

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/30776> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Talens, Rudolf P.

Title: Studies into epigenetic variation and its contribution to cardiovascular disease

Issue Date: 2015-01-08

Chapter 5

Hypermethylation at loci sensitive to the prenatal environment is associated with increased incidence of myocardial infarction

R.P. Talens¹, J.W. Jukema^{2,3,4,5}, S. Trompet², D. Kremer¹, R.G.J. Westendorp^{3,6}, L.H. Lumey⁷, N. Sattar⁸, H. Putter⁹, P.E. Slagboom^{1,3}, B.T. Heijmans^{1,3}, on behalf of the PROSPER group

1. Department of Molecular Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands
2. Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands
3. Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Ageing, The Netherlands
4. Interuniversity Cardiology Institute of the Netherlands (ICIN), Utrecht, The Netherlands
5. Durrer Center for Cardiogenetic Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
6. Department of Gerontology and Geriatrics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands
7. Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA
8. Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical sciences, BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Center, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
9. Department of Medical Statistics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Abstract

Background

Human epidemiological studies suggest that small size at birth and food deprivation during gestation confer an excess risk of coronary heart diseases (CHD) in adulthood, frequently in a sex-specific manner. Prior epigenetic studies indicate that such prenatal conditions are marked by persistent, sometimes sex-specific changes in DNA methylation. Here, we investigated the association between DNA methylation and myocardial infarction (MI) at six loci sensitive to prenatal nutrition, anticipating potential sex-specificity.

Method

Within the placebo group of the PROSPER trial on Pravastatin and the risk of CHD, we compared all individuals who were event free at baseline and developed MI during 3 years follow-up ($n = 122$) with a similar sized control group. Methylation at *IL10*, *LEP*, *ABCA1*, *IGF2*, *INS*, and *GNASAS* was measured in DNA extracted from leukocytes using mass spectrometry.

Results

DNA methylation at *GNASAS* was modestly higher in MI cases compared with controls ($p=0.030$). A significant sex interaction was observed for *INS* ($p = 0.014$) and *GNASAS* ($p = 0.031$). Higher DNA methylation at these loci was associated with MI among women (*INS*: + 2.5 %, $p = 0.002$; *GNASAS*: + 4.2 %, $p = 0.001$). Hypermethylation at one locus and at both loci was associated with odds ratios of 2.8 and 8.6, respectively ($P_{\text{trend}} = 3.0 * 10^{-04}$). No association was observed among men.

Conclusions

The risk of MI in women is associated with DNA methylation marks at specific loci previously shown to be sensitive to prenatal conditions. This observation may reflect a developmental component of MI.

Introduction

The developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis poses that conditions during early development influence the risk for developing coronary heart diseases (CHD) and other chronic diseases in later life [209,210]. In human studies, this hypothesis is being investigated using various proxies for developmental adversity. Small size at birth, for example, is linked to a consistent albeit modest increase in risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus [166] and CHD [9] in later life. Prenatal exposure to the Dutch Famine at the end of World War II is associated with an adverse CHD risk profile in adulthood in individuals who had a normal size at birth [167,211]. These proxies, however, generally lack specificity. Small size at birth can be caused by many factors and cannot distinguish between specific prenatal conditions and their timing. Studies of historical famines can be relatively precise for the type and the timing of prenatal conditions but provide limited information for exposures at the individual level. Moreover, data on these proxies is rarely available in epidemiological studies of CHD. The molecular modifications underlying epigenetic regulation are an alternative resource for markers of the prenatal environment that could meet these limitations.

Epigenetic mechanisms maintain heritable variation in gene expression potential that is not caused by variation in the DNA sequence [29]. The molecular basis includes the methylation of cytosines in CpG dinucleotides, which together with histone modifications, and non-coding RNAs, influences the accessibility of DNA to the transcriptional machinery [23]. DNA methylation is recognized as the most stable epigenetic mark [25] and can be measured on DNA samples that are commonly available in biobanks [195].

Although generally stable, the prenatal environment can induce persistent changes in DNA methylation. Crucially, these changes can be specific to the type of exposure, its timing during gestation and the sex of the exposed individual. In sheep, maternal methyl-donor deficiency from preconception to preimplantation resulted in sex-specific DNA methylation changes throughout the genome in the adult offspring [184]. In rats, prenatal dietary protein restriction [212] and prenatal caloric restriction [213] had opposite effects on DNA methylation at the same candidate

Table 5.1: Epigenetic properties of the six methylation assays and their associated candidate loci

Locus (alias)	Prenatal famine (14, 15)		Sex specific	mTFBS ^c	Epigenetic feature (10)	Control of gene	Gene function
	Peri ^a	Late ^b					
<i>IL10</i>	↑	=	n	Confirmed	Proximal promoter	<i>IL10</i> [136]	Anti-inflammation
<i>LEP</i>	↑ ^d	↑ ^d	y (♂)	Confirmed	Proximal promoter	<i>LEPTIN</i> [141]	Metabolism
<i>ABCA1</i>	↑	NT	n	Confirmed	Promoter CpG island shore	<i>ABCA1</i> [143]	Cholesterol transport
<i>IGF2DMR</i>	↓	=	n	Putative	Imprinted DMR	<i>IGF2</i> [133]	Growth and development
<i>INS (IN-SIGF)</i>	↓ ^d	=	y (♂)	Putative	Proximal promoter	<i>INSULIN</i> [200] / <i>INS-IGF</i> [180]	Glucose metabolism / Fetal growth
<i>GNAS (NESPAS)</i>	↑ ^d	↓	y (♀)	Confirmed	Imprinting control DMR	<i>Gs, ALPHA SUBUNIT</i> [200]	cAMP-dependent pathway

a: Exposure to famine in the period around conception

b: Exposure to famine in late gestational period (last trimester)

c: Methylation-sensitive transcription factor-binding site

d: The association between DNA methylation and prenatal famine was sex specific

NT: The association between DNA methylation and famine was not tested

loci illustrating that methylation marks at specific loci can be meta-stable and that the direction of epigenetic changes may be exposure-specific [214]. Similar mechanisms apply to humans. We reported timing and sex-specific changes in DNA methylation at candidate loci sixty years after exposure to the Dutch Famine [14,135]. The relevance of sex-specific effects is highlighted by the observation that the association of prenatal famine exposure with an adverse cardiovascular risk profile is predominantly present among women [167,169]. In addition, periconceptual exposure to famine was associated with lower DNA methylation at the *IGF2* locus whereas periconceptual folic acid supplementation was associated with higher DNA methylation at this locus [120]. Other prenatal conditions that have been associated with

changes in DNA methylation in humans include intrauterine growth restriction [215] and maternal tobacco smoking during pregnancy [216]. In line with these data, it has been proposed that the developmental origins of CHD may eventually be investigated with epigenetic signatures that are specific for both timing and type of adverse prenatal conditions [69,106].

In this study, we investigated the association of DNA methylation with myocardial infarction (MI) during a 3.2 years follow-up period. To this end, DNA methylation was measured at six loci implicated in metabolic and cardiovascular diseases, namely *IL10*, *LEP*, *ABCA1*, *IGF2*, *INS* (alternate symbol *INSIGF*), and *GNASAS* (alternate symbol *NESPAS*). These methylation marks cover the genomic region that contains features with a potential for epigenetic regulation as observed in human, animal, or cell culture experiments [133,136,141,143,200,201] (Table 5.1). DNA methylation at these loci was previously found to mark prenatal environmental conditions [14,120,135]. Analyses took into account potential sex-specificity since prenatal adversity was reported to be sex-specifically associated with both changes in DNA methylation [135] and with prevalence of metabolic risk factors for CHD [167,169,217].

Methods and materials

Study population

This study was based in the ongoing PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER) trial. Details of the design of the PROSPER study were described previously [118,218]. Briefly: PROSPER is a prospective multicenter randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial to assess if treatment with pravastatin could reduce risk of major vascular events in the elderly. Between December 1997 and May 1999, subjects were screened and enrolled in Glasgow (Scotland), Cork (Ireland), and Leiden (the Netherlands). For the PROSPER study men and women aged 70 - 82 years were recruited if they had pre-existing vascular disease or were at a moderately increased risk due to smoking, hypertension, or diabetes. A total number of 5804 subjects were randomly assigned to either Pravastatin (n = 2891) or placebo (n = 2913) treatment. Mean follow-up period was 3.2 years after randomized assignment to Pravastatin or placebo treatment.

All endpoints of the study were adjudicated by a study endpoint committee. In the current study, MI includes the definitions of definite fatal and nonfatal myocardial infarction as devised for the PROSPER trial [118]. Further details on the measurement and definition of baseline characteristics are described elsewhere [118,218]. DNA was extracted from blood drawn before the placebo run-in medication was issued. Samples were centrifuged after lysis of erythrocytes, the supernatant was removed, and DNA was extracted from the pellet, consisting of the leukocytes, using the salting out method.

Eligible individuals for this study were allocated to placebo treatment to exclude an influence of Pravastatin treatment and were free of CHD events at baseline (n = 1654). The remaining risk factors for which the study population was selected (smoking, hypertension or diabetes) are common at older age and the individuals can be expected to be largely representative of their age group. A further inclusion criterion for this study was availability of sufficient DNA (n = 1375). Controls were selected from those alive at the end of the follow-up period who did not develop CHD, stroke or cancer (n = 1248), cases suffered an MI during the follow-up period (n = 122). The study focused on a single end-point to reduce heterogeneity. A case-control study was designed to compare all eligible cases to a representative control group of similar size. Assuming that the differences in DNA methylation between cases and controls are similar to those we previously observed between individuals prenatally exposed to famine [14,135] or periconceptual folic acid [120] (mean difference expressed in standard deviations = 0.35), the estimated power of our study is 0.78 (122 cases, 122 controls; $\alpha = 0.05$; two-sample t-test). Because this would leave space for 4 additional samples on the PCR-plates, 126 individuals were included in the control group. To select the controls, we used block-random selection in order to obtain a similar sex distribution in the controls as in the cases (females 48.4 %). From the group of eligible controls (females 60.9 %), 302 females were randomly removed. Next, from the remaining group (n = 946), 126 individuals (females 49.2 %) were randomly selected to be the control group, which was similar in baseline characteristics as the whole group of eligible controls.

The institutional ethics review boards of all study centers approved the protocol, and all participants gave written

informed consent. The protocol was consistent with the Declaration of Helsinki.

DNA methylation

DNA methylation was measured using a mass spectrometry-based method (Epityper version 1.05, Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). Previous studies showed the quantitative accuracy (R^2 duplicate measurements ≥ 0.98) and high concordance with clonal PCR bisulphite sequencing [14,151,152]. The design, features and measurement details of the assays to measure DNA methylation at *IL10*, *LEP*, *ABCA1*, *IGF2*, *INS* (*INSIGF*), and *GNASAS* (*NESPAS*) were described previously [195]. Briefly: bisulfite conversion of 0.5 μg of genomic DNA using the EZ 96-DNA methylation kit (Zymo Research, Orange, CA, USA) was followed by PCR amplification, fragmentation after reverse transcription and analysis on a mass spectrometer. Fragments that contain one or more CpG sites are called CpG units, methylation of which is assessed in a single measurement for all CpG sites on the fragment. Cases and controls were randomly divided over bisulfite conversion (3 x 96-well plates) and PCR amplification batches (2 x 384 well plates). For each individual, the six assays were amplified from the same bisulfite treated DNA. All methylation measurements were done in triplicate from the same bisulfite treated DNA.

The six assays contained a total of 59 CpG units, over which 96 CpG sites were distributed. After applying a stringent quality control procedure [195], 32 CpG units, containing 49 CpG sites remained (Table S5.1B). In total 23 CpG units (41 CpG sites) were removed since their fragment's mass overlapped or was outside the detection range, potential confounding by single nucleotide polymorphisms both due to known (dbSNP build 28) or unknown genetic variation (R-module MassArray [153]), and 4 CpG units (6 CpG sites) were removed since they had success of less than two of the three replicate measurements, standard deviation (SD) greater than 10 % for the replicates, and success rate < 75 % after quality controls. The average call rate for the 32 CpG units was 94%.

Statistical analysis

The differences in baseline characteristics between the case and control group were assessed with a chi-square test for the qualitative variables, and an independent t-test for

the quantitative variables. For HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, glucose and CRP, significance of the difference was assessed after natural logarithmic transformation.

We estimated the mean DNA methylation at the six loci and the standard error of the mean (SE) for cases and controls as previously described [135,195]. Linear mixed model were used to test for differences in methylation of the loci between cases and controls [135,195,219]. It uses all available methylation data per locus, i.e. methylation of multiple CpG units per locus, accounts for the correlation between methylation of CpG units within a locus, and using this correlation handles data missing at random. It further enables the inclusion of relevant adjustments on the raw data within the same model. The linear mixed model may be seen as an extension of a t-test, resulting in identical results when between-group methylation differences are assessed for a single CpG unit (in stead of all CpG units within a locus) and covariates are omitted. In the linear mixed model DNA methylation was entered as dependent variable. Individual was entered as a random effect. Incidence of MI, age, sex (when applicable), country of origin, PCR- and bisulphite batch, and CpG unit, were entered as fixed effects. The difference between case and control groups at a locus was expressed as percentage DNA methylation and as the proportion of the standard deviation (SD) of the adjusted mean methylation in the control group (estimated from the SE), i.e. the standardized difference (SD-unit).

To test for sex specificity of the association between DNA methylation and MI the interaction term MI*sex was entered as a fixed effect to the model. This was done in view of the previously observed sex-specificity of the association between prenatal exposure to famine and both DNA methylation at *LEP*, *INS*, and *GNASAS* [135] (Table 5.1) and cardiovascular risk factors [167,169]. For loci showing a significant interaction between sex and MI, the study population was reanalyzed for men and women separately. Traditional CHD risk factors, that showed a difference ($p < 0.05$) between cases and controls, were added to the model as fixed effects, separately and simultaneously to obtain adjusted DNA methylation differences between cases and controls.

Logistic regression was used to calculate odds ratios (OR) for hypo- (i.e. lower than the median) or hypermethylation (i.e. higher than the median) at a locus and incidence of

MI. The median, being independent of the distribution of variation in DNA methylation, was chosen as cut-off to compare the analyses across the loci. To categorize DNA methylation at a locus as hypo- or hypermethylated, the mean methylation at a locus was calculated by averaging the methylation of the CpG units measured across the locus after imputing missing data. Imputation was performed using the linear mixed model, which exploits the correlation between methylation of neighboring CpG sites to achieve accurate imputation [14]. In the regression model, incidence of MI status was the dependent variable, and methylation status, age, sex (when applicable), country of origin, PCR- and bisulphite batch, and traditional risk factor (when applicable) were entered as independent variables. Odds ratios for hypo- and hypermethylation were calculated with methylation of a locus entered as categorical covariate (hypomethylation was the reference category). Loci were tested individually and combined; interaction between loci was tested by entering an interaction term $\text{locus}_1 * \text{locus}_2$ as covariate. Statistical significance for combined effects was assessed using a test for trend. All P-values reported are two-sided. All analyses were performed using SPSS 16.0 (SPSS inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Our study was based in the PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER) trial [118]. Eligible individuals for the current epigenetic study were selected from the placebo group and were free of CHD events at baseline ($n = 1654$). Individuals who developed MI during follow-up ($n = 122$) had a higher BMI, lower HDL-cholesterol, higher triglycerides, and higher CRP than a randomly selected control group ($n=126$; Table 5.2). Of note, the association of many CHD risk factors had a different distribution between the two sexes in this study population. Metabolic risk factors such as BMI, triglyceride levels, and fasting blood glucose were only associated with MI in women, whereas CRP levels and hypertension were only associated with MI in men (Table 5.2).

DNA methylation at *IL10*, *LEP*, *ABCA1*, *IGF2*, *INS*, and *GNASAS* was measured using a mass spectrometry-based method [152]. Linear mixed model were used to test for

Table 5.2: Baseline characteristics of the case and control group

Characteristic ^a	Selected individuals			Females		Males		p-value
	Controls (n = 126)	Cases (n = 122)	p-value	Controls (n = 62)	Cases (n = 57)	Controls (n = 64)	Cases (n = 65)	
Current smoker	48 (38 %)	43 (35 %)	.642	18 (29 %)	15 (26 %)	30 (47 %)	28 (43 %)	.665
Diabetes	12 (10 %)	17 (14 %)	.280	4 (7 %)	9 (16 %)	8 (12 %)	8 (12 %)	.974
Hypertension	86 (68 %)	96 (79 %)	.063	51 (82 %)	46 (81 %)	35 (55 %)	50 (77 %)	.008
Age years	74.8 ± 3.1	75.4 ± 3.6	.209	75.1 ± 3.2	75.4 ± 3.5	74.6 ± 2.9	75.3 ± 3.7	.184
BMI kg/m ²	26.3 ± 4.4	28.9 ± 4.2	.004	26.4 ± 4.7	28.5 ± 4.6	26.3 ± 4.1	27.4 ± 3.8	.102
Total Cholesterol mmol/l	5.7 ± 0.97	5.5 ± 0.89	.116	6.2 ± 0.94	5.8 ± 0.96	5.3 ± 0.78	5.3 ± 0.74	.887
LDL-chol mmol/l	3.8 ± 0.89	3.7 ± 0.78	.173	4.1 ± 0.92	3.8 ± 0.85	3.5 ± 0.74	3.5 ± 0.69	.764
HDL-chol ^b mmol/l	1.3 (1.10 - 1.55)	1.2 (0.97 - 1.41)	.003	1.4 (1.17 - 1.68)	1.3 (1.06 - 1.50)	1.2 (1.01 - 1.41)	1.1 (0.92 - 1.25)	.066
Triglyceride ^b mmol/l	1.3 (1.05 - 1.74)	1.5 (1.10 - 2.06)	.038	1.4 (1.13 - 1.86)	1.6 (1.34 - 2.19)	1.3 (0.98 - 1.69)	1.4 (1.00 - 2.00)	.237
Glucose ^b mmol/l	4.9 (4.60 - 5.33)	5.1 (4.70 - 5.90)	.039	4.7 (4.50 - 5.30)	5.2 (4.60 - 5.95)	5.0 (4.60 - 5.48)	5.0 (4.70 - 5.75)	.511
CRP ^b mg/l	2.9 (1.48 - 6.01)	4.5 (1.82 - 7.54)	.024	3.1 (1.49 - 6.10)	4.7 (1.50 - 8.16)	2.7 (1.33 - 5.77)	4.4 (2.00 - 7.27)	.013

a: Qualitative characteristics are given as their count (%), quantitative characteristics as mean ± SD
b: These quantitative variables are given as median (inter quartile range), due to there skewed distribution




Figure 5.1: DNA hypermethylation is associated with risk for MI

DNA methylation difference between the female case - and control groups (y-axis) at each CpG unit (x-axis) of the loci *INS* (grey bars) and *GNASAS* (open bars). Differences were nominally significant for all CpG units when tested individually ($P < 0.05$), except for CpG 6 of *INS* (A) Difference in percentage DNA methylation. (B) Difference in SD-units, a proportion of the standard deviation from the adjusted mean methylation in the control group. Bars represent the average difference, the whiskers represent the SE of the difference. Numbers under each bar are the CpG units, numbered from the forward primer onward. A positive difference indicates that the case group had a higher average DNA methylation, values are adjusted for bisulphite - and PCR batches, country and age at baseline.

differences in methylation of the loci between cases and controls [135,195,219]. DNA methylation was modestly higher in MI-cases at *GNASAS* (+ 1.8 % (= 0.26 SD-units), $p = 0.030$; Table 5.3) compared with the control group. No differences in DNA methylation were observed at the other loci. Sex-specificity of the associations was tested. An interaction between sex and MI was observed for *INS* ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.014$) and *GNASAS* ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.031$) (Table 5.3). Women and men were subsequently analyzed separately for these loci.

In women, DNA methylation at *INS* was higher in MI cases compared with controls (+ 2.5 % (= 0.59 SD-units), $p = 0.002$) (Table 5.4), which was independent of traditional risk factors (+ 2.6 % (=0.62 SD-units); $p = 0.002$). This difference was similar for all four CpG units at the locus, when expressed in SD-units (Figure 5.1B). DNA methylation at *GNASAS* was also higher in female cases compared with controls (+ 4.2 % (= 0.61 SD-units), $p = 0.001$), and was also independent of traditional risk factors (+3.5 % (=0.51 SD-units); $p = 0.009$). This difference was similar for all ten CpG units at this locus (Figure 5.1). In men, no differences in

Table 5.3: DNA methylation differences and incidence of MI

Locus	Mean methylation ^a (SE)		Cases - Controls (SE)			
	Controls	Cases	% Methylation ^b	Standardized ^c	p-value ^d	p _{sex interaction}
<i>IL10</i>	27.6 (0.79)	29.2 (0.88)	+ 1.6 (1.11)	+ 0.18 (0.12)	0.148	0.232
<i>LEP</i>	29.2 (0.54)	29.7 (0.60)	+ 0.4 (0.75)	+ 0.07 (0.12)	0.558	0.435
<i>ABCA1</i>	14.8 (0.35)	15.0 (0.38)	+ 0.2 (0.47)	+ 0.04 (0.12)	0.752	0.093
<i>IGF2</i>	52.0 (0.52)	53.2 (0.58)	+ 1.2 (0.73)	+ 0.21 (0.13)	0.089	0.087
<i>INS</i>	75.7 (0.42)	76.8 (0.46)	+ 1.1 (0.58)	+ 0.23 (0.12)	0.063	0.014
<i>GNASAS</i>	49.0 (0.60)	50.9 (0.67)	+ 1.8 (0.84)	+ 0.27 (0.12)	0.030	0.031

a: Average DNA methylation at the locus, adjusted for batch effects, country, age at baseline, and sex

b: The difference is expressed in percentage DNA methylation

c: The standardized difference is expressed as a proportion of the standard deviation in the control group

d: Two sided p-value for the difference, adjusted for batch effects, country, age at baseline, and sex

DNA methylation were observed between cases and controls.

We assessed the association between hypermethylation at *INS* and at *GNASAS* with the risk of MI (Table 5.5). No interaction between the two loci was observed. Therefore, three categories were analyzed: hypomethylation at both loci (reference), hypermethylation at either *INS* or *GNASAS* and hypermethylation at both loci. In the whole study population, hypermethylation at one locus and at both loci was associated with odds ratios of 1.7 (95 % Confidence interval (95 % CI): 0.9 – 3.3) and 2.8 (95 % CI: 1.4 – 5.9), respectively ($p_{\text{trend}} = 0.006$). This association could be solely attributed to women among whom single and double hypermethylation were associated with odds ratios of 2.8 (95 % CI: 1.0 – 7.8) and 8.6 (95 % CI: 2.7 – 27.9), respectively ($p_{\text{trend}} = 3.1 * 10^{-4}$). Adjustment for traditional risk factors did not appreciably alter these associations ($OR_{\text{single}} = 3.1$ (95 % CI: 1.0 - 9.7); $OR_{\text{double}} = 11.1$ (95 % CI: 2.9 - 43.4), $P_{\text{trend}} = 5.2 * 10^{-4}$). In men, no association was observed.

Discussion

We report the association of a higher DNA methylation at the imprinted loci *INS* and *GNASAS* with the incidence

Table 5.4: DNA methylation differences and incidence of MI, per sex

Locus	Sex	Mean methylation ^a (SE)		Cases - Controls (SE)	Standard- ized ^c	p-value ^d
		Controls	Cases	% Methy- lation ^b		
<i>INS</i>	♂	76.0 (0.64)	75.7 (0.68)	- 0.4 (0.87)	- 0.07 (0.17)	0.659
	♀	75.5 (0.53)	77.9 (0.60)	+ 2.5 (0.76)	+ 0.59 (0.18)	0.002
<i>GNASAS</i>	♂	49.3 (0.83)	49.6 (0.89)	+ 0.2 (1.12)	+ 0.03 (0.17)	0.850
	♀	48.4 (0.89)	52.6 (1.01)	+ 4.2 (1.28)	+ 0.60 (0.18)	0.001

- a: Average DNA methylation at the locus, adjusted for batch effects, country, and age at baseline
b: The difference is expressed in percentage DNA methylation
c: The standardized difference is expressed as a proportion of the standard deviation in the control group
d: Two sided p-value for the difference, adjusted for batch effects, country, and age at baseline

Table 5.5: Hyper-methylation at *INSIGF* and *GNASAS* and risk of MI

Methylation status <i>INSIGF</i> / <i>GNASAS</i> ^a	Whole group		♂		♀	
	n	OR (95% CI)	n	OR (95% CI)	n	OR (95% CI)
Hypo / Hypo	69	1	34	1	35	1
Hypo / Hyper or Hyper / Hypo	103	1.7 (0.9 - 3.3)	59	1.1 (0.4 - 2.7)	44	2.8 (1.0 - 7.8)
Hyper / Hyper	70	2.8 (1.4 - 5.9)	33	1.1 (0.4 - 3.1)	37	8.6 (2.7 - 27.9)
Test for trend (p_{trend}) ^b	0.006		0.868		3.1 * 10 ⁻⁴	

- a: Hypo-methylation is defined as below median, hyper-methylation as above median.
b: Two sided p-value, adjusted for batch effects, country, age at baseline, and sex where appropriate

of MI in women. Until now, only the association between various measures of genomic methylation and CHD have been investigated with varied outcomes [220,221]. Our results suggest that methylation at specific loci may be an epigenetic marker for the risk of CHD.

It remains to be determined which factors or mechanisms underlie the observed association. Our results may be linked

to the developmental origins hypothesis which predicts that individuals who go on to develop CHD were subjected to less favorable conditions in early life than those who do not [209,210,222]. *INS* and *GNASAS* are regulators of fetal growth. Methylation at *GNASAS* is crucial for normal imprinting throughout the *GNAS* locus, in which expression of the antagonists G protein α -subunit (*G α s*) and its extra large isoform (*XL α s*) regulate fetal nutrient demand [201,223]. Methylation at the *INS* promoter establishes imprinting in extra-embryonic tissues, in which expression of the *INSIGF* transcript regulates placental development [180,224]. Further highlighting the putative link to the developmental origins hypothesis are the differences in DNA methylation at *INS* and *GNASAS* observed after prenatal exposure to famine that persist throughout life, are of the same magnitude and are sex-specific [135].

Our findings are compatible with a developmental component of MI, however the current study population lacks data on the potential prenatal factors involved, although it is certain that participants of the study population did not experience famine during gestation. Previous work indicated that epigenetically meta-stable loci like *INS* and *GNASAS* may be sensitive to various perturbations during early development [14,120,212,213]. Prenatal conditions that are associated with an adverse CHD risk profile in adulthood and that have been suggested to produce persistent epigenetic changes include maternal micronutrient deficiency [225], maternal smoking [226], and placental morphology [217]. Social-economic status may be another relevant but as yet less investigated factor related to prenatal conditions [8]. If persistent epigenetic signatures of specific prenatal conditions can be established in studies in which these prenatal conditions have been recorded [195,197], they can subsequently be used as surrogate markers to indirectly assess the association of such prenatal conditions to CHD risk in studies that, like our study, lack information on the prenatal environment [69,106].

The loci studied here were selected for their sensitivity to prenatal conditions and the influence of prenatal conditions on DNA methylation is well-established. However, the difference between female MI cases and controls may also have accumulated during aging as a result of a differential exposure to environmental factors over the life course [227].

If life course exposures indeed contributed to our findings, they appear to be unrelated to traditional CHD risk factors, since the associations between DNA methylation and MI remained after adjustment for these CHD risk factors. Similar to the studies on prenatal exposures, we measured DNA methylation on DNA extracted from leukocytes. We previously demonstrated that heterogeneity of the leukocyte population does not influence *INS* and *GNASAS* methylation in whole blood [195] and, furthermore, individuals with signs of inflammation were excluded from the current study [118]. Thus, it is unlikely that cellular heterogeneity contributed to our results.

Epigenetic changes were proposed to be mechanistically involved in CHD development [65], and it may be explored whether the current data can be viewed as supportive of this hypothesis. Higher DNA methylation at *INS* is associated with lower expression of the insulin gene in pancreatic β -cells [200]. Higher DNA methylation at *GNASAS* is associated with higher expression of *G α s* in adipose tissue, the pituitary and the thyroid gland [201,223]. *G α s* is a key component of the cAMP mediated intracellular signal transduction cascade [223]. Both cAMP and insulin signaling are implicated in obesity, insulin resistance and other signs of metabolic dysregulation [228], all of which are risk factors for developing CHD. The differences in DNA methylation that we report here, however, were observed in leukocytes, in which insulin is not expressed [200] and expression of *G α s* is not controlled by DNA methylation at *GNASAS* [223]. Still, the methylation status of imprinted loci in leukocytes is generally thought to mark that of (internal) tissues that are directly involved in disease [30,195]. Also, it should be noted that the observed differences in percentage DNA methylation are small, although their magnitude is in line with other human studies [14,73,120,135,215,216]. In a rat study small changes in percentage DNA methylation were demonstrated to explain almost half the variance in gene expression [188]. Studies in non-peripheral tissues, incorporating a study design that addresses confounding and reverse causation [99], should be used to establish whether or not small but long-term changes in expression of these loci can influence pathways that are involved in CHD development.

In the current study we only found an association between DNA methylation and risk of MI in women, but not in men.

This may indicate sex-specificity of the association, similar to sex-specific epigenetic changes associated with prenatal exposure to the Dutch Famine [135]. In view of the study size and the characteristics of the PROSPER study, the absence of an association in men should be interpreted with caution. We observed sex-specific associations with MI for traditional risk factors that are known to be sex-independent in middle age. This may be related to the age range of the study subjects (70-82 years) and the exclusion of individuals with a history of CHD at baseline. The age is well above the average age of a first MI for men (63 years), but below the average age of a first MI for women (74 years) [229,230]. To definitely establish whether the associations of *INS* and *GNASAS* methylation are sex-specific, larger series of patients and controls that include younger age-ranges should be investigated.

It has been proposed that epigenetic marks that are sensitive to the prenatal environment can be used as biomarkers to investigate the developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis [69,106]. Our data, in combination with previous results on prenatal exposures [14,120,135] indicate the feasibility of this approach. The success of the approach will rely on the identification of epigenetic signatures that mark a specific prenatal condition, e.g. maternal micronutrient deficiency [225], maternal smoking [226], and placental morphology [217]. This will entail epigenome-wide screening in studies designed to investigate exposures during early development. These epigenetic signatures can subsequently be utilized as biomarkers for disease risk in epidemiological studies of CHD or other chronic diseases.

Acknowledgements

This work was performed as part of an ongoing collaboration of the PROSPER study group in the universities of Leiden, Glasgow and Cork. This work was supported by grants from the Netherlands Heart Foundation (#2006B083), the Interuniversity Cardiology Institute of the Netherlands (ICIN) and the Durrer Center for Cardiogenetic Research both Institutes of the Netherlands Royal Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW), an investigator initiated grant from Bristol-Myers Squibb (USA), the European Union-funded Network of Excellence LifeSpan (FP6/036894), the Center for Medical Systems Biology (CMSB), a center of excellence approved by the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI) / Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), the NGI/NWO-funded Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Ageing (NCHA) (#05060810) and the EU project HEALTH-F2-2007 223004 PHASE. J.W. Jukema is an established clinical investigator of the Netherlands Heart Foundation (#2001D032). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or the preparation of the manuscript.

Table S5.1A: Primers used in bisulfite PCR

Locus	Forward primer	Reverse primer
<i>IL10</i>	TGATTGGTTGAATATGAATTTTGTAT	CACCCCTCATTTTACTTAAAAA
<i>LEP</i>	GTTTTGGGAGGGATTAAGGATT	CTACCAAAAAAACCAA- CAAAAAA
<i>ABCA1</i>	ATTTTATTGGTGTTTTGGTTGT	ATCAAAAACCTATACTCTCCCTCCTC
<i>IGF2</i>	TGGATAGGAGATTGAGGAGAAA	AAACCCCAACAAAAACCACT
<i>INS</i>	GTTTTGAGGAAGAGGTGTTGA	ACCTAAAAATCCAACCACCCTAA
<i>GNASAS</i>	GTAATTTGTGGTATGAGGAAGAGTGA	TAAATAACCCAATAATCCCAACA
10-mer tag ^a	AGGAAGAGAG +	CAGTAATACGACTCAC- TATAGGGAGAAGGCT +

a: The primer is attached to the tag at the + site

Table S5.1B: CpG-sites per fragment of the loci that were analyzed for variation

Locus	UCSC coordinates ^b	CpG-sites analyzed ^c	Call rate ^d
<i>IL10</i>	chr1:206,946,011-206,946,339	1, 2-3	91%
<i>LEP</i>	chr7:127,881,054-127,881,410	1, 8, 16-17, 19-21, 22, 25, 28	95%
<i>ABCA1</i>	chr9:107,690,502-107,690,821	3-4, 5, 15-16, 17-18, 19-21, 25	92%
<i>IGF2</i>	chr11:2,169,459-2,169,796	3, 4, 8	94%
<i>INS</i>	chr11:2,182,336-2,182,640	2, 3, 5, 6	99%
<i>GNASAS</i>	chr20:57,425,815-57,426,108	1-2, 3-4, 5, 6, 7, 8-9, 10-12, 13-14, 15, 17-19	92%

b:UCSC genome browser coordinates from Feb. 2009 (GRCh37/hg19) Assembly

c: CpG-site number is counted from the forward primer onward, and given per CpG unit

d:Percentage of CpG unit methylation measurements that remained after quality control