



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Anti sense and sensibility : renal and skin effects of (antisense) oligonucleotides

Meer, L. van

Citation

Meer, L. van. (2017, January 19). *Anti sense and sensibility : renal and skin effects of (antisense) oligonucleotides*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/45389>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/45389>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/45389> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Meer, L. van


Title: Anti sense and sensibility : renal and skin effects of (antisense) oligonucleotides

Issue Date: 2017-01-19

II

FIRST-IN-MAN STUDIES WITH NOVEL SGLT2 INHIBITOR: ANTISENSE COMPOUND IS ASSOCIATED WITH UNEXPECTED RENAL EFFECTS

Leonie van Meer, Marloes van Dongen, Matthijs Moerland,
Marieke de Kam, Adam Cohen, Jacobus Burggraaf



Abstract

The antisense compound ISIS 388626 selectively inhibits renal glucose reabsorption by inhibiting the sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) mRNA expression. It is developed as an insulin-independent treatment approach for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics after subcutaneous administration of the drug were planned to be evaluated in healthy volunteers in a single-ascending-dose study (50-400 mg) and a multiple-ascending-dose study (6 weeks; weekly doses of 50-400 mg with loading dose regimen of three doses during the first week). The study was halted early because increases in serum creatinine occurred in the subjects participating in the 100 mg multiple dose cohort. The pronounced changes in serum creatinine were accompanied by increased urinary excretion of Beta-2-Microglobulin and KIM1. The possible mechanisms for these findings remain elusive and are in contrast to preclinical findings as comparable treatment with ISIS 388626 of animals did not reveal similar changes. Although exposure was limited there was an indication that glucosuria increased upon active treatment. Before the concept of antisense-mediated blocking of SGLT2 with ISIS 388626 can be explored further, more preclinical data are needed to justify further investigations.

Introduction

The renal SGLT2 transporter accounts for 90% of the reabsorption of glomerular filtrated glucose [1]. In type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) insulin resistance and the subsequent chronic hyperglycemia increases the amount of filtrated glucose. T2DM is associated with increased SGLT2 transporter expression and activity, thereby contributing to the hyperglycemic state [2]. These findings render the SGLT2 transporter a promising target for patients with diabetes mellitus. Indeed, inhibition of SGLT2 using small molecules has proven its efficacy by improving glycemic control in subjects with T2DM [3;4]. In addition this treatment improves other cardiovascular risk measures such as blood pressure, weight and levels of triglycerides [4]. This has led to the registration of several drugs (dapagliflozin [5] (Forxiga[®]), canagliflozin [6] (Invokana[®]) and empagliflozin [7] (Jardiance[®]). It was recently shown that adding

empagliflozin to standard care favors cardiovascular outcome [8]. The use of clinically relevant doses of these compounds result in a modest 30-50% inhibition of renal glucose reabsorption [9;10]. An alternative approach is reduction of the synthesis of the transporter by antisense interference which theoretically could reduce the transporter to 80-90%. Targeting the kidney with antisense oligonucleotides should be possible as these compounds generally distribute to the kidney [11]. One of the antisense compounds developed to selectively knock-down the SGLT2 receptor is ISIS 388626, a second generation 2'-methoxyethyl (MOE)-modified 12-mer phosphorothioate oligonucleotide. This oligonucleotide is structurally complementary to a portion of the coding region of SGLT2 mRNA in multiple species including, mouse, rat, rabbit, monkey, dog and human. This compound was developed to inhibit the synthesis of the renal SGLT2 receptor by utilizing its short length (12-mer vs. the typical 20-mer), that enables fractional glomerular clearance and thus selective targeting of the proximal tubular epithelium [12].

Weekly subcutaneous (sc) injection of ISIS 388626 showed to be an effective and safe treatment in preclinical studies ranging from 6 weeks to 6 months in duration with a dose range of 0-30 mg/kg/week [12;13]. Following sc injection in animals, peak plasma concentrations and AUC increased in a dose-dependently and were similar for single and repeated dosing for up to 13 weeks in mice and monkeys. Peak plasma concentrations occurred within 0.25 to 1.5 hours after sc injection in mice, rats and monkeys, then decreased in an apparent multi-exponential fashion with time. ISIS 388626 was initially predominantly cleared by distribution to the kidneys with a plasma half-life ($t_{1/2\alpha}$) ranging between 1.6 and 2.9 hours. The initial rapid distribution phase was followed by a slow elimination phase with an elimination half-life between 5-8 days. In dogs, diabetic rodents, monkeys, $\geq 80\%$ reduction of renal SGLT2 mRNA expression was observed without affecting SGLT1 expression [13]. In normoglycemic animals the reduction in SGLT2 mRNA expression that occurred at doses of 1-3 mg/kg weekly for 13 weeks translated into effective glucosuria (at 3 mg/kg a 60-fold increase in mice and 7-fold increase in monkeys in urine glucose creatinine ratio) [12]. Interestingly, ISIS 388626 also slowed progression of ocular cataract formation, glomerular damage and pancreatic islet cell deterioration in diabetic rodents [14]. Signs of toxicity of ISIS 388626 were not observed in any of the animal models. In a 6-month study in diabetic rats, no accumulation of



ISIS 388626 was observed in cardiac, liver or intestinal tissues, demonstrating the specificity and selective renal distribution of ISIS 388626 [14]. In 6 to 13 weeks treatment studies in mice and monkeys the only histological changes consisted of dose-dependent accumulation of basophilic granules in tubular epithelial cells, which is a result of H&E staining of the oligonucleotide itself in the cytoplasm and is an expected effect [15;16]. Also no indications for long term changes of general kidney function were noted [12].

Based on this pre-clinical information, it was considered safe to evaluate the compound in humans. Here we describe the results of the first in human trial with ISIS 388626, performed to assess its effects after single ascending doses (SAD) and multiple ascending doses (MAD).

Methods

SUBJECTS

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were similar for both parts of the trial. Adult, male or (post-menopausal or surgically sterile) female subjects (18-65 yrs) with BMI < 30 kg/m² were eligible if fasting plasma glucose and HbA1c was below the upper limit of normal and it was agreed to maintain steady hydration throughout study participation. Excluded were pregnant or nursing women, and subjects with significant abnormalities regarding medical history, physical examination findings, 12-lead electrocardiogram findings, and clinical laboratory evaluations (including positive protein in urine dipstick analysis and calculated eGFR below 60 ml/min [17]). Studies were conducted in accordance with good clinical practice guidelines and were approved by the national ethics committee as well as the competent authority.

CHOICE OF DOSING

It was anticipated to explore 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg of ISIS 388626. The doses were based on a MABEL approach, taking into account a No Adverse Effect Level estimated to be 10 mg/kg/week (including a loading dose regimen) in monkeys. In preclinical studies across multiple species, the pharmacologically active dose range of ISIS 388626 was 1-3 mg/kg/week. At this exposure, a significant reduction in SGLT2 mRNA occurred (74 to

97% in mice and approximately 30 to 90% in monkeys over the dose range 1-30 mg/kg/week), accompanied by a 25-200 fold increase in urinary glucose excretion [12-14]. Based on this, estimation of the equivalent human effective dose falls in the range of 1-3 mg/kg/week. Experience with other 2'-MOE-modified antisense oligonucleotides, safely administered (intravenously and subcutaneously) in multiple clinical studies at doses up to weekly 750 mg (which translates into 10.7 mg/kg/week assuming an average weight of 70 kg), with treatment durations exceeding one year [18], further supports the safety of this dose range. In the MAD part of the study it was planned to administer these doses weekly for 6 weeks after a loading dose regimen of 3 doses in the first week to achieve effective steady-state tissue concentrations.

STUDY DESIGN

The first study was a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled single ascending dose (SAD) study. Sixteen subjects were randomly assigned in a 3:1 ratio to receive either a single dose of 50, 100, 200 or 400 mg ISIS 388626 or placebo, administered as SC injection in the abdominal region. The SAD study part was followed by a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled multiple ascending dose (MAD) study administered as subcutaneous injection in the four abdominal quadrants (i.e. upper left, upper right, lower right, lower left), or upper lateral arms and thighs. Subjects were to receive eight doses of study drug (or placebo) over a six-week period, three doses in study week 1 followed by once weekly dosing for five weeks. At the higher dose levels in this study part (>50 mg), the intended pharmacodynamic effects of ISIS 388626 (inhibition of renal urinary glucose reabsorption and lowering of plasma glucose concentrations) were to be estimated by evaluation of glucose handling after an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), performed before the first administration of ISIS 388626/placebo and at Week 6. In both study parts, dose escalation was only permitted when the preceding dose regimen did not raise any safety concerns.

SAFETY MEASUREMENTS

The safety assessments were similar for both study parts and consisted of recording adverse events and measurement of vital signs,



electrocardiograms, physical examinations, and clinical laboratory tests (including clinical chemistry, hematology, coagulation, complement tests and urinalysis (including excretion of B2M)) throughout the study period. Adverse events were captured in MEDDRA terms.

RENAL MARKERS

The biomarkers Beta-2-Microglobulin (B2M), Kidney Injury Molecule (KIM1), Alpha-Glutathione S-Transferase (aGST) and N-Acetyl- β -(D)-Glucosaminidase (NAG) were chosen based on their performance on detecting injury to the proximal tubule where SGLT2 is located [19]. Analysis of renal damage markers aGST and NAG was performed using quantitative enzyme immunoassays (NEPHKITO immunoassay for aGST, Argutus Medical Dublin, Ireland, and Diazyme 70010 Rev. F (Poway, USA) for NAG). KIM1 was measured using a microsphere-based immunoassay.

PHARMACOKINETIC ANALYSIS

For the quantification of ISIS 388626, plasma samples were collected frequently after administration of the single dose for 48 hrs after first and sixth dose in the multiple dose part. In the MAD part samples were also taken before dosing in week 2 and 4 and during 5 weekly follow-up visits). In addition, PK urine collections were done after first and sixth dose (up to 24 and 48-hours post-dose). Plasma samples were analyzed using a validated hybridization enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and urine samples were analyzed by a validated Capillary Gel Electrophoresis method. Both assays were performed at PDD laboratories (Richmond, USA).

The plasma pharmacokinetics of ISIS 388626 were evaluated using non-compartmental analyses. The analyses were performed to determine the maximum observed plasma concentration (C_{max}), the time to maximum plasma concentration (T_{max}), the area under the plasma concentration-time curve from dosing to 48 hours after dosing (AUC_{0-48h}) using WINNONLIN (version 5.3, Pharsight Corporation, USA).

DATA ANALYSES AND STATISTICAL METHODS

Safety and tolerability evaluation was based on descriptive statistics. The sample sizes (4 subjects per cohort for the SAD part, 8 subjects per

cohort for the lowest dose range in the MAD part) were selected to allow descriptive analysis of safety and pharmacodynamics of ISIS 388626, and were not supported by any statistical rationale. The sample size of 12 subjects for the cohorts treated at dose levels exceeding 50 mg ISIS 388626 was based on observed changes in plasma glucose (AUC_{0-120min}) upon an OGTT challenge in healthy volunteers, with an estimated standard deviation 85 mmol*min/L. At a sample size of 6 subjects per treatment group, at least 80% power would be achieved to detect a 170 mmol*min/L difference in plasma glucose AUC_{0-120min} between treatment groups at an alpha level of 0.05. Based on this power calculation, a sample size of 12 was selected to ensure sufficient power.

The pharmacodynamic evaluation was based on descriptive summary statistics only for the MAD 50 mg cohort, as previous experience with second generation oligonucleotides suggests that the minimal pharmacologically effective dose exceeds 100 mg/week [20].

Pharmacodynamic effects of ISIS 388626 at dose levels above 50 mg were statistically evaluated using a two-sided T-test, with placebo subjects from different cohorts pooled as a group. Serum glucose, 24h urinary glucose excretion (UGE) and fractional glucose excretion (defined as (UGE/ filtered glucose load (GFR*fasted plasma glucose) *100) at the end of treatment (week 6) were compared between ISIS 388626 treatment groups and placebo group. For the cohorts with doses of 100, 200, and 400 mg, it was planned to analyze change from baseline of the pharmacodynamic endpoints to Week 6 assessments among treatment groups using ANOVA.

Results

SUBJECTS

The study was performed at the Centre for Human Drug Research in the Netherlands. Sixteen subjects were enrolled and completed the SAD study. 23 subjects enrolled the MAD study, of whom all subjects assigned to the 50 mg cohort completed the study (8) and the 15 subjects assigned to the 100 mg cohort terminated early due to a premature halt of the study. The 6 actively treated subjects of the 50 mg cohort received all 8 doses. In the 100 mg cohort, the first 4 doses were received by all 12 subjects. Thereafter 9 subjects received the 5th dose and 4 subjects received the



6th dose and no subjects in the 100 mg cohort received the 7th or 8th dose. Subject demographics are presented in table 1.

SAFETY OUTCOMES

Adverse events (AEs) were reported in 26 (87%) subjects who received single and multiple doses of ISIS 388626, and in 6 (67%) subjects who received placebo. All AEs reported were classified as mild in intensity and were transient. The most common AE was fatigue which was reported by eighteen of thirty subjects (60%) treated with single or multiple SC doses of ISIS 388626. Incidence of this AE did not increase as dose increased. Fatigue was also reported in the placebo group in two of nine subjects (22%). Other than fatigue, the only other AEs that were reported more than once were headache (13%), nasopharyngitis (33%) and dizziness (10%). These adverse events are unlikely to be drug-related as these AEs occurred in placebo and both dose groups with a similar incidence (table 2). No hypoglycemia occurred at any dose level. In the MAD part of the study, injection site reactions (ISRs) were observed in the treated groups. When expressed as Local Cutaneous Reactions at the Injection Site (LCRIS; defined as erythema, swelling, itching, pruritus, pain or tenderness with an onset on the day of injection which did not resolve on the day of the injection or the day after the injection), the above mentioned skin reactions occurred in 2 out of 6 subjects at doses of 50 mg and in 6 out of 12 subjects at doses of 100 mg ISIS 388626. All ISRs were mild in severity. Most ISRs resolved completely and spontaneously during the study period with a duration ranging from 14 days-2 months. In the two female subjects, the ISRs did not completely resolve before the last follow up visit. The ISRs were not progressive, not accompanied by local lymphadenopathy, and no study discontinuations occurred due to ISRs.

In the SAD study, some isolated values outside the normal range values were observed in individual biochemistry or hematology parameters, but these were not considered clinically significant. This specifically applied to markers of hepatic and renal function (liver enzymes, serum creatinine, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and urinalysis parameters) which are organs known for antisense oligonucleotide accumulation. In the MAD study, several hematology parameters were outside the normal range at isolated time points, but considered not to be clinically significant. Parameters of coagulation,

complement and cytokines did not change significantly at any time point after dosing. Also, no clinically relevant changes in vital signs, ECG-derived parameters or body temperature were observed. However, marked changes were observed in serum creatinine levels for several subjects of both cohorts of the MAD study. In the 50 mg cohort a minimal increase in average serum creatinine of 0.13 ± 0.09 mg/dl (13% increase) was observed during the first three weeks after treatment initiation (figure 1), with increases over baseline in individuals ranging from 0.11 to 0.23 mg/dL. Since the individual changes in serum creatinine values were not considered clinically significant, study drug administration in this cohort was continued. Indeed, serum creatinine values returned to baseline levels after the third treatment week, despite continued dosing (figure 1). Subjects did not have any other clinically significant finding until follow-up, including no change in serum electrolytes or proteinuria. Variability in serum creatinine over time was large, as demonstrated by the placebo-treated group, with changes over baseline in serum creatinine levels ranging from -0.11 to 0.21 mg/dL. Nonetheless, the changes in serum creatinine observed in the ISIS 388626 treated subjects were more pronounced compared to the placebo group. In the 100mg cohort, during the first three weeks of study drug treatment an increase in average serum creatinine of 0.14 ± 0.12 mg/dl (16% increase) was observed compared to baseline values, ranging on an individual level from 0 to 0.47 mg/dl (figure 1). As the inter-individual variability in serum creatinine upon ISIS 388626 treatment was high, supplemental individual narratives are provided below, focusing on two subjects with the most pronounced increase in serum creatinine level.

The changes in serum creatinine were often accompanied by a small rise in BUN without clinically meaningful changes in serum electrolytes, albumin, aldosterone, or plasma renin activity. Besides creatinine and BUN, no other chemistry parameter, including parameters of liver biochemistry changed after dosing. Urine flow and urinalysis parameters did not change significantly in the subjects with increased creatinine, except for one subject, whose urinary protein excretion was 1.48 g/24 hr after the fourth dose (week 2) (See narratives below).

Based on the observed creatinine increases in the then running 100 mg cohort, further dosing of all subjects was discontinued, for safety reasons. All 15 subjects of the 100 mg cohort (12 on active treatment and 3 on placebo) entered the follow-up period. Therefore, it was impossible to



assess if continued dosing in the 100 mg dose group would have resulted in a resolution of the renal effect as observed in the 50 mg cohort.

To provide mechanistic insight into the observed changes in serum creatinine, analysis of potential renal damage markers KIM1, NAG, alpha-GST (αGST) and beta-2-microglobulin (B2M) was performed in biobanked urine samples (figure 2). After 4 doses, a dose-dependent increase in B2M excretion was observed in nearly all ISIS 388626-treated subjects. In the 50 mg cohort an average of 843 ± 1027.5 ug/24 hrs was observed, versus 69.8 ± 27.6 ug/24 hrs in the placebo group. For the 100 mg cohort, the increase was even more pronounced with an average of 2200 ± 2956.2 ug/24 hrs (versus 69.8 ± 27.6 ug/24 hrs in the placebo group). Also KIM1 and αGST excretion increased upon ISIS 388626 treatment in the majority of treated subjects, although there were no clear dose relationships observed for these renal markers. No significant changes were observed in urinary NAG excretion upon ISIS 388626 treatment. B2M, KIM1 and αGST excretion returned to baseline levels during follow-up (data not shown). No significant changes in absolute creatinine clearance were observed, however inter and intra subject variability was large (data not shown).

Individual subject narratives

A 63-year old female received 4 doses of ISIS 388626 at a dose level of 100 mg (Day 1, 3, 5 and 8). A 75% increase in serum creatinine level was observed in a blood sample collected prior to administration of the fourth dose (1.1 mg/dL versus 0.61 mg/dL at baseline). There were no additional clinically remarkable findings, but monitoring of the subject was intensified. Three days after administration of the fourth dose, total urine protein excretion was 1.5g/24 h, and further treatment was discontinued. During the intensive follow-up period serum creatinine levels and urine protein excretion decreased and returned to baseline levels within 6 weeks after the last drug administration. A week after the last drug administration, glucosuria (approximately 1200 mg/24h) was observed. Post-hoc analysis showed that creatinine changes were accompanied by transient increases of potential renal damage markers (B2M, NAG, and KIM1), that also returned to baseline during the follow-up period. Apart from the transient serum creatinine and urine protein elevations, other adverse events for this subject (obstipation, fatigue, common cold, and

ISRs at the four injection sites) were of mild intensity and resolved without intervention. The subject's ISIS 388626 AUC 0-24hr levels after the first dose and trough levels on day 3, 5, and 8 were comparable to the other subjects in the 100 mg treatment group.

In a 39 year old male who received 5 doses of ISIS 388626 at a dose level of 100 mg (day 1, 3, 5, 8, and 15), a 54 % increase in serum creatinine level was observed prior to administration of the fifth dose (1.39 mg/dL versus 0.90 mg/dL at baseline). ISIS 388626 treatment was discontinued and an intensive follow-up period was started in which serum creatinine values returned to baseline levels. Renal damage markers (proteinuria, B2M and αGST) transiently increased, peaking after the fourth dose and returning to baseline levels during the follow-up period. No change in urinary glucose excretion was observed in this subject. Apart from the serum creatinine elevations, the other adverse events (one ISR and migraine-like symptoms after the first dose) relieved with paracetamol and ibuprofen treatment) were of mild intensity. Also for this subject, ISIS 388626 AUC 0-24hr after the first dose and trough levels on day 3, 5, and 8 were comparable to the other subjects in the 100 mg treatment group.

PHARMACOKINETICS

Following single SC injection, ISIS 388626 was rapidly absorbed as demonstrated by reaching maximum plasma concentrations (C_{max}) between 1.2 and 1.5 hours (figure 3, table 3). Plasma concentrations of ISIS 388626 decreased rapidly after reaching C_{max}, and distributed to tissues. The total amount of ISIS 388626 in urine increased more than dose-proportionally (figure 4).

After single administration of ISIS 388626, AUC increased in a dose-proportional manner, whereas the increase in C_{max} was less than dose-proportional (table 3). Repeated pharmacokinetics in the multiple dose study part could only be assessed for the 50 mg dose, as other dose levels were prematurely terminated or not executed. The PK analysis showed that no accumulation of ISIS 388626 occurred with repeated dosing, as demonstrated by C_{max} and AUC (table 4). Plasma pharmacokinetic parameters were comparable between the first dose (day 1) and last dose (day 36). The differences in plasma AUC and C_{max} between subjects in the single dose cohort and multiple dose cohorts are likely explained by inter-subject variability.



PHARMACODYNAMICS

Due to the early halt of this part of study, the statistical analysis was constrained and a formal efficacy analysis could not be performed. Descriptive statistics are provided, describing effects of ISIS 388626 on UGE, FI and serum glucose following the OGTT in the MAD 50 mg cohort, and the MAD 100 mg cohort that was halted prematurely. Repeated administration of 50 mg ISIS 388626 did not alter urinary glucose excretion or fractional glucose excretion after 6 weeks of treatment, compared to baseline levels or compared to placebo treatment (figure 5 and 6). At follow-up, six weeks after the last administration of ISIS 388626, a possible trend towards an increased urinary glucose excretion and increased fractional glucose excretion was observed in the treatment group compared to placebo. However, this observation could not be confirmed by the results of MAD 100 mg cohort, most likely because of the premature study termination.

Discussion

The objectives of these first-in-human study was to assess the safety, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects of single and multiple SC doses of ISIS 388626. It was shown that the pharmacokinetics of single doses of the compound were characterized by a rapid absorption after SC administration, almost dose-linear increases in C_{max} and AUC and urinary excretion as expected for 20-mer antisense oligonucleotides of this chemical class [20-22], and without safety signal suggesting untoward effects.

Although the multiple dose part of the study was prematurely halted because unexpected findings regarding creatinine increases, this part of the study showed that the concept of SGLT2 inhibition by antisense ONS may be promising. The limited data that could be collected showed that antisense-mediated SGLT2 inhibition may result in glucosuria without hypoglycemia. This is in accordance with findings in small molecule SGLT2 inhibitors [23] and genetic disruption/absence of SGLT2 gene [24].

The unexpected renal findings demonstrated by the substantial increase in serum creatinine and increase in urinary excretion of B₂M, AGST and KIM1 obviously warrant further evaluation.

Although the increase in serum creatinine levels could be explained by increased production, this is unlikely also because CPK levels in blood and urinary creatinine excretion did not differ significantly between groups (data not shown). This suggests that reduced kidney clearance, based on decreased filtration or decreased tubular secretion of creatinine are likely explanations for our observations. Creatinine clearance is likely to be affected, however this was not detectable, possibly due to a large inter and intra subject variability of creatinine clearance.

The findings of a dose-dependent increased urinary excretion of B₂M further supports the view that tubular dysfunction explains our findings. This type of tubular dysfunction has been described also for cimetidine and is thought to reflect tubular adaptation to altered function, whereby impaired tubular creatinine secretion results in decreased re-absorption of proteins such as albumin and B₂M. This is strengthened by the observation that B₂M is filtrated and reabsorbed by proximal tubular cells via similar pathways as luminal uptake of antisense oligonucleotides [16;25]. It may be hypothesized that ONS impair megalin and cubulin mediated uptake. However, it cannot be ruled out that our findings are explained by tubular damage rather than functional adaptation, as increases of urinary KIM1 and AGST, the latter seemingly related to cumulative dose, were also observed. KIM1 is a transmembrane protein expressed by tubular epithelial cells in response to injury and acts by increasing the capacity to clear cell debris by phagocytosis. Similarly, the increase in urinary excretion of AGST, an intracellular lysosomal enzyme not filtrated by glomerulus, may reflect damage of the organelles of tubular cells. It remains unknown if this is related to the accumulation of oligonucleotide within phagolysosomes of proximal tubule cells, which is a common microscopic finding after oligonucleotide administration in animals [15;16]. For the majority of ONS this commonly is benign, although there seem to be a few exceptions. Animal studies with other phosphorothioate ONS have shown an association with proximal tubular degeneration and necrosis in the kidneys [26-28]. This renal toxicity commonly occurs only at doses that are at least 10- to 100-fold higher than administered in clinical trials, therefore the relevance of these findings for humans is unknown. Whether accumulation of ONS in tubular cells is innocuous when the target is also in the tubuli should be explored further. Thus, tubular dysfunction appears to be a reasonable explanation for our findings. We consider it unlikely that extensive tubular degeneration and necrosis occurred in our study as the



changes in renal function were rapidly reversible. It is more likely that a milder form of tubular dysfunction occurred in which the tubuli, which had taken up the ON, showed functional impairment in secreting creatinine and a decreased capacity to reabsorb B2M. A third explanation of the observed signals could be temporary disruption of membrane function. Loss of the SGLT2 transporter could impact expression or function of other transporters in the proximal tubules, needed to maintain the complex balance of apical and basolateral transport. The changes observed were relatively mild and reversible of nature, nonetheless could well reflect sublethal cellular injury taking into account the KIM1 increase.

Due to the early halt adequate assessment of the pharmacodynamic properties of ISIS 388626 was not possible. It appears that there was a trend towards higher urinary glucose excretion during follow-up after six weeks of treatment with 50 mg as compared to placebo, but the variability between subjects precludes strong conclusions. The doses and duration of treatment with ISIS 388626 should be increased in future clinical studies in order to achieve exposure comparable with pharmacological effective doses in animals [12]. Obviously, this can only be justified with a better understanding and possibly avoidance of the unexpected adverse renal effects. In this respect it should be noted that similar effects after comparable treatment regimens with ISIS 388626 in rodents and monkeys did not lead to these similar changes. This may be explained by the fact that sampling time points in these studies were taken after 6 and 13 weeks of dosing [13]. The observed creatinine increases in our study occurred earlier in time and reduced with continued dosing (in the 50 mg cohort). If in animals the renal changes also occurred early, these apparently abated.

More extensive preclinical investigation, focused on early changes and comparing different dose regimens such as abandoning a loading regimen and/or less frequent dosing, could be considered as future experiments. Further, it might be of interest to include in such experiments other drugs that increase serum creatinine, such as cimetidine. Comparing ISIS 388626 to small molecule SGLT2 inhibitors could be used as benchmark and confirm or refute the hypothesis that the renal effects are related to SGLT2 inhibition. Further development of antisense-mediated knockdown of SGLT2 can only be justified when possible mechanisms causing the increase in serum creatinine and urinary excretion of B2M, AGST and KIM1 are understood and manageable.

REFERENCES

- Hummel CS, Lu C, Loo DD, Hirayama BA, Voss AA, Wright EM: Glucose transport by human renal Na⁺/D-glucose cotransporters SGLT1 and SGLT2. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2011;300:C14-C21.
- Rahmoune H, Thompson PW, Ward JM, Smith CD, Hong G, Brown J: Glucose transporters in human renal proximal tubular cells isolated from the urine of patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes. *Diabetes* 2005;54:3427-3434.
- Bailey CJ, Iqbal N, Tjoen C, List JF: Dapagliflozin monotherapy in drug-naïve patients with diabetes: a randomized-controlled trial of low-dose range. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2012;14:951-959.
- Riser TS, Harris KB: The clinical efficacy and safety of sodium glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Pharmacotherapy* 2013;33:984-999.
- Plosker GL: Dapagliflozin: a review of its use in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Drugs* 2012;72:2289-2312.
- Sha S, Devineni D, Ghosh A, Polidori D, Hompesch M, Arnolds S, Morrow L, Spitzer H, Demarest K, Rothenberg P: Pharmacodynamic effects of canagliflozin, a sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor, from a randomized study in patients with type 2 diabetes. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e110069.
- Jahagirdar V, Barnett AH: Empagliflozin for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2014;15:2429-2441.
- Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, Mattheus M, Devins T, Johansen OE, Woerle HJ, Broedl UC, Inzucchi SE: Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:2117-2128.
- List JF, Woo V, Morales E, Tang W, Fiedorek FT: Sodium-glucose cotransport inhibition with dapagliflozin in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:650-657.
- Liu JJ, Lee T, DeFronzo RA: Why Do SGLT2 inhibitors inhibit only 30-50% of renal glucose reabsorption in humans? *Diabetes* 2012;61:2199-2204.
- Oberbauer R, Schreiner GF, Meyer TW: Renal uptake of an 18-mer phosphorothioate oligonucleotide. *Kidney Int* 1995;48:1226-1232.
- Zanardi TA, Han SC, Jeong EJ, Rime S, Yu RZ, Chakravarty K, Henry SP: Pharmacodynamics and Subchronic Toxicity in Mice and Monkeys of ISIS 388626, a Second Generation Antisense Oligonucleotide that Targets the Human Sodium Glucose Cotransporter 2 (SGLT2). *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2012.
- Bhanot Sea: ISIS 388626, an SGLT2 antisense-drug, causes robust and sustained glucosuria in multiple species and is safe and well-tolerated.; 2009.
- Wancewicz E: Long term safety and efficacy of ISIS 388626, an optimized SGLT2 antisense inhibitor, in multiple diabetic and euglycemic species.; 2008.
- Monteith DK, Levin AA: Synthetic oligonucleotides: the development of antisense therapeutics. *Toxicol Pathol* 1999;27:8-13.
- Rappaport J, Hanss B, Kopp JB, Copeland TD, Bruggeman LA, Coffman TM, Klotman PE: Transport of phosphorothioate oligonucleotides in kidney: implications for molecular therapy. *Kidney Int* 1995;47:1462-1469.
- Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D: A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;130:461-470.
- Kwoh TJ, Crooke ST: *Antisense Drug Technology: Principles, Strategies, and Applications*; An overview of clinical safety experience of first- and second-generation antisense oligonucleotides. Boca Raton, Taylor & Francis, 2007, pp 365-399.
- van Meer L, Moerland M, Cohen AF, Burggraaf J: Urinary kidney biomarkers for early detection of nephrotoxicity in clinical drug development. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2014;77:947-957.
- Kastelein JJ, Wedel MK, Baker BF, Su J, Bradley JD, Yu RZ, Chuang E, Graham MJ, Crooke RM: Potent reduction of apolipoprotein B and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol by short-term administration of an antisense inhibitor of apolipoprotein B. *Circulation* 2006;114:1729-1735.
- Yu RZ, Kim TW, Hong A, Watanabe TA, Gaus HJ, Geary RS: Cross-species pharmacokinetic comparison from mouse to man of a second-generation antisense oligonucleotide, ISIS 301012, targeting human apolipoprotein B-100. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2007;35:460-468.
- Sewell KL, Geary RS, Baker BF, Glover JM, Mant TG, Yu RZ, Tami JA, Dorr FA: Phase I trial of ISIS 104838, a 2'-methoxyethyl



- modified antisense oligonucleotide targeting tumor necrosis factor- α . *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2002;303:1334-1343.
- 23 Shah NK, Deeb WE, Choksi R, Epstein BJ: Dapagliflozin: a novel sodium-glucose cotransporter type 2 inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Pharmacotherapy* 2012;32:80-94.
 - 24 Francis J, Zhang J, Farhi A, Carey H, Geller DS: A novel SGLT2 mutation in a patient with autosomal recessive renal glucosuria. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2004;19:2893-2895.
 - 25 Hoffmann D, Fuchs TC, Henzler T, Matheis KA, Herget T, Dekant W, Hewitt P, Mally A: Evaluation of a urinary kidney biomarker panel in rat models of acute and subchronic nephrotoxicity. *Toxicology* 2010;277:49-58.
 - 26 Henry SP, Bolte H, Auletta C, Kornbrust DJ: Evaluation of the toxicity of ISIS 2302, a phosphorothioate oligonucleotide, in a four-week study in cynomolgus monkeys. *Toxicology* 1997;120:145-155.
 - 27 Sarmiento UM, Perez JR, Becker JM, Narayanan R: In vivo toxicological effects of relA antisense phosphorothioates in CD-1 mice. *Antisense Res Dev* 1994;4:99-107.
 - 28 Srinivasan SK, Iversen P: Review of in vivo pharmacokinetics and toxicology of phosphorothioate oligonucleotides. *J Clin Lab Anal* 1995;9:129-137.

Figure 1 Average change from baseline of serum creatinine over time in the multiple ascending dose study with sd error bars. Timing of dosing is indicated with D's. The 6 subjects of the 50 mg cohort received all 8 doses. In the 100 mg cohort, the first 4 doses were received by all 12 subjects. Thereafter 9 subjects received the 5th dose and 4 subjects received the 6th dose and no subjects in the 100 mg cohort received the 7th or 8th dose, due to the early halt of the study. In the 50 mg cohort a minimal increase in average serum creatinine of 0.13 ± 0.09 mg/dl (13% increase) was observed during the first three weeks after treatment initiation. The values returned to baseline levels after the third treatment week, despite continued dosing. In the 100 mg cohort an increase in average serum creatinine of 0.14 ± 0.12 mg/dl (16% increase) was observed during the first three weeks.




Figure 2 Box-Whisker plot of urinary renal damage markers Pre-Dose and Post-Dose (after 4 doses). The bottom and top of the box are the 25th and 75th percentile, respectively and the band inside is the median. The ends of the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum of all the data. Dots are individual results, grey being pre-dose measurements, black being values measured after 4 doses of study drug. After 4 doses, a dose-dependent increase in B2M excretion was observed in nearly all ISIS 388626-treated subjects. An average of 843 ± 1027.5 ug/24 hrs was observed for the 50 mg cohort and 2200 ± 2956.2 ug/24 hrs for the 100 mg cohort, versus 69.8 ± 27.6 ug/24 hrs in the placebo group. Also KIM1 and aGST excretion increased upon ISIS 388626 treatment in the majority of treated subjects, although there were no clear dose relationships observed for these renal markers. No clear changes occurred in urinary NAG.




Figure 3 Profile of plasma pharmacokinetics during the first 48 hours after a single dose of study drug. Average concentrations with SD error bars. Following single SC injection, ISIS 388626 was rapidly absorbed as demonstrated by reaching maximum plasma concentrations (Cmax) between 1.2 and 1.5 hours.




Figure 4 Average ISIS 388626 urinary excretion (mg), with SD error bars after one dose of study drug during the first 24 hours and during the 24-48 hour interval. N=3 subjects per dose level. The total amount of ISIS 388626 in urine increased more than dose-proportionally.




Figure 5 Average urinary glucose excretion time profile graph, with SD error bars. w=week. EOT= End Of Trial. OGTT= Oral Glucose Tolerance Test. Repeated administration of 50 mg ISIS 388626 did not alter urinary glucose excretion after 6 weeks of treatment. At follow-up, six weeks after the last administration of ISIS 388626, a possible trend towards an increased urinary glucose excretion was observed.




Figure 6 Average inhibition of glucose reabsorption time profile graph, with SD error bars. w=week. EOT= End Of Trial. OGTT= Oral Glucose Tolerance Test. Repeated administration of 50 mg ISIS 388626 did not alter inhibition of glucose reabsorption after 6 weeks of treatment. At follow-up, six weeks after the last administration of ISIS 388626, a possible trend towards an increased inhibition of reabsorption was observed.




Table 1 Summary of subject demographics. SAD: Single Ascending Dose. MAD: Multiple Ascending Dose

	Number	Age (yrs ± Sd)	BMI (Kg/m2 ± Sd)	% Male
SAD 50 mg	3	31.3±13.7	23.6±1.7	100
SAD 100 mg	3	22.0±5.3	23.4±2.1	100
SAD 200 mg	3	24.7±5.0	23.5±1.5	100
SAD 400 mg	3	26.7±8.0	24.3±1.5	100
SAD PLACEBO	4	21.0±0.8	21.3±0.8	100
MAD 50 mg	6	50.7±16.4	24.6±3.0	83.3
MAD 100 mg	12	40.1±14.4	23.9±2.8	83.3
MAD PLACEBO	5	40.8±16.4	25.4±3.3	100

Table 2 Frequency overview of adverse events reported more than once (%). SAD: Single Ascending Dose. MAD: Multiple Ascending Dose

	SAD 50-400 mg (N=12)	SAD PLACEBO (N=4)	MAD 50 mg (N=6)	MAD 100 mg (N=12)	MAD PLACEBO (N=5)
Fatigue	50	25	66.7	66.7	20
Headache	0	0	33.3	16.7	40
Nasopharyngitis	8.3	0	0	33.3	60
Dizziness	0	0	0	25	40
ISRS	0	0	33.3	50	0



Table 3 Plasma pharmacokinetics Single Ascending Dose study. N=number

Dose	N	AUC 0-48hr (ug ⁺ hr/mL)	Cmax (ug/mL)	Tmax (hr)
50 mg	3	5.82 ± 2.18	1.31 ± 0.59	1.17 ± 0.58
100 mg	3	13.0 ± 0.78	1.72 ± 0.35	1.17 ± 0.76
200 mg	3	22.2 ± 0.88	2.88 ± 0.52	0.83 ± 0.29
400 mg	3	42.8 ± 2.47	4.58 ± 0.19	1.5 ± 1.32

Table 4 Plasma pharmacokinetics Multiple Ascending Dose study. N=number

Dose	Study Day	N	AUC 0-48hr (ug ⁺ hr/mL)	Cmax (ug/mL)	Tmax (hr)
50 mg	1	6	9.58 ± 1.39	1.65 ± 0.37	1.25 ± 0.27
	36	6	9.78 ± 1.53	1.67 ± 0.26	1.33 ± 0.61
100 mg	1	12	16.1 ± 3.09	2.23 ± 0.36	1.21 ± 1.01

