



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Clause Linkage In Ket

Nefedov, A.

Citation

Nefedov, A. (2015, October 8). *Clause Linkage In Ket*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Utrecht.
Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/35891>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/35891>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/35891> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Nefedov, Andrey
Title: Clause linkage in Ket
Issue Date: 2015-10-08

Clause linkage in Ket

Published by
LOT
Trans 10
3512 JK Utrecht
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6111

e-mail: lot@uu.nl

<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: Kellog, a Southern Ket settlement on the Jelok River. Photo by
Andrey Nefedov.

ISBN: 978-94-6093-190-1

NUR 616

Copyright © 2015: Andrey Nefedov. All rights reserved.

Clause linkage in Ket

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 8 oktober 2015
klokke 13:45 uur

door

Andrey Nefedov

geboren te Melnikovo, Rusland

in 1981

Promotiecommissie

Promotores :

Prof.dr. Bernard Comrie

Prof. dr. Maarten Mous

Overige leden :

Prof.dr. Elizaveta Kotorova

Prof. dr. Edward Vajda

Prof. dr. Alexander Lubotsky

Prof. dr. Frits Kortlandt

University of California, Santa Barbara

Zielonogórski University

Western Washington University

Table of contents

Acknowledgement	xiii
List of abbreviations	xv
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1 Scope of the study	1
1.2. Ket people and their language	2
1.2.1 Yeniseian languages	2
1.2.2 Ket	4
1.2.3 Ket dialects	6
1.3 Goals and data	7
1.4 Notational format	8
1.5 Organization of the study	11
Chapter 2. Grammatical sketch of Ket	13
2.1 Phonology	13
2.1.1 Consonants	13
2.1.2 Vowels	14
2.1.3 Tonemes	14
2.2 Morphology	16
2.2.1 Nouns	16
2.2.2 Pronouns	18
2.2.3 Adjectives	22
2.2.4 Numerals	24
2.2.5 Adverbs	25
2.2.6 Relational morphemes	26
2.2.7 Action nominals	27
2.2.8 Verbs	30
2.2.8.1 Position classes in Modern Ket	30
2.2.8.1.1 Basic lexical elements	31
2.2.8.1.2 Tense and mood marking	33
2.2.8.1.2.1 Tense and imperative mood	33
2.2.8.1.2.2 Periphrastic tense and mood	36

2.2.8.1.3 Agreement marking	37
2.2.8.1.3.1 Regular agreement markers	37
2.2.8.1.3.2 Non-agreement markers	37
2.2.8.2 Ket agreement configurations	39
2.2.8.2.1 Transitive configurations	39
2.2.8.2.1.1 Transitive configuration I	39
2.2.8.2.1.2 Transitive configuration II	40
2.2.8.2.1.3 Transitive configuration III	42
2.2.8.2.1.4 Transitive configuration IV	43
2.2.8.2.2 Intransitive configurations	44
2.2.8.2.2.1 Intransitive configuration I	44
2.2.8.2.2.2 Intransitive configuration II	45
2.2.8.2.2.3 Intransitive configuration III	47
2.2.8.2.2.4 Intransitive configuration IV	48
2.2.8.2.2.5 Intransitive configuration V	49
2.2.8.2.2.6 Rare intransitive configurations	50
2.2.8.2.3 Non-agreement configurations	51
2.2.8.3 Derived categories	52
2.2.8.3.1 Causatives	52
2.2.8.3.2 Iteratives	53
2.2.8.3.3 Inchoatives	54
2.2.8.4 Noun incorporation	54
2.3 Simple clause syntax	55
2.3.1 Verbal clauses	55
2.3.2 Copular elements and predicate constructions	57
2.3.3 Questions	60
2.3.4 Negation	62
2.3.5 Constituent order	64
Chapter 3. Clause linkage: Theoretical preliminaries	67
3.1 Traditional formal approach	67
3.2 Role and Reference Grammar approach	69

3.3 Functional approach	75
3.4 Parametric approach	78
3.5 Clause linkage in Ket: Earlier studies	83
Chapter 4. Coordination relations	89
4.1 Typology of coordination relations	89
4.2 Morphosyntactic properties of coordinating constructions	93
4.2.1 Asyndetic constructions	93
4.2.2 Monosyndetic constructions	96
4.2.2.1 The <i>hāj</i> construction	96
4.2.2.2 Constructions with the borrowed Russian monosyndetic coordinators <i>i, a, no, ili</i>	101
4.2.3 Bisyndetic constructions	102
4.2.3.1 The <i>tām...tām</i> construction	102
4.2.3.2 The construction with the borrowed Russian bisyndetic coordinator <i>qod...qod</i>	105
4.3 Semantic types of coordination relations	106
4.3.1 Conjunctive coordination	106
4.3.2 Disjunctive coordination	109
4.3.3 Adversative coordination	110
4.4 Summary of Chapter 4	112
Chapter 5. Complement relations	113
5.1 Typology of complement relations	115
5.2 Morphosyntactic properties of complement constructions in Ket	120
5.2.1. The complementizer <i>esaj</i>	121
5.2.2 The complementizer <i>bila</i>	122
5.2.3 Complement types in Ket	123
5.2.3.1 S-like complement type	123
5.2.3.1.1 Paratactic S-like complement	123
5.2.3.1.2 S-like complement with <i>esaj</i>	124
5.2.3.1.3 S-like complement with <i>bila</i>	124

5.2.3.2 Action nominal complement type	124
5.2.3.2.1 Bare action nominal complement	124
5.2.3.2.2 Action nominal complement with <i>esay</i>	125
5.2.3.2.3 Action nominal complement with <i>bila</i>	125
5.3 The semantics of complement taking predicates	126
5.3.1 Modal predicates	126
5.3.2 Phasal predicates	135
5.3.3 Manipulative predicates	137
5.3.4 Desiderative predicates	139
5.3.5 Perception predicates	145
5.3.6 Knowledge predicates	146
5.3.7 Propositional attitude predicates	148
5.3.8 Utterance predicates	149
5.3.9 Commentative predicates	150
5.3.10 Achievement predicates	152
5.4 Summary of Chapter 5	152
Chapter 6. Adverbial relations	157
6.1 Typology of adverbial relations	157
6.2 Morphosyntactic properties of subordinators in Ket	166
6.2.1 Clause-final subordinators	166
6.2.1.1 Simple clause-final subordinators	167
6.2.1.1.1 The subordinator <i>diŋa</i>	167
6.2.1.1.2 The subordinator <i>diŋal</i>	168
6.2.1.1.3 The subordinator <i>diŋta</i>	169
6.2.1.1.4 The subordinator <i>dita</i>	170
6.2.1.1.5 The subordinator <i>ka</i>	171
6.2.1.1.6 The subordinator <i>bes</i>	171
6.2.1.1.7 The subordinator <i>esay</i>	172
6.2.1.1.8 The subordinator <i>às / ās</i>	172
6.2.1.1.9 The subordinator <i>qon(e)</i>	173

6.2.1.1.10 The subordinator <i>daan</i>	174
6.2.1.1.11 The subordinator <i>dokot</i>	174
6.2.1.1.12 The subordinator <i>dukde</i>	175
6.2.1.1.13 The subordinator <i>bay</i>	176
6.2.1.2 Compound clause-final subordinators	177
6.2.1.2.1 The subordinator <i>kubka</i>	177
6.2.1.2.2 The subordinator <i>kika</i>	177
6.2.1.2.3 The subordinator <i>qaka</i>	178
6.2.1.2.4 The subordinator <i>baṅqone</i>	179
6.2.1.2.5 The subordinator <i>baṅdiṅa</i>	180
6.2.1.2.6 The subordinator <i>qadika</i>	181
6.2.1.2.7 The subordinator <i>asqa</i>	182
6.2.2 Clause-initial subordinators	183
6.2.2.1 Simple clause-initial subordinators	183
6.2.2.1.1 The subordinator <i>biséṅ</i>	183
6.2.2.1.2 The subordinator <i>bila</i>	184
6.2.2.2 Compound clause-initial subordinators	184
6.2.2.2.1 The subordinator <i>aska</i>	185
6.2.2.3 Phrasal clause-initial subordinators	186
6.2.2.3.1 The subordinator <i>eta qoda</i>	186
6.3 Semantic types of adverbial relations	187
6.3.1 Temporal relations	187
6.3.1.1 Posteriority relations	187
6.3.1.2 Overlap relations	189
6.3.1.2.1 Simultaneity relations	189
6.3.1.2.2 Terminal boundary relations	195
6.3.1.2.3 Initial boundary relations	197
6.3.1.3 Anteriority relations	197
6.3.2 Conditional relations	199
6.3.3 Purpose relations	202

6.3.4 Reason relations	204
6.3.5 Locative relations	207
6.3.6 Manner relations	209
6.4 Summary of Chapter 6	210
Chapter 7. Relative Relations	213
7.1 Typological classification and parameters of relative clauses	215
7.1.1 Position of head noun	215
7.1.2 Order of relative clause and head noun	216
7.1.3 Relativization strategies	217
7.1.4 Syntactic-semantic roles of relativized nouns in relative clauses	219
7.2 General types of relative clauses	220
7.2.1 Prenominal relative clauses	220
7.2.2 Headless relative clauses	226
7.2.3 Postnominal relative clauses	229
7.2.4 Correlative relative clauses	234
7.3 Relativization strategies and accessibility	235
7.3.1 The Accessibility Hierarchy	236
7.3.1.1 Subject	237
7.3.1.2 Direct Object	239
7.3.1.3 Oblique	239
7.3.1.4 Possessor	244
7.4 Summary of Chapter 7	245
Chapter 8. Areal influence on Ket syntax	249
8.1 Contact situation in Central Siberia	249
8.2 Core typological features of Ket	253
8.3 Typological accommodation	256
8.3.1 Typological accommodation at the phonological level	256
8.3.2 Typological accommodation at the morphological level	257
8.3.3 Typological accommodation at the syntactic level	258
8.3.3.1 Adverbial clauses	259

8.3.3.2 Relative clauses	261
8.4 Summary of Chapter 8	263
References	265
Published Ket text sources	281
Summary	283
Samenvatting	285
Curriculum vitae	287

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to Prof. Bernard Comrie for his unparalleled patience and invaluable support during my PhD years. Without this, the present dissertation would have never seen the light of day.

Another person, to whom I am indebted in so many ways, is Prof. Elizaveta Kotorova. She was the one who introduced me, while I was still an undergraduate student, to the world of linguistics in general, and to the Ket language in particular. It was her decision to invite me to take part in the project of compiling a Ket dictionary that shaped my future as a Ketologist and led to writing this work. Without any doubts, I was so very lucky to have her help and encouragement through all the ups and downs during the years.

My sincere appreciation also goes to my fellow Ketologists Ekaterina Klopotova, Heinrich Werner, Stefan Georg, and especially to Edward Vajda, who was a constant source of help and inspiration to me.

I owe a lot to all my Ket language consultants, but especially to Valentina Romanenkova who spent countless hours working with me without losing her patience and willingness to help me in my research.

I am very grateful to Prof. Maarten Mous for his invaluable help on the final stages of this dissertation work, and to Eugenie Starpert for her ultrafast help with Dutch.

A big thank you goes to my fellow PhD students Alena Witzlack-Makarevich, Zaira Khalilova and Zarina Molochieva for making my stay in Leipzig a really fun and lovely time.

I would also like to thank all my colleagues at the “Laba” (Language Laboratory) of the Department of Indigenous Languages of Siberia at Tomsk State Pedagogical University for the great time in the pre-Leipzig era, as well as all my “non-linguist” friends who had no idea what Ket is and why it is important to study such endangered languages, and still supported me anyway.

Last and certainly not the least, I would like to thank my family who has always encouraged and supported me, no matter what choices I made.

List of abbreviations

- 1 first person
- 2 second person
- 3 third person
- ABL Ablative
- ABS Absolutive
- AC animacy classifier
- ACC Accusative
- ACTIVE marker expressing active events
- ADESS Adessive
- ADJ adjectivizer
- AGR agreement
- AN animate
- ANOM action nominal
- AOR Aorist
- AT atelic marker
- BEN Benefactive
- CAR Caritive
- CAUS causative
- COND conditional
- COM Comitative-Instrumental
- COMP complementizer
- COMPL completed
- CONN connector/connective
- CONNNEG connegative converb
- COORD coordinator
- CTP complement taking predicate
- CVB converb
- DAT Dative
- DEF definite

DEM demonstrative
DIM diminutive
DS different subject
F feminine
FUT future particle
GEN Genitive
HAB habitual particle
HOD hodiernal tense ('today')
IC involuntary causative
ILL Illative
IMMED immediate
IMP imperative
INCH inchoative
INDEF indefinite particle
INF infinitive
INT intensive action
INTR intransitive
IRR irrealis particle
IRREAL irrealis
ITER iterative
LOC Locative
M masculine
MOM momentaneous
N neuter/inanimate
NEG negative particle
NFUT non-future
NMLZ nominalizer / nominalization
NOM Nominative
NPST non-past
O primary object
OBJ object

OPT optative particle
PFV perfect / perfective
PL plural
POSS possessive
PPT past participle
PRED predicate
PRES present
PROH prohibitive particle
PROS Prosecutive
PRS presumptive
PTC particle
PTCP participle
PST past
PURP purposive
PURPV purposive mood marker
PROX proximate
QUEST question particle / marker
R morpheme with unclear semantics
REL relative element
REM.PST remote past
RES resultative
RUS Russian loan
S subject of intransitive clause
SG singular
SBJ subject
SJNCT subjunctive
SIM simultaneous
SBRD subordinator
SS coreferential subject marker
TH thematic consonant
TOD.PST today's past

xviii

TR transitive

TRANSL Translative

VN verbal noun

VOC Vocative

WH interrogative/relative pronoun