worship eight serpents (1:129:31). The thing to be noted here is that the twelve names of the divinities mentioned are referring to the same deity. But the eight names of the serpents are not referring to a particular serpent, but they stand for different ones. Thus, we do not expect the twelve names of the serpents to be mentioned in this scheme of worship. The question about how they should be worshipped for a month with eight different names is to be further investigated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>678</sup>Note an *aiśa* compound having *ca* in between members of the compound.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>679</sup>Note that 156d is hypermetrical.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>680</sup>Once again *viprāṃ* stands for *viprān*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>681</sup>Could bhakṣān ghatān also be understood as 'pots [filed with] eatables.'?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>682</sup>This presumably stands for *dadyāt*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>683</sup>Occurrence of *padma* here suspicious. Note that the *Śivadharmasaṅgraha* (8:123) reads *sarpam* instead.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>684</sup>Most commonly known as Mahāpadma. For this see our reference to the list of serpents below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>685</sup>Śankha is otherwise called Śankhapāla. Cf. Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa 3:20:54, Ajitāgama 39:30, Kāmikauttarabhāga 80:70 etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>687</sup>Note that 170d is hypermetrical.

both halves of the month one will obtain the desired objects; a man, [by doing so], for a year will obtain [all] desired objects that are longed for. A sinner will be freed from [his] sins, and a pure soul (i.e. who has not committed sins) will obtain [the world of] Skanda (skandam āpnuyāt). (170–172)

A wise man should give a golden horse marked with the name of Ravi [to a Brahmin] in both halves of the month, putting it in a copper vessel filled with clarified butter; [by doing so,] a sinner will be freed from many sins within a year; [by doing so] for a lifetime, he will obtain the world of the sun (ādityapadam āpnuyāt). (173–174)

One should give a [sculpture of a golden] bull marked with the names of Bhava to a Brahmin in both halves of the month, putting it in a copper vessel filled with clarified butter, together with jars filled with food and with milk; [by doing so,] being purified, one will obtain the desired fruits within a year; if someone worships Hara with [his] names for a lifetime, he will obtain the state of being a *gaṇa*. (175a–177b)

On the ninth day [of a fortnight], [one should give a sculpture of a golden] lion [provided] with her name[s after first] worshipping Devī (abhyarcitena?), [and] also by giving a copper [container] of ghee and [some] eatables, together with pots filled with milk, [to a Brahmin]....<sup>688</sup> (177c–178b)

One should give to Yama a [golden] buffalo covered in ghee, marked with the names [of Yama], and placed in a copper vessel, together with a pot filled with milk and together with [some] eatables on the tenth day [of the fortnight], [and] give [it] to Brahmins after feeding them. [By doing so], even those who [have committed] great crimes will be freed from [possible] sorrows of the world of Yama; [by doing so] for a year, one will be purified, [and will get] an excellent rebirth after death. (178c–180)

One should give, a [sculpture of a golden] bull marked with <sup>690</sup> the name of Dharma, [in] a copper pot filled with clarified butter, together with eatables, to an excellent Brahmin on the eleventh day [of the fortnight]; [by doing so] for a year, [being] purified, he will obtain a virtuous rebirth. A desirous person will obtain desired objects, [and] a desireless person will obtain the world of Dharma. (181–182)

On the twelfth day [of the fortnight], one should give a [sculpture of a golden] Garuḍa [having installed] two pots filled<sup>691</sup> marked with the names [of Viṣṇu], placed in a copper

<sup>688</sup> This is a tentative translation, the syntax of the sentence is clumsy and elliptical. It seems that some text is missing in our manuscript, for no reward is mentioned. This would suggest again that this manuscript is a copy of a previous one. Here, the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (8:134) reads pūrvoktavidhinā siṃhaṃ devyā nāmāṅkitaṃ śubham | datvā navamyāṃ viprāya prayāti paramāṅ gatim | | 'By giving a beautiful lion marked with the name of the goddess to a Brahmin according to the above mentioned procedure on the ninth day [of the fortnight] (navamyāṃ) one will get an excellent rebirth.' This reading may give sense, but it it probably not the original reading.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>689</sup>This last half-verse is anacoluthic.

 $<sup>^{690}</sup>$ We have understood  $n\bar{a}m\bar{a}nkam$  as  $n\bar{a}m\bar{a}nkitam$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>691</sup>Our understanding of *ghaṭameva vā* rests on verse 3:162a: *toyapūrṇe ghaṭe sthāpya*. The reading *ghaṭameva*, here, is considered to be the result of a *sandhi* between *ghaṭe* and *eva*, then followed by the insertion of the hiatus breaker m. The problem remains with  $v\bar{a}$ , 'or', as there seems to be no alternative stated in the verse.

vessel,<sup>692</sup> [to a Brahmin], [and] pots filled with water. [These] should be given in the name of Viṣṇu (*viṣṇor nāmnā*) in both halves of the month. [By doing so] for a year, one becomes purified, [and being sinless] obtain the fruit of sacrifices.<sup>693</sup> But by worshipping [Viṣṇu] for a lifetime with foods together with sacrificial fees [to be given to the same Brahmins(?)], he will obtain the world of Viṣṇu and rejoice with Viṣṇu. (183–185)

Having first worshipped Kāmadeva [on the thirteen day of the fortnight], one should give a golden bow together with five arrows [placed] in a copper vessel filled with clarified butter [to Brahmins]. He should [also] give pots filled with eatables and water to Brahmins, having feasted [them]. <sup>694</sup> [By doing so], a sinless person certainly will obtain [sexual] good fortune, money and grain; <sup>695</sup> but by worshipping him (i.e. Kāmadeva) [thus] for a lifetime, he will go the world of Kāmadeva. (186a–188b)

After first worshipping the supreme god, one should give a bull on the fourteenth day of the fortnight, having marked it with his names, placed in a copper vessel; one should give it to excellent Brahmins, after first having feasted them as prescribed, [and he also should give] pots filled with eatables and water in both halves of the month. [By doing so] for a year, one will be freed from [the consequences of] bad deeds; by [continuing for] twelve years, he will be a lord of *gaṇas*; and by performing this for a lifetime, [he will obtain] union with Maheśvara.<sup>696</sup> (188c–191b)

On the new-moon and full-moon days of both halves of a month, one should honour [one's] ancestors by giving balls of rice [of]  $\dot{s}r\bar{a}ddha$  in water. A man, having made a golden man marked with the name of his ancestor, should give it to excellent Brahmins, placed in a copper vessel and covered with clarified butter, and by also giving pots filled with eatables and water; he will become sinless. [By doing so] for a year, a concentrated person will become sinless [and obtain] desired objects; [by continuing it] for a lifetime, he will [obtain] the world of ancestors. Once he falls from there, he will be reborn as a prosperous person ( $bhogav\bar{a}n$ ); he 698 will be rich in money, grain and sons. (191c–195b)

Thus, we understand  $v\bar{a}$  in the sense of ca, 'and.'

<sup>692</sup> Ex. conj. Cf. 3:179.

 $<sup>^{693}</sup>$ In 184d, there is a possibility of reading  $p\bar{a}p\bar{\tau}$  instead of  $ap\bar{a}p\bar{\tau}$  as it is a choice of sandhi. We chose the reading  $ap\bar{a}p\bar{\tau}$  on the basis that N gives some mark before the word  $p\bar{a}p\bar{\tau}$  which looks like a avagraha and the reading of the  $\acute{S}ivadharmasa\dot{\eta}graha$  (8:141)  $vip\bar{a}pa\dot{\eta}$  kratum $\bar{a}pnuy\bar{a}t$ , where the word  $vip\bar{a}pa$  is equivalent to  $ap\bar{a}p\bar{\tau}$ . If we were, however, to read  $p\bar{a}p\bar{\tau}$  our translation would be: '[By doing so] for a year, a sinner will be purified [and] will obtain the fruit of sacrifices'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>694</sup>There are a couple of familiar irregular usages in 187ab. We have understood it thus: *bhakṣyāmbupūrṇān ghatakān viprān saṃbhojya dadyāt*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>695</sup>We assume that *samvatsareṇa* 'by worshiping for a year' is missing in the text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>696</sup>We assume that *sāyojyah* is the author's way of saying *sāyujyam* (cf. *Śivadharmasangraha* 8:147), but it is perhaps conceivable that it is intended to refer to 'one who has attained *sāyujya*'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>697</sup>In this *aiśa* compound, we are assuming that the locative plural *apsu* has been irregularly treated as a stem-form.

 $<sup>^{698}</sup>$ We assume so to be a frozen sandhi form used here for metrical reasons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>699</sup>Einoo (2005) has discussed the *tithi*s and their presiding deities in Purāṇas and texts that belong to the Gṛḥyapariśiṣṭa level. His study shows that the list of the presiding deities varies. Many of the deities men-

O twice-born ones! $^{700}$  I have taught this procedure for worshipping the gods. I have told [you what I had heard] in the conversation of Devī and Śaṅkara uttered eternally by his Western face, $^{701}$  [namely] worldly [religion]. (195c–196)

Thus is the third chapter, with regard to worldly duty, in the Niśvāsamukhatattvasaṃhitā.

tioned in Einoo's study also appear in our list, although there are variations. The only major difference that occurs in the list of Einoo (2005:106) when we compare it with ours is the eleventh *tithi*. Our text explicitly associated this *tithi* with Dharma, but Einoo's list has no example for this. His list shows association of this *tithi* with Munis, Rudra/Śiva, Viśve Devāḥ, Bull, Dhanada, or with Viṣṇu, unless we take Bull to mean Dharma, with which it is commonly associated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>700</sup>Presumably this plural vocative is intended to remind the reader that it is Nandin speaking to a group of sages, among whom is Matanga, see verse 1:22.

The term sadā, 'eternally' bears this connotation. In this text, Śiva is teaching the *vedadharma* with his Northern face, the *ādhyātmika* with his Southern face, the *laukikadharma* with his Western face, the *mantramārga* with his upward-facing face, and the *atimārga* with his Eastern face.

#### **CHAPTER IV**

#### [2. The Vaidika stream]

### Goddess spoke:

How should the *dharma* prescribed in the Vedas, O god, be practised by one who desires an [excellent] course [after death] for the sake of heaven and liberation?<sup>702</sup> Pray tell [me] by [your] grace. (1)

# [2.1 Injunctions for Vedic students]

### God spoke:

One should wear a girdle  $(mekhal\bar{\imath})^{703}$  and carry a staff  $(dandadh\bar{a}r\bar{\imath})$ ,  $rac{704}{1}$  [and should be] wholly intent on the observances of the junctions of the day.  $rac{705}{1}$  He should do his daily recitation [of the Veda]  $(sv\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath})$ , perform sacrifice, and recite mantras  $(homaj\bar{a}p\bar{\imath})$ . And [he should] subsist on alms and abstain from sexual intercourse,  $rac{707}{1}$  as well as from liquor and meat and [anything] pungent or salty  $(sak\bar{\imath}aralavan\bar{\imath}ani)$ . [He should also refrain] from climbing trees  $rac{709}{1}$  and [from] isolation  $rac{710}{1}$  and he should not eat betel. (3)

He should avoid (varjanam)<sup>711</sup> looking in wells<sup>712</sup> and should not bathe naked.<sup>713</sup> He

 $<sup>^{702}</sup>$  svargāpavargahetoś ca is an irregular dvandva compound followed by ca connecting its elements.

 $<sup>^{703}</sup>Mit\bar{a}k\bar{s}ar\bar{a}$ , a commentary on  $Y\bar{a}j\bar{n}avalkyasmṛti$ , says that the  $mekhal\bar{a}$  should be make of  $mau\tilde{n}ja$  grass and the like (see the commentary on verse 1:29ab).

 $<sup>^{704}</sup>$ Once again the  $Mit\bar{a}k\bar{s}ar\bar{a}$  tells us that the staff should be made of  $pal\bar{a}\dot{s}a$  wood. (see commentary on 1:29ab)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>705</sup>Since this injunction is Vedic, the junctions of the day referred to may be three, rather than the four we find in tantric contexts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>706</sup>This is an *aiśa* compound, but we assume that this is the sense.

 $<sup>^{707}</sup>$ The Śivadharmasangraha (9:2) reads tyaktamaithunī instead of ca amaithunī to avoid an aiśa hiatus within a pāda.

 $<sup>^{708}</sup>$ We have to construe this expression with *na bhakṣayet* at the end of the verse, but with the following two expressions we have to supply a prohibitory verb form such as *na kuryāt*.

expressions we have to supply a prohibitory verb form such as *na kuryāt*.

Too Cf. Kauṣītakagṛhyasūtra 40:11:26 (udapānāvekṣaṇavṛkṣārohaṇaphalaprapatanasaṃdhisarpaṇavivṛtasnānaviṣamalaṅghanaśuktavadanasaṃdhyādityaprekṣaṇabhaikṣaṇāni na kuryāt na ha vai snātvā bhikṣetāpaha vai snātvā bhikṣāṃ jayatīti śruteḥ) and Pāraskaragṛhyasūtra 2:7:6 and Vasiṣṭhadharmasūtra 12:25. These texts assign this injunction to a snātaka, someone who has has finished his studies. At the same time it also mentions that this rule can be observed by any one. Our text, however, mentioned this injunction for a brahmacārin, a student.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>710</sup>Cf. Kausītakagrhyasūtra 40:11:26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>711</sup>If the text is correctly transmitted here, *varjanam* may have the sense of an optative singular, or we may follow the *Śivadharmasangraha* and emend to *varjayet*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>712</sup>Cf. *Kauṣītakagṛhyasūtra* 40:11:27 and *Pāraskaragṛhyasūtra* 2:7:6. These texts again mention this injunction for a *snātaka* not for a *brahmacārin*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>713</sup>Cf. *Pāraskaragṛhyasūtra* 2:7:6, *Baudhāyanadharmasūtra* 2:3:6:24 and *Manusmṛti* 4:45. These sources again mentions these injunction particularly for a *snātaka*.

The Śivadharmasangraha (9:4) here reads: varjayet prekṣanam kopam aghṛṣṭvā snānam ācaret |. In this case perhaps he is enjoined to avoid people or staring at people "in anger."

should not look at women and should avoid garlands and incense. <sup>714</sup> (4)

He should avoid ointments and perfumes and should not traverse rugged ground (vişamalamghanam). <sup>715</sup> (5ab)

The [aforementioned] observance [should last] thirty-six years, and [this] commitment, based on the triple-Veda, [should be carried out] at his teacher's [house]. Alternatively, [it may last] half of that [time] (i.e. eighteen years), or a quarter (i.e. nine years), or until he has learnt them [i.e. the Vedas]. This is the *brahmacārin*'s observance, which I have taught previously, O goddess! One who strays from [these rules] will go to hell; observing [them] properly, he will go to heaven. The *brahmacārin*'s injunction has [now] been taught. [Next,] I will teach the householder's [injunction]. (5c–7)

# [2.2 Injunctions for householders]

One who is married ( $krtad\bar{a}rah$ ) should perform sacrifices<sup>717</sup> at home and [pay] the sacrificial fees [to the officiating priest]. He should study the Vedas daily (pratyahah) and perform oblations ( $h\bar{a}vanam$ )<sup>718</sup> in the evenings and mornings. He should make bali offerings and [perform] the vaiśvadeva rite and he should venerate [any] uninvited guests.

He should observe *darśa*, *paurṇamāsa* and *paśubandha* sacrifices. He should perform the *śrāddha* ritual and [pay] the sacrificial fee [to the officiating priest]. He should go to [his] spouse [for sex] at the seasonal time [of her fertility after menstruation].<sup>719</sup> And he should avoid the wives of others. By observing [these injunctions] one will find an [excellent] course [after death]. (9–10)

[He should adopt] nonviolence and selflessness, and he should refrain from troubling [others] and [from] stealing. He should rid [himself] of desire and anger, and [he should both] respect and greet his religious teachers. Forbearance, self-restraint, compassion, generosity, truthfulness, purity, fortitude (*dhṛtiḥ*), being well-disposed towards others, knowledge, wisdom, and faith are the characteristics of a Brahmin. (11–12) <sup>720</sup>

He who recites the [Vedic]  $samhit\bar{a}s$  everyday will attain accomplishment within a year. He will gain mastery over the gāyatrī-mantra ( $g\bar{a}yatrisiddhih$ )<sup>721</sup> within three years; [if he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>714</sup>Cf. similar injunctions in *Manusmrti* 2:177-178.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>715</sup>Cf. *Pāraskaragṛhyasūtra* 2:7:6 for the injunction of not traversing the rugged ground.

<sup>716</sup>Cf. Manusmṛti 3:1: ṣaṭtriṃśadābdikaṃ caryaṃ gurau traivedikaṃ vratam l tadardhikaṃ pādikaṃ vā grahaṇān-tikam eva vā l

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>717</sup>Once again, what looks like a feminine accusative singular, *yajñāṃ*, is intended to be understood as a masculine accusative plural.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>718</sup>This is an *aiśa* formation for *havanam*.

 $<sup>^{719}</sup>$ The same injunction in Manusmṛti (3:45ab) as follows:  $ṛtuk\bar{a}l\bar{a}bhig\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$   $sy\bar{a}t$   $svad\bar{a}raniratah$   $sad\bar{a}$  | "Finding his gratification always in his wife, he should have sex with her during her season." (Olivelle 2005:110)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>720</sup>Cf. Manusmṛti 6:92: dhṛtiḥ kṣamā damo 'steyaṃ śaucam indriyanigrahaḥ \ dhīr vidyā satyam akrodho daśakaṃ dharmalakṣaṇam \ \ \ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>721</sup>We are not sure what *gāyatrisiddhili* means here. It could be mastery over the Vedas or the mastery over the well-known gāyatrī-mantra. Note that the stem-form has been shortened for metrical reasons.

does it for a lifetime],  $^{722}$  he will obtain the world of *Brahmā* [after death]. (13)

One should recite daily the *saṃhitā*s of *Rks*, *Sāmans*, *Yajuḥs*, and *Atharvans*.<sup>723</sup> Having conquered his senses and free from possessions, he will go to the world of Brahmā. (14)

He should live by gleaning or agriculture (*pramṛtena*).<sup>724</sup> Without engaging in trade done by himself he lives without harming living beings.<sup>725</sup> (15)

He should regularly do mantra-recitation (japti) and ( $v\bar{a}$ ) perform oblations;<sup>726</sup> [by doing so] he will partake of the fruit of heaven. He<sup>727</sup> who does not perform the five sacrifices will certainly go to hell. (16)

The sacrifice to the Veda is teaching; the sacrifice to the ancestors is the quenching libation; the sacrifice to gods is the burnt offering; the sacrifice to beings is the Bali offering; and the sacrifice to humans is the honouring of guests. If a man never fails to offer these five great sacrifices to the best of his ability, he remains unsullied by the taints of his slaughter-houses in spite of living permanently at home.<sup>728</sup> (16c–18)

Mortar and pestle, fireplace, water-pot and broom are the five slaughter-houses of [a householder]; these have been taught to you, O beautiful one! (19)

He, who by means of offering sacred knowledge alone, [effectively] performs sacrifices [involving] sacrificial fees, and he who delights in self-contemplation, that wise person is an expert in the Vedic-*dharma*. (20)

By meditating using the *praṇava*<sup>729</sup> he may attain the state of omnipresence, if he wishes<sup>730</sup> [this] power for himself (*siddhim ātmanaḥ*), by means of the sixteen-spoked wheel (*ṣoḍaśārena cakrena*).<sup>731</sup> (21)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>722</sup>Ex. conj. We need some time-frame here. Thus we venture to conjecture a lifetime, following Niśvāsamukha 3:66cd: yāvajjīvan tu kurvāṇo brahmalokaṃ sa gacchati | |.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>723</sup>Ex. conj. If we are right, rgyajuḥṣāmatharvāṇām contains another aiśa shortening perhaps for the sake of the metre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>724</sup>For this expression, see *Manusmṛti* 4:4–5: *ṛtāmṛtābhyāṃ jīvet tu mṛtena pramṛtena vā* | *satyānṛtābhyām api vā na śvavṛttyā kadā cana* | | *ṛtam uñchaśilaṃ jñeyam amṛtaṃ syād ayācitam* | *mṛtaṃ tu yācitaṃ bhaikṣaṃ pramṛtaṃ karṣaṇaṃ smṛtam* | |. The word order of *śiloñcha* is interchanged due to the metrical demand. In the *Manusmṛti* gleaning, *uñchaśila*, is the gloss of *ṛtam*. (*ṛramṛtena* is understood as agriculture on the strength of the above mentioned passage of the *Manusmṛti*. It seems that the reading of 15ab is fabricated depending on *Manusmṛti* 4:4–5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>725</sup>Cf. *Manusmṛti* 4:2a: *adroheṇaiva bhūtānāṃ*. Perhaps the reading of the *Śivadharmasangraha, vāṇijyādi tya-jet karma bhūtadrohañ ca sarvadā* is intended here. Furthermore, we have assumed that *asvayaṅkṛtavāṇijye* is intended as an instrumental.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>726</sup> An irregular syncope of *japati* for metrical reasons, and  $v\bar{a}$  presumably does not stand for option; to obviate these problems the Śivadharmasangraha (9:13) reads *japāgnihomasangyuktah* instead of *japti juhoti vā nityam*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>727</sup>Here we again have a frozen *sandhi*. The *Śivadharmasangraha* (9:14) has rephrased the text as *sa dhruvaṃ vrajet* to do away with the problem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>728</sup>This translation is based on Patrick Olivelle's edition of the *Manusmṛti* (2005:112).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>729</sup>This appears to refer to a breath-control type of meditation involving  $mantrocc\bar{a}ra$ , in which the breath is homologised with the mantra in question, namely om.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>730</sup>Note an *aiśa* frozen *sandhi*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>731</sup>This teaching can only be found, as far as we are aware, in Tantric sources. We are, however, here in the section on the brahmanical householder, the second stage of life according to the Vedic teachings. Thus, it is

[He should meditate] with devotion, [his] mind one-pointed, enduring all opposite extremes (*sarvadvandvasahena*), [being] ever with a mind that is not greedy and that sees oneness in all [things]. (22)

If he remains thus regularly engaged in mantra recitation, meditation, worship, and sacrifice, he will not have a bad course [after death]; he will go to the world of Brahmā. (23)

If he should perform works alone and forgo meditation on the self, thus not attaining the [state of] the omnipresent *brahman*, he will obtain only heaven [as his] reward. (24)

### [2.3 Injunctions for forest-dwellers]

After that [household life], he, together with his spouse, [his] senses mastered, should become a forest-dweller ( $vanev\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$ ). He should go to the forest and live there by means of [water] drops [that form] on  $ku\acute{s}a$  grass. (25)

He should [sustain himself with] bulbs, roots, fruits, vegetables, black wild rice or  $k\bar{a}ngu^{732}$  and make fire sacrifice [every] evening and morning with the same. (26)

He should satisfy gods and Brahmins with wild food obtained without [using] the plough. He should satisfy [his] ancestors [and] be always intent upon mantra recitation and sacrifice.<sup>733</sup> (27)

He should be engaged in benefitting all beings [and] should endure all sufferings. He should accommodate himself to heat and cold [of the weather] (sītātapāvakāśādi). [He should attend to] the five-fires [in the hot season, and practice] sleeping in water [in the cold season]. (28)

He should be clothed in Kuśa grass, or tree-bark, and always wear the skin of a black antelope. [He should] always [observe the penitential practices known as] *kṛccha, atikṛc-chra, tapta[kṛcchra], parāk,*<sup>734</sup> *cāndrāyaṇa*s, and so forth. (29)

[Mortifying himself,] he should dry himself out<sup>735</sup> by consuming fallen leaves and water [that falls as dew].<sup>736</sup> He should move like a wild animal, not dwell with others

unusual to have this verse here.

The Brahmayāmala 89:10ab says that it is the sixteen-spoked wheel located in the middle of the navel: nābhimadhye paraṃ cakraṃ ṣoḍaśāraṃ (ṣoḍaśāraṃ corr; ṣoḍaśāraṃ ed.) prakīrttitam. The Mālinīvijayottaratantra 19:24—36 also mentions that this cakra is located in the navel. The Tantrasadbhāva 1:499 says that the sixteen-spoked wheel is located in the palate (tālu). Mallinson (2007:236–237), on the basis of multiple evidence, says that it is a Viśuddhi/Viśuddha cakra located in the throat. As these source are incoherent about the location of this cakra, we are unable to determine where a Yogin is supposed to focus his mind.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>732</sup>Dictionaries record only *kaṅgu* 'a kind of Panic seed', food for the poor, but not *kāṅgu*.

 $<sup>^{733}</sup>Ex\ conj.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>734</sup>parāk is an aiśa shortening for parāka. Seeing the problem, the redactor of the Śivadharmasangraha (9:33) reads parākaiḥ, although this violates the metre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>735</sup>Cf. Manusmṛti 6:24d śoṣayed deham ātmanaḥ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>736</sup>According to the *Skandapurāṇa* (34:41) Devī seems to have followed these procedures while she was doing her *tapas*: *kadācit sā phalāhārā kadācit parṇabhojanā* | *kadācid ambubhakṣābhūt kadācid anilāśanā* | |. Cf. also *Haracaritacintāmani* 21:21.

(sahāvāsa), and resort to a difficult lifestyle. A Brahmin [who does this] will go to heaven; if he fails [in this observance], he will go to hell. I have explained the religious observance of a forest hermit. (30–31c)

#### [2.4 Injunctions for ascetics]

[Now] hear about the fourth āśrama [from me]. Having put the [Vedic] fires inside his body, he should place the ether [of the bodily cavities] in the ether, he should place his [bodily] air in the air, [bodily] fire in the fire, [bodily] water in the water, the body in the earth, the mind in the moon [and] the organs of hearing (śrotrāṇi)<sup>737</sup> in the quarters; he should deposit the feet in Viṣṇu, energy in Rudra [and] speech in the fire; he should place (nyasya) the faculty of excretion in the sun and the penis in Prajāpati.<sup>738</sup> (31d–34b)

Having done [this] depositing in the right order, devoid of anger and greed, abstaining from causing injury to any being, he will see everything in the self. (34c–35b)

Possessed of a triple-stick, a water pot (*tridaṇḍakuṇḍī*),<sup>739</sup> and being a wanderer (*cakrī*), he should eat from begging, [but] he should not eat food [given] by one person. <sup>740</sup> He should not make use of that which does not belong to him, he should resort to the practice of eating alms-food [only].<sup>741</sup> He should stay [no more than] one night in a village and five nights in a city. During the rainy season he should stay in one place; he should remain free from arrogance and hypocrisy. He should abstain from contact with the village [people]; he should be free from the fault of attachment. (35c–37)

He should be the same with regard to all beings; [he should] not undertake [anything], should avoid [causing] harm, [and] should daily delight in meditation on the Self, suffused with the reality of *brahman*. (38)

Whoever always remains thus, he will go to the world of Brahmā (brahmalaukikam).<sup>742</sup> He will rejoice [there] with Brahmā, and (tu) will [then] be dissolved in brahman. (39)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>737</sup>The plural is used for the dual.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>738</sup>In *Manusmṛti* 12:120ff., series of placements are given, but in reverse: the ether is placed in the orifices of the body, and so forth. In *Bhāgavatapurāṇa* 7:12:24ff., however, we find the same directionality as in our text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>739</sup>Generally *tridaṇḍin* refers to a class of ascetic (see *Yājñavalkyasmṛti* 3:58) who carries triple-sticks, tied together, to indicate his school. The *Manusmṛti*, however, (12:10) gives the following metaphysical interpretation of the *tridaṇḍin*: *vāgdaṇḍo 'tha manodaṇḍaḥ kāyadaṇḍas tathaiva ca | yasyaite nihitā buddhau tridaṇḍīti sa ucyate | | 'The rod of speech, the rod of mind, and the rod of action—a man in whose intellect these are kept under control is said to be "triple-rodded" (Olivelle, 2005:230).* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>740</sup>Manusmṛṭi 2:188b (naikānnādī bhaved vratī) mentions the injunction. Cf. also Kūrmapurāṇa 2;12:60 and 2:28:15, Nāradapurāṇa 1:25:29 and 1:27:95, Nāradaparivrājakopaniṣad 5:35 and Saṃnyāsopaniṣad 2:60. It is likely that the source of the our text is the Manusmṛṭi as there are considerable borrowings from the Manusmṛṭi, particularly in the Vedic section.

 $<sup>^{741}</sup>$ This appears to be an otiose repetition. Note that the Śivadharmasaṅgraha (9:38) has avoided the repetition by altering the earlier  $p\bar{a}da$  that speaks of living off alms to an injunction that he should not eat more than 8 mouthfuls.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>742</sup>This is an odd compound: *brahmalaukikam* is used as though it meant *brahmalokam*.

If he fails [in this observance], full of desire and greed, he will go to hell. Acting as instructed [and] having *brahman* in his heart (*brahmātmā*), he will go to the world of Brahmā. (40)

I have taught the *dharma* [prescribed in] the Veda which is excellent (*paraḥ*) which leads to heaven and the highest good (*svarganaiśreyasaḥ*).<sup>743</sup> I have explained [all this] in brief, specifically (*eva*) with [my] Northern face (i.e. Vāmadeva). (41)

### [3. The Ādhyātmika stream]

[Now] I will teach the [dharma] called ādhyātmika with [my] Southern (Aghora) face: [namely] the great science of the Sāṅkhya, as well as Yoga, O you who observe the mahāvrata.<sup>744</sup> (42)

### [3.1 Sāṅkhya]

[Regarding] *prakṛti* and *puruṣa*, they are united together in one [[...]]<sup>745</sup> as a consequence of which, (*yataḥ*) everything comes into being. [[...]]<sup>746</sup> *rajas* and *sattva* arise.<sup>747</sup> [It, viz. *pradhāna*] is endowed with these three qualities; from it (*tataḥ*) the intellect (*buddhiḥ*) is born. (43–44)

From the intellect I-ness comes into being,  $^{748}$  then the [five] subtle elements arise.  $^{749}$  In the same manner, sense faculties [arise] here;  $^{750}$  the gross elements ( $bh\bar{u}tah$ ) $^{751}$  come into

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>743</sup>Of course, the grammatically correct form would be *svarganaiḥśreyasaḥ*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>744</sup>Alternatively, we could interpret this half-line to mean: "The *sāṅkhya* is truly (*eva*) a great knowledge and so is the *yoga*, O you of great religious observance!" This the first time that Devī is addressed as *mahāvrate*. This is a potentially loaded term. However, we are not able to figure out what Devī's *mahāvratas* are.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>745</sup>Irregular neuters *prakṛtiṃ* and *puruṣaṃ* are meant for feminine *prakṛtiṃ* and masculine *puruṣaḥ*. We could consider *svargāpavargahetuś* ca as a possible conjecture as we are told (1:52) that the teachings of the five streams are meant for *svarga* and *apavarga*. Furthermore the teaching of the Vedic streams (4:1: *svargāpavargahetoś ca*) is also said to be intended for *svarga* and *apavarga*. Although the manuscript, N, is damaged here, we can still see the upper part of the missing letters. These letters do not seem to have contained two *r* particles for the conjecture we proposed *svargāpavargahetuś* ca. Thus, although the conjecture seems logical, it is not likely. An alternative conjecture could be *saṃyogas tatra hetuś ca* 'the union is the reason there' reflecting the *Sāṃkhyakārikā*, 21: *saṃyogas tatkṛtaḥ sargaḥ*. The term *prakṛti/ pradhāna* might also have been found in the gap, as it is the primordial source of the world to come into being (*Sāṇḥkhyakārikā*, 22).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>746</sup>It is certain that at least the term *tamas* is missing here as the following verse refers to the three *guṇas*, 'qualities'. For the three qualities see *Sāṃkhyakārikā*, 13. We are not able to propose a likely conjecture here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>747</sup>Present third person singular *prajāyate* stands for dual *prajāyete*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>748</sup>This translation assumes *buddhyahanıkāras* is not intended as a compound but as a metrically required contraction of *buddher ahanıkārah*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>749</sup>These elements are: sound (*śabda*), touch (*sparśa*), sight (*rūpa*), taste (*rasa*) and smell (*gandha*). (See Gauḍapāda's commentary on verse 22 of the *Sāṃkhyakārikā*)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>750</sup>There are altogether eleven sense faculties in this system. Among these, there are five sense organs (viz. ear, skin, eye, tongue and nose) and five organs of action (viz. tongue, hands, feet, anus and the generative organ) and the mind being the eleventh. (See Gauḍapāda's commentary on verse 22 of the Sāṃkhyakārikā)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>751</sup>These five gross elements are: sky ( $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\hat{s}a$ ), air ( $v\bar{a}yu$ ), fire (agni), water (jala) and earth ( $prthv\bar{\imath}$ ). (See Gauḍapāda's commentary on verse 22 of the  $S\bar{a}mkhyak\bar{a}rik\bar{a}$ )

being from the [five] subtle elements.<sup>752</sup> (45)

All [these manifested things] are insentient; [only] the *puruṣa* is considered to be sentient.<sup>753</sup> So long as he maintains a sense of "mine", so long the person is bound. (46)

[Knowing] all works are [accomplished] through *prakṛti*, he [[...]]<sup>754</sup> should be content. But the non-renouncer (asaṃnyāsin) will remain bound [to the cycle of transmigration] for as long as he does not find out  $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ .<sup>755</sup> (47)

# [3.2 Yoga]

I have taught the knowledge of the Sāṃkhya; hear from me [now] the knowledge of *yoga*. [One] who endures all pairs [of extremes], is resolute, devoid of all faults, with a mind troubled by worldly existence, is called a *yogin*.<sup>756</sup> (48a–49b)

After facing north and then assuming a yogic posture, [such as one of the following:] [1] *svastika*,<sup>757</sup> [2] the lotus-posture,<sup>758</sup> [3] *bhadra*,<sup>759</sup> [4] *arddhacandra*,<sup>760</sup> [5] *prasārita*,<sup>761</sup> [6]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>752</sup>The masculine singular <code>bhūtaḥ</code> and <code>-saṃbhavaḥ</code> here are used for neuter plural. Verses 45 and 46 seem to be saying the same thing as the <code>Sāṃkhyakārikā</code>, 22: <code>prakṛter mahāṃs tato 'haṃkāras</code>, <code>tasmād gaṇaś ca ṣoḍaśakaḥ l tasmād api ṣoḍaśakāt pañcabhyaḥ pañca bhūtāni l</code>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>753</sup>Cf. Sāṃkhyakārikā 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>754</sup>We are not certain how to fill the gap here. Perhaps one could assume *sa saṃṇṇyāsī* and interpret the line to mean: "[Knowing] all works [are accomplished] by matter, the renunciant becomes happy." Or alternatively, as suggested by Ramhari Timalsina, we could consider emending the text to *prakṛtyā sarvakarmāṇi sṃanyasya sa sukhī bhavet*. Then our translation would be "renouncing all works to Prakṛti one becomes happy." We are not aware of the idea of *saṇṇṇyāsa* attested in Sāṃkhya sources.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>755</sup>It is noteworthy that the *māyā* is missing in *Sāṃkhya* sources. According to the *Sāṃkhya* system *puruṣa* is bound so long as he sees *prakṛti* (*Sāṃkhyakārikā*, 61). Could it be the case that *māyā* here refers to *prakṛti* as in the *Śvetāśvataropaniṣad* (4:10): *māyāṃ tu prakṛtiṃ vidyān māyinaṃ tu maheśvaram*?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>756</sup>Here begins the yoga section. In this section we are taught the *ṣaḍanga* yoga (*Rauravasūtrasangraha* 7:5: *pratyāhāras tathā dhyānam prāṇāyāmo 'tha dhāraṇā l tarkaś caiva samādhiś ca ṣaḍango yoga ucyate*), corresponding to the Śaiva Yoga system (see Vasudeva 2004:367–382 for *ṣaḍanga* yoga), not the *aṣṭānga* yoga (*Yogasūtra* 2:29: *yamaniyamāṣanaprāṇāyāmapratyāharadhāranādhyānaṣamādhayo 'stāv aṇṣāni*), following the system of Patañjali.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>757</sup>Vācaspati Miśra in *Tattvavaiśāradī* commenting *Yogasūtra* 2:46 defines the Svastika posture thus: savyam ākuñcitaṃ caraṇaṃ dakṣiṇajaṅghorvantare, dakṣiṇaṃ cākuñcitaṃ caraṇaṃ vāmajaṅghorvantare nikṣipet | etat svastikam. See also Goodall 2004:349, fn. 725 and *Pāūcārthabhāṣya* p. 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>758</sup>The Yogabhāṣyavivaraṇa on the Yogasūtra 2:46 defines this posture as follows: tatra padmāsanaṇ nāma savyaṃ pādam upasaṃhṛtya dakṣiṇopari nidadhīta \ tathaiva dakṣiṇaṃ savyasyopariṣṭāt \ kaṭyurogrīvaṃ ca viṣṭabhya mṛ(ga)?tasuptavann nāsikāgranihitaḍṛṣṭiḥ, samudgakavad apihitoṣṭhasampuṭaḥ dantair dantāgram aparāmṛśan muṣṭimātrāntaraviprakṛṣṭacibukorassthalaḥ rājadantāntara nihitarasanāgraḥ hastau pāṇyor upari kacchapakaṃ brahmāñjaliṃ vā kṛtvā, sakṛd āsthāpitaitthaṃsaṃsthānaḥ punaḥ punaḥ śarīrāvayavaśarīravinyāsaviśeṣaparityaktaprayatnaḥ san yenāsīta tat padmāsanam. See also Pāñcārthabhāṣya p. 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>759</sup>Vācaspati Miśra, in the *Tattvavaiśāradī*, commenting on *Yogasūtra* 2:46, defines this yogic posture as follows: pādatale vṛṣaṇasamīpe saṇpuṭīkṛtya tasyopari pāṇīkaccapikāṇ kuryāt tad bhadrāsanam. See also Pāñcārthabhāṣya p. 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>760</sup>This yogic posture as defined by *Parākhyatantra* 14:6c–7b is as follows: *dviguņe jānunī kṛtvā pādāv anyonya-saṇṇgatau* | | *tadvad bhuvi kṛtāvāse tad bhaved ardhacaṇḍrakaṇ*. See also *Pāñcārthabhāṣya* p. 38. For further details, see Goodall 2004:350, fn. 728.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>761</sup>See also *Pāñcārthabhāṣya* p. 38.

sāpāśraya,<sup>762</sup> [7] añjalika,<sup>763</sup> [8] yogapaṭṭa,<sup>764</sup> in whatever posture is comfortable [for him],<sup>765</sup> [and] having correctly assumed a yogic posture, with the body upright, focused, one should place one's tongue on the palate, and should not allow [one's upper] teeth to come into contact with [one's lower] teeth.<sup>766</sup> (49c–51)

āsanam padmakam badhvā svastikam bhadram āsanam | 290 sāpāśrayam arddhacandram yogapaṭṭam yathāsukham | 291ab

ullet 290cd āsanam padmakam badhvā svastikam bhadram āsanam ]  $N_1$ ; āsanam svastikam baddhvā padmakam bhadrameva vā KSTSullet 291ab arddhacandram ]  $N_1$ ; saardhacandram KSTS

The Kiraṇatantra (58:4–5): baddhvāsanaṃ yathābhīṣṭaṃ svastikaṃ padmameva vā | ardhacandraṃ ca vīrākhyaṃ yogapaṭṭaṃ prasāritam | paryaṅkaṃ ca yathāsaṃsthamāsanāṣṭakamucyate), however, presents the list of eight āsanas —Svastika, Padma, Ardhacandra, Vīra, Yogapaṭṭa, Prasārita, Paryaṅka and Yathāsaṃstha— in which it shares five āsanas with our text.

The Sarvajñānottarayogapāda verse 9 gives the list of seven āsanas sharing four with our text thus: padmakam svastikam vāpi upasthātyāñjalim tathā | pīṭhārdhamardhacandram vā sarvatobhadrameva vā | | The Parākhyatantra 14:5 mentions four āsanas — Padma, Svatika, Daṇḍa and Ardhacandra- sharing three of them with our text. The Makuṭāgama 11:6cd–7ab mentions six āsanas— Gomukha, Svastika Padma, Arddhacandra, Vīra, and Yogāsana ?— sharing three of them with our text thus: gomukham svastikañ caiva padmañ caivārddhacandrakam | vīraṃ yogāsanaṃ proktaṃ ṣaḍvidhañ cāsanaṃ kramāt. The Mataṅgayogapāda 2:13 records six āsanas — Paryaṅka, Kamala Bhadra, Svastika, Acala and Dṛḍha— sharing two of them with our text thus: tataḥ samādhau yogyaḥ syān nānyathā munipuṅgava | paryaṅkaṃ kamalaṃ bhadraṃ svastikaṃ cācalaṃ dṛḍham. Pāśupatasātra 1:16 mentions eight āsanas — Padmaka, Svastika, Upastha, Añjalika, Arddhacandra, Pīṭhaka, Daṇḍāyata and Sarvatobhadra— sharing four with our text. This sketch of the āsanas found in the Śaiva texts shows that almost every text mentions a different number of āsanas only a few of which are shared. Note that the Yogabhāṣya, which might have been the source for other texts, in 2:46 records the 13 āsanas — Padmāsana, Bhadrāsana, Vīrāsana, Svastikāsana, Daṇḍāsana, Sopāśraya, Paryaṅka, Krauñcaniṣadana, Hastiniṣadana, Uṣṭraniṣadana, Samasaṃsthāna, Sthirasukha and Yathāsukha—sharing four āsanas with our text.

<sup>766</sup>This is what is called *karaṇa* in the context of the yoga which is to be done once a Yogin has assumed a yogic posture and before the *prāṇāyāma*. Our reading (51cd) is closely paralleled by *Skandapurāṇa* 179:40cd (*tālau jihvāṃ samādhāya dantair dantān na ca spṛśan*). The *Matangayogapāda* (2:22c–28) presents this idea as follows:

karanam ca pravakṣyāmi yathāvat tan nibodha me \ \ ubhayor janghayor madhye hastāv ānīya tiryagau \

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>762</sup>Kṣemarāja commenting on *Svacchandatantra* 7:291a remarks on this posture: *bhityāśrayāt sāpāśrayam etat*. Although all occurrences of this posture in the *Niśvāsatattvasaṃhitā* appear as Sāpāśraya we assume that the original name of the posture may have been Sopāśraya since *Yogabhāṣya* (see commentary on 2:16) and commentators on it keep the reading Sopāśraya. *Carakasaṃhitāsūtrasthāna* 15:11 mentions the reading Sopāśraya as well as Svāpāśraya.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>763</sup>See also *Pāñcārthabhāṣya* p. 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>764</sup>Kṣemarāja glosses this posture: *yogārthaṃ paṭṭaṃ parikarabandhāya badhvā etad anyatam āsanam*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>765</sup>We may count *yathāsukha* as a separate yogic posture as we know there is a well known posture called *sukhāsana*. In this case we will have a list of nine yogic postures. We have a parallel for these postures in *Nayasūtra* 4:14–15 *yatra tatra sthito deśe yatra tatrāśrame rataḥ* | *svastikaṃ padmakaṃ bhadram arddhacandraṃ prasāritam* | *sāpāśrayam añjalikaṃ yogapaṭṭaṃ yathāsukham* | *aṣṭāsanāni mukhyāni kīrtitāni samāsataḥ*. The same list of yogic postures occurs again in *Nayasūtra* 4:105; the text explicitly says that there are eight yogic postures, which is the reason we do not count *yathāsukha* as a separate yogic posture. The *Yogabhāṣya* on 2:46 does however count *yathāsukha* as a separate yogic posture. The *Svacchandatantra* 7:290–291 records the list of the six (if we do not count Yathāsukha) or seven yogic postures, sharing its list with our text except that Prasārita and Añjalika are not on its list, thus:

With regard to the five [sense-objects], hearing, touch, sight, taste and smell, the group of the senses is unruly; one should restrain [them] with effort. (52)

When [the senses are] restrained one by one, this is called *pratyāhāra*. 768 (53ab)

All pervading [[...]],<sup>769</sup> whose form is meditation, formless. (53cd)

For the destruction of passion and hatred one should practise meditation (*cintayed dhyā-nam*) alone. (54ab)

Now I will teach controlling of the breath (*prāṇāyāma*): one should practise three varieties. After having first exhaled [and] then inhaled, the retention [of breath] is called *kumbhaka*.<sup>770</sup> One should fill one's body [with air] until [it is] completely filled; this is called *pūraka*, the second type of breath-control. (54c–56b)

If someone exhales [retained] air from [his] body,  $[[...]]^{771}$  this is called *recaka*, which is the third type of breathing exercise.  $^{772}$  (56b–57b)

kṛtvottānau samau vidvān vāmasyopari dakṣiṇam | |
nyaset karaṃ yathāngulyo dakṣiṇā vāmamūlataḥ |
kiṃcid ākuñcitānguṣṭhau kartavyo niyatātmanā | |
uraśconnamya vivataṃ kṛtvā bāhū pariślathau |
pṛṣṭham ākuñcayet skandhadeśam unnamayet sudhīḥ | |
niṣkampāṃ sudṛḍhām ṛjvīṇ nātistabdhāṃ na kuñcitām |
grīvāṃ vidhārayed yatnāc chiraḥ kāryaṃ samaṃ sadā | |
dyāvāpṛthivyābhimukho dṛnāsāgrasamāśrayā |
kiṃcit sammīlayen netre dantair dantān na saṃspṛśet | |
tālumadhyagatenaiva jihvāgreṇa mahāmune |
karaṇaṃ yogamārgoktaṃ yathāvat parivistarāt | |

The Triśikhibrāhmaṇopaniṣat 91–92 presents the same idea without saying that this practice is called karaṇa thus: badhvā prāg āsanaṇ vipro rjukāyaḥ samāhitaḥ l nāsāgranyastanayano dantair dantān asaṃspṛśan l l rasanāṃ tāluni nyasya svasthacitto nirāmayaḥ l ākuñcitaśiraḥ kiṃcin nibadhnan yogamudrayā l hastau yathoktavidhinā prāṇāyāmaṃ samācaret l l See also the same Upaniṣad 144–146b where 146ab of the Upaniṣad (saṃyamec cendriyagrāmam ātmabuddhyā viśuddhayā) comes quite close to 4:52ab of our text. Cf. Sarvajñānottarayogapāda 250–251, Svacchandatantra 4:365–367, Uttarakāmika 23:209–210, Jayottara 9:19–23, Vāsudevakalpa 394–395, Bhojadeva's Siddhāntasārapaddhati B 28/19, fol. 39r:1–3 Bṛhatkālottara A 43/1, fol. 13v:4–5, Īsānagurudevapaddhati V:3, p.185 etc. See also TAK:2 v.s. karaṇa.

<sup>767</sup>The Manusmṛti (2:99–100) presents this idea as follows: indriyāṇāṃ tu sarveṣāṃ yady ekaṃ kṣaratīn-driyam | tenāsya kṣarati prajñā dṛteḥ pādād ivodakam | | vaśe kṛtvendriyagrāmaṃ saṃyamya ca manas tathā | sarvān saṃsādhayedarthānakṣiṇvan yogatastanum | |

<sup>768</sup>The Yogasūtra 2:54 defines the pratyāhāra as follows: svasvaviṣayāsamprayoge cittasvarūpānukāra ivendriyāṇāṃ pratyāhāraḥ. Further, Vyāsa comments on pratyāhāra thus: yathā madhukararājaṃ makṣikā utpatantam anūtpatanti niviśamānam anuniviśante tathā indriyāṇi cittanirodhe niruddhānīti eṣa pratyāhāraḥ.

<sup>769</sup>We could consider conjecturing *sarvagam ekanistham tu* as suggested by Diwakar Acharya. Alternatively, we might consider conjecturing *sarvagam ekacittas tu*.

<sup>770</sup>Once again the neuter is used for the masculine.

<sup>771</sup>We are not missing the essential part of the text since in this breathing exercise the retained air is exhaled which is what we already have in the existing text. Prof. Diwakar Acharya has suggested to conjecture something like *svadehāt tu śanaiḥ śanaiḥ*.

<sup>772</sup>These are the commonly known three types of *prāṇāyāmas*. Some other sources, however, mention four kinds of *prāṇāyāmas*. For instance, *Nayasūtra* 4:113, *Svacchandatantra* 7:298 and *Tantrasadbhāva* 27:23 mention the fourth kind of *prāṇāyāma* called *supraśānta*. The *Dharmaputrikā* (1:19), a late Śaiva yoga text, also mentions

One should meditate on the all-pervading, moving air [as being situated] in the tip of one's big toe,  $^{773}$  and should fill everything ( $vi\acute{s}vam$ ) with air filled with black dust. He who practices thus, he acts as one who has the nature of air. (57c–58)

One should meditate [next] on blazing fire which consumes all the quarters;<sup>774</sup> he who mediates thus becomes of the nature of fire. (59)

Listen to how the earth is situated in the body as something firm in nature: it should be meditated upon as extending up to the ocean, yellow, and having immobility as its defining characteristic. (60)

If one meditates on flowing water (*varuṇam*) in the uvula (*ghaṇṭikāyām*), he, his sins having been destroyed by [this] mental concentration (*dhāraṇā*), he will become of the nature of water. (61)

One should discriminate the causes of yoga and wisdom,<sup>775</sup> which are to be discriminated according to the  $\bar{a}gamas$ .<sup>776</sup> Confident, he should aim for knowledge of the self, with a view to that arising.<sup>777</sup> (62)

He who abides in *samādhi* [will] see amazing [things] [[...]] he should meditate [[...]] until he attains oneness with them. (63)

Sound, touch, form, taste, and smell as the fifth; he does not perceive (ajānāti)<sup>778</sup> any

the fourth kinds of prāṇāyāma, the fourth being praśānta instead of supraśānta:

pūrakaḥ kumbhakaś caiva recakas tadanantaram l praśāntaś caiva vijñeyah prānāyāmaś caturvidhah l

- 19b recakas tadanantaram ] B<sub>7</sub>E<sub>N</sub>; rekaka tadanantaram C
- 19c praśāntaś caiva ] B<sub>7</sub>E<sub>N</sub>; praśāntaś caiti C

The Rauravasūtrasaṅgraha (7:6–9): prathamā dhāraṇā — air, fire, earth and water, appearing in this order. The Rauravasūtrasaṅgraha (7:6–9): prathamā dhāraṇāgneyī nābhimadhye tu dhārayet tasyāṃ vai dhāryamāṇāyāṃ pāpaṃ nirdahati kṣaṇāt | hṛdaye dhārayed vidvān saumyāṃ somasṛtāṃ kalām | tasyāṃ vai dhāryamāṇāyāṃ sarvatrāpy āyanaṃ bhavet | aiśānīṃ dhārayen mūrdhni sarvasiddhikarīṃ nṛṇām | yayā prayānti vai kṣipraṃ śivasya paramaṃ padam | amṛtā dhāraṇā yā tu vyāpinī tu śivaṃkarī | āpyāyayati sarvatra sarvaṃ jñānāmṛtena ca) mentions four types of dhāraṇā: fire (āgneyī), moon (saumyā), sovereign (aiśānī) and nectar (amṛtā). The same list of type of dhāraṇā, found in the Rauravasūtrasaṅgraha, is found too in the Svāyaṃbhuvasūtrasaṅgraha (20:4–7). The Mataṅgayogapāda (2:38–65) has the list of the four dhāraṇās: fire (āgneyī), water (vāruṇī), sovereign (aiśānī) and nectar (amṛtā). The list of dhāraṇā listed in the Niśvāsamukha seems to be unique.

<sup>774</sup>Although K and W record grammatically correct form *sarvatodiśam*, we have retained the reading of N *sarvatodiśām* considering it to be original.

<sup>775</sup>Once again what appears to be a feminine accusative singular is in fact intended as a masculine accusative plural, used in lieu of a neuter.

 $^{776}$ It is not clear what group of texts this refers to, but presumably it does not refer to  $Siddh\bar{a}ntatantras$ . tarka is the one of the most distinguishing characteristic of the Ṣaḍaṅgayoga. Vasudeva (2004:173) writes: "Ṣaḍaṅgayoga is uncompromisingly theistic and distinguished by the presence of "Judgement" ( $\bar{u}ha$ , tarka) as the most important ancillaries".

Alternatively, the m could be a euphonic glide in the middle of a compound, in which case we could interpret: "he should focus [his attention] for the sake of the arising of complete self-knowledge."

<sup>778</sup>Finite verbs are not usually used with an alpha privative. Prof. Dominic Goodall writes, in his email dated 26-09-2007, for the Indology discussion list thus: "S. A. Srinivasan appears inclined to assume that Vācaspatimiśra, in his *Sāṅkhyatattvakaumudī*, may have used the negative *a* without intending to express reproach. He

of these when he attains oneness with them. (64)

He does not experience [the sound of drums and the like] being beaten<sup>779</sup> and he does not see with his eyes; divine sight will arise when he attains oneness with those [elements]. (65)

All kinds of spells  $(sarvavidy\bar{a}h)^{780}$  will function [for him, and] everything will be directly perceptible to him, and he will [be able to] converse with accomplished ones [everywhere], when he attains oneness with [them].<sup>781</sup> (66)

 $[[...]]^{782}$  and he will be omniscient. By [means of] this very body he will be able to create and destroy. (67)

All this will work for him who meditates on <code>Iśvara;783</code> he will [finally] obtain the position of <code>Iśvara</code>. Similarly (*ca*), by meditating on Brahmā, he will obtain his (i.e. Brahmā's) position. (68)

By meditating on Viṣṇu, he will obtain the position of Viṣṇu; by meditation on other [gods], he will obtain [their] positions. Whatever contemplation [he employs], he will obtain the corresponding (*tat tat*) position.<sup>784</sup> (69)

# [4. The Atimārga stream]

I have taught you [the stream of revealed knowledge] relating to the self (*adhyātmikam*); now hear as well the *atimārga* from me.<sup>785</sup> (70ab)

begins his discussion of the privative with this observation (P1.4.5.16, p.40):

"Das a-privativum ist sandhigefaehrdet und geht manchmal verloren. Die Ueberlieferer tilgen es daher manchmal, oder sie aendern die Wortstellung, um es vor Verlust zu schuetzen.""

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>779</sup>Cf. Kulasāratantra fol. 38r:3: tāḍyamānair na vindeta yadā tanmayatāṇ gataḥ |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>780</sup>Alternatively, this may refer to knowledge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>781</sup>Ex conj. 65c–66 echoes Nayasūtra 3:21c—22: siddhaś caiva svatantraś ca divyasṛṣṭiḥ prajāyate | | ṣaṇmāsāddhyānayogena divyasiddhiḥ prajāyate | trailokye yaḥ pravartteta pratyakṣan tasya jāyate | | In the light of this reading of the Nayasūtra we may consider emending divayadṛṣṭi to divyasṛṣṭi in our text, since sarvaṇ patyakṣato bhavet has the same connotation of divyadṛṣṭi. Otherwise one or the other (sarvaṇ patyakṣato bhavet or divyadṛṣṭi) is tautologous.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>782</sup>In the *Niśvāsa*-corpus *sarvajñaḥ*, when it appears to refer to a state of the aspirant (*sādhaka*), is often accompanied by *śivatulyaḥ* 'equal to Śiva' and *kāmarūpī* 'able to assume form at will'. For example see *Nayasūtra* 3:23. *śivatulyaḥ kāmarūpī* would be a possible conjecture here. Our passage is in the context of general yoga, rather the Śaiva yoga. Thus, the gap may not have particularly contained the world *śivatulyaḥ*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>783</sup>Iśwara seems to refer to Śiva and this is perhaps an echo of  $Yogas \bar{u}tra$  (1:23)  $\bar{\imath}śvarapraṇidhānād v\bar{a}$ . According to the  $Yogas \bar{u}trabh\bar{a}ṣya$  the fruit of fixing [the mind] on Iśvara is equivalent to that of  $sam\bar{u}dhi$ , but in our context the fruit of concentrating the mind on Isvara is the obtaining of the position of Iśvara.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>784</sup>This cliché *yena yena hi bhāvena* is so well known that the syntax has been left incomplete here. For this see *Manusmṛti* 4:234, *Netratantra* 22:67, *Kubjikāmatatantra* 3:97 and so on. Cf. also *Bhagavadgītā* 4:11ab *ye yathā māṃ prapadyante tāṃs tathaiva bhajāmy aham,* and 9:25 *yānti devavratā devān pitŖn yānti pitṛvratāḥ l bhūtāni yānti bhātāni yānti bhātāni yānti madyājino 'pi mām l l* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>785</sup>The term *atimārga* refers to the systems of the Pāśupatas. According to *Niśvāsamukha*'s classification Pāśupatas are said to be two types: *atyāśramins* and *lokātītas* (*Niśvāsamukha* 4:88). As far as we are aware, there is no

#### [4.1 Atyāśrama: paraphrase of the Pāśupatasūtra]

[He should bathe ...] with ash,<sup>786</sup> he should sleep on ash,<sup>787</sup> [and] control his sense faculties;<sup>788</sup> he should wear *nirmālya*,<sup>789</sup> live on alms,<sup>790</sup> [and] frequent secret places.<sup>791</sup> In order to [obtain] a *darśana* of god he should perform worship in those very places.<sup>792</sup> (70c–71)

earlier parallel for the use of the term *atimārga*. So, the *Niśvāsamukha* may be responsible for coining the term. The subsequent history of the term has been mostly dealt with by Sanderson 2006. For detailed discussion see our introduction p. 44.

<sup>786</sup>Here starts the long paraphrase of the  $P\bar{a}$ supatas $\bar{u}$ tra. Our translation of the  $P\bar{a}$ supatas $\bar{u}$ tra and the  $bh\bar{a}$ sya in the notes in this section is based on Hara 1966. Unless otherwise stated, the translation is by us. As our text consists of a paraphrase of the  $P\bar{a}$ supatas $\bar{u}$ tra, we have only provided a translation of those  $s\bar{u}$ tras which would serve a special purpose to our understanding of the text.

Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:2: bhasmanā triṣavaṇaṃ snāyīta. Given that this section consists of a paraphrase of the Pāśupatasūtras, we expect to find a reference here to bathing three times a day in ash (Pāśupatasūtra 1:2). We may therefore conjecture, although it is hypermetrical, bhasmanā triṣavaṇasnāyī or bhasmanā triṣkālasnāyī (cf. Niśvāsamukha 3:43). Both of these conjectures of Prof. Diwakar Acharya seem plausible since the next Pāśupatasūtra (1:3), bhasmani śayīta, is paraphrased in Niśvāsamukha 4:70d in the same manner as bhasmaśāyī. We may also conjecture here something like bhasmanā kurute snānam (cf. Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa 1:2:27:123, Lingapurāṇa 34:18) or bhaṣmanā digdhasarvāṇgaḥ (cf. Skandapurāṇa 32:115 and 122:78), which is rater weak. We find a reference to this injunction in Guhyasūtra 12:9–12:10 too:

bhasmasnānañ ca śaucañ ca upasaṇḥāran tathaiva ca \ 9cd kapālam caiva khatvāṇgam bhasmavāsañ ca sarvadā \ 10ab

• 9d upasaṃhāran tathaiva ] NW(unmetrical); upahāraṃ tathaiva ca K• 10a kapālaṃ caiva ] KW; kapālaṃñ caiva N• 10b khaṭvāṅgaṃ ] K; khaṭvāṃga W

<sup>787</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:3 (*bhasmani śayīta*). Kauṇḍinya emphasises the injunction to sleep upon ashes during the night, *bhasmany eva rātrau svaptavyam nānyatrety arthaḥ*.

<sup>788</sup>The  $s\bar{u}tra$ , jitendriyah is not in the first chapter, but is rather found in 5:11 of the  $P\bar{a}supatas\bar{u}tra$ . It is unlikely that the same  $s\bar{u}tra$  would appear twice, so we may assume that this cliché is merely a  $p\bar{u}dap\bar{u}rana$ .

<sup>789</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:5: *nirmālyam*. This is a technical term. It refers to a collection of flowers that had served its purpose for worshipping the image of Śiva. According to Kauṇḍinya's interpretation, a Pāśupata ascetic should wear *nirmālaya* for two reasons. The first is to increase his devotion to god and the second is to show his sectarian mark: *bhaktivivṛddhyarthaṇ lingābhivyaktyarthaṇ ca tad dhāryam ity arthaḥ*. (Kauṇḍinya's commentary ad loc. *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:5).

<sup>790</sup>Once again this appears not to be mentioned in the  $s\bar{u}tras$  in section 1 of the  $P\bar{a}supatas\bar{u}tra$ , but we have the  $s\bar{u}tra$ , bhaiksyam in the  $P\bar{a}supatas\bar{u}tra$  5:14.

<sup>791</sup> Although this looks like a  $s\bar{u}tra$ , no clear parallel can be found in either  $s\bar{u}tra$  or  $bh\bar{a}sya$ . It could be related to what is stated in the earlier passage 3:29cd:

guhyānyānyapi devasya dṛṣṭvā mucyanti kilbiṣaiḥ

'by visiting  $(dr \not v \bar{u})$  other secret [places] of god they will be freed from sins.'

We unfortunately do not know what these other secret places are. Alternatively, could this refer to the Guhvāstaka?

<sup>792</sup>There is no clear parallel for the half line in either  $s\bar{u}tra$  or  $bh\bar{a}sya$ . This is the first time that we encounter the  $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$  element among Pāśupata injunctions. For more details, see our introduction p. 46 ff. This element seems to have been added in a later stage among Pāśupata practices.

He [should take up his] abode in the house of a *linga* [i.e. a temple],<sup>793</sup> he should praise with the sound huddun, sing, dance, [pay] homage with the word *namas* and recite the [five] *brahma* [mantras].<sup>794</sup> (72)

He should wear one garment,<sup>795</sup> or [be] naked,<sup>796</sup> and he should resort to Dakṣiṇāmūrti.<sup>797</sup> He should worship the god of gods with withered, fallen flow-

This sūtra, sometimes in paraphrased version and sometimes only in echoed form, is found widely in Śaiva sources. For example in *Ratnaṭīkā*, p. 18–19; *Sarvadarśanasaṅgraha*, p. 169; *Tīrthavivecanakāṇḍa* p. 82, *Svacchandatantra* 10:588 and in Śivadharmasaṅgraha 5:31. *Ratnaṭīkā* calls these hasita-gīta etc., sixfold deeds ṣaḍaṅgopahāra 'six-limbed offering.'

<sup>795</sup>Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:10: ekavāsāḥ.

 $^{796}$ Cf.  $P\bar{a}$ śupatas $\bar{u}$ tra 1:11:  $av\bar{a}$ s $\bar{a}$   $v\bar{a}$ . Kauṇḍinya commenting on this sutra states that the particle  $v\bar{a}$ , or, in the  $s\bar{u}$ tra stands for ability, but not for choice. Therefore, if an aspirant is able, he should remain without cloth and if not he should wear a piece of cloth (Kauṇḍinya ad loc.  $P\bar{a}$ śupatas $\bar{u}$ tra 1:11).

<sup>797</sup>Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:9: mahādevasya dakṣiṇāmūrtim (according to Bisschop 2007:5). Bakker (2004) argues that basically Dakṣiṇāmūrti involves the concept of Śiva as a teacher. Śiva faces east and the pupil sits to the right side of the teacher and faces to the north. Thus, the pupil faces the right side of the deity. In the same way, Śiva is facing east. He is the teacher, just as in the model of the teacher in the Vedic upanayana ritual where the pupil sits on the southern side. In other words, at the right side of god. Kauṇḍinya's commentary on dakṣināmūrti is as follows:

devasya iti şaaşthī | svasvāmibhāvaḥ sambandhaḥ | parigrahārtham evādhikurute | atra dakṣiṇeti dikprativibhāge bhavati | ādityo diśo vibhajati | diśaś ca mūrtiṃ vibhajanti | mūrtir nāma yad etad devasya dakṣiṇe pārśve sthitenodanmukhenopānte yad rūpam upalabhyate vṛṣadhvajaśūlapāṇinandimahākālordhvaliṅgādilakṣanaṃ, yadvā [yatra] laukikāḥ pratipadyante mahādevasyāyatanam iti tatropastheyam | dakṣināmūrtigrahaṇāt pūrvottarapaścimānāṃ mūrtīnāṃ pratiṣedhaḥ, mūrtiniyogāc ca mūrtyabhāve niyamalopaḥ |

"devasya" is a genitive. The relation is one of owner and owned; it bears reference to (His) grace (parigraha) only. "Dakṣiṇā" in the Sūtra has the meaning of a spatial division; the sun divides the quarters and the quarters divide the  $m\bar{u}rti$ . (That) which the word " $m\bar{u}rti$ " designates is this form ( $r\bar{u}pa$ ) which is seen in (His) proximity by one who is facing north while standing at God's right side ( $dakṣine p\bar{u}rśve$ ), (a form) that is characterized by the bull-banner, lance in hand, Nandin, Mahākāla, erect phallus, etc., or it is (that) to which the laymen resort, "the sanctuary of Mahādeva." The object of worship is there. (Bakker 2004:126)

Kauṇḍinya implies two meanings of the Dakṣiṇāmūrti. Bakker's (2004:127) summary and analysis of these two categories in Pāśupata sources is as follows:

The concept of dak s n am arti thus comprises the manifested form  $(r \bar{u} p a)$  of God, the (physical) image or body  $(m \bar{u} r t i)$  in which it may be envisaged, and the right side  $(dak s ine p \bar{u} r s v e)$ , which indicates the Pāsupata's position with respect to Mahādeva and his embodiment. As such, the term is applicable to every situation in which the Pāsupata enters into contact with his object of worship. And this appears to have been the intention of the author of the Pāsupatas  $\bar{u} t r s v e$  when we read PS 1.8-9 coherently (as also the author of the Tīkā seems to have done:  $upah \bar{u} r s \bar{u} t r s v e$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>793</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:7: *āyatanavāsī*. Here in the paraphrased text of the *Niśvāsamukha* there is a reference to the *linga*. Neither the *Pāśupatasūtra* nor the *bhāṣya* mention the *linga*. See our introduction (p. 45) for more details. According to Kauṇḍinya the abode should be made by others (see Kauṇḍinya's commentary ad loc. *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>794</sup>Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:8: hasitagītanṛttaduṃduṃkāranamaskārajapyopahāreṇopatiṣṭhet. We assume that duṃduṃkāra is a corruption for huḍḍuṅkāra. Thus, we propose this sūtra to be read as follows: hasitagītanṛtya-huḍḍuṅkāranamaskārajapyopahāreṇopatiṣṭhet.

ers.<sup>798</sup> He should not see [[urine and excrement]]<sup>799</sup> and he should not speak to women and  $\pm s\bar{u}dras$ .<sup>800</sup> (73)

If he does see [urine and excrement and speak to women and  $\pm \bar{u}dra$ , he should first do]  $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ , and then recite the aghora [mantra]. (74)

One should see all living beings with an unclouded disposition,<sup>803</sup> [then] the inauspicious [becomes] auspicious,<sup>804</sup> [and] circumambulating to the left [becomes] circumam-

tra, above p. 124): "He should worship with offerings of laughter, singing, dancing, bellowing, obeisance, and muttering to the gracious form/body (dakṣiṇāmūrti) of Mahādeva". The same idea underlies the Ratnaṭīkā at Gaṇakārikā 7 (p. 18) where it says that the Pāśupata should consecrate the ashes with mantras in the temple "at Śiva's Dakṣiṇāmūrti," or the Skandapurāṇa when it advises that one should offer rice pudding with ghee at the "southern mūrti" during one year in order to become like Nandin.

#### Finally, he concludes:

In sum, the Pāśupata Dakṣiṇāmūrti is a state in which God reveals one quarter of Himself, the form to which He grants access, that is, yoga; it is Śiva's body/ form of grace. (Bakker 2004:127)

Bakker (2004) also convincingly shows the Vedic origin of the imagery of Dakṣiṇāmūrti.

In the *Guhyasūtra*, this expression occurs frequently not as a compound but dissolved into two words: *dakṣināyāṃ mūrtau*, often preceded by *devasya*. This makes it clear that the *dakṣiṇāmūrti* is not a particular form of the god but it refers to the position: at the right side of god (for example, see *Guhyasūtra* 3:18c–3:20ab, 10:8c–10:10b, 10:50–52, 11:112, 10:22, 10:29, 10:51, 11:59, 11:61, 11:73 and 11:83–85). This must be the meaning our text intended to covey, and not the Dakṣiṇāmurti, which is a name of an iconographical form of Śiva that appears most commonly on the southern side of temples in South India (Goodall (*Tāntrikābhidhānakośa* v.s. Dakṣiṇāmūrti).

 $^{798}$ Note an irregular compound for metrical reasons. We do not find any parallel for this line. It is certainly not from the  $P\bar{a}$  supatas  $\bar{u}$  tra,  $bh\bar{a}$  sya or  $Ratnat\bar{k}$ . This injunction goes with the  $P\bar{a}$  supata concept of harmlessness  $ahims\bar{a}$ . By using fallen flowers one is abstained from harm that would result from plucking them. Cf. also Sanderson 2014:10, fn. 38. He also shows a parallel of this notion in the  $Dev\bar{k}$   $\bar{a}$  lottera.

<sup>799</sup>Ex conj. Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:12: mūtrapurīṣaṃ nāvekṣet. Cf. also Baudhāyanadharmasūtra 3:8:17.

800 Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:13: strīśūdram nābhibhāṣet. Cf. also Manusmṛti 11:224, Baudhāyanadharmasūtra 3:8:17, 4:5:4, Viṣnusmṛti 46:25 etc. For more references see Bisschop & Griffths 2003:338 including their fn. 121.

In the *Niśvāsamukha*, the grammatically irregular optative singular *abhibhāṣet*, which, according to Pāṇinian grammar should be *abhibhāṣeta* in *ātmanepada*, is paraphrased as *abhibhāṣayet*, which is also irregular. For variants of this line with the correct *ātmanepada* form, see *Atharvavedapariśiṣṭha* 40:6:2 (Bisschop & Griffiths 2003:338, including footnote 221), *Kāṭhakagṛhyasūtra* 5:3 and *Mahābhārata* 12:36:35.

 $^{801}$ Cf.  $P\bar{a}$ śupatas $\bar{u}$ tra 1:16:  $pr\bar{a}$ n $\bar{a}$ y $\bar{a}$ mam kr $tv\bar{a}$ . On the strength of this  $s\bar{u}$ tra, we could perhaps assume, alternatively, emending drst $v\bar{a}$  to kr $tv\bar{a}$ . But, in this case we would miss the main cause in the sentence. Thus we are supplying "urine and excrement and speak to women and s $\bar{u}$ dra, he should first do". This is on the strength of  $P\bar{a}$ supatas $\bar{u}$ tra 1:14: yady aveksed yady abhibh $\bar{u}$ sayet | "If one should look, if one should speak" (Hara 1966:226).

<sup>802</sup>Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:17: raudrīm gāyatrīm bahurūpīm vā japet . Unlike our text, Pāśupatasūtra gives the option of reciting either raudrī gāyatrī or bahurūpī gāyatrī. The bhāṣya (p. 39) tells us that raudrī is tatpuruṣa and bahurūpī is the aghora mantra.

<sup>803</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:18: *akaluṣamateḥ*. Note that *paśyeta* remains for *paśyet*.

<sup>804</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 2:7: *amangalam cātra mangalam bhavati*. Kaundinya mentions that "inauspicious" is a multitude of methods such as nakedness, anti-clockwise circumambulation and so forth, but he concludes by saying, *kāraṇamūrtau kriyamāṇam amangalaṃ mangalaṃ bhavatīty arthaḥ*. "The meaning is, inauspicious [acts] that are performed to the image of the cause (*kāraṇamūrtau*) [of the universe] (i.e. Śiva) become auspicious" (Hara 1966:272).

bulating to the right.<sup>805</sup> (75)

Worship as performed for ancestors and worship as performed for gods: one should perform [them] both for the great god (i.e. Rudra).<sup>806</sup>

The great-souled one should practise intense austerity  $(tapah)^{807}$  with exclusive devotion [for Śiva]. (76)

Through the hardships of cold and heat; water [[...]]. He should always be dedicated to mantra recitation and meditation, and should [have] the capacity for patient endurance of all kinds of pairs [of opposites].<sup>809</sup> (77)

Being intent upon mantra recitation, 810 and enjoying solitude, 811 [he should be] notice-

<sup>805</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 2:8: *apasavyaṃ ca pradakṣiṇam*. Kauṇḍinya glosses, *apasavyaṃ nāma yat savyād viparītam*. "*apasavya* means that which is opposite of left." On right-circumambulation he glosses, *pradakṣiṇaṃ nāma yad anyeṣām apasavyaṃ tad iha pradakṣiṇaṃ dharmaniṣpādakaṃ bhavati*. "right-circumambulation means that which is left-circumambulation for others, in this context (*iha*) that is right-cricumambulation, and it becomes the accomplishment of *dharma*."

He further says, *na kevalam kāraṇamūrtisāmarthyād amangalam mangalām āpadyate, apasavyaṃ ca pradakṣiṇam āpadyata ity arthaḥ.* "The meaning is— by the strength of the image of Śiva (*kāraṇamūrti*), not only does inauspicious becomes auspicious [but] also left-circumambulation becomes right-circumambulation."

Hara 1966:273, fn.2 points out that *apasavya* means wearing a sacred thread to the left side i.e. over the right shoulder. He (ibid.) in his note says, "It is possible that in giving the etymological sense of *apasavya*, Kauṇḍinya intends it to refer to the wearing of the sacred thread as well as to circumambulation." Although Hara's observation (and we find the same type of example in *Mitākṣarā* glossing *Yājñavalkyasmṛti* (1:132cd) is ingenious, the question remains whether a Pāśupata-ascestic is allowed to wear a sacred thread.

806Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 2:9–11; sūtra 9 says that one should worship Rudra in both ways: tasmād ubhayathā yaṣṭavyaḥ. sūtra 10 says Rudra should be worshipped in the manner of gods and of ancestors: devavat pitṛvac ca. Sūtra 11 gives the reason for worshipping Rudra/Śiva in both ways saying that both gods and ancestors are essentially within Rudra: ubhayaṃ tu rudre devāḥ pitaraś ca. According to Kauṇḍinya, those who seek the end of suffering duḥkhānta should not worship gods and ancestors. For this [for the attainment of the end of suffering] one should worship the great god (i.e. Śiva): tasmād duḥkhāntārthinā te devapitaro na yaṣṭavyāḥ l tadarthe bhagavān maheśvaro yaṣṭavyaḥ ity arthaḥ l

<sup>807</sup>This takes the essence from *Pāśupatasūtra* 2:16 atitaptam tapas tathā.

<sup>808</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 2:20 *nānyabhaktis tu śankare*, which literally means 'No devotion to any other [divinity] but [only] to Śankara'.

809 This seems to be an expansion on *tapas* explained in 4:76. We find no parallel to this line in the *Pāśupatasūtra*, but we do find a similar notion relating to *sarvadvandvasahiṣṇutā* in *Pāñcārthabhāṣya* p. 25: *ihādhyātmikādhibhautikādhidaivikānāṃ sarvadvandvānāṃ manasi śarīre ca upanipatitānāṃ sahiṣṇutvam apratīkāraś ceti |. "Since in this system forbearance (<i>sahiṣṇutva*) and absence of retaliation (*apratīkāra*) [are taught] in the face of all the ills that can fall on mind or body from within oneself, from the outside world and from fate." (Hara 1966:203). The same idea occurs in the *Pāñcārthabhāṣya* p. 121 and 122.

On the strength of this evidence we may say that what our text demonstrates is already in the *Pāñcārtha-bhāṣya* but we cannot say with certainty that this reading of *Niśvāsamukha* is influenced by Kauṇḍinya's *bhāṣya*.

<sup>810</sup>We find no precise reference to this line in either *Pāśupatasūtra* or Kauṇḍinya's *bhāṣya*. Note that the injunction of mantra recitation was mentioned above in 77a (*japadhyānaparo nityam*). Thus, one of them seems to be redundant. There is, however, a possibility that our text has some other additional source which is not accessible to us at this date.

<sup>811</sup>In this instance too we find no exact parallel in *Pāśupatasūtra*, at least following *Pāñcārthabhāṣya*. Perhaps, we can relate it to the first part of *Pāśupatasūtra* 5:39 ekaḥ kṣemī san vītaśokaḥ. The Guhyasūtra (1:21ab) also records this property of an ascetic,

able [in his deeds] without [any] religious mark, $^{812}$  doing transgressive actions, [he should wish to be] censured by people. $^{813}$  (78)

Being ill-treated,<sup>814</sup> he should observe the great  $p\bar{a}$  supata observance; [by doing so, he] will give [his] sins to those [who insult him], and take [from them the fruit of their] good deeds.<sup>815</sup> (79)

He should tremble, yell, limp (*mante*), or act the fool (*kunteti*), <sup>816</sup> [engage in] inappropriate behaviour [and] inappropriate speech, <sup>817</sup> he should always apply (*samupakramet*) inap-

ekāntaratiśīlaś ca dayāyukto yatiḥ smṛtaḥ | 121ab

• 121a ekāntarati<br/>śīlaś ca ] em.; ekāntarati --- N; ekāntaretisa<br/>ṃ  $\sqcup$  K; ekānaratisī  $^{-}$  W • 121b yatiḥ ] K; yati NW

Cf. also Brahmayāmala 55:162: **ekāntarataśīlas** tu sidhyate vigatāmayaḥ and Mārkaṇḍeyapurāṇa 41:26 samāhito brahmaparo 'pramādī śucis **tathaikāntaratir** jitendriyaḥ samāpnuyur yogam imaṃ mahādhiyo maharṣayaś caivam aninditāmalāḥ . The same verse occurs in Vāyupurāṇa 16:23 (where we find tathaivātmaratiḥ instead of tathaikāntaratiḥ) and Lingapurāṇa 88:29 with some variations.

<sup>812</sup>It is difficult to make sense of *eka* in the compound. We assume that *-linginah* is intended as a nominative singular. Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 3:1 *avyaktalingī*, 'without [any] religious mark' and *Pāśupatasūtra* 3:2 *vyaktācāraḥ*, 'noticeable deeds'.

<sup>813</sup>78cd is a paraphrase of *Pāśupatasūtra* 3:3–4 *avamata*, 'dishonoured' and *sarvabhūteṣu*, 'among all beings'. Our text rephrases *avamataḥ* as *jugupsita* 'disliked' and *sarvabhūteṣu* as *loka* 'world'.

<sup>814</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 3:5: *paribhūyamānaś caret*. This refers the well-known theory of exchange of good and bad *karma* of the *Pāśupatasūtra* (see Ingalls 1962: 287–293.) Kauṇḍinya mentions that a Pāśupata ascetic should consider that ill-treatment as though it were the consecration of a poor man as king: *sa paribhavo daridra-purusarājābhiseka iva drastavyah*.

<sup>815</sup>Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 3:8–9 pāpaṃ ca tebhyo dadāti and sukṛtaṃ ca teṣām ādatte. We must understand on the strength of Pāśupatasūtra 3:8 that the sense of ādatte in our text is similar to the dadāti of the Pāśupatasūtra. If we were to understand ādatte literally as 'take away', there would arise the unwanted corollary that the ascetic will take away both sins and the fruit of good deeds from those who ill-treat him which is not the meaning we want here.

 $^{816}$ Cf.  $Pasupatas\bar{u}tra~3:13-14$ :  $spandeta~v\bar{u}$  and  $manteta~v\bar{u}$ . The opinion of Prof. Diwakar Acharya, on the evidence of the parallel in the  $Taittir\bar{t}yabr\bar{u}hmana$  passage, is that the reading of the  $Pasupatas\bar{u}tra~3:13$  and 3:14 might originally have been spandeteva and manteteva respectively (Acharya 2013a:110). This translation (80ab) is based upon Prof. Acharya's translation (2013a). In our context  $spandam\bar{u}nas$ , mante and kunteti are formulated in optative third person singular, causing a number of problems in the reading. The first is  $spandam\bar{u}nas$ , which is presumably meant to mean spandeta; the second is the use of mante where the last syllable t is dropped for the sake of the meter; the third is kunteti where the final letter, t, is once again missing denoting the imparative third person singular, and the fourth is an aisa~sandhi between kunte and iti.

<sup>817</sup>These injunctions may be intended as a reflection of *Pāśupatasūtra* 3:16–17 *apitat kuryāt* and *apitad bhāṣet*, 'he should act improperly' and 'he should speak improperly' (Hara 1966:327). Kaundinya says on 3:16,

yamānām avirodhinām śucirūpakāṇām (corr/Hara; śuvirūpakāṇām) dravyāṇām kāṣṭhaloṣṭādīnām grahaṇadhāraṇasaṃsparśanādīni kartavyāni tatas te vaktāro vadanti asamyakkārī śucyaśucyoḥ kāryākāryayor avibhāgajña iti l

'[a Pāśupata-ascetic] should take or hold or touch an object such as a piece of wood or a lump of clay so that people say of him that he is acting improperly, and he does not know what is pure and what is not and so forth'.

This might be the sense adopted by our text and rephrased as *viruddhaceṣṭitam*. In his commentary on the *Pāśupatasūtra* (3:17) Kauṇḍinya mentions that **he should speak** means that he should say bad things, su-

propriate ointments, and always wear inappropriate ornaments on his body. 818 (80a–81b)

Humiliated, practicing harsh *tapas*, and blamed everywhere (*sarvalokeṣu*), he becomes great in *tapas*, 819 bereft of respect and benefit (*pūjālābhavivarjitaḥ*). 820 (81c–82b)

He [should be one who carries out] religious observances in secret;<sup>821</sup> [he should] act [as though] mad,<sup>822</sup> contravening ordinary observances.<sup>823</sup> He [should] conquer his senses,<sup>824</sup> be restrained, be forgiving, [and] free from desire.<sup>825</sup> He should [act in the manner of] a cow or an antelope,<sup>826</sup> [but] he [should] never eat the food [that is obtained from] a [single house].<sup>827</sup> (82c–83)

Salt and [[...]] fallen into [his] alms will not be defiled, 828 he, who practices the obser-

perfluous and contradictory, so that people will say of him that he is speaking ill and so forth: apārthakaṃ punaruktaṃ vyāhataṃ bhāṣitavyam iti tatas te vaktāro vadanti asamyagvādī vācyāvācyayor avibhāgajña iti t. We assume that this is the meaning of viruddha vākya in our text.

<sup>818</sup>We do not find any parallel in either *Pāśupatasūtra* or *bhāṣya*.

 $^{819}$ Both  $krchratap\bar{a}$  and  $mah\bar{a}tap\bar{a}$  read without the final s as if these were n-stems. The unit 81c–82a paraphrases  $P\bar{a}$  supatas $\bar{u}$  tra 3:19:  $paribh\bar{u}$  yam $\bar{a}$  no hi  $vidv\bar{a}$  n krts natap $\bar{a}$  bhavati 'A wise man, being ill-treated accomplishes all tapas'. Our text does not mention two elements of  $s\bar{u}$  tra: hi and  $vidv\bar{a}$  n. It reads  $mah\bar{a}tapas$  instead of krts natapas.

<sup>820</sup>This word appears to have no clear counterpart in the  $P\bar{a}$ supatas $\bar{u}$ tra.

<sup>821</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 4:2: gūḍhavrataḥ. This marks the beginning of the fourth stage of the religious life of a Pāśupata ascetic according to Kaundinya.

<sup>822</sup>Note an *aiśa* double *sandhi*. Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 4:6 *unmattavad eko vicareta loke* | 'He must wander about by himself like a madman'. In this case the *Niśvāsamukha* does not rephrase the complete *sūtra*.

<sup>823</sup>Alternatively this half-verse might be translated: 'In the practice [called] "worldly", he conceals his [own real] observance, acts as though mad and flouts convention (*vilomī*)'. We do not find any parallel to this in either *Pāśupatasūtra* or *bhāsya*.

<sup>824</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 5:11: *jitendriyaḥ*. According to Kauṇḍinya the state of conquering the senses is being able to direct and hold back the senses according to one's own will (*jitendriyatvaṃ nāma utsarganigrahayogyatvam*). *Manusmṛti* 2:98, however, says a *jitendriya* is, *śrutvā spṛṣṭvā ca dṛṣṭvā ca bhuktvā ghrātvā ca yo naraḥ na hṛṣyati glāyati vā sa vijñeyo jitendriyaḥ na hṛṣyati glāyati vā sa vijñeyo jitendriyaḥ or when a man feels neither elation nor revulsion at hearing, touching, seeing, eating or smelling anything, he should be recognised as a man who has mastered his organs (Olivelle 2005:99)". This marks the beginning of the third stage of the religious life of a Pāśupata ascetic according to Kauṇḍinya.* 

<sup>825</sup>We find no parallel to *dāntaś ca kṣamī kāmavivarjitaḥ* in either *Pāśupatasūtra* or *bhāṣya*.

<sup>826</sup>Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 5:18: *godharmā mrgadharmā vā*. Kaundinya says that although there exist qualities in a cow and in an antelope, we should understand that the intended meaning here is spiritual qualities such as the enduring pairs of opposites and the like (i.e. pain/pleasure etc.): *tayos tu sati dharmabahutve samāno dharmo grhyate, ādhyātmikādidvandvasahiṣṇutvam*. Cf. also *Gaṇakārikā* verse 3b *dvaṃdvajayaḥ* "overcoming the pairs of opposites" and the commentary *Ratnaṭīkā* on it (p. 6). For more details, see D. Acharya 2013b.

827 Cf. Niśvāsamukha 4:35. Cf. also Ratnaṭīkā p. 5. There is, however, no parallel for this line in either Pāśupatasūtra or Pāñcārthabhāṣya.

<sup>828</sup>Perhaps we may conjecture *lavaṇaṃ madhu māṃsaṃ ca*. Cf. *Pāśupatasūtra* 5:14–16: *bhaikṣyam* 'alms', *pātragatam* 'fallen into [alms] pot' and *māṃsam aduṣyaṃ lavaṇeṇa vā* 'meat is undefiled even with salt'. For the proposed supplying of *madhu*, cf. Kaundinya's *avatārikā* of 5:16, which reads: *āha brahmacārikalpe madhumāṃsa-lavaṇavarjanam iti*. Cf. also *Manusmṛti* 2:177 *varjayen madhu māṃsaṃ ca gandhaṃ mālyaṃ rasān striyaḥ i śuktāni yāni sarvāṇi prāṇināṃ caiva hiṃsanam*. Diwakar Acharya suggests that alternatively we may conjecture *lavaṇaṃ cāpi māṃsaṃ ca* in which case the conjecture is closer to the *Pāśupatasūtra* than to the *Pāñcārthabhāṣya*; this is more likely since *Niśvāsamukha* contains no echo of *Pāñcārthabhāṣya*.

vances of the right path eats them. 829 (84)

With breath-control ( $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}maih$ ) and meditative fixations ( $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{a}bhih$ ),  $^{830}$  he should focus his mind on the sound om,  $^{831}$  living in desolate dwellings or caves,  $^{832}$  [and] he should always go to the cremation ground.  $^{833}$  (85)

If somebody always remains thus,<sup>834</sup> devoid of pride and greed,<sup>835</sup> he will obtain [the state of] omniscience,<sup>836</sup> and also [of divine] hearing and seeing. [There will arise] reflection, purification, wisdom,<sup>837</sup> and whatever he desires.<sup>838</sup> Great [[...]],<sup>839</sup> he will attain union with Rudra.<sup>840</sup> (86–87)

An accomplished one will not return [to this world]; if he fails [to practise these obser-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>829</sup>The syntax is irregular here. Perhaps msK is right in correcting to °cāriṇaḥ, which could be treated as a nominative. We find no exact parallel for this either in Pāśupatasūtra or in Kauṇḍinya's bhāṣya, but there is a possibility that sanmārggavratacāriṇe is a distant paraphrase of the Pāśupatasūtra 4:16–17 sarvaviśiṣṭo 'yaṇi panthāḥ "This faith is distinguished above all [others]" (Hara 1966:367) and satpathaḥ "The good path" (Hara 1966:367).

<sup>830</sup> Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 5:25: hṛdi kurvīta dhāraṇām 'he should fix [oṃ] in the heart'.

<sup>831</sup> Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 5:24: onkāram abhidhyāyīta.

<sup>832</sup>Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 5:9: śūnyāgāraguhāvāsī.

 $<sup>^{833}</sup>$ Or alternatively 'Only (eva) when he is permanent[ly in the mental presence of god] (nityah)'. This interpretation assumes that the m in nitya-m-eva is a euphonic glide consonant, and that nitya is intended as an adjective describing the ascetic, echoing  $P\bar{a}$ \$\text{supatas}\bar{u}tra 5:10: devanityah "Constantly associated with God" (Hara 1966:395).

The Ratnaṭīkā (p. 15) notes that the automatic uninterrupted flow of thought towards Rudra creates closeness to Rudra and when this very closeness reaches to the highest degree, it is called devanityatva: viṣayiṇām iṣṭa-viṣayeṣv ivānicchato 'pi rudre cittavṛttipravāhaḥ samīpaṇ, tad evātyantotkarṣāpannaṇ devanityatvam iti | The same text (p. 21) commenting on Gaṇakārikā 7b sadārudrasmṛtiḥ, 'always remembering Rudra' states basically the same thing.

Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 5:30: śmaśānavāsī 'Living in a cremation ground'. According to Kauṇḍinya, a Pāśupata aspirant is supposed to live in a cremation ground in this fourth stage. He notes that (see his comment on Pāśupatasūtra 5:30) there are five stages for a Pāśupata aspirant. In the first stage he lives in a temple; in the second he lives wherever he happens to be (loke) (this is an ingenious suggestion of Hara, but Śāstri's edition reads āyatane which is certainly wrong since āyatana has already been mentioned); in the third stage he lives in an empty house or a cave; in fourth stage in a cremation ground; and in the fifth he lives where the god is. See also Ratnaṭīkā pp. 16–17.

<sup>834</sup> This may reflect *Pāśupatasūtra* 1:19 *caratalı* 'practising.'

 $<sup>^{835}</sup>$ We find no exact parallel to this in either  $P\bar{a}$ śupatasūtra or  $P\bar{a}$ ñc $\bar{a}$ rthabh $\bar{a}$ ṣya.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>836</sup>Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:22 sarvajñatā.

<sup>837</sup> Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:21: dūradarśanaśravaṇamananavijñānāni cāsya pravartante 'There comes about for him seeing, hearing, reflection and comprehension of [things that are] far-off'. Our text, if K is right in its reading, mentions one extra element śodhana 'purification'. Cf. also Mūlasūtra 7:19–20 and Yogabhāṣya on 2:43.

<sup>838</sup>Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 1:24 kāmarūpitvam. Our text does not have the same wording as the Pāśupatasūtra.

<sup>839</sup> Ex.conj. There may have been a partial paraphrase of Pāśupatasūtra 5:26 (ṛṣir vipro mahān eṣaḥ), due to the urge of the meter, as this sūtra contains the mahān element. We could possibly propose mahāviprarṣi, assuming irregular omission of visarga due to the metrical reasons. Or it could have been the case that it was a paraphrase of the text of the Pāśupatasūtra (5:23) ato yogaḥ pravartate. If this were the case, a possible conjecture could be mahāyogena. Then, the translation would be: By the means of great yoga ...

<sup>840</sup> Cf. Pāśupatasūtra 5:33: labhate rudrasāyujyam.

vances], he will go to hell. I have taught [you] the atyāśramavrata, 841 (88abc)

[4.2 Lokātīta: cosmology of the Lākulas]

Hear now the ( $lok\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}ta$ ).<sup>842</sup> Touched with the five Brahmamantras and initiated, he should wander. He should carry a skull-topped staff ( $khatv\bar{a}ng\bar{\imath}$ ) and [an alms-bowl fashioned from] a human cranium ( $kap\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ ). He should have matted locks ( $jat\bar{\imath}$ ) or have his head shaved (mundah).<sup>843</sup> (88d–89)

He should wear a sacred thread made from the hair [of the dead] ( $v\bar{a}layaj\tilde{n}opav\bar{t}t\bar{\imath}$ ) and he should adorn himself with a chaplet fashioned from human skull-bones. He may wear nothing but a strip of cloth to cover his private parts. He must smear himself with ashes and decorate himself with celestial ornaments. (90)

Seeing all things as Rudra in essence he should hold firmly to his observance as Rudra's devotee. He may eat and drink anything. No action is forbidden to him. He should remain immersed in contemplation of Rudra. (91)

[Thinking] "none but Rudra can save me. He is the deity supreme." Provided that he has first understood the [Lākula] cosmic hierarchy of eleven [levels] he should practise his observance, remaining free of all inhibition (nirviśańkalı). (92)

On the first [level] is this [lower universe which we call the] Net ( $j\bar{a}lam$ ). <sup>844</sup> On the second are the Embodiments ( $m\bar{u}rtisamj\bar{n}akam$ ) [the Śatarudrāḥ, the five Ogdoads ( $pa\bar{n}c\bar{a}stak\bar{a}ni$ ), the eight Devayonis, the eight Yogas, the three Lines of Gurus (gurupanktitrayam)]. On the third is the bound soul ( $pa\acute{s}uh$ ). On the fourth are the bonds ( $p\bar{a}\acute{s}ah$ )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>841</sup>Literally atyāśrama means "beyond the [four] āśrama system". Already in the *Mahābhārata* the term atyāśrama is established with reference to Pāśupata. *Mahābhārata* 12:28:405–7 states that atyāśrama is a Pāśupata system; this system is similar in some respects to the dharma that is practised by varṇāśramins (hierarchy of caste and stage of life) and different in others: varṇāśramakṛtair dharmair viparītaṃ kvacit samam | gatāntair adhyavasitam atyāśramam idaṃ vratam | mayā pāśupataṃ dakṣa yogam utpāditaṃ purā. Paurāṇic occurrences also allude that atyāśrama refers to the Pāśupata system. For this cf. Kūrmapurāṇa 1:13:38 and 2:11:66–68, Lingapurāṇa 55:26c–27b and Vāyavīyasaṃhitā 33:84–84.

In Śankara's commentary on *Chāndogyopaniṣad* 2:1:23, however, it (atyāśrama) refers to the highest ascetic, paramahaṃsa: tathehāpi brahmasaṃsthaśabdo nivṛttasarvakarmatatsādhanaparivrāḍ ekaviṣaye 'tyāśramiṇi paramahaṃsākhye vṛtta iha bhavitum arhati [...] tasmād idaṃ tyaktasarvabāhyaiṣaṇair ananyaśaraṇaiḥ paramahaṃsaparivrājakair atyāśramibhir vedāntavijñānaparair eva vedanīyam. This word atyāśrama occurs in Śvetāśvataropaniṣad 6:21, which may stand for the same meaning as is taken by Śankara.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>842</sup>This translation, from 88c–100b, closely follows that of Sanderson (2006:164–165). The annotation, which is mainly on the linguistic features of the text, is mine. See Sanderson (2006:164ff.) for more details about the interpretation of this part of the text.

<sup>843</sup> Note a euphonic glide *m* in *muṇḍameva*; alternatively this might be an *aiśa* use for *muṇḍī eva*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>844</sup>Prof. Diwakar Acharya has pointed out an interesting discussion in Kauṇḍinya's *Bhāṣya* (on *Pāśupatasūtra* 5:35) where the Net is defined. I quote here his translation of the relevant portion:

In this system, when demerit is in unaltered state/uniformity, it has not yet begun to produce its effects, till then it is named as 'the cause.' But when by the force of the latent impression of nescience it is consolidated and, by that process, has entered the state of stability and further, it receives the name 'net' (D. Acharaya 2013b:18).

(Gahana up to Ananta) and on the fifth are the Vigrahas. These are termed the impure [levels]. I have explained the impure cosmos (aśuddhamārga). Hear me now as I teach the pure cosmos (śuddhamārgaḥ). (93–94)

[First is] the Womb (*yoniḥ*), Vāgeśvarī, from which one is [re]born as Praṇava [the second pure level]. The third is [that of] Dhātṛ and the fourth is [that of] Dhyāna. (95)

The fifth is called Tejīśa['s] and the sixth is placed as Dhruva['s]. When he has gained knowledge of all this, from the lowest hell (Avīci) [in the Net] up to [the world of] Dhruva, he attains liberation. (96)

In order to enable him to accomplish his goal of sporting ( $kr\bar{t}d\bar{a}rthasiddhaye$ ) [in ever higher levels of the universe the officiant] should first meditate on the hierarchy of these levels. Then [when he has] purified that hierarchy,<sup>845</sup> he should initiate [him] by means of the word 'atha'. (97)

Initiated through the descent of that word (*athaśabdanipātena*) he will cease to be a soul in bondage. Provided that [the initiate] maintains the observances he attains liberation [at death], even if he is a sinner. Of this there is no doubt. I have now explained the *lokātīta*. What else do you wish to know? (98)

#### The goddess spoke:

I have learned these eleven levels (*tattvāl*!) only as names. Explain this matter again in greater detail, O Maheśvara. (99)

#### The great god spoke:

[1] Avīcī, [2] Kṛminicaya, [3] Vaitaraṇī, [4] Kuṭaśālmalī, [5] mount Yamala, <sup>846</sup> [6] Uc-chvāsa, [7] Nirucchvāsa and then [8] Pūtimāṃsadrava, [9] Trapu, [10] Taptajatu then [11] Paṃkālaya, [12] Asthibhaṅga, [13] Krakacaccheda and [14] Medao'sṛkpūyahrada, [15] Tīkṣṇāyastuṇḍa, then [16] Aṅgārarāśibhuvana, [17] Śakuni, [18] Ambarīṣaka, [19] ?, [20] Asitālavana, then [21] Sūcīmukha, [22] Kṣuradhāra, [23] Kālasūtra, then [24] Parvata, <sup>847</sup> then [25] Padma is taught, then [26] Mahāpadma, then [27] Apāka, [28] Sāra, <sup>848</sup> [29] Uṣṇa, [30] Sañjīvana, [31] Sujīvana, [32] Śītatamas, [33] Andhatamas, [34] Mahāraurava and [35] Raurava; these thirty-two hells I have taught, O goddess, together with one hundred and eight [[...]] conjoined. [I have] taught these one hundred and forty hells. <sup>849</sup> (100–106)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>845</sup>Ex conj.</sup> As the Lākula initiation involves purification of cosmic hierarchy (Sanderson 2006:192), we are tempted to accept the conjecture of Prof. Diwakar Acharya, *sodhya*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>846</sup>The *Guhyasūtra* (4:46), however, records *giriyāmala*. Many Śaiva sources record this hell with variations in wording. Variation is made possible as it involves two words *giriḥ yāmalaḥ* and the authors could play with the synonyms of both. The *Skandapurāṇa* (Bakker, Bisschop & Yokochi 2013:82, fn. 285) calls it Yamalācala. In *Svacchandatantra* 10:46 it is called Yugmaparvata.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>847</sup>Parvata to be mentioned as a hell is unusual. Thus, 'tha parvatah could be a corruption for 'siparvata as in the Guhyasūtra 4:36. In this case number [24] would be Asiparvata.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>848</sup>We do not know the hells Apāka and Sāra from other sources. It is possible that these two words refer to a single hell.

 $<sup>^{849}</sup>Ex\ conj$ . This is a conjecture of Sanderson's that refers to a Middle Indo-Aryan form ( $cat\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}sa$ ) for forty. This conjecture appears likely since it is found in the  $Guhyas\bar{u}tra$  (4:33c–34b) that the total number of hells is one hundred plus the half of eighty:

Now I shall teach the  $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}las$ ; learn [about them] O famous [one]!<sup>850</sup> The first ( $\bar{a}dau$ ), called Mahātala, is said [to have] a black ground;<sup>851</sup> the second is Rasātala: it is said to be made of white crystal; the third one, Talātala, is [said to] have brazen ground; the fourth one has ground of copper and it is called Nitala. Sutala, which has a silver ground,<sup>852</sup> is taught as [being] the fifth [ $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}la$ ]. The sixth, named Vitala, is encrusted with gemstones. The seventh is named Nitala and it is said to be golden. I have taught the seven [ $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}las$ ] in due sequence; [now] hear about the lords of  $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}las$ .<sup>853</sup> (107a–111b)

Nāgas, Garuḍas, egg-born Kiṃpuruṣas,  $^{854}$  Agni, Vāyu, Varuṇa and the lords of demons [viz. Rākṣasas(?)] $^{855}$  are the denizens [of these underworlds]  $(niv\bar{a}sinyah)^{856}$  have been taught; now hear [about] the earth  $(bh\bar{u}lokam)$  which encompasses the seven

tasyopari samākhyātan narakāṇāṃ śataṃ priye | | 4:33 | | aśītyarddhottaraṃ ghoraṃ avīcyādyaṃ bhayaṅkaram |

• 34a aśītyarddho° ] N; asī ⊔ ttaraṃ K; aśīya ◊ W

We find <code>catālīsa</code> meaning "forty" in the ninth century manuscript of <code>Pārameśvara</code> fol. 22r, lin. 1, where its colophon reads <code>iti pārameśvare mahātantre sāmānyaprakaraṇe samayapaṭalam[ | ] ślokāḥ ṣaṭcatālīsa</code>. It is noteworthy that in the <code>Pāia-sadda-mahaṇṇavo</code> the cardinal number forty is called <code>cattālīsa</code> (see s.v. <code>cattālīsa</code>). If <code>catālīsa</code> was indeed the original form behind <code>catāla</code> which is the reading of N and W, then this might have noteworthy consequences in the assessment of the language of our manuscript. The text in its original version may have contained more <code>Prākṛtic</code> forms and they may have disappeared during transmission of the text. This evidence, moreover, provides us with further support for the supposition that this portion of the text must have been composed somewhere in Northern India, as we would not expect Sanskrit written in Southern India to contain such <code>Prākṛtic</code> forms.

Although the text states that there are thirty-two hells, in actual count it records thirty-five of them. A similar list of hells is shared by the *Guhyasūtra* (4:34ff.). However, a common list of hells consist of twenty-one (Bakker, Bisschop & Yokochi 2013:81, fn. 279). In the context of Śaiva Siddhānta, the standard list bears thirty-two hells (Sanderson 2003-4:422; Goodall 2004:282, fn. 487). The *Skandapurāṇa* attests only thirteen hells (Bakker, Bisschop & Yokochi 2013:81, fn. 279). It is possible that the Pāśupatas had a different number of hells in their system or, alternatively, their list didn't have a fixed number.

<sup>850</sup>This text records the list of seven *pātālas*. They are as follows: [1] Mahātala, [2] Rasātala, [3] Talātala, [4] Nitala, [5] Sutala, [6] Vitala and [7] Nitala. Their respective colour is: [1] black, [2] white crystal, [3] brazen, [4] copper, [5] silver [6] gemstones and [7] golden. Kirfel (1967:144) lists the *pātālas* attested in the Purāṇic sources: the same number of *pātālas* are attested there too. The order and colour of the *pātālas* mentioned in our text, however, are different from those recorded in Purāṇic sources.

<sup>851</sup>This most likely means that it is of iron.

<sup>852</sup>Ex conj. Sanderson here (2006:166) conjectures differently (*raityabhauman tu*), but the sequence of materials suggests that silver would be appropriate.

<sup>853</sup>Probably a portion of the text is lost here as what are mentioned in the following section seem to be the creatures that are the inhabitants of the respective  $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}las$  and not the lords of the  $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}las$ . Alternatively, the mentioned creatures in the following section can be considered as the lords of  $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}las$ . The problem remains that the concerned section starts with  $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}l\bar{a}dhipat\bar{n}m$  ''hear about the lords of  $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}las$ '' and ends with *kathitās tu nivāsinyo* "the inhabitants [of the  $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}las$ ] have been taught." This at least shows some corruption in the text

854 Ex conj. Sanderson here (2006:166) reads kimpuruṣāṃtajāḥ.

 $^{855} Note$  an irregular genitive plural within the aluksamāsa.

<sup>856</sup>We assume that this feminine nominative plural is used in place of the required masculine in order to avoid a clumsy metrical cadence.

continents and is bounded by seven oceans, is endowed with land-masses, trees and mountains, is covered with forests and groves, endowed with rivers and seas, filled with throngs of sages and gods, and frequented by *gandharvas* and nymphs. (111c–114b)

Virtue (*dharma*), material achievement (*artha*), fulfilment of desires ( $k\bar{a}ma$ ) and liberation (mok sa)— all are rooted in this [world]. This [world] I have taught is the terrestrial world ( $bh\bar{u}rlokah$ ); beyond this there is the world [called] bhuvah. (114c–115b)

The world [called] *svar* is above that, [then further] the worlds *mahas*, *jana* and *tapas*, and [further] above [those] the world [called] *satya*, and above that the world of Brahmā; then above [that] the residence of Viṣṇu, and [further] above [that] the city of Śiva. This [that] I have explained is the *brahmāṇḍa* covered in layers of shell. [Beyond that, supporting it, are] the hundred Rudras, <sup>858</sup> [above them] the five groups of eight [abodes] (*pañcāṣṭau*), <sup>859</sup> then the eight *devayonis*, [above them] <sup>860</sup> the eight Yogas (*yogāṣṭaka*), [above them] <sup>861</sup> Suśiva, <sup>862</sup> and above [him] the three lineages of gurus. Above that <sup>863</sup> is the group of *tattvas* (*tattvasargam*). <sup>864</sup> Now hear [this] from me as I tell you about it. (115c–118)

[This consists of] primal nature ( $pradh\bar{a}na$ ), intellect (buddhi), I-ness ( $aha\dot{n}k\bar{a}ra$ ), the [five] subtle elements ( $tanm\bar{a}tr\bar{a}ni$ ), the [ten] senses ( $indriy\bar{a}ni$ ), the five [gross] elements ( $bh\bar{u}t\bar{a}ni$ ), and the mind (manas), which is included among both [organs of action and perception]. 865

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>857</sup>This may be intended to asseverate, by implication, that it is a *karmabhūmi*. All other worlds are therefore presumably *bhogabhūmis*, in which the fruits of actions can be enjoyed but not stored up.

<sup>858</sup> The individual names of these hundred Rudras are listed in *Guhyasūtra* 7:81–110b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>859</sup>These are the forty *bhuvana*s grouped into five sets of eight that have the same name of the forty pilgrimage sites on earth (*Niśvāsamukha* 3:19ff.). As far as we can tell the Lākula sect of Pāśupatas was responsible to include the forty pilgrimage sites into the list of *bhuvanas* of their cosmology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>860</sup>According to *Guhyasūtra* 7:126, these eight Devayonis are: Paiśāca, Rākṣasa, Yākṣa, Gāndharva, Indra (which is meant to be Aindra), Prājāpatya, Saumya and Brāhmya. Cf. also *Svacchandatantra* 10:315.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>861</sup>These Yogāṣṭakas are: Akṛṭa, Kṛṭa, Raibhava, Brāhma, Vaiṣṇava, Kaumāra, Bhauma and Śrīkaṇṭha (see *Guhyasūṭra* 7:134–135). These, according to *Guhyasūṭra* 7:135, are the abodes of Yogis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>862</sup>Suśiva seems to represent a collective singular noun as the *Guhyasūtra* 7:136–138 and *Svacchandatantra* 10:139-141 record twelve Suśivas. The first two names are not visible in the manuscript due to physical damage. According to the *Svacchandatantra* these two first names are Vāma and Bhīma. The rest of the names recorded in the *Guhyasūtra* are: Bhava, Śarva, Vidyādhipati, Ekavīra, Pracaṇḍadhṛt, Īśāna, Umābhartā, Ajeśa, Ananta and Ekaśiva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>863</sup>The three lineages of gurus are mentioned with their names in *Guhyasūtra* 7:145–160 and *Svacchandatantra* 10:147–1061. The list of gurus presumably involves eighty-two in numbers (*Svacchandatantra* Ibid). The first row consists of thirty-three gurus, the second row consists of thirty gurus and the third row consists of twenty-one. What seems to be clear is that these teachers are considered to be the Rudras (*Guhyasūtra* 7:144). Note an *aiśa* double *sandhi* between *tataḥ* and *ūrdhvam* in 115c and 116a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>864</sup>It is presumably the ontology of Sānkhyas, which consists of the well-known twenty-five *tattvas*. This is what will be told in the immediately following verses. Cf. *Guhyasūtra* 7:160ff.

<sup>865</sup>The assigning to the mind of a double function is found already in Sānkhyakārikā 27a: ubhayātmakam atra manah, Gaudapāda ad loc. glosses as follows: atra indriyavarge mana ubhayātmakam | buddīndriyeşu buddhīndriyavat, karmendriyeşu karmendriyavat | kasmāt, buddhīndriyāṇāṃ pravṛttiṃ kalpayati karmendriyāṇāṃ ca | tasmād ubhayātmakaṃ manah |

<sup>&</sup>quot;here in the set of organs, the mind is of the nature of both. Among the organs of sense, it is like an organ of sense; among the organs of action, it is like an organ of action. Why?—[Because]

These are the twenty-four *tattvas*; *puruṣa* is the twenty-fifth. (119–120b) This twenty-fifth (i.e. *puruṣa*) is born out of six *kośas*, with [three coming] from the mother [and three] from the father, and nourished with food and liquids.<sup>866</sup> (120c–121b)

[Above these twenty-five tattvas that are known as Sānkhya tattvas] there is Gahana; 867

it determines the functioning of the organs of sense and action. Therefore, the mind is of the nature of both" (Sharma, 1933:40).

Kaundinya's comment on *Pāśupatasūtra* 2:27 (*manomanāya namaḥ*) expresses the idea as follows: *atra manaḥśabdenāntaḥkaraṇaṃ tattantratvāt udāharaṇārthatvāt ca manograhaṇasya ubhayātmakatvāt ca manasaḥ sarvakaraṇagrahaṇānugrahaṇāt ca*. Similarly *Guhyasūtra* 7.165: *buddhīndriyāṇi pañcaiva manaś caivobhayātmakaḥ* See also *Svacchandatantra* 11:81 and *Parākhya* 4:125. *Mataṅgavidyāpāda* 18:80–82 describes the mind with its presiding deity and activity in detail. For further details, see Goodall 2004: fn. 430.

A second meaning of this expression is the mind's being associated with *dharma* and *adharma* or with *pāpa* and *puṇya*. The *Yogabhāṣya* is very likely the first text to attest the idea. The *Yogabhāṣya* on the *sūtra abhyāsavairā-gyābhyāṇ tannirodhaḥ* (1:12) comments as follows: *cittanadī nāma ubhayatovāhinī, vahati kalyāṇāya vahati pāpāya ca | yā tu kaivalyaprāgbhārā vivekaviṣayanimnā sā kalyāṇavahā; saṃsāraprāgbhārāvivekaviṣayanimnā pāpavahā |* 

The so-called river of mind-stuff, whose flow is in both directions, flows towards good and flows towards evil. Now when it is borne onward to Isolation (*kaivalya*), downward towards discrimination, then it is flowing unto good; when it is borne onward to the whirlpool-of-existence, downward towards non-discrimination, then it is flowing into evil. (Woods 1927:34)

For an expression of this idea in our text, see *Niśvāsanaya* 2:14: *manaś caikādaśo jñeyam ubhayor api dhāvati*, "Mind should be understood as the eleventh [sense organ] which runs after both". That this means *dharma* and *adharma* is implied by *Niśvāsanaya* 2:53ab: *manas tu kathitaṇ hy etad dharmmādharmmanibandhakam*.

A third double function of mind is "volition and doubt" (sankalpavikalpātmaka), see Niśvāsanaya 2:52cd saṃkalpāś ca vikalpāś ca daśadhākṣṣṣu dhāvati | '[The mind], for the sake of volition and doubt, engages in sense faculties tenfold'. Svacchandatantra 12:31 makes Niśvāsanaya's reading smoother by rephrasing saṃkalpe ca vikalpe ca [...]. instead. Kṣemarāja ad loc. glosses buddhikarmendriyaviṣaye yah idaṃ śritam idam ādadhe iti saṃkalpaḥ, yaś ca idam īdṛḍam iti niścayātmā vikalpaḥ, which means, to him saṃkalpa is 'approach' and vikalpa is 'resolution'. Cf. also Śaṅkara's commentary on Īśāvāsyopaniṣad 4, and Gītābhāṣya ad 3:43, 10:22, 12:8 and 12.14. <sup>866</sup>Guhyasūtra 7:161–62 defines this as:

snāyvasthiśukrasaṃghātaṃ paitṛkan trikam ucyate | tvanmāṃsāñ caiva raktañ ca mātṛkan trikam ucyate | | 7:161 | | etad annena satataṃ pānena ca vivarddhitam | sarvayonyāṃ śarīran tu ṣaṭkauśikam udāḥṛtam | | 7:162 | |

• 161b trikam ] K; trkam NW• 161d trikam ] K; trkam NW• 162a satatam ] NW; samtatam K

"The group of tendons, bones and marrow is called a triad [coming from] the father; skin, flesh and blood is called a triad coming from the mother. These six elements always get enveloped by food and water: the body, in all wombs, is taught —made of six kośas." In the list of the Suprabhedāgama śukra is replaced by majjā. See Suprabhedāgamavidyāpāda 21:22: asthi snāyuś ca majjā ca pitrjaṃs trayam eva tu | tvanmāṃsaśoṇitañ caiva mātrjaṃ trikam eva ca | itthaṃ ṣaṭkauśikaṃ proktaṃ śarīrān tais tu jāyate | — For further details, see Goodall 2007:154–155.

867 Gahana probably refers to Gahaneśa Rudra who is listed among the teachers that fall into the first row of gurus (Goodall et al. 2015:296).

above that is Vigraheśa;<sup>868</sup> above him is Śivaśańkara,<sup>869</sup> Asādhya, Harirudra,<sup>870</sup> [and] ten lords (*-daśeśakam*).<sup>871</sup> Then five pupils, five teachers,<sup>872</sup> then [above them] three great gods.<sup>873</sup> (121c–122)

```
tasmād api daśeśānāḥ saṃsthitāḥ kāmarūpiṇaḥ | suhṛṣṭas suprahṛṣṭaś ca surūpo rūpavarddhanaḥ | | 232 | | manonmanas samākhyātaḥ sumanonmana eva ca | mahāvīras suvīraś ca vīreśo daśamah smrtah | | 233 | |
```

• 233b sumanonmana ] KW; sunonmana N

The Svacchandatantra mentions nine of them and calls them vīreśas. See SvaT 10.1113–114:

```
suhṛṣṭaḥ suprahṛṣṭaś ca surūpo rūpavardhanaḥ \ 1113
manonmano mahādhīraḥ vīreśaḥ parikīrtitaḥ \ 1114ab
```

```
 • 1113a suhrstah suprahrsta<br/>ś ca | KSTS; suhrsta suprahrstañ ca N_1   • 114b vīreśah parikīrtitah <br/> N_1; vīreśah parikīrtitāh KSTS
```

Ksemaraja, of course depending on the Kashmirian recension of *Svacchandatantra*, counts eight and calls them *vīreśas*. *Svacchandauddyota* ad loc.: *yathā niyatikālagatā rudrāḥ śaṅkarāḥ śivāś coktāḥ, tathā ete vīreśā ucyante duṣpariharatvāc caivam uktāḥ* |

<sup>872</sup>Guhyasūtra 7:234–35 lists these five groups of pupils and five groups of teachers as follows:

```
ata ūrdhvaṃ bhavec chiṣyāḥ kalyāṇā ((du)) --- rmmitāḥ | kalyāṇaḥ piṅgalo babhrus sarvaḥ suvara eva ca | | 234 | | medhāvī atithiś caiva cchedako dāhakas tathā | śāstrakārī ca nirddiṣṭā daśaite guravaḥ smṛtāḥ | | 235 | |.
```

• 234b sarvaḥ suvara ] *em.*; sarva śuvara N; sarva ⊔ vara K; sarva ⊔ W

This list may appear to tell us that there are ten teachers (daśaite guravaḥ smṛtāḥ), but actually we need to understand that, although they are all in some sense gurus, the first five are pupils and the second five teachers. Kṣemarāja in the commentary of verse 10.1115cd makes this point clear by saying ādyāḥ śiṣyāḥ, antyā ācāryā "the first are pupils and the second are teachers". The Svacchandatantra, however, records a slightly different list of pupils and teachers to the Guhyasūtra; instead of the two pupils Sarva and Suvara the Svacchandatantra mentions Vīra and Prabha, and instead of Chedaka, it has Chandaka. See Svacchandatantra 1115-6:

```
kalyāṇaḥ pingalo babhrur vīraś ca prabhavas tathā |
medhātithiś cchandakaś ca dāhakaḥ śāstrakāriṇaḥ | 1115
pañca śiṣyās tathācāryā daśaite parikīrttitāḥ | 1116ab
```

115a babhrur ] KSTS; babhru N₁ • 116b parikīrttitāḥ ] N₁; saṃvyavasthitāḥ KSTS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>868</sup>We are not able to identify the position of Vigraheśa. According to Goodall et al. (2015:296) "Vigraha refers either to Vigraheśa (cf. *Niśvāsamukha* 4:122, *Guhyasūtra* 1:117, 7:56 and perhaps 7:149) or to the group of eight worlds (*vigrahāṣṭaka*) which he presumably governs (cf. *Guhyasūtra* 7:220-1)."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>869</sup>According to *Guhyasūtra* 7:225–228, this is refers to ten Śaṅkaras and ten Śivas with reserved order of two sets.

 $<sup>^{870}</sup>$ The reading of *Guhyasūtra* 7:131 and *Svacchandatantra* 10:1113 suggest, by reading the dual *hariharu varau*, that these are two distinct worlds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>871</sup>Note an *aiśa dvandva* compound having a number in between. The ten lords, as recorded in *Guhyasūtra* 7:232–33, are as follows:

<sup>873</sup> Guhyasūtra 7:236 records the list of three great gods as follows: vāmo jyeṣṭhaś ca rudraś ca mahādevatrayaṃ

Then above [them] Gopati, situated in the knot [of  $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ ],<sup>874</sup> at the head [of the knot of  $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$  are the] five lords ( $m\bar{u}rdhn\bar{a}bhibhavapa\bar{u}cakam$ ).<sup>875</sup> [Then above] is Ananta, and the

smṛtam, "Vāma, Jyeṣṭha and Rudra are understood to be the three great gods." However the Svacchandatantra, and Tantrasadbhāva 10:1152 in its turn, gives a different list of three gods: Mahādeva, Mahātejā and Mahājyoti. See Svacchandatantra 10.1118–1119:

kalātattve mahādevi mahādevatrayam sthitam \ 1118cd mahādevo mahātejo mahājyotih pratāpavān \ 1119ab

• 1119a mahātejo ] N<sub>1</sub>; mahātejā KSTS

874Literally "the knot of Gopati," which is not likely. We would expect simply Gopati who is situated in the *granthi* i.e. the *māyāgranthi*. That is what we have in *Guhyasūtra* 1:119: *mahādevatrayaṃ yac ca gopatir granthisaṃsthitāḥ* (perhaps we need to emend to *granthisaṃsthitāḥ* or simply understand it so). *Guhyasūtra* 7:239, *Uttarasūtra* 1:5, *Niśvāsamūla* 5:12 also confirm that there is not such a thing that is the knot of Gopati. *Svacchandatantra* 10:1124–1125 mentions that the Gopati is situated in the lower part of the *māyā*.

<sup>875</sup>We consider that the instrumental *mūrdhnā* is to be understood as a locative *mūrdhni*. The *Guhyasūtra* lists the constituents of what are probably this group of five, even though it does not use the label *abhibhavapañcaka*: Trikala, Kṣemīśa, Brahman (*brahmaṇo*), Adhipati and Śiva. See *Guhyasūtra* 7:240-241:

granthyordhve saṃsthitaṇ viśvaṃ trikalakṣemīśam eva ca 240cd brahmano 'dhipatiś caiva śivaś ceti ca pañca vai 241ab

• 240cd granthyordhve saṃsthitaṃ viśvaṃ trikalakṣemīśameva ca l $\mathit{em.}; \lozenge$ nthyorddha sa --- tṛkalakṣa ---  $N; \sqcup K; ^-$  ndhyorddha saṃsthitaṃ viśvaṃ tṛkalakṣamīśameva ca W

'In the uppermost part of the knot is situated the world(?) Then Trikala, Kṣemīśa, Brahman (*brahmaṇo*), Adhipati and Śiva. These are the five [Lords]'. *Niśvāsamūla* 5:12 mentions the same list as *Guhyasūtra* 7:240–241 but does not rank them *abhibhava*. *Svacchandatantra* 10:1130–31 records the same list without levelling them, except that it reads *kṣema* instead of *kṣemīśa*. *Niśvāsakārikā* : T. 127 p.159-60 and T. 150 p. 200 record a different name, *suśiva*, instead of *trikala*:

⊔ kṣemīśaḥ brāhmaṇo 'dhipatis tathā \ 1514cd suśivaś ca śivaś caiva kathitā anupūrvaśah \ 1555ab

ullet 1555b kathitā ]  $T_{127}$ ; adhitā  $T_{150}$ 

Transcript T. 17, p. 897 records a corrupt version of this list, which mentions only two of them: Trikala and Ksemīśa. The term *abhibhava* occurs once more in *Guhyasūtra* 1:20, which does however mention their number.

fetters;<sup>876</sup> this [group of fetters(?)/totality of the cosmos so far(?)] is called the net.<sup>877</sup> (123)

[Then above] actions, sufferings, knowledge, instruments and "truth(s?)" (*tattvam*). <sup>878</sup> The sixth is that which is to be accomplished (*sādhyam*), sovereignty, and the cause (*kāraṇam*)<sup>879</sup> is the eighth. I have taught the subject of ignorance; <sup>880</sup> [now] I shall tell [of] the [subject which is] above the cause. I have explained the impure path, [now] hear [about] the pure path from me. (124–125) He who is released from the families of sages and from rebirth, which is difficult to escape, is then born in the womb of Vāgeśī<sup>881</sup> [and] is called *Praṇava*. [In due order] Dhātāra, Damana, Īśvara, Dhyāna, and Bhasmīśa is told [of], <sup>882</sup> then the eight *pramāṇas*, <sup>883</sup> then eight *vidyās*, <sup>884</sup> the eight *mūrtis*, <sup>885</sup> then Tejīśa,

 $<sup>^{876}</sup>$ We don't know what these fetters actually are here. Basically we have no other source than the  $Niśv\bar{n}sa$ -corpus which would tell us about  $atim\bar{a}rga$  cosmology or even what the  $p\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ s meant in that system. If we were to follow the interpretation implied in the translation of Sanderson 4:93, above the  $p\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ s in this system is from Gahana up to Ananta.

The *Uttarasūtra*, *Niśvāsanaya*, and *Guhyasūtra* all give a different account of *pāśa*, but place them above Ananta, which seems to be the explicit change that has taken place in the account of *mantramārga* cosmology. *Uttarasūtra* 2:28ff. mentions a list of *pāśas* which is further expounded in *Niśvāsanaya* 1:83–92. *Guhyasūtra* 7:241–2 says that there are fetters above Ananta that have been already taught, but it is not clear where they are taught. The *Svacchandatantra* 10:1131–1132 also mentions fetters in the plural in this context and states that they have already been taught. Kṣemarāja ad loc. explains: *pūrvam eva puruṣatattvanirūpaṇāvasare 'ṃbā ca salilā oghā* [[...]] i ityādinā tuṣṭisiddhyādyā vidyeśapāśāntā ye pāśā uktāḥ, te iha pararūpeṇa avasthitā ity arthaḥ |
For this list of fetters, see *Svacchandatantra* 10:1069–1104. This solution of Kṣemarāja also seems implausible since those pāśas have already been placed at a lower level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>877</sup>Perhaps *jālam etat prakīrtitam* rather points forward and identifies the group of eight entities enumerated in the next two half-lines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>878</sup>This may refer to the group of twenty-five *tattvas* known to the Sāṅkhyas, which appeared in 4:119–120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>879</sup>In Kauṇḍina's Pāśupatism, this is an expression that refers to god, and it may do so here too, since Kṣemarāja, commenting on *Svacchandatantra* 10:1089, says it is god, the cause of primordial *tattva*: *kāraṇam iti kāraṇarūpasya pradhāṇatattvasya utthāṇakaṇ devatārūpam ity arthaḥ*. It seems that the cosmology of the Lākulas considers all principles up to the highest reality (*kāraṇam*) of the Pāñcārthikas to be impure. Its cosmology goes further, including what is considered to be the pure path, which is taught in the immediately following section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>880</sup>We have understood *viṣayam ajñānam* as *viṣayājñānam*.

<sup>881</sup> Literally "born in the womb in Vāgeśī."

 $<sup>^{882}</sup>$ We are not sure whom these names refer to. For some discussion on these names see Goodall et al. (2015:298ff.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>883</sup>The eight Pramāṇas have the same name as the eight scriptures of Lākulas. They seem to be Rudras named after these scriptures (cf. *Svacchandatantrodyota*, p. 477). These are recorded in the *Guhyasūtra* 7:224–225 are: [1] Pañcārtha, [2] Śivaguhya, [3] Rudrānkuśa, [4] Hṛdaya, [5] Lakṣaṇa, [6] Vyūha, [7] Ākarṣaka and [8] Ādarśa. For a detailed discussion on these, see Sanderson 2006:169ff. and Goodall et al. (2015:300).

 $<sup>^{884}</sup>$ We are not told what these eight  $vidy\bar{a}s$  are.  $Guhyas\bar{u}tra$  7:246 and Svacchandatantra 10:1138 mention  $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$  in this place. Above  $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ ,  $Guhyas\bar{u}tra$  7:246 and Svacchandatantra 10:1143 mentions  $mah\bar{a}vidy\bar{a}$  which is divided into eight divisions. These eight divisions according to Svacchandatantrodyota, p.484 are the letters: a, ka, ca, ta, pa, ya and sa. We are not sure whether this eight division is meant in our text. See also discussion of Goodall et al.'s (2015:300) on this topic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>885</sup>These eight *mūrttis*, according to *Guhyasūtra* 257–258, are: [1] Ananteśa, [2] Sūkṣma, [3] Śivottama, [4] Ekanetra, [5] Ekarudra, [6] Trimūrti, [7] Śrīkaṇṭha and [8] Śikhaṇḍī.

then Dhruva. 886 The numbers of the pure path have been explained in brief. 887 (126–128) Having resorted to the observance [called] *kapāla* they will go to the realm of Dhruva. I have taught the observance which is called the *lokātīta*, the super *pāśupata* observance.

Knowing the cosmography and conduct<sup>888</sup> one certainly goes to the [respective] state [that he engages with]. If he fails to observe [these observances] he will go to hell [being] devoid of [knowledge of] cosmology and conduct. (130)

I have taught the *atimārga* in two forms, O beautiful-visaged one! Through the Eastern face I have taught this along with the secret. What further can I teach, O great goddess, O supreme deity? (131)

### Devi spoke:

(129)

You have indicated *mantramārga*, O god, but not described, [that it is] the cause of extirpation of the *saṃsāra*: tell me [of] that O great god. (132)

Addressed thus by Pārvatī, Hara, the remover of all sins, spoke the sweet words established for the sake of the system of mantras (*mantratantrārthaniścitām*).<sup>889</sup> (133)

Now then (tad ato), O Brahmins, I shall tell [you] the discourse of the god Śiva (īśvarasya) with Umā, called Mantra (mantrākhyaṃ, which is settled as the mantramārga [and] which was formerly related to Devi by the fifth Īśāna face, O best of Brahmins! (134–135)

I told you [about] the four streams, which I heard before by the grace of Devi; [they are] unfailing, O best of Brahmins. (136)

But, the fifth is the highest stream [[...]] taught by the god of gods; what else do you want to hear? <sup>890</sup> (137)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>886</sup>Tejīśa is the highest goal for those who follow the Vimala system of Pāśupatas and Dhruva is the ultimate goal for those who follow the Pramāṇa system of the Pāśupatas. For a detailed discussion on this topic see Sanderson 2006:169ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>887</sup>The cosmology of the Lākulas is divided into pure and impure levels. Although the cosmology presented in *Guhyasūtra* 1 and 7 is close to the account of the Lākulas presented in the the *Niśvāsamukha*, the *Guhyasūtra* does not divide the universe into the two segments, pure and impure. The *Kiraṇatantra*, however, does include these two categories. For more discussion on the pure and impure universe see Goodall et al. (2015:301) and Sanderson (2006:173ff.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>888</sup>Note an irregular shortening of vowel in *-carya* for metrical reasons.

<sup>\*\*</sup>S\*\*This interpretation assumes that the term <code>tantra</code> means system (\$\(\bar{a}\)stra). We are not absolutely sure about whether the term <code>mantratantrarthaniścitam</code> has been understood rightly or not. Literally, it might also mean "words established by reason of mantra and <code>tantra."</code> This seems to be unlikely since the god Śiva, who is the supreme authority of the tradition, should not rely on the scriptures which he is here going to teach for the first time.

<sup>890</sup>The author of the *Niśvāsamukha* seems to try to make a connection with the *Mūlasūtra*, the immediately following book in the manuscript where the sages ask the question about where the revelation of Śiva-knowledge (śivajñāna) took place. This question introduces the scene which is alluded in this last section of the *Niśvāsamukha*. *Mūlasūtra* 1:1 reads: rṣaya ūcuḥ: śivajñānaṃ paraṃ guhyaṃ katham uktaṃ svayambhuvā | kasmiṃ sthāne śrutan devyā prasādād vaktum arhasi | | "The Rṣis spoke: How did the self-born [Lord] teach the supreme, secret Śiva-knowledge? In what place did the goddess hear it? Out of [your] grace [you should tell us]." Goodall et al. 2015:233. It is possible that the first verse of the *Mūlasūtra* may have been added by the author of

Thus is the fourth chapter in the *Niśvāsamukhasaṃhitā*. One hundred and thirty seven verses. Four streams, verses 643.

the  $Niśv\bar{a}samukha$  to the original  $M\bar{u}las\bar{u}tra$ . The  $M\bar{u}las\bar{u}tra$  would have started with the setting of the mount Kailāsa (1:2), which would would fit the context and the narrative story of the  $M\bar{u}las\bar{u}tra$ .

On the basis of this, we could try to fill the gap of our text conjecturing something like *śivajñānaṃ svayaṃb-huvā* or *śivajñānaṃ dvijottamā*.