



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Learning from texts : extending and revising knowledge

Beker, K.

Citation

Beker, K. (2017, March 2). *Learning from texts : extending and revising knowledge*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/46247>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/46247>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/46247> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Beker, Katinka

Title: Learning from texts : extending and revising knowledge

Issue Date: 2017-03-02

R E F E R E N C E S

R

- Aaronson, D., & Scarborough, H. S. (1976). Performance theories for sentence coding: Some quantitative evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 2(1), 56-70.
- Adams, B. C., Bell, L. C., & Perfetti, C. A. (1995). A trading relationship between reading skill and domain knowledge in children's text comprehension. *Discourse Processes*, 20(3), 307-323.
- Afflerbach, P., & Cho, B.-Y. (2009). Identifying and describing constructively responsive comprehension strategies in new and traditional forms of reading. In S. Israel & G. Duffy (Eds.), *Handbook of research on reading comprehension* (pp. 69-90). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum Associates.
- Albrecht, J. E., & Myers, J. L. (1998). Accessing distant text information during reading: Effects of contextual cues. *Discourse Processes*, 26(2-3), 87-107.
- Albrecht, J. E., & O'Brien, E. J. (1993). Updating a mental model: Maintaining both local and global coherence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 19, 1061-1070.
- Alexander, P. A., Schallert, D. L., & Hare, V. C. (1991). Coming to terms: How researchers in learning and literacy talk about knowledge. *Review of Educational Research*, 61(3), 315-343.
- Alonso-Tapia, J. (2002). Knowledge assessment and conceptual understanding. In M. Limón & L. Mason (Eds.), *Reconsidering conceptual change: Issues in theory and practice* (pp. 389-413). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Amlund, J. T., Kardash, C. A., & Kulhavy, R. W. (1986). Repetitive reading and recall of expository text. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 21(1), 49-58.
- Anderson, J. R., & Reder, L. M. (1979). An elaborative processing explanation of depth of processing. In L. S. Cermak & F. I. M. Craik (Eds.), *Levels of processing in human memory* (pp. 385-403). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Anderson, R. C. (1984). Role of the reader's schema in comprehension, learning, and memory. In R. C. Anderson, J. Osborn, & R. J. Tierney (Eds.), *Learning to read in American schools: Basal readers and content texts* (pp. 243-257). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Anderson, R. C., & Pichert, J. W. (1978). Recall of previously unrecallable information following a shift in perspective. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 17(1), 1-12.
- Anmarkrud, Ø., Bråten, I., & Strømsø, H. I. (2013). Multiple-documents literacy: Strategic processing, source awareness, and argumentation when reading multiple conflicting documents. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 30, 64-76.
- Au, K. H.-P. (1979). Using the experience-text-relationship method with minority children. *The Reading Teacher*, 32(6), 677-679.
- Ausubel, D. P. (1963). *The psychology of meaningful verbal learning*. Oxford, England: Grune & Stratton.
- Barnes, M. A., Dennis, M., & Haefele-Kalvaitis, J. (1996). The effects of knowledge availability and knowledge accessibility on coherence and elaborative inferencing in children from six to fifteen years of age. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 61(3), 216-241.
- Barnett, S. M., & Ceci, S. J. (2002). When and where do we apply what we learn?: A taxonomy for far transfer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128(4), 612-637.
- Bartlett, F. C. (1932). *Remembering: A study in experimental and social psychology*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

- Bauer, P. J. (2013). Memory. In P. D. Zelazo (Ed.), *Oxford handbook of developmental psychology*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Bauer, P. J., Evren, G. O., Starr, R. M., & Pathman, T. (2011). Equal learning does not result in equal remembering: The importance of post-encoding processes. *Infancy*, 16(6), 557-586.
- Bauer, P. J., King, J. E., Larkina, M., Varga, N. L., & White, E. A. (2012). Characters and clues: Factors affecting children's extension of knowledge through integration of separate episodes. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 111(4), 681-694.
- Bauer, P. J., & San Souci, P. (2010). Going beyond the facts: Young children extend knowledge by integrating episodes. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 107(4), 452-465.
- Bauer, P. J., Varga, N. L., King, J. E., Nolen, A. M., & White, E. A. (2015). Semantic elaboration through integration: Hints both facilitate and inform the process. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 16(2), 351-369.
- Bean, T. W., & Steenwyk, F. L. (1984). The effect of three forms of summarization instruction on sixth graders' summary writing and comprehension. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 16(4), 297-306.
- Beker, K., Jolles, D., Lorch, R. F., Jr., & van den Broek, P. (2016). Learning from texts: Activation of information from previous texts during reading. *Reading and Writing*, 29, 1161-1178.
- Beker, K., Jolles, D., & van den Broek, P. (in press). Meaningful learning from texts: The construction of knowledge representations. In J. A. León & I. Escudero (Eds.), *Reading Comprehension in Educational Settings: John Benjamins*.
- Berkeley, S., Scruggs, T. E., & Mastropieri, M. A. (2009). Reading comprehension instruction for students with learning disabilities, 1995-2006: A meta-analysis. *Remedial and Special Education*.
- Best, J. R., Miller, P. H., & Jones, L. L. (2009). Executive functions after age 5: Changes and correlates. *Developmental Review*, 29(3), 180-200.
- Best, R. M., Rowe, M., Ozuru, Y., & McNamara, D. S. (2005). Deep level comprehension of science texts: The role of the reader and the text. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 25(1), 65-83.
- Bjork, R. A. (1975). Retrieval as a memory modifier: An interpretation of negative recency and related phenomena. In R. L. Solso (Ed.), *Information processing and cognition: The Loyola symposium* (pp. 123-144). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Bjork, R. A. (1994). Institutional impediments to effective training. In D. Druckman & R. A. Bjork (Eds.), *Learning, remembering, believing: Enhancing individual and team performance* (pp. 295-306). Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Bjorklund, D. F. (1987). How age changes in knowledge base contribute to the development of children's memory: An interpretive review. *Developmental Review*, 7(2), 93-130.
- Bloom, B. S. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals (1st ed.)*. Harlow, Essex, England: Longman Group.
- Bolger, D. J., Balass, M., Landen, E., & Perfetti, C. A. (2008). Context variation and definitions in learning the meanings of words: An instance-based learning approach. *Discourse Processes*, 45(2), 122-159.
- Borella, E., Carretti, B., & Pelegrina, S. (2010). The specific role of inhibition in reading comprehension in good and poor comprehenders. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 43(6), 541-552.

- Braasch, J. L. G., Bråten, I., Strømsø, H. I., Anmarkrud, Ø., & Ferguson, L. E. (2013). Promoting secondary school students' evaluation of source features of multiple documents. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 38*(3), 180-195.
- Braasch, J. L. G., Goldman, S. R., & Wiley, J. (2013). The influences of text and reader characteristics on learning from refutations in science texts. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 105*(3), 561-578.
- Bradshaw, G. L., & Anderson, J. R. (1982). Elaborative encoding as an explanation of levels of processing. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 21*(2), 165-174.
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). Learning and transfer. In J. D. Bransford, A. L. Brown, & R. R. Cocking (Eds.), *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience and school* (pp. 51-78). Washington DC: National Academy Press.
- Bransford, J. D., & Johnson, M. K. (1972). Contextual prerequisites for understanding: Some investigations of comprehension and recall. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 11*, 717-726.
- Bråten, I., Britt, M. A., Strømsø, H. I., & Rouet, J.-F. (2011). The role of epistemic beliefs in the comprehension of multiple expository texts: Toward an integrated model. *Educational Psychologist, 46*(1), 48-70.
- Bråten, I., & Strømsø, H. I. (2011). Measuring strategic processing when students read multiple texts. *Metacognition and Learning, 6*(2), 111-130.
- Bråten, I., Strømsø, H. I., & Britt, M. A. (2009). Trust matters: Examining the role of source evaluation in students' construction of meaning within and across multiple texts. *Reading Research Quarterly, 44*(1), 6-28.
- Brewer, W. F., & Treyens, J. C. (1981). Role of schemata in memory for places. *Cognitive Psychology, 13*(2), 207-230.
- Britt, M. A., Perfetti, C. A., Sandak, R. I., & Rouet, J.-F. (1999). Content integration and source separation in learning from multiple texts. In S. R. Goldman (Ed.), *Essays in honor of Tom Trabasso* (pp. 209-233). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Britt, M. A., & Rouet, J.-F. (2011). Research challenges in the use of multiple documents. *Information Design Journal, 19*(1), 62-68.
- Britt, M. A., & Rouet, J.-F. (2012). Learning with multiple documents: Component skills and their acquisition. In M. J. Lawson & J. R. Kirby (Eds.), *Enhancing the quality of learning: Dispositions, instruction, and learning processes* (pp. 276-314). New York: Routledge.
- Britt, M. A., Rouet, J.-F., & Braasch, J. L. G. (2013). Documents as entities: Extending the situation model theory of comprehension. In M. A. Britt, S. R. Goldman, & J.-F. Rouet (Eds.), *Reading - From words to multiple texts* (pp. 160-179). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Britt, M. A., & Sommer, J. (2004). Facilitating textual integration with macro-structure focusing tasks. *Reading Psychology, 25*(4), 313-339.
- Britton, B. K., & Gülgöz, S. (1991). Using Kintsch's computational model to improve instructional text: Effects of repairing inference calls on recall and cognitive structures. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 83*(3), 329.
- Britton, B. K., Stimson, M., Stennett, B., & Gülgöz, S. (1998). Learning from instructional text: Test of an individual-differences model. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 90*(3), 476.
- Bromage, B. K., & Mayer, R. E. (1986). Quantitative and qualitative effects of repetition on learning from technical text. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 78*(4), 271-278.
- Broughton, S. H., Sinatra, G. M., & Reynolds, R. E. (2010). The nature of the refutation text effect: An investigation of attention allocation. *The Journal of Educational Research, 103*(6), 407-423.

- Butler, A. C., Godbole, N., & Marsh, E. J. (2013). Explanation feedback is better than correct answer feedback for promoting transfer of learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 105*(2), 290-298.
- Cain, K., & Oakhill, J. (2007). Reading comprehension difficulties: Correlates, causes, and consequences. In K. Cain & J. Oakhill (Eds.), *Children's comprehension problems in oral and written language: A cognitive perspective* (pp. 41-76). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Cain, K., Oakhill, J., & Bryant, P. E. (2004). Children's reading comprehension ability: Concurrent prediction by working memory, verbal ability, and component skills. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 96*, 31-42.
- Cain, K., & Oakhill, J. V. (1999). Inference making ability and its relation to comprehension failure in young children. *Reading and Writing, 11*(5-6), 489-503.
- Cain, K., Oakhill, J. V., Barnes, M. A., & Bryant, P. E. (2001). Comprehension skill, inference-making ability, and their relation to knowledge. *Memory & Cognition, 29*(6), 850-859.
- Carey, S. (2009). *The origin of concepts*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Casteel, M. A. (1993). Effects of inference necessity and reading goal on children's inferential generation. *Developmental Psychology, 29*(2), 346-357.
- Catrambone, R., & Holyoak, K. J. (1989). Overcoming contextual limitations on problem-solving transfer. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 15*(6), 1147-1156.
- Cepeda, N. J., Pashler, H., Vul, E., Wixted, J. T., & Rohrer, D. (2006). Distributed practice in verbal recall tasks: A review and quantitative synthesis. *Psychological Bulletin, 132*(3), 354-380.
- Cerdán, R., & Vidal-Abarca, E. (2008). The effects of tasks on integrating information from multiple documents. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 100*(1), 209-222.
- Chan, L. K., Cole, P. G., & Barlett, S. (1987). Comprehension monitoring: Detection and identification of text inconsistencies by LD and normal students. *Learning Disability Quarterly, 10*(2), 114-124.
- Chen, Z., & Daehler, M. W. (2000). External and internal instantiation of abstract information facilitates transfer in insight problem solving. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25*(4), 423-449.
- Chen, Z., & Mo, L. (2004). Schema induction in problem solving: A multidimensional analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 30*(3), 583-600.
- Chi, M. T. H. (1976). Short-term memory limitations in children: Capacity or processing deficits? *Memory & Cognition, 4*(5), 559-572.
- Chi, M. T. H. (1978). Knowledge structures and memory development. In R. S. Siegler (Ed.), *Children's thinking: What develops?* (pp. 73-96). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Chi, M. T. H. (2005). Commonsense conceptions of emergent processes: Why some misconceptions are robust. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 14*(2), 161-199.
- Chi, M. T. H. (2008). Three types of conceptual change: Belief revision, mental model transformation, and categorical shift. In S. Vosniadou (Ed.), *International Handbook of Research on Conceptual Change* (pp. 61-82). New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.
- Chi, M. T. H. (2013). Two kinds and four sub-types of misconceived knowledge, ways to change it, and the learning outcomes. In S. Vosniadou (Ed.), *International handbook of research on conceptual change* (2nd ed., pp. 49-70). New York, NY: Routledge Press.
- Chi, M. T. H., Bassok, M., Lewis, M. W., Reimann, P., & Glaser, R. (1989). Self-explanations: How students study and use examples in learning to solve problems. *Cognitive Science, 13*(2), 145-182.

- Chi, M. T. H., De Leeuw, N., Chiu, M.-H., & Lavancher, C. (1994). Eliciting self-explanations improves understanding. *Cognitive Science*, *18*(3), 439-477.
- Chi, M. T. H., Glaser, R., & Rees, E. (1982). Expertise in problem solving. In R. S. Sternberg (Ed.), *Advances in the Psychology of Human Intelligence* (pp. 1-75). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Chi, M. T. H., & Roscoe, R. D. (2002). The processes and challenges of conceptual change. In M. Limón & L. Mason (Eds.), *Reconsidering conceptual change: Issues in theory and practice* (pp. 3-27): Springer Netherlands.
- Chi, M. T. H., Roscoe, R. D., Slotta, J. D., Roy, M., & Chase, C. C. (2012). Misconceived causal explanations for emergent processes. *Cognitive Science*, *36*(1), 1-61.
- Chi, M. T. H., Slotta, J. D., & De Leeuw, N. (1994). From things to processes: A theory of conceptual change for learning science concepts. *Learning and Instruction*, *4*(1), 27-43.
- Chi, M. T. H., & VanLehn, K. A. (2012). Seeing deep structure from the interactions of surface features. *Educational Psychologist*, *47*(3), 177-188.
- Chinn, C. A., & Brewer, W. F. (1993). The role of anomalous data in knowledge acquisition: A theoretical framework and implications for science instruction. *Review of Educational Research*, *63*(1), 1-49.
- Cito. (2013a). *LOVS Begrijpend lezen groep 6 [Reading comprehension Grade 4]*. Arnhem: Cito.
- Cito. (2013b). *LOVS Begrijpend lezen groep 8 [Reading comprehension Grade 6]*. Arnhem: Cito.
- Colbert-Getz, J., & Cook, A. E. (2013). Revisiting effects of contextual strength on the subordinate bias effect: Evidence from eye movements. *Memory & Cognition*, *41*(8), 1172-1184.
- Collins, A. M., & Quillian, M. R. (1969). Retrieval time from semantic memory. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *8*(2), 240-247.
- Common Core State Standards. (2010). *Common core state standards for english language arts & literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects*. Retrieved from: <http://www.corestandards.org>
- Cook, A. E. (2005). What have we been missing? The role of general world knowledge in discourse processing. *Discourse Processes*, *39*(2-3), 265-278.
- Cook, A. E., Halleran, J. G., & O'Brien, E. J. (1998). What is readily available during reading? A memory-based view of text processing. *Discourse Processes*, *26*(2-3), 109-129.
- Coté, N., Goldman, S. R., & Saul, E. U. (1998). Students making sense of informational text: Relations between processing and representation. *Discourse Processes*, *25*(1), 1-53.
- Cowan, N. (2014). Working memory underpins cognitive development, learning, and education. *Educational Psychology Review*, *26*(2), 197-223.
- Craik, F. I. M., & Lockhart, R. S. (1972). Levels of processing: A framework for memory research. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *11*(6), 671-684.
- Daneman, M., & Carpenter, P. A. (1980). Individual differences in working memory and reading. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *19*, 450-466.
- Daneman, M., & Carpenter, P. A. (1983). Individual differences in integrating information between and within sentences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *9*(4), 561-584.
- Danner, F. W., & Mathews, S. R. (1980). When do young children make inferences from prose? *Child Development*, *51*(3), 906-908.

- Day, S. B., & Goldstone, R. L. (2012). The import of knowledge expert: Connecting findings and theories of transfer of learning. *Educational Psychologist*, *47*(3), 153-176.
- de Leeuw, L., Segers, E., & Verhoeven, L. (2014). Context, task, and reader effects in children's incidental word learning from text. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, *61*(3), 275-287.
- Dell, G. S., McKoon, G., & Ratcliff, R. (1983). The activation of antecedent information during the processing of anaphoric reference in reading. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *22*(1), 121-132.
- Diakidoy, I.-A. N., Kendeou, P., & Ioannides, C. (2003). Reading about energy: The effects of text structure in science learning and conceptual change. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, *28*(3), 335-356.
- Diakidoy, I.-A. N., Mouskounti, T., Fella, A., & Ioannides, C. (2016). Comprehension processes and outcomes with refutation and expository texts and their contribution to learning. *Learning and Instruction*, *41*, 60-69.
- Diakidoy, I.-A. N., Mouskounti, T., & Ioannides, C. (2011). Comprehension and learning from refutation and expository texts. *Reading Research Quarterly*, *46*(1), 22-38.
- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. *Annual review of psychology*, *64*, 135-168.
- DiSessa, A. A., Gillespie, N. M., & Esterly, J. B. (2004). Coherence versus fragmentation in the development of the concept of force. *Cognitive Science*, *28*(6), 843-900.
- DiSessa, A. A., & Wagner, J. F. (2005). What coordination has to say about transfer. In J. Mestre (Ed.), *Transfer of learning from a modern multi-disciplinary perspective* (pp. 121-154). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- Donovan, M. S., & Bransford, J. D. (2005). *How students learn: Science in the classroom*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Duffy, S. A., & Rayner, K. (1990). Eye movements and anaphor resolution: Effects of antecedent typicality and distance. *Language and Speech*, *33*(2), 103-119.
- Duke, N. K. (2000). 3.6 minutes per day: The scarcity of informational texts in first grade. *Reading Research Quarterly*, *35*, 202-224.
- Duke, N. K., & Pearson, P. D. (2002). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. In A. E. Farstrup & S. J. Samuels (Eds.), *What research has to say about reading instruction* (pp. 205-242). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Ecker, U. K. H., Swire, B., & Lewandowsky, S. (2014). Correcting misinformation - A challenge for education and cognitive science. In D. N. Rapp & J. L. G. Braasch (Eds.), *Processing inaccurate information: Theoretical and applied perspectives from cognitive science and the educational sciences* (pp. 13-38). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Egberink, I. J. L., Janssen, N. A. M., & Vermeulen, C. S. M. (2015). *COTAN beoordeling 2015, Leerling- en onderwijsvolgsysteem begrijpend lezen [COTAN review 2015, student and education monitoring and evaluation system reading comprehension]*. Retrieved from: www.cotandocumentatie.nl
- Elbro, C., & Buch-Iversen, I. (2013). Activation of background knowledge for inference making: Effects on reading comprehension. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, *17*(6), 435-452.
- Engle, R. A. (2006). Framing interactions to foster generative learning: A situative explanation of transfer in a community of learners classroom. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, *15*(4), 451-498.
- Engle, R. A., Lam, D. P., Meyer, X. S., & Nix, S. E. (2012). How does expansive framing promote transfer? Several proposed explanations and a research agenda for investigating them. *Educational Psychologist*, *47*(3), 215-231.

- Englert, C. S., & Hiebert, E. H. (1984). Children's developing awareness of text structures in expository materials. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 76*(1), 65-74.
- Englert, C. S., & Thomas, C. C. (1987). Sensitivity to text structure in reading and writing: A comparison between learning disabled and non-learning disabled students. *Learning Disability Quarterly, 10*(2), 93-105.
- Ericsson, K. A., Krampe, R. T., & Tesch-Römer, C. (1993). The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance. *Psychological Review, 100*(3), 363-406.
- Evers, G. (2008). *Programma voor berekening Cito LeesIndex voor het Basisonderwijs [Program for calculating Cito Reading Index for primary education] P-CLIB versie 3.0*. Arnhem: Cito.
- Expertisecentrum Nederlands [Expertise Centre Netherlands]. (2010). *Doorlopende Leerlijnen [Continuing Learning Standards]*.
- Fletcher, C. R., Chrysler, S. T., van den Broek, P., Deaton, J. A., & Bloom, C. P. (1995). The role of co-occurrence, co-reference, and causality in the coherence of conjoined sentences. In R. F. Lorch & E. J. O'Brien (Eds.), *Sources of coherence in reading* (pp. 203-218). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Foster, T. E., Ardoin, S. P., & Binder, K. S. (2013). Underlying changes in repeated reading: An eye movement study. *School Psychology Review, 42*(2), 140-156.
- Fuchs, L. S., & Fuchs, D. (1993). Formative evaluation of academic progress: How much growth can we expect? *School Psychology Review, 22*(1), 27.
- Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., Prentice, K., Burch, M., Hamlett, C. L., Owen, R., . . . Jancek, D. (2003). Explicitly teaching for transfer: Effects on third-grade students' mathematical problem solving. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*(2), 293-305.
- Gajria, M., Jitendra, A. K., Sood, S., & Sacks, G. (2007). Improving comprehension of expository text in students with LD: A research synthesis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 40*(3), 210-225.
- García-Madruga, J. A., Vila, J. O., Gómez-Veiga, I., Duque, G., & Elosúa, M. R. (2014). Executive processes, reading comprehension and academic achievement in 3th grade primary students. *Learning and Individual Differences, 35*, 41-48.
- Garner, R. (1981). Monitoring of passage inconsistency among poor comprehenders: A preliminary test of the "piecemeal processing" explanation. *Journal of Educational Research, 74*(3), 159-162.
- Garrod, S., Freudenthal, D., & Boyle, E. (1994). The role of different types of anaphor in the on-line resolution of sentences in a discourse. *Journal of Memory and Language, 33*(1), 39-68.
- Gernsbacher, M. A. (1990). *Language comprehension as structure building*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gerrig, R. J., & McKoon, G. (1998). The readiness is all: The functionality of memory based text processing. *Discourse Processes, 26*(2-3), 67-86.
- Gerrig, R. J., & O'Brien, E. J. (2005). The scope of memory-based processing. *Discourse Processes, 39*(2-3), 225-242.
- Gersten, R., Fuchs, L. S., Williams, J. P., & Baker, S. (2001). Teaching reading comprehension strategies to students with learning disabilities: A review of research. *Review of Educational Research, 71*(2), 279-320.
- Gick, M. L., & Holyoak, K. J. (1980). Analogical problem solving. *Cognitive Psychology, 12*(3), 306-355.
- Gick, M. L., & Holyoak, K. J. (1983). Schema induction and analogical transfer. *Cognitive Psychology, 15*(1), 1-38.

- Gilbert, R., Martínez, G., & Vidal-Abarca, E. (2005). Some good texts are always better: Text revision to foster inferences of readers with high and low prior background knowledge. *Learning and Instruction, 15*(1), 45-68.
- Gillund, G., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1984). A retrieval model for both recognition and recall. *Psychological Review, 91*(1), 1-67.
- Glynn, S. M., & Muth, K. D. (1994). Reading and writing to learn science: Achieving scientific literacy. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 31*(9), 1057-1073.
- Godden, D. R., & Baddeley, A. D. (1975). Context-dependent memory in two natural environments: On land and underwater. *British Journal of Psychology, 66*(3), 325-331.
- Goldman, S. R. (2004). Cognitive aspects of constructing meaning through and across multiple texts. In N. Shuart-Faris & D. Bloome (Eds.), *Uses of intertextuality in classroom and educational research* (pp. 317-351). Greenwich, CT: Information Age.
- Goldman, S. R. (2015). Reading and the web: Broadening the need for complex comprehension. In R. J. Spiro, M. DeSchrijver, M. S. Hagerman, P. M. Morsink, & P. Thomas (Eds.), *Reading at a crossroads? Disjunctures and continuities in current conceptions and practices* (pp. 89). New York: Routledge.
- Goldman, S. R., & Varma, S. (1995). CAPing the construction-integration model of discourse comprehension. In C. A. Weaver, S. Mannes, & C. R. Fletcher (Eds.), *Discourse comprehension: Essays in honor of Walter Kintsch* (pp. 337-358).
- Graesser, A. C., & Clark, L. C. (1985). *Structures and procedures of implicit knowledge*. Norwood, NJ: Albex.
- Graesser, A. C., McNamara, D. S., & VanLehn, K. (2005). Scaffolding deep comprehension strategies through Point&Query, AutoTutor, and iSTART. *Educational Psychologist, 40*(4), 225-234.
- Graesser, A. C., Singer, M., & Trabasso, T. (1994). Constructing inferences during narrative comprehension. *Psychological Review, 101*, 371-395.
- Graves, M. F., Cooke, C. L., & Laberge, C. J. (1983). Effects of previewing short stories. *Reading Research Quarterly, 18*, 262-276.
- Graves, M. F., & Graves, B. B. (2003). *Scaffolding reading experiences: Designs for student success* (2nd ed.). Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers.
- Guzzetti, B. J. (2000). Learning counter-intuitive science concepts: What have we learned from over a decade of research? *Reading & Writing Quarterly, 16*(2), 89-98.
- Guzzetti, B. J., Snyder, T. E., Glass, G. V., & Gamas, W. S. (1993). Promoting conceptual change in science: A comparative meta-analysis of instructional interventions from reading education and science education. *Reading Research Quarterly, 28*(2), 117-159.
- Hacker, D. J. (1997). Comprehension monitoring of written discourse across early-to-middle adolescence. *Reading and Writing, 9*(3), 207-240.
- Hagen, Å. M., Braasch, J. L. G., & Bråten, I. (2014). Relationships between spontaneous note-taking, self-reported strategies and comprehension when reading multiple texts in different task conditions. *Journal of Research in Reading, 37*(1), 141-157.
- Hart, B., & Risley, T. R. (1995). *Meaningful differences in the everyday experiences of young American children*. Baltimore, MD: Brookes Publishing.
- Hartman, D. K. (1995). Eight readers reading: The intertextual links of proficient readers reading multiple passages. *Reading Research Quarterly, 30*(3), 520-561.
- Hartman, J. A., & Hartman, D. K. (1994). Arranging multi-text reading experiences that expand the reader's role (Tech. Report No. 604). Urbana-Champaign: University of Illinois: Center for the Study of Reading.

- Hatcher, P. (2000). Predictors of reading recovery book levels. *Journal of Research in Reading, 23*(1), 67-77.
- Helder, A., Van Leijenhorst, L., & van den Broek, P. (2016). Coherence monitoring by good and poor comprehenders in elementary school: Comparing offline and online measures. *Learning and Individual Differences, 48*, 17-23.
- Hintzman, D. L. (1986). 'Schema abstraction' in a multiple-trace memory model. *Psychological Review, 93*(4), 411-428.
- Hirsch, E. D. (2003). Reading comprehension requires knowledge - of words and the world. *American Educator, 27*(1), 10-13.
- Hirshman, E., & Bjork, R. A. (1988). The generation effect: Support for a two-factor theory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 14*(3), 484-494.
- Huizinga, M., Dolan, C. V., & van der Molen, M. W. (2006). Age-related change in executive function: Developmental trends and a latent variable analysis. *Neuropsychologia, 44*(11), 2017-2036.
- Hynd, C. R., Alvermann, D., & Qian, G. (1997). Preservice elementary school teachers' conceptual change about projectile motion: Refutation text, demonstration, affective factors, and relevance. *Science Education, 81*(1), 1-27.
- Hynd, C. R., McWhorter, J. Y., Phares, V. L., & Suttles, C. W. (1994). The role of instructional variables in conceptual change in high school physics topics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 31*(9), 933-946.
- Just, M. A., & Carpenter, P. A. (1992). A capacity theory of comprehension: Individual-differences in working memory. *Psychological Review, 99*(1), 122-149.
- Just, M. A., Carpenter, P. A., & Woolley, J. D. (1982). Paradigms and processes in reading comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 111*(2), 228-238.
- Kendeou, P., Braasch, J. L. G., & Bråten, I. (2016). Optimizing conditions for learning: Situating refutations in epistemic cognition. *The Journal of Experimental Education, 84*(2), 245-263.
- Kendeou, P., Lynch, J. S., van den Broek, P., Espin, C. A., White, M., & Kremer, K. E. (2005). Developing successful readers: Building early comprehension skills through television viewing and listening. *Early Childhood Education Journal, 33*(2), 91-98.
- Kendeou, P., Muis, K. R., & Fulton, S. (2011). Reader and text factors in reading comprehension processes. *Journal of Research in Reading, 34*(4), 365-383.
- Kendeou, P., & O'Brien, E. J. (2014). The Knowledge Revision Components (KReC) framework: Processes and mechanisms. In D. N. Rapp & J. L. G. Braasch (Eds.), *Processing inaccurate information: Theoretical and applied perspectives from cognitive science and the educational sciences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kendeou, P., Rapp, D. N., & van den Broek, P. (2003). The influence of reader's prior knowledge on text comprehension and learning from text. In R. Nata (Ed.), *Progress in education* (Vol. 13, pp. 189-209). New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
- Kendeou, P., Smith, E. R., & O'Brien, E. J. (2013). Updating during reading comprehension: Why causality matters. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 39*(3), 854-865.
- Kendeou, P., & van den Broek, P. (2005). The effects of readers' misconceptions on comprehension of scientific text. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 97*(2), 235-245.
- Kendeou, P., & van den Broek, P. (2007). The effects of prior knowledge and text structure on comprehension processes during reading of scientific texts. *Memory & Cognition, 35*(7), 1567-1577.

- Kendeou, P., van den Broek, P., White, M. J., & Lynch, J. S. (2009). Predicting reading comprehension in early elementary school: The independent contributions of oral language and decoding skills. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 101*(4), 765-778.
- Kendeou, P., Walsh, E. K., Smith, E. R., & O'Brien, E. J. (2014). Knowledge revision processes in refutation texts. *Discourse Processes, 51*(5-6), 374-397.
- Kieffer, M. J., Vukovic, R. K., & Berry, D. (2013). Roles of attention shifting and inhibitory control in fourth-grade reading comprehension. *Reading Research Quarterly, 48*(4), 333-348.
- Kim, A.-H., Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., & Wei, S. (2004). Graphic organizers and their effects on the reading comprehension of students with LD: A synthesis of research. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 37*(2), 105-118.
- Kintsch, W. (1980). Learning from text, levels of comprehension, or: Why anyone would read a story anyway. *Poetics, 9*(1), 87-98.
- Kintsch, W. (1988). The role of knowledge in discourse comprehension: A construction-integration model. *Psychological Review, 95*(2), 163-182.
- Kintsch, W. (1998). *Comprehension: A paradigm for cognition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kirby, J. R., Cain, K., & White, B. (2012). Deeper learning in reading comprehension. In J. R. Kirby & M. J. Lawson (Eds.), *Enhancing the quality of learning: Dispositions, instruction, and learning processes*. (pp. 315-338). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Klin, C. M., & Myers, J. L. (1993). Reinstatement of causal information during reading. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 19*(3), 554-560.
- Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). A revision of Bloom's taxonomy: An overview. *Theory Into Practice, 41*(4), 212-218.
- Kurby, C. A., Britt, M. A., & Magliano, J. P. (2005). The role of top-down and bottom-up processes in between-text integration. *Reading Psychology, 26*(4-5), 335-362.
- Kutas, M., DeLong, K. A., & Smith, N. J. (2011). A look around what lies ahead: Prediction and predictability in language processing. In M. Bar (Ed.), *Predictions in the Brain: Using our Past to Generate a Future* (pp. 190-207). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., & Christensen, R. H. B. (2015). Package 'lmerTest'. Retrieved from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lmerTest/lmerTest.pdf>
- Langer, J. A. (1981). From theory to practice: A prereading plan. *Journal of Reading, 25*(2), 152-156.
- Le Bigot, L., & Rouet, J.-F. (2007). The impact of presentation format, task assignment, and prior knowledge on students' comprehension of multiple online documents. *Journal of Literacy Research, 39*(4), 445-470.
- Lenski, S. D. (1998). Intertextual intentions: Making connections across texts. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas, 72*(2), 74-80.
- Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K. H., Seifert, C. M., Schwarz, N., & Cook, J. (2012). Misinformation and its correction: Continued influence and successful debiasing. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 13*(3), 106-131.
- Lilienfeld, S. O., Lynn, S. J., Ruscio, J., & Beyerstein, B. L. (2010). *50 great myths of popular psychology: Shattering widespread misconceptions about human behavior*. Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Linderholm, T., Everson, M. G., van den Broek, P., Mischinski, M., Crittenden, A., & Samuels, J. (2000). Effects of causal text revisions on more- and less-skilled readers' comprehension of easy and difficult texts. *Cognition and Instruction, 18*(4), 525-556.

- Linderholm, T., Virtue, S., Tzeng, Y., & van den Broek, P. (2004). Fluctuations in the availability of information during reading: Capturing cognitive processes using the landscape model. *Discourse Processes, 37*(2), 165-186.
- Lipson, M. Y. (1982). Learning new information from text: The role of prior knowledge and reading ability. *Journal of Literacy Research, 14*(3), 243-261.
- Lobato, J. (2006). Alternative perspectives on the transfer of learning: History, issues, and challenges for future research. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 15*(4), 431-449.
- Locascio, G., Mahone, E. M., Eason, S. H., & Cutting, L. E. (2010). Executive dysfunction among children with reading comprehension deficits. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 43*, 441-454.
- Long, D. L., Oppy, B. J., & Seely, M. R. (1994). Individual differences in the time course of inferential processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 20*(6), 1456-1470.
- Long, D. L., Oppy, B. J., & Seely, M. R. (1997). Individual differences in readers' sentence- and text-level representations. *Journal of Memory and Language, 36*(1), 129-145.
- Lorch, R. F., Jr. (1993). Integration of topic and subordinate information during reading. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 19*, 1071-1081.
- Lorch, R. F., Jr. (2015). What about expository text? In E. J. O'Brien, A. E. Cook, & R. F. Lorch Jr (Eds.), *Inferences during reading*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lorch, R. F., Jr., & Lorch, E. P. (1996). Effects of headings on text recall and summarization. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 21*(3), 261-278.
- Lorch, R. F., Jr., Lorch, E. P., & Klusewitz, M. A. (1995). Effects of typographical cues on reading and recall of text. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 20*(1), 51-64.
- Lynch, J. S., & van den Broek, P. (2007). Understanding the glue of narrative structure: Children's on- and off-line inferences about characters' goals. *Cognitive Development, 22*(3), 323-340.
- Lynch, J. S., van den Broek, P., Kremer, K. E., Kendeou, P., White, M. J., & Lorch, E. P. (2008). The development of narrative comprehension and its relation to other early reading skills. *Reading Psychology, 29*(4), 327-365.
- Maier, J., & Richter, T. (2013). Text belief consistency effects in the comprehension of multiple texts with conflicting information. *Cognition and Instruction, 31*(2), 151-175.
- Mandler, J. M., & Johnson, N. S. (1977). Remembrance of things parsed: Story structure and recall. *Cognitive Psychology, 9*, 111-151.
- Markman, E. M. (1977). Realizing that you don't understand: A preliminary investigation. *Child Development, 48*(3), 986-992.
- Markman, E. M. (1979). Realizing that you don't understand: Elementary school children's awareness of inconsistencies. *Child Development, 50*(3), 643-655.
- Mason, L., & Gava, M. (2007). Effects of epistemological beliefs and learning text structure on conceptual change. In S. Vosniadou, A. Baltas, & X. Vamvakoussi (Eds.), *Reframing the conceptual change approach in learning and instruction* (pp. 165-197). Oxford, UK: Elsevier.
- Mayer, R. E. (1983). Can you repeat that? Qualitative effects of repetition and advance organizers on learning from science prose. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 75*(1), 40-49.
- McCrudden, M. T. (2012). Readers' use of online discrepancy resolution strategies. *Discourse Processes, 49*(2), 107-136.

- McCrudden, M. T., & Kendeou, P. (2014). Exploring the link between cognitive processes and learning from refutational text. *Journal of Research in Reading, 37*(1), 116-140.
- McKoon, G., Gerrig, R. J., & Greene, S. B. (1996). Pronoun resolution without pronouns: some consequences of memory-based text processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 22*(4), 919-932.
- McKoon, G., & Ratcliff, R. (1980). The comprehension processes and memory structures involved in anaphoric reference. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 19*(6), 668-682.
- McKoon, G., & Ratcliff, R. (1992). Inference during reading. *Psychological Review, 99*(3), 440-466.
- McKoon, G., & Ratcliff, R. (2015). Cognitive theories in discourse-processing research. In E. J. O'Brien, A. E. Cook, & R. F. Lorch Jr (Eds.), *Inferences during reading* (pp. 42-67). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge university press.
- McMaster, K. L., van den Broek, P., Espin, C. A., White, M. J., Rapp, D. N., Kendeou, P., . . . Carlson, S. (2012). Making the right connections: Differential effects of reading intervention for subgroups of comprehenders. *Learning and Individual Differences, 22*(1), 100-111.
- McNamara, D. S. (2001). Reading both high-coherence and low-coherence texts: Effects of text sequence and prior knowledge. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 55*(1), 51-62.
- McNamara, D. S. (2004). SERT: Self-Explanation Reading Training. *Discourse Processes, 38*(1), 1-30.
- McNamara, D. S. (2007). *Reading comprehension strategies: Theories, interventions, and technologies*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- McNamara, D. S., Kintsch, E., Songer, N. B., & Kintsch, W. (1996). Are good texts always better? Interactions of text coherence, background knowledge, and levels of understanding in learning from text. *Cognition and Instruction, 14*(1), 1-43.
- McNamara, D. S., & Kintsch, W. (1996). Learning from texts: Effects on prior knowledge and text coherence. *Discourse Processes, 22*, 247-288.
- McNamara, D. S., & Magliano, J. (2009). Toward a comprehensive model of comprehension. In B. Ross (Ed.), *The psychology of learning and motivation* (pp. 297-384). New York: Elsevier.
- McNamara, D. S., O'Reilly, T. P., Best, R. M., & Ozuru, Y. (2006). Improving adolescent students' reading comprehension with iSTART. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 34*(2), 147-171.
- McRae, K., & Jones, M. (2013). Semantic memory. In D. Reisberg (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of cognitive psychology* (pp. 206-219). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Mesmer, H. A., Cunningham, J. W., & Hiebert, E. H. (2012). Toward a theoretical model of text complexity for the early grades: Learning from the past, anticipating the future. *Reading Research Quarterly, 47*(3), 235-258.
- Meyer, B. J. F., Brandt, D. M., & Bluth, G. J. (1980). Use of top-level structure in text: Key for reading comprehension of ninth-grade students. *Reading Research Quarterly, 72*-103.
- Meyer, B. J. F., & Freedle, R. O. (1984). Effects of discourse type on recall. *American Educational Research Journal, 21*(1), 121-143.
- Meyer, B. J. F., & Poon, L. W. (2001). Effects of structure strategy training and signaling on recall of text. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 93*(1), 141-159.

- Meyer, B. J. F., & Ray, M. N. (2011). Structure strategy interventions: Increasing reading comprehension of expository text. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 4(1), 127-152.
- Miller, B. W. (2015). Using reading times and eye-movements to measure cognitive engagement. *Educational Psychologist*, 50(1), 31-42.
- Miller, J. R., & Kintsch, W. (1980). Readability and recall of short prose passages: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, 6(4), 335-354.
- Morris, C. D., Stein, B. S., & Bransford, J. D. (1979). Prerequisites for the utilization of knowledge in the recall of prose passages. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, 5(3), 253-261.
- Myers, J. L., & O'Brien, E. J. (1998). Accessing the discourse representation during reading. *Discourse Processes*, 26(2-3), 131-157.
- Nagy, W. E., Herman, P. A., & Anderson, R. C. (1985). Learning words from context. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 20(2), 233-253.
- National Research Council. (2012) *Education for life and work: Developing transferable knowledge and skills in 21st century*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Neuman, S. B. (2006). The knowledge gap: Implications for early education. In D. Dickinson & S. B. Neuman (Eds.), *Handbook of early literacy research* (Vol. 2, pp. 29-40). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Newell, A., & Rosenbloom, P. S. (1981). Mechanisms of skill acquisition and the law of practice. In J. R. Anderson (Ed.), *Cognitive skills and their acquisition* (pp. 1-55). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum
- Newell, A., & Simon, H. A. (1972). *Human problem solving*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Noordman, L. G. M., & Vonk, W. (1992). Readers' knowledge and the control of inferences in reading. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 7(3-4), 373-391.
- Novak, J. D. (1988). Learning science and the science of learning. *Studies in Science Education*, 15(1), 77-101.
- Novak, J. D. (1990). Concept maps and Vee diagrams: two metacognitive tools to facilitate meaningful learning. *Instructional Science*, 19(1), 29-52.
- NRO. (2016). Met onderzoek onderwijs vernieuwen: Programma Nationaal Regieorgaan Onderwijsonderzoek 2016-2019 [Changing education with research: Program Taskforce Educational Research 2016-2019]. Den Haag, The Netherlands: NRO, Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO).
- O'Brien, E. J. (1987). Antecedent search processes and the structure of text. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 13(2), 278-290.
- O'Brien, E. J. (1995). Automatic components of discourse comprehension. In R. F. Lorch, Jr. & E. J. O'Brien (Eds.), *Sources of coherence in reading* (pp. 159-176). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- O'Brien, E. J., & Albrecht, J. E. (1991). The role of context in accessing antecedents in text. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 17(1), 94-102.
- O'Brien, E. J., Albrecht, J. E., Hakala, C. M., & Rizzella, M. L. (1995). Activation and suppression of antecedents during reinstatement. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 21(3), 626-634.
- O'Brien, E. J., Cook, A. E., & Gueraud, S. (2010). Accessibility of outdated information. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 36(4), 979-991.

- O'Brien, E. J., Duffy, S. A., & Myers, J. L. (1986). Anaphoric inference during reading. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 12(3), 346.
- O'Brien, E. J., & Myers, J. L. (1999). Text comprehension: A view from the bottom-up. In S. R. Goldman, A. C. Graesser, & P. W. van den Broek (Eds.), *Narrative comprehension, causality, and coherence: Essays in honor of Tom Trabasso* (pp. 35-53). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- O'Brien, E. J., Plewes, P. S., & Albrecht, J. E. (1990). Antecedent retrieval processes. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 16(2), 241-249.
- O'Brien, E. J., Raney, G. E., Albrecht, J. E., & Rayner, K. (1997). Processes involved in the resolution of explicit anaphors. *Discourse Processes*, 23(1), 1-24.
- O'Brien, E. J., Rizzella, M. L., Albrecht, J. E., & Halleran, J. G. (1998). Updating a mental model: A memory-based text processing view. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 24, 1200-1210.
- O'Reilly, T., & McNamara, D. S. (2007). Reversing the reverse cohesion effect: Good texts can be better for strategic, high-knowledge readers. *Discourse Processes*, 43(2), 121-152.
- O'Brien, E. J., & Myers, J. L. (1987). The role of causal connections in the retrieval of text. *Memory & Cognition*, 15(5), 419-427.
- O'Brien, E. J., Rizzella, M. L., Albrecht, J. E., & Halleran, J. G. (1998). Updating a situation model: A memory-based text processing view. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 24(5), 1200-1210.
- Oakhill, J. (1982). Constructive processes in skilled and less skilled comprehenders' memory for sentences. *British Journal of Psychology*, 73, 13-20.
- Oakhill, J. (1984). Inferential and memory skills in childrens comprehension of stories. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 54, 31-39.
- Oakhill, J., & Cain, K. (2012). The precursors of reading ability in young readers: Evidence from a four-year longitudinal study. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 16(2), 91-121.
- Oakhill, J., Cain, K., & Bryant, P. E. (2003). The dissociation of word reading and text comprehension: Evidence from component skills. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 18(4), 443-468.
- Oakhill, J., & Yuill, N. (1986). Pronoun resolution in skilled and less-skilled comprehenders: Effects of memory Load and inferential Complexity. *Language and Speech*, 29(1), 25-37.
- Oakhill, J., Yuill, N., & Parkin, A. (1988). Memory and inference in skilled and less-skilled comprehenders. In M. M. Gruneberg, P. E. Morris, & R. N. Sykes (Eds.), *Practical aspects of memory* (Vol. 2). Chichester: Wiley.
- OECD. (2015). OECD skills outlook 2015: Youth, skills and employability.
- Ozuru, Y., Dempsey, K., & McNamara, D. S. (2009). Prior knowledge, reading skill, and text cohesion in the comprehension of science texts. *Learning and Instruction*, 19(3), 228-242.
- Paris, S. G., Lindauer, B. K., & Cox, G. L. (1977). The development of inferential comprehension. *Child Development*, 48(4), 1728-1733.
- Pearson, P. D., & Hamm, D. N. (2005). The assessment of reading comprehension: A review of practices - past, present and future. In S. G. Paris & S. A. Stahl (Eds.), *Children's Reading Comprehension and Assessment* (pp. 13-69). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Perfetti, C., & Stafura, J. (2014). Word knowledge in a theory of reading comprehension. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 18(1), 22-37.

- Perfetti, C. A. (1985). *Reading ability*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Perfetti, C. A., Rouet, J.-F., & Britt, M. A. (1999). Toward a theory of documents representation. In H. Van Oostendorp & S. R. Goldman (Eds.), *The construction of mental representations during reading* (pp. 99-122). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Posner, G. J., Strike, K. A., Hewson, P. W., & Gertzog, W. A. (1982). Accommodation of a scientific conception: Toward a theory of conceptual change. *Science Education*, 66(2), 211-227.
- Raney, G. E., & Rayner, K. (1995). Word frequency effects and eye movements during two readings of a text. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 49(2), 151-173.
- Rapp, D. N., & Kendeou, P. (2007). Revising what readers know: Updating text representations during narrative comprehension. *Memory & Cognition*, 35(8), 2019-2032.
- Rapp, D. N., van den Broek, P., McMaster, K. L., Kendeou, P., & Espin, C. A. (2007). Higher-order comprehension processes in struggling readers: A perspective for research and intervention. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 11, 289-312.
- Ratcliff, R. (1978). A theory of memory retrieval. *Psychological Review*, 85(2), 59-108.
- Ratcliff, R. (1993). Methods for dealing with reaction time outliers. *Psychological Bulletin*, 114(3), 510-532.
- Ratcliff, R., & McKoon, G. (1988). A retrieval theory of priming in memory. *Psychological Review*, 95(3), 385-408.
- Rawson, K. A., & Kintsch, W. (2002). How does background information improve memory for text content? *Memory & Cognition*, 30(5), 768-778.
- Rawson, K. A., & Kintsch, W. (2004). Exploring encoding and retrieval effects of background information on text memory. *Discourse Processes*, 38(3), 323-344.
- Ray, M. N., & Meyer, B. J. F. (2011). Individual differences in children's knowledge of expository text structures: A review of literature. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 4(1), 67-82.
- Rayner, K. (1977). Visual attention in reading: Eye movements reflect cognitive processes. *Memory & Cognition*, 5(4), 443-448.
- Rayner, K., & Duffy, S. A. (1986). Lexical complexity and fixation times in reading: Effects of word frequency, verb complexity, and lexical ambiguity. *Memory & Cognition*, 14(3), 191-201.
- Rayner, K., Kambe, G., & Duffy, S. A. (2000). The effect of clause wrap-up on eye movements during reading. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 53(4), 1061-1080.
- Recht, D. R., & Leslie, L. (1988). Effect of prior knowledge on good and poor readers' memory of text. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 80(1), 16-20.
- Reutzel, D. R. (1985). Reconciling schema theory and the basal reading lesson. *The Reading Teacher*, 39(2), 194-197.
- Richland, L. E., Stigler, J. W., & Holyoak, K. J. (2012). Teaching the conceptual structure of mathematics. *Educational Psychologist*, 47(3), 189-203.
- Richter, T. (2006). What is wrong with ANOVA and multiple regression? Analyzing sentence reading times with hierarchical linear models. *Discourse Processes*, 41(3), 221-250.
- Richter, T., Isberner, M.-B., Naumann, J., & Neeb, Y. (2013). Lexical quality and reading comprehension in primary school children. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 17(6), 415-434.
- Rinehart, S. D., Stahl, S. A., & Erickson, L. G. (1986). Some effects of summarization training on reading and studying. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 21(4), 422-438.

- Roediger, H. L., & Pyc, M. A. (2012). Inexpensive techniques to improve education: Applying cognitive psychology to enhance educational practice. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 1(4), 242-248.
- Rojahn, K., & Pettigrew, T. F. (1992). Memory for schema-relevant information: A meta-analytic resolution. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 31(2), 81-109.
- Rouet, J.-F., & Britt, M. A. (2011). Relevance processes in multiple document comprehension. In M. T. McCrudden, J. P. Magliano, & G. Schraw (Eds.), *Text relevance and learning from text* (pp. 19-52). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.
- Royer, J. M. (1979). Theories of the transfer of learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 14(1), 53-69.
- Sabatini, J. P., O'Reilly, T., Halderman, L., & Bruce, K. (2014). Broadening the scope of reading comprehension using scenario-based assessments: Preliminary findings and challenges. *L'Année Psychologique*, 114(4), 693-723.
- Sakamoto, Y., & Love, B. C. (2004). Schematic influences on category learning and recognition memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 133(4), 534-553.
- Samuels, S. J. (1979). The method of repeated readings. *The Reading Teacher*, 32(4), 403-408.
- SAS Technical Report R-101. (1978). *Tests of hypotheses in fixed-effects linear models*. Retrieved from: http://support.sas.com/documentation/onlinedoc/v82/techreport_r101.pdf
- Satterthwaite, F. E. (1941). Synthesis of variance. *Psychometrika*, 6(5), 309-316.
- Schank, R. C., & Abelson, R. P. (1977). *Scripts, plans, goals and understanding: An inquiry into human knowledge structures*. Oxford, England: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Schmidt, R. A., & Bjork, R. A. (1992). New conceptualizations of practice: Common principles in three paradigms suggest new concepts for training. *Psychological Science*, 3(4), 207-217.
- Seigneuric, A., & Ehrlich, M.-F. (2005). Contribution of working memory capacity to children's reading comprehension: A longitudinal investigation. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 18, 617-656.
- Sesma, H. W., Mahone, E. M., Levine, T., Eason, S. H., & Cutting, L. E. (2009). The contribution of executive skills to reading comprehension. *Child Neuropsychology*, 15(3), 232-246.
- Sheehan, K. M., Kostin, I., & Persky, H. (2006). *Predicting item difficulty as a function of inferential processing requirements: An examination of the reading skills underlying performance on the NAEP Grade 8 reading assessment*. Paper presented at the Annual meeting of the National Council on Measurement in Education, San Francisco, CA.
- Shuell, T. J. (1986). Cognitive conceptions of learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 56(4), 411-436.
- Singer, M. (2015). Validation of text and discourse inferences - and explicit content. In E. J. O'Brien, A. E. Cook, & R. F. Lorch Jr (Eds.), *Inferences during reading* (pp. 68-93). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Slamecka, N. J., & Graf, P. (1978). The generation effect: Delineation of a phenomenon. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, 4(6), 592-604.
- SLO. (2006). *Kerndoelen primair onderwijs*. Retrieved from <http://www.slo.nl/primair/kerndoelen/>.
- Spencer, R. M., & Weisberg, R. W. (1986). Context-dependent effects on analogical transfer. *Memory & Cognition*, 14(5), 442-449.

- Spillich, G. J., Vesonder, G. T., Chiesi, H. L., & Voss, J. F. (1979). Text processing of domain-related information for individuals with high and low domain knowledge. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 18(3), 275-290.
- Stadtler, M., & Bromme, R. (2014). The content-source integration model: A taxonomic description of how readers comprehend conflicting scientific information. In D. N. Rapp & J. L. G. Braasch (Eds.), *Processing inaccurate information: Theoretical and applied perspectives from cognitive science and the educational sciences* (pp. 379-402). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Stadtler, M., Scharrer, L., & Bromme, R. (2012). Does relevance matter in comprehending scientific conflicts from multiple documents? Evidence from online and offline-data. In E. de Vries & K. Scheiter (Eds.), *Staging knowledge and experience: How to take advantage of representational technologies in education and training? Proceedings of the EARLI SIG 2 meeting* (pp. 202-204). Grenoble, France: EARLI SIG 2.
- Stadtler, M., Scharrer, L., Brummernhenrich, B., & Bromme, R. (2013). Dealing with uncertainty: Readers' memory for and use of conflicting information from science texts as function of presentation format and source expertise. *Cognition and Instruction*, 31(2), 130-150.
- Stangor, C., & McMillan, D. (1992). Memory for expectancy-congruent and expectancy-incongruent information: A review of the social and social developmental literatures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 111(1), 42-61.
- Stanovich, K. (1986). Matthew effects in reading: Some consequences of individual differences in the acquisition of literacy. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 21(4), 360-407.
- Staphorsius, G., Verhelst, N. D., & Kleintjes, F. G. M. (1996). De ontwikkeling van een domeingerichte index voor leesbaarheid en leesvaardigheid. [The development of an index for readability and reading skills]. *Taalbeheersing*, 18, 116-132.
- Stein, M., Larrabee, T. G., & Barman, C. R. (2008). A study of common beliefs and misconceptions in physical science. *Journal of Elementary Science Education*, 20(2), 1-11.
- Stein, N. L., & Glenn, C. G. (1979). An analysis of story comprehension in elementary school children. In R. Freedle (Ed.), *Multidisciplinary approaches to discourse comprehension* (pp. 53-120). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Strømsø, H. I., Bråten, I., & Samuelstuen, M. S. (2003). Students' strategic use of multiple sources during expository text reading: A longitudinal think-aloud study. *Cognition and Instruction*, 21(2), 113-147.
- Swanborn, M. S. L., & de Gloppe, K. (1999). Incidental word learning while reading: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 69(3), 261-285.
- Swanson, H. L., Cochran, K. F., & Ewers, C. A. (1989). Working memory in skilled and less skilled readers. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 17, 145-156.
- Thibaut, J.-P., & French, R. M. (2016). Analogical reasoning, control and executive functions: A developmental investigation with eye-tracking. *Cognitive Development*, 38, 10-26.
- Thiede, K. W., & Anderson, M. C. M. (2003). Summarizing can improve metacomprehension accuracy. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 28(2), 129-160.
- Thiede, K. W., Anderson, M. C. M., & Theriault, D. (2003). Accuracy of metacognitive monitoring affects learning of texts. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95(1), 66-73.
- Thompson, J. G., & Myers, N. A. (1985). Inferences and recall at ages four and seven. *Child Development*, 56, 1134-1144.

- Trabasso, T., Secco, T., & van den Broek, P. (1984). Causal cohesion and story coherence. In H. Mandl, N. L. Stein, & T. Trabasso (Eds.), *Learning and comprehension of text* (pp. 83-111). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Trabasso, T., & van den Broek, P. (1985). Causal thinking and the representation of narrative events. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 24(5), 612-630.
- Trabasso, T., van den Broek, P., & Suh, S. Y. (1989). Logical necessity and transitivity of causal relations in stories. *Discourse Processes*, 12, 1-25.
- Tse, D., Langston, R. F., Kakeyama, M., Bethus, I., Spooner, P. A., Wood, E. R., . . . Morris, R. G. M. (2007). Schemas and memory consolidation. *Science*, 316(5821), 76-82.
- Tzeng, Y., van den Broek, P., Kendeou, P., & Lee, C. (2005). The computational implementation of the Landscape Model: Modeling inferential processes and memory representations of text comprehension. *Behavioral Research Methods, Instruments & Computers*, 37, 277-286.
- Valencia, S., & Pearson, P. D. (1987). Reading assessment: Time for a change. *Reading Teacher*, 40(8), 726-732.
- Van Boekel, M., Lasonde, K. A., O'Brien, E. J., & Kendeou, P. (2016). *Source credibility and the processing of refutation texts*. Manuscript in preparation.
- van den Broek, P. (1988). The effects of causal relations and hierarchical position on the importance of story statements. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 27, 1-22.
- van den Broek, P. (1989a). Causal reasoning and inference making in judging the importance of story statements. *Child Development*, 60, 286-297.
- van den Broek, P. (1989b). The effects of causal structure on the comprehension of narratives: Implications for education. *Reading Psychology: An International Quarterly*, 10, 19-44.
- van den Broek, P. (1990). The causal inference maker: Towards a process model of inference generation in text comprehension. In D. A. Balota, G. B. Flores d'Arcais, & K. Rayner (Eds.), *Comprehension processes in reading* (pp. 423-445). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- van den Broek, P. (1995). A "landscape" model of reading comprehension: Inferential processes and the construction of a stable memory representation. *Canadian Psychology*, 36, 53-54.
- van den Broek, P. (1997). Discovering the cement of the universe: The development of event comprehension from childhood to adulthood. In P. van den Broek, P. J. Bauer, & T. Bourg (Eds.), *Developmental spans in event comprehension and representation: Bridging fictional and actual events* (pp. 321-342). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- van den Broek, P. (2010). Using texts in science education: Cognitive processes and knowledge representation. *Science*, 328(5977), 453-456.
- van den Broek, P., Bohn-Gettler, C., Kendeou, P., Carlson, S., & White, M. J. (2011). When a reader meets a text: The role of standards of coherence in reading comprehension. In M. T. McCrudden, J. Magliano, & G. Schraw (Eds.), *Relevance instructions and goal-focusing in text learning* (pp. 123-140). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- van den Broek, P., & Gustafson, M. (1999). Comprehension and memory for texts: Three generations of research. In S. R. Goldman, A. C. Graesser, & P. v. d. Broek (Eds.), *Narrative comprehension, causality, and coherence: Essays in honor of Tom Trabasso* (pp. 15-34). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- van den Broek, P., Helder, A., & van Leijenhors, L. (2013). Sensitivity to structural centrality: Developmental and individual differences in reading comprehension skills. In M. A. Britt, S. R. Goldman, & J.-F. Rouet (Eds.), *Reading: From words to multiple texts* (pp. 132-146). New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

- van den Broek, P., & Kendeou, P. (2008). Cognitive processes in comprehension of science texts: The role of co-activation in confronting misconceptions. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 22*, 335-351.
- van den Broek, P., Lorch, E. P., & Thurlow, R. (1996). Children's and adults' memory for television stories: The role of causal factors, story-grammar categories, and hierarchical level. *Child Development, 67*, 3010-3028.
- van den Broek, P., Ridsden, K., Fletcher, C. R., & Thurlow, R. (1996). A 'landscape' view of reading: Fluctuating patterns of activation and the construction of a stable memory representation. In B. K. Britton & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *Models of understanding text* (pp. 165-187). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- van den Broek, P., Ridsden, K., & Husebye-Hartman, E. (1995). The role of readers' standards for coherence in the generation of inferences during reading. In J. Lorch, R. F. & E. J. O'Brien (Eds.), *Sources of coherence in text comprehension* (pp. 353-373). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- van den Broek, P., & Trabasso, T. (1986). Causal networks versus goal-hierarchies in summarizing text. *Discourse Processes, 9*, 1-15.
- van den Broek, P., Young, M., Tzeng, Y., & Linderholm, T. (1999). The landscape model of reading. In H. van Oostendorp & S. R. Goldman (Eds.), *The construction of mental representations during reading* (pp. 71-98). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- van der Schoot, M., Reijntjes, A., & van Lieshout, E. C. (2012). How do children deal with inconsistencies in text? An eye fixation and self-paced reading study in good and poor reading comprehenders. *Reading and Writing, 25*(7), 1665-1690.
- Verhoeven, L., & van Leeuwe, J. (2008). Prediction of the development of reading comprehension: A longitudinal study. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 22*(3), 407-423.
- Vidal-Abarca, E., Martínez, G., & Gilabert, R. (2000). Two procedures to improve instructional text: Effects on memory and learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 92*(1), 107-116.
- Vidal-Abarca, E., & Martínez, T. (2002). Read&Answer: A software to study on-line text learning and comprehension processes. Comprehension and learning from text research group, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain.
- Vosniadou, S. (2013). *International handbook of research on conceptual change*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Vosniadou, S., & Brewer, W. F. (1992). Mental models of the Earth: A study of conceptual change in childhood. *Cognitive Psychology, 24*(4), 535-585.
- Vosniadou, S., & Brewer, W. F. (1994). Mental models of the day/night cycle. *Cognitive Science, 18*(1), 123-183.
- Vosniadou, S., Pearson, P. D., & Rogers, T. (1988). What causes children's failures to detect inconsistencies in text? Representation versus comparison difficulties. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 80*(1), 27-39.
- Voss, J. F., Vesonder, G. T., & Spilich, G. J. (1980). Text generation and recall by high-knowledge and low-knowledge individuals. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 19*(6), 651-667.
- Wiley, J., Goldman, S. R., Graesser, A. C., Sanchez, C. A., Ash, I. K., & Hemmerich, J. A. (2009). Source evaluation, comprehension, and learning in internet science inquiry tasks. *American Educational Research Journal, 46*(4), 1060-1106.
- Wiley, J., & Rayner, K. (2000). Effects of titles on the processing of text and lexically ambiguous words: Evidence from eye movements. *Memory & Cognition, 28*(6), 1011-1021.

- Williams, J. P., Hall, K. M., Lauer, K. D., Stafford, K. B., DeSisto, L. A., & deCani, J. S. (2005). Expository text comprehension in the primary grade classroom. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 97*(4), 538-550.
- Williams, J. P., Pollini, S., Nubla-Kung, A. M., Snyder, A. E., Garcia, A., Ordynans, J. G., & Atkins, J. G. (2014). An intervention to improve comprehension of cause/effect through expository text structure instruction. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 106*(1), 1-17.
- Wineburg, S. S. (1991). Historical problem solving: A study of the cognitive processes used in the evaluation of documentary and pictorial evidence. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 83*(1), 73-87.
- Wolfe, M. B. W., & Goldman, S. R. (2005). Relations between adolescents' text processing and reasoning. *Cognition and Instruction, 23*(4), 467-502.
- Wolman, C., van den Broek, P., & Lorch, R. F., Jr. (1997). Effects of causal structure on immediate and delayed story recall by children with mild mental retardation, children with learning disabilities, and children without disabilities. *The Journal of Special Education, 30*(4), 439-455.
- Wong, B. Y. L. (1985). Self-questioning instructional research: A review. *Review of Educational Research, 55*(2), 227-268.
- Zwaan, R. A., Magliano, J. P., & Graesser, A. C. (1995). Dimensions of situation-model construction in narrative comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 21*, 386-397.