

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/19035> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Andrea, Carlos Eduardo de

Title: The epiphyseal growth plate and peripheral cartilaginous tumours : the neighbours matter

Issue Date: 2012-05-30

Chapter 7

Secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma evolving from osteochondroma as a result of outgrowth of cells with functional *EXT*

Carlos E. de Andrea, Christianne M.A. Reijnders,
Herman M. Kroon, Daniëlle de Jong,
Pancras C. W. Hogendoorn, Karoly Szuhai
and Judith V.M.G. Bovée
Oncogene 2012;31:1095–1104

Abstract

Secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma is the result of malignant transformation of a pre-existing osteochondroma, the most common benign bone tumor. Osteochondromas are caused by genetic abnormalities in *EXT1* or *EXT2*: homozygous deletion of *EXT1* characterizes sporadic osteochondromas (non-familial/solitary), and germline mutations in *EXT1* or *EXT2* combined with loss of heterozygosity define hereditary multiple osteochondromas. While cells with homozygous inactivation of *EXT* and wild-type cells shape osteochondromas, the cellular composition of secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas and the role of *EXT* in their formation have remained unclear. We report using a targeted-tiling-resolution oligo-array-CGH (array comparative genomic hybridization) that homozygous deletions of *EXT1* or *EXT2* are much less frequently detected (2/17, 12%) in sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas than expected based on the assumption that they originate in sporadic osteochondromas, in which homozygous inactivation of *EXT1* is found in ~80% of our cases. FISH with an *EXT1* probe confirmed that, unlike sporadic osteochondromas, cells from sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas predominantly retained one (hemizygous deleted loci) or both copies (wild-type) of the *EXT1* locus. By immunohistochemistry, we confirm the presence of cells with dysfunctional *EXT1* in sporadic osteochondromas and show cells with functional *EXT1* in sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas. These immuno results were verified in osteochondromas and secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas in the setting of hereditary multiple osteochondromas. Our data therefore point to a model of oncogenesis in which the osteochondroma creates a niche in which wild-type cells with functional *EXT* are predisposed to acquire other mutations giving rise to secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma, indicating that *EXT*-independent mechanisms are involved in the pathogenesis of secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma.

Keywords: chondrosarcoma; osteochondroma; *EXT1*; array-CGH; FISH; bone tumors

Introduction

Secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas arise in the cartilaginous cap of a pre-existing osteochondroma (Bertoni et al., 2002). Osteochondromas are the most common benign bone tumors of adolescence (Khurana et al., 2002; van den Berg et al., 2008). They occur as sporadic (non-familial/solitary) or multiple (hereditary) cartilage-capped bony projections from the metaphyses of endochondral bones adjacent to the growth plate (Khurana et al., 2002; Bovee et al., 2010). Osteochondromas develop during skeletal growth (13–15 years) and cease to grow when the growth plate fuses at puberty (van den Berg et al., 2008).

Sporadic and multiple osteochondromas have been linked to genetic alterations in two main loci, *EXT1* at 8q24 (65%) or *EXT2* at 11p11-13 (35%) (Bovee et al., 2010). The majority of the multiple osteochondromas (70–75%) are caused by point mutations. Deletions involving single or multiple exons are found in about 10% of all hereditary cases and no genomic alterations are detected in about 10–15%. In some of these negative cases, somatic mosaicism with large genomic deletions of *EXT1* and *EXT2* have been described as the underlying mechanism of multiple osteochondroma formation (Szuhai et al., 2011). In sporadic osteochondromas, homozygous deletions of *EXT1* are identified (Hameetman et al., 2007b; Reijnders et al., 2010; Zuntini et al., 2010; Szuhai et al., 2011). Recent experimental studies using mice and zebrafish knockdown models show that homozygous inactivation of *Ext1* or *Ext2* is required for osteochondromagenesis (Clément et al., 2008; Jones et al., 2010; Matsumoto et al., 2010). In humans, loss of the remaining wild-type allele of *EXT* has been detected in approximately 40% of sporadic and multiple osteochondromas (Hameetman et al., 2007b; Reijnders et al., 2010; Zuntini et al., 2010). In sporadic osteochondromas, we have described homozygous deletions of *EXT1* in ~80% of the cases (Hameetman et al., 2007b; Reijnders et al., 2010; Zuntini et al., 2010). It was shown recently that during the formation of osteochondromas, chondrocytes with functional *EXT* from the growth plate or the neighboring tissue are being integrated into the cartilaginous cap, generating a mosaic mixture of wild-type cells and cells with homozygous inactivation of *EXT1* or *EXT2* (de Andrea et al., 2010, 2011; Jones et al., 2010; Matsumoto et al., 2010).

Less than 1% of patients with sporadic osteochondromas and 1–3% of patients with multiple osteochondromas at the age of 30–60 years will eventually develop a secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma (Dorfman et al., 2002). Secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas are malignant cartilage-producing tumors. Contrary to what is observed in osteochondromas, homozygous inactivations of the *EXT* genes are detected in a much smaller subset (~15%) of secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas (Hecht et al., 1995; Raskind et al., 1995; Bovée et al., 1999; Hallor et al., 2009; Zuntini et al., 2010).

Although osteochondromas and secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas are associated, many aspects on the pathogenesis of this malignant bone tumor remain unestablished.

The homozygous inactivation of the *EXT* genes required for osteochondromagenesis, the mixture of cells with distinct genetic background within the osteochondroma cap and the low frequency of homozygous inactivation of the *EXT* genes in secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas lead to two central questions: (i) Are the cells with homozygous inactivation of *EXT1/2* of osteochondromas the cellular origin of secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas? (ii) Do the *EXT* genes act as tumor-suppressor genes in the formation of secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas?

To address these unsolved questions, we studied 17 clinically and radiologically well-documented secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas derived from sporadic osteochondromas. The copy-number alterations of *EXT1* or *EXT2* were assessed by targeted-tiling-resolution oligo-array-CGH and the genetic changes involving the *EXT1* locus were investigated by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Additionally, the potential function of *EXT1* was explored by immunohistochemistry. Sporadic osteochondromas were used as a reference to understand the formation of a secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma. Multiple osteochondromas and secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas derived from patients with multiple osteochondromas were used to validate the generated model.

Results

Clinical findings and imaging studies

The anatomical distribution and the cartilaginous cap thickness of the 17 sporadic peripheral chondrosarcomas are shown in Table 1. Sixteen patients showed no adverse outcome (metastases or death of disease). However, one patient with a pelvic lesion developed a large local recurrence approximately 3 years after the primary surgery and died a few months later of the disease. No germline mutations in *EXT1* or *EXT2* were detected. In the imaging studies, a pre-existing osteochondroma and/or its stalk were identified in all 17 cases (Supplementary Figure 1). All cases diagnosed as grade-I secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma fulfilled the criteria for recommending resection for malignant concerns. These criteria included histological features in accordance with the WHO classification (Khurana et al., 2002; van den Berg et al., 2008) or a cartilage cap thickness of 1.5 cm or greater, or a clinical history and/or radiographic signs of tumor growth (Supplementary Figure 1B–B4). Case L-868 was radiologically classified as a borderline lesion. The criteria used for this case included age of the patient (18-year-old man) with a growing lesion on an almost mature skeleton, in which the growth plates were nearly closed (Supplementary Figure 2).

Table 1. Clinical and radiological information. FISH and EXT-tiling array results.

Case Number	Sample	Age	Location	Cartilaginous cap thickness	Fluorescent in situ Hybridization with an EXT1 probe			EXT tiling array	
					No Loss	Hemizygous Loss	Homozygous Loss		
L-741	GP	8	-	-	86%	10%	4%	0	
L-1442	GP	12	-	-	89%	9%	2%	0	
L-2390	AC	54	-	-	93%	5%	2%	0	
L-2776	AC	60	-	-	93%	6%	1%	0	
Cut-off values									
L-0657	OC	12	Distal Femur	1.5 cm	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
L-0673	OC	12	Proximal Humerus	0.2 cm	7%	2%	91%	0	Homozygous loss EXT1**
L-1455	OC	17	Proximal Fibula	1 cm	7%	3%	90%	0	Homozygous loss EXT1**
L-1520	OC	16	Proximal Humerus	0.5 cm	9%	2%	89%	0	Homozygous loss EXT1**
L-1649	OC	21	Proximal Humerus	1.5 cm	5%	0	95%	0	Homozygous loss EXT1**
L-1480	grade I PCS	16	Distal Femur	1.5 cm	NA	NA	NA	NA	Normal CGH Profile
L-2644	Grade I PCS	46	Proximal Fibula	1 cm	NA	NA	NA	NA	Normal CGH Profile
L-868	Borderline lesion	18	Proximal Humerus	1 cm	24%	34%	42%	0	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-163	Grade I PCS	49	Proximal Tibia	2 cm	NA	NA	NA	NA	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-626	Grade I PCS	21	Proximal Femur	4 cm	60%	28%	12%	0	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-627	Grade I PCS	32	Scapula	2 cm	NA	NA	NA	NA	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-724	Grade I PCS	83	Pelvis	15 cm	NA	NA	NA	NA	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-739	Grade I PCS	37	Pelvis	10 cm	48%	47%	5%	0	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-1655	Grade I PCS	40	Proximal Femur	2 cm	NA	NA	NA	NA	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-2361	Grade I PCS	25	Femur	2 cm	8%	77%	15%	0	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-11	Grade II PCS	49	Pelvis	8 cm	9%	74%	11%	6%	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-76	Grade II PCS	49	Pelvis	20 cm	44%	54%	2%	0	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-1165	Grade II PCS	38	Pelvis	14 cm	NA	NA	NA	NA	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-1618	Grade II PCS	44	Pelvis	30 cm	36%	43%	21%	0	Hemizygous loss of EXT1
L-224	Grade II PCS	26	Pelvis	11 cm	40%	16%	16%	2%	Hemizygous loss EXT1 exon 10***
L-1245	Grade I PCS	25	Proximal Fibula	0.8 cm	4%	84%	12%	0	Homozygous loss EXT1 exon 2-11
L-123	Grade II PCS	60	Distal Femur	13 cm	73%	7%	0	20%	Gain of the EXT1 region

GP, epiphyseal growth plate; AC, articular cartilage; OC, sporadic osteochondroma; PCS, sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; NA, not available due to bad FISH; *, increased of both centromere 8 and EXT1 FISH signals; **, results previously reported (Hameetman et al. 2007b); ***, the size of the deletion is smaller the used BAC probe. Blue areas show significant retention of both copies of EXT1; green areas, hemizygous loss of EXT1; red areas, homozygous loss of EXT1; and gray areas gain of the EXT1 genomic region.

Homozygous deletions of EXT1/2 are uncommon in sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas

A custom-made, oligonucleotide-based microarray with a tiling coverage of *EXT1/2* genes was used to detect deletions involving any part of *EXT1* or *EXT2* genes. At least 90% of tumor tissue was isolated and analyzed in each case. Table 1 summarizes the results of the array comparative genomic hybridization (array-CGH) analysis. In the 17 sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas, homozygous deletion of *EXT1* was found in two cases (L-1245, grade-I; and L-224, grade-II) (Figure 1). L-1245 showed deletions involving exon-2 to exon-11. Based on the array profile of L-1245, the proportion of the cell fraction containing homozygous deletion of *EXT1* was ~25% (Figure 1). L-224 showed deletions in exon-10, which is smaller than the bacterial artificial chromosome probe used for FISH analysis. Hemizygous deletion of *EXT1* was found in 12 cases (not shown). A significant gain of chromosome arm 8q was identified in one case (L-123, not shown). No alterations involving the *EXT1* gene were seen in two cases (Figure 1). Additionally, no alterations involving the *EXT2* gene were identified (not shown).

Retention of one or both copies of EXT1 in the cells of sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas

To further investigate the genetic changes in *EXT1*, FISH was performed in the setting of sporadic lesions and was successful in 10 out of 17 secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas and in 4 out of 5 sporadic osteochondromas. Failures were due to DNA damage as a result of decalcification. In sporadic osteochondromas, the majority of the cells showed loss of both copies (homozygous loss) of the *EXT1* locus (mean of all cases: 91%; Figure 2 and Table 1), as expected. Surprisingly, within the tumor, cells retaining both copies of the *EXT1* locus (wild-type alleles) were also observed (mean of all cases: 7%; Figure 2 and Table 1), which were commonly located in the hypertrophic layer of the osteochondroma cap (Supplementary Figure 3). Although hemizygous losses were detected, the number of cells with one copy of the gene was below the cut-off value based on the correction factors obtained from FISH data from growth plate and articular cartilage, indicating that these losses reflected truncation artifacts. In each analyzed sporadic peripheral chondrosarcoma, the patterns of copy-number alterations involving the *EXT1* locus compared with the chromosome-8 centromere signals varied widely (Figure 2 and Table 1). Five cases showed cells with no losses (wild-type alleles), as well as hemizygous and homozygous deletions. Two cases showed cells with wild-type and hemizygous deleted loci. One case showed cells with no loss, hemizygous deletion and gain of the *EXT1* locus. Two cases showed cells with no losses, hemizygous and homozygous deletion, as well as gain of the *EXT1* locus. Additionally, all except three cases (L-739, grade-I; L-868, borderline cases; L-2361, grade-I) showed aneuploid cells (>2 signals with a centromere-8 probe) ranging from 4 to 50% of the total amount of cells.




Figure 1. Array-CGH results overview of the genomic region on chromosome-8, including the *EXT1* gene area, shows the profile of samples with homozygous deletions (L-224, a grade-II secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma; and L-1245, a grade-I secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma). In both cases, loss of an area that exceeded the *EXT1* region (indicated by the values lower than 0) containing a region with additional deletion ranging from ~100 kb (blue arrows) to ~10 kb (red arrow) reflecting the homozygous deletion were detected. As reference, a sample (L-1480, a grade-I secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma) with no alteration involving *EXT1* was plotted in green.




Figure 2. Genomic deletions detected by FISH using an *EXT1* probe. The illustration (upper panel) is showing the exact locations and the assigned colors of the used *EXT1* locus (red) and centromere-8 (green) probes. Samples were counterstained using 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), indicating the nucleus of individual cells in blue. (a) The lack of red signals with retained green signals indicates a homozygous loss of the *EXT1* loci, which characterize the superficial layer of a sporadic osteochondroma (L-673). (a') Cells with retained red signals (wild-type, asterisks) and loss of both copies were observed in the hypertrophic layer of a sporadic osteochondroma (L-673), indicating the presence of two cell populations. (b, b') *EXT1* wild-type cells and gain of the *EXT1* genomic region were detected in secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas (L-123, grade-II).

In three remarkably large lesions (L-76, L-123 and L-739) no significant homozygous losses were identified; however, hemizygous loss was detected (Table 1). There was no correlation between the size of the lesion and the percentage of cells carrying a homozygous deletion (Spearman's coefficient=0.31).

Cells with functional EXT in sporadic and hereditary secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas

EXT1 and *EXT2* genes encode ubiquitously expressed type-II transmembrane glycosyltransferases (*EXT1* and *EXT2*) (McCormick et al., 1998), which localize to the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi complex, and are required for the synthesis of heparan sulfate chains on proteoglycans (Zak et al., 2002; Bulow and Hobert, 2006). To assess the potential function of *EXT1*, we evaluated the expression of the *EXT1* protein, using an *EXT1* antibody, and the production of heparan sulfate, using the 10E4 antibody, in the setting of sporadic and multiple lesions. The results are shown in Figures 3 and 4, and Supplementary Table 1. In both sporadic and multiple lesions, there were more *EXT1*- and heparan sulfate-positive chondrocytes in the hypertrophic layer than in the superficial layer. The control growth plate is shown in Supplementary Figure 4.

In the setting of sporadic lesions (Figure 3), no significant changes in the number of cells staining with *EXT1* and 10E4 were seen in the hypertrophic layer when comparing osteochondromas to secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas ($P=0.70$). Significant changes were observed in the superficial layer of these tumors; an increasing number of cells staining with *EXT1* and 10E4 were observed with increasing histological grade (Figure 3). The number of cells staining with *EXT1* and 10E4 were significantly higher in the superficial layer of grade-II secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas when compared with osteochondromas and grade I secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas ($P=0.035$ and $P=0.032$, respectively). No correlation was found between age of the patient and the percentage of *EXT1*/10E4-positive cells (Spearman's coefficient=0.02 each), nor between size of the cartilage cap and the percentage of *EXT1*/10E4-positive cells (Spearman's coefficient=0.27 and 0.20, respectively).

In the setting of multiple lesions (Figure 4), the number of cells staining with *EXT1* and 10E4 were significantly higher in secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas when compared to osteochondromas (for *EXT1* at hypertrophic layer: $P=0.006$; and at superficial layer: $P<0.001$; and for heparan sulfate at hypertrophic layer: $P=0.007$; and at hypertrophic layer: $P=0.008$).

Discussion

Osteochondromas originate either from the chondrocytes of the growth plate or the cells of the perichondrium due to homozygous inactivation of *EXT1* or *EXT2* (Clément et al., 2008; Jones et al., 2010; Matsumoto et al., 2010).




Figure 3. Immunohistochemical screening of cells with functional EXT1 in sporadic osteochondromas and peripheral chondrosarcomas. In the superficial layer of the cartilage cap, there was an increase of cells with functional EXT1 (10E4- and EXT1-positive cells) upon neoplastic transformation from osteochondromas (a, c, respectively) to peripheral chondrosarcomas (b, d, respectively). In the hypertrophic layer, no significant changes were observed in the percentage of cells stained with EXT1 and 10E4 when comparing osteochondromas (a', c', respectively) to peripheral chondrosarcomas (b', d', respectively). The asterisks indicate P<0.05. Sol-OC, sporadic osteochondromas; PCS, sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma.




Figure 4. Immunohistochemical screening of cells with functional EXT1 in multiple osteochondromas (a) and peripheral chondrosarcomas (b) related to patients with multiple osteochondromas. In the superficial layer and in the hypertrophic layer of the cartilage cap, there was a significant increase of cells with functional EXT1 (10E4- and EXT1-positive cells) upon neoplastic transformation from osteochondromas to peripheral chondrosarcoma. The asterisks indicate P<0.05. MO-OC, multiple osteochondromas; MO-PCS, peripheral chondrosarcoma related to patients with multiple osteochondroma.

During osteochondromagenesis, wild-type chondrocytes and cells with homozygous inactivation of EXT are shown to intermingle in the cartilaginous cap (de Andrea et al., 2010, 2011; Jones et al., 2010; Matsumoto et al., 2010). We here show that, in human osteochondromas, the wild-type chondrocytes showing both EXT1 alleles are predominantly located in the hypertrophic layer of the osteochondroma cap.

As the chance of detecting the second hit in the *EXT1* gene depends on the ratio of wild-type versus mutated cells, our data indicate that the probability to detect a homozygous deletion in osteochondromas increases when tumor sampling is more superficial (near the perichondrium), which may explain the conflicting data that have been published by independent institutions (Hameetman et al., 2007b; Reijnders et al., 2010; Zuntini et al., 2010).

The current multistep genetic model for secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma formation assumes that osteochondroma cells with homozygous inactivation of *EXT1* or *EXT2* acquire one or more additional genetic alterations to progress into malignancy (Bové et al., 2000). By analyzing clinically and radiologically well-documented peripheral cartilaginous tumors, we demonstrate that homozygous inactivation of the *EXT1* locus in sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma (2/17, 12%) is much less frequently detected than expected based on the assumption that it originates in a sporadic osteochondroma, in which homozygous inactivation of *EXT1* is found in ~80% of the cases reported by our institution (Hameetman et al., 2007b; Reijnders et al., 2010). *EXT* tiling array demonstrated that the most frequent event in sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas is hemizygous loss of *EXT1* (12/17, 70%), followed by no alterations in *EXT1* (2/17, 12%) and gain of the *EXT1* region (1/17, 6%). No deletions involving the *EXT2* gene were identified. FISH using an *EXT1* probe confirmed the presence of cells with hemizygous loss and, in addition, revealed a wide intra-tumoral heterogeneity for the *EXT1* gene including homozygous losses, retentions and gains.

Immunohistochemistry against *EXT1* and heparan sulfate (10E4) was used to evaluate the spatial distribution of cells with functional or dysfunctional *EXT1* in sporadic osteochondromas and sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas. The findings were further validated in multiple osteochondromas and secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas derived from patients with multiple osteochondromas. Cells with dysfunctional *EXT1* (that is, non-staining with *EXT1* and heparan sulfate) are mainly populating the superficial layer of sporadic and multiple osteochondromas, which is in line with our previous results showing homozygous loss of *EXT1* in sporadic and multiple osteochondromas (Hameetman et al., 2007b; Reijnders et al., 2010). By contrast, cells with functional *EXT1* are present in the superficial layer (region near the perichondrium) of secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas related to sporadic or multiple osteochondromas, which is in line with the absence of homozygous deletions in the majority of sporadic peripheral chondrosarcomas. In addition, the amount of cells with functional *EXT1* increases with increasing tumor grade. We also observed that the percentage of *EXT1*-positive cells is slightly higher than the percentage of heparan sulfate-positive cells, which suggests that the cell's environment may enhance or diminish the production of heparan sulfate by modulating the activity of *EXT1* in a cell.

Taken together, whereas cells with homozygous inactivation of *EXT1* constitute an osteochondroma, cells with wild-type, functional *EXT1* compose a fully developed secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma (Figure 5). Therefore, it is possible to envision a model where secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma cells with functional *EXT1* outgrow osteochondroma cells with dysfunctional *EXT1*. This model can be demonstrated in two distinct sets of tumors: (i) In lesions with a thin cartilaginous cap thickness, cells that lost both copies of *EXT1* and cells that retain one or both copies of *EXT1* are found (that is, L-868 and L-1245, both ~1 cm). In this situation, osteochondroma cells and secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma cells may be coexisting. (ii) In remarkably large lesions, cells that lost both copies of *EXT1* are absent (that is, L-76, 30 cm; L-123, 15 cm and L-739, 11 cm). In this instance, only secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma cells that retain one or both copies of *EXT1* may be growing. The proposed model is reinforced by the fact that cells carrying a homozygous deletion (having no copies of the involved genomic region left at all) are not able to regain the lost alleles during neoplastic progression.




Figure 5. Secondary peripheral chondrosarcomagenesis. (a) EXT-null cells (green) and EXT wild-type cells (blue) compose the osteochondroma cap. (b) The EXT-null cells form a niche, a permissive microenvironment, which predisposes the EXT wild-type cell within the osteochondroma or from the perichondrium to acquire mutation(s) not related to EXTs to give rise to a secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma. The chondrosarcoma cells (red) get a proliferative advantage over the osteochondroma EXT-null cells. During neoplastic progression, the chondrosarcoma cells may or may not lose one copy of the *EXT1* gene. (c) Only chondrosarcoma cells, which retained one or both copies of the *EXT* genes, form the fully developed secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma. MO, multiple osteochondromas.

In myelodysplasia and secondary leukemia, a ‘niche-based’ model of oncogenesis has been described, in which a change in a specific niche/microenvironmental cell can serve as the primary moment in a multi-step process toward the malignancy of a supported, but distinct, cell type (Raaijmakers et al., 2010; Raaijmakers, 2011). This model of oncogenesis seems to explain the formation of a secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma.

The osteochondroma cells with homozygous inactivation of *EXT* (loss of both copies of *EXT*) create a niche (a permissive microenvironment), which facilitates cells with functional *EXT* (retention of one or both copies of *EXTs*) located either in the osteochondroma cap or in the perichondrium that closely surrounds the lesion to acquire secondary genetic changes. Although the secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma-driving mutations are so far unknown, they differ from *EXT* mutations, indicating that *EXT*-independent mechanisms have a role in the pathogenesis of secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma. The need of functional *EXT* and heparan sulfate for cell proliferation is supported by the fact that inactivation of *EXT1* in multiple myeloma leads to decreased tumor growth (Reijmers et al., 2010) and that *EXT*-null chondrocytes do not grow in vitro (Reijnders et al., 2010). Additionally, cells with functional *EXT* in the mosaic osteochondroma cap are suggested to provide a certain threshold level and distribution of heparan sulfate, creating an environment conducive to cells with homozygous inactivation of *EXT* to proliferate and form a tumor (de Andrea et al., 2010, 2011; Jones et al., 2010; Matsumoto et al., 2010).

Osteochondromas and secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas composed by distinct cell types is strengthened by some of our previous results. First, the mRNA expression level of *EXT1* in osteochondromas is not completely absent, which suggests the presence of cells with functional *EXT1* in the tumor. Second, although not significant, the mRNA level of *EXT1* seems to increase from low- to high-grade in secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas (Hameetman et al., 2007a). We have also shown that signaling pathways dependent on heparan sulfate, such as fibroblast growth factor and parathyroid hormone-related protein, are inactive in osteochondromas and active in secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas. The heparan sulfate with normal chain length synthesized by the overgrown *EXT1* wild-type cells might be related to the activation of fibroblast growth factor and parathyroid hormone-related protein in secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma.

Although we show that the vast majority of sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas do not have homozygous deletions involving *EXT1* or *EXT2*, the few reported cases with homozygous deletions of *EXT1* or *EXT2* (2/17 in the present series) suggest that malignant transformation of osteochondroma cells with homozygous inactivation of *EXT1* or *EXT2* is a rare event. Usually, these are small deletions of *EXT1* (that is, case L-224) unable to be detected by FISH.

While our data clarify the pathogenesis of secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma, a number of questions remain. For instance, which is the causative gene for peripheral chondrosarcoma formation? What factors make chondrocytes with functional *EXT1* and *EXT2* within/surrounding an osteochondroma more prone to acquire mutations that lead to malignancy?

In summary, our study shows that (i) an osteochondroma is a niche that facilitates the *EXT1* wild-type cells to acquire other mutations, distinct from mutations involving the *EXT* genes, for secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma formation; (ii) secondary peripheral chondrosarcoma is not per se originating from cells of osteochondroma with homozygous inactivation of *EXT1*, but arises predominantly and maybe even exclusively from cells with functional *EXT1* (Figure 5).

Materials and methods

Patient data and tumor samples

Based on clinical information and radiological studies, 17 sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas, in which paraffin-embedded and frozen material were available, were retrieved from the Department of Pathology at Leiden University Medical Center collected between 1991 and 2008. In addition, paraffin-embedded material from sporadic (n=10) and multiple (n=10) osteochondromas, and secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas related to patients with multiple osteochondromas (n=5), were selected for comparison in the different used techniques. For controls, epiphyseal growth plate (n=2) and articular cartilage (n=2) were obtained from orthopedic resections for pathological conditions not related to osteochondroma or chondrosarcoma. Chondrosarcoma histological grading was evaluated according to the Evans classification (Evans et al., 1977). Imaging studies (radiography, computed tomographic scans and magnetic resonance imaging scans) were reviewed in all 17 sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas and in five sporadic osteochondromas. The cartilaginous cap thickness was measured in accordance with Bernard et al. (2010). All samples were handled in a coded manner and all procedures were performed according to the ethical guidelines in Code for Proper Secondary Use of Human Tissue in The Netherlands (Dutch Federation of Medical Scientific Societies).

DNA isolation

Per sample about 60 frozen tumor sections (16- μ m thick) were cut. DNA was isolated by using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's manual. DNA concentration and purity were measured for each sample by using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Isogen, De Meern, the Netherlands) and a Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA), respectively, according to the manufacturer's protocol. The percentage of tumor cells within each sample was determined on hematoxylin and eosin-stained sections and occasionally normal/reactive tissue was removed by macrodissection to achieve at least 90% of tumor tissue.

Mutation screening

The genomic DNA of five sporadic peripheral chondrosarcomas was tested for mutations in

EXT1 and *EXT2*. The mutation status from 12 cases has been reported previously (Hameetman et al., 2007a). Mutation screening of *EXT1* or *EXT2* genes was performed and analyzed as described earlier (Hameetman et al., 2007a; Reijnders et al., 2010).

EXT tiling array

Seventeen sporadic peripheral chondrosarcomas, well-known for not showing point mutations in the *EXT* genes (Bové et al., 1999; Hallor et al., 2009; Zuntini et al., 2010), were collected to study DNA copy-number alterations by using a custom-made Agilent oligonucleotide-based microarray containing 44 000 probes with a tiling coverage of *EXT1/2* genes and additional 68 genes involved in heparan and chondroitin sulfate biosynthesis as described by Szuhai et al. (2011). Only alterations of *EXT* genes were investigated. *EXT* tiling array in sporadic osteochondromas has been described previously by our group in a series of 11 tumors (Hameetman et al., 2007b; Reijnders et al., 2010). Labeling and hybridization of genomic DNA from freshly frozen tumor, as well as data processing, were performed as described earlier (Reijnders et al., 2010; Szuhai et al., 2011).

Fluorescent in situ hybridization

Interphase FISH on 16- μ m-thick paraffin sections was performed in all 17 sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas, as described previously (Szuhai et al., 2009). The five sporadic osteochondromas used for comparison were reported previously to show homozygous deletion of *EXT1* (Hameetman et al., 2007b). Two sets of FISH probes were applied: Set 1 with the RP11-728K22 bacterial artificial chromosome clones covering the *EXT1* genomic region and Set-2 with the probe for the centromere of chromosome-8. RP11-728K22 was selected by using the UCSC Genome Browser (NCBI 36.1/hg18) (<http://genome.ucsc.edu>) (Figure 2). Copy-number and image analysis were performed as described by Mohseny et al. (2010) and Pansuriya et al. (2011). At least 100 cells were scored per case (more than 200 for most of the cases) counting the centromere-8 probe and the *EXT1* probe. Cells with more than two centromere-8 probes were considered aneuploid; loss of one copy of *EXT1* probe was considered as a hemizygous gene loss and loss of all copies of the *EXT1* probe was considered as a homozygous deletion of the gene. Gain was defined as the presence of at least three copies of the *EXT1* probe in diploid cells. To determine the cut-off values indicating true loss of the genomic region, the percentage of gene loss was determined in two epiphyseal growth plates and two articular cartilages. From the four cases the mean (7% for hemizygous loss and 2% for homozygous loss) and the s.d. of false positive losses (2% for hemizygous loss and 1% for homozygous loss) were calculated. The mean plus three times the s.d. (15% for hemizygous loss and 6% for homozygous loss) was used as cut-off for evident loss of the gene (Table 1) (Ventura et al., 2006; Mohseny et al., 2010).

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed in all 17 sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas, as described previously (Pansuriya et al., 2011). For comparison, 10 sporadic osteochondromas were used and, for validation, 10 osteochondromas and five secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas both related to multiple osteochondromas were investigated. For EXT1, antigens were retrieved by using microwave heating with EDTA buffer for 10 min and blocked in 5% non-fat milk in phosphate-buffered saline for 30 min and incubated overnight at 4 °C with anti-EXT1 (1:400; Aviva System Biology, San Diego, CA, USA). For 10E4 (1:400; US Biological, Marblehead, MA, USA), the protocol was described earlier (Reijnders et al., 2010). Positive controls were growth plate and placenta for the EXT1 antibody, and growth plate and skin for the 10E4 antibody. Each tumor section was divided into two regions: hypertrophic layer—next to the bone of origin, morphologically constituted by medium/large and round cells; and superficial layer—near the perichondrium, constituted by spherical/flattened cells either irregularly arranged or forming clusters. Two pathologists estimated the percentage of positive cells independently (CEA and JVMGB). Results are expressed as the means with a measure of variability (s.d.). Statistical significance was calculated by one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni's multiple comparison tests by using the SPSS 16.0 software package (IBM, Somers, NY, USA). P values <0.05 were considered significant.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the expert technical work of Marja van der Burg, Inge Briaire-de Bruijn, Maayke van Ruler and Pauline M Wijers-Koster (Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands). We also thank the Netherlands Committee on Bone Tumors. Professor E Bakker is acknowledged for EXT mutation analysis. This study was supported by Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (917-76-315 to CMAR and JVMGB) and by EuroBoNeT (a European Commission-granted European Network of Excellence for studying the pathology and genetics of bone tumors; grant number: LSHC-CT-2006-018814 (to CEA, JVMGB, DJ, KS and PCWH).

References

Bernard SA, Murphey MD, Flemming DJ, Kransdorf MJ. (2010). Improved differentiation of benign osteochondromas from secondary chondrosarcomas with standardized measurement of cartilage cap at CT and MR imaging. *Radiology* 255: 857–865.

Bertoni F, Bacchini P, Hogendoorn PCW. (2002). Chondrosarcoma. In: Fletcher CDM, Unni KK, Mertens F (eds). World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone. IARC Press: France. pp 247–251.

Bovée JVMG, Cleton-Jansen AM, Kuipers-Dijkshoorn N, Van den Broek LJM, Taminau AHM, Cornelisse CJ et al. (1999). Loss of heterozygosity and DNA ploidy point to a diverging genetic mechanism in the origin of peripheral and central chondrosarcoma. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 26: 237–246.

Bovee JVMG, Hogendoorn PCW, Wunder JS, Alman BA. (2010). Cartilage tumours and bone development: molecular pathology and possible therapeutic targets. *Nat Rev Cancer* 10: 481–488.

Bovée JVMG, van Royen M, Bardoel AFJ, Rosenberg C, Cornelisse CJ, Cleton-Jansen AM et al. (2000). Near-haploidy and subsequent polyploidization characterize the progression of peripheral chondrosarcoma. *Am J Pathol* 157: 1587–1595.

Bulow HE, Hobert O. (2006). The molecular diversity of glycosaminoglycans shapes animal development. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 22: 375–407.

Clément A, Wiweger M, von der Hardt S, Rusch MA, Selleck SB, Chien CB et al. (2008). Regulation of zebrafish skeletogenesis by *ext2/dackel* and *papst1/pinscher*. *PLoS Genet* 4: e1000136.

de Andrea CE, Prins FA, Wiweger MI, Hogendoorn PCW. (2011). Growth plate regulation and osteochondroma formation: insights from tracing proteoglycans in zebrafish models and human cartilage. *J Pathol* 224: 160–168.

de Andrea CE, Wiweger MI, Prins FA, Bovee JVMG, Romeo S, Hogendoorn PC. (2010). Primary cilia organization reflects polarity in the growth plate and implies loss of polarity and mosaicism in osteochondroma. *Lab Invest* 90: 1091–1101.

Dorfman HD, Czerniak B, Kotz R, Vanel D, Park YK, Unni KK. (2002). WHO classification of tumours of bone: Introduction. In: Fletcher CDM, Unni KK, Mertens F (eds). World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone. IARC Press: France. pp 226–232.

Evans HL, Ayala AG, Romsdahl MM. (1977). Prognostic factors in chondrosarcoma of bone. A clinicopathologic analysis with emphasis on histologic grading. *Cancer* 40: 818–831.

Hallor KH, Staaf J, Bovée JVMG, Hogendoorn PCW, Cleton-Jansen AM, Knuutila S et al. (2009). Genomic profiling of chondrosarcoma: chromosomal patterns in central and peripheral tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* 15: 2685–2694.

Hameetman L, David G, Yavas A, White SJ, Taminau AHM, Cleton-Jansen AM et al. (2007a). Decreased EXT expression and intracellular accumulation of heparan sulphate proteoglycan in osteochondromas and peripheral chondrosarcomas. *J Pathol* 211: 399–409.

Hameetman L, Szuhai K, Yavas A, Knijnenburg J, van Duin M, Van Dekken H et al. (2007b). The role of EXT1 in non hereditary osteochondroma: identification of homozygous deletions. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 99: 396–406.

Hecht JT, Hogue D, Strong LC, Hansen MF, Blanton SH, Wagner M. (1995). Hereditary multiple exostosis and chondrosarcoma: linkage to chromosome 11 and loss of heterozygosity for EXT-linked markers on chromosomes 11 and 8. *Am J Hum Genet* 56: 1125–1131.

Jones KB, Piombo V, Searby C, Kurriger G, Yang B, Grabellus F et al. (2010). A mouse model of osteochondromagenesis from clonal inactivation of *Ext1* in chondrocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 2054–2059.

Khurana J, Abdul-Karim F, Bovée JVMG. (2002). Osteochondroma. In: Fletcher CDM, Unni KK, Mertens F (eds). *World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone*. IARC Press: France. pp 234–236.

Matsumoto K, Irie F, Mackem S, Yamaguchi Y. (2010). A mouse model of chondrocyte-specific somatic mutation reveals a role for *Ext1* loss of heterozygosity in multiple hereditary exostoses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 10932–10937.

McCormick C, Leduc Y, Martindale D, Mattison K, Esford LE, Dyer AP et al. (1998). The putative tumour suppressor EXT1 alters the expression of cell-surface heparan sulfate. *Nat Genet* 19: 158–161.

Mohseny AB, Tieken C, Van der Velden PA, Szuhai K, de Andrea C, Hogendoorn PCW et al. (2010). Small deletions but not methylation underlie *CDKN2A/p16* loss of expression in conventional osteosarcoma. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 49: 1095–1103.

Pansuriya TC, Oosting J, Krenacs T, Taminau AH, Verdegaal SH, Sangiorgi L et al. (2011). Genome-wide analysis of Ollier disease: is it all in the genes? *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 6: 2.

Raaijmakers M. (2011). Niche contributions to oncogenesis: emerging concepts and implications for the hematopoietic system. *Haematologica* 96: 1041–1048.

Raaijmakers MH, Mukherjee S, Guo S, Zhang S, Kobayashi T, Schoonmaker JA et al. (2010). Bone progenitor dysfunction induces myelodysplasia and secondary leukaemia. *Nature* 464: 852–857.

Raskind WH, Conrad EU, Chansky H, Matsushita M. (1995). Loss of heterozygosity in chondrosarcomas for markers linked to hereditary multiple exostoses loci on chromosomes 8 and 11. *Am J Hum Genet* 56: 1132–1139.

Reijmers RM, Groen RW, Rozemuller H, Kuil A, de Haan-Kramer A, Csikos T et al. (2010). Targeting EXT1 reveals a crucial role for heparan sulfate in the growth of multiple myeloma. *Blood* 115: 601–604.

Reijnders CM, Waaijer CJ, Hamilton A, Buddingh EP, Dijkstra SP, Ham J et al. (2010). No haploinsufficiency but loss of heterozygosity for EXT in multiple osteochondromas. *Am J Pathol* 177: 1946–1957.

Szuhai K, Ijszenga M, de Jong D, Karseladze A, Tanke HJ, Hogendoorn PCW. (2009). The NFATc2 gene is involved in a novel cloned translocation in a Ewing sarcoma variant that couples its function in immunology to oncology. *Clin Cancer Res* 15: 2259–2268.

Szuhai K, Jennes I, De Jong D, Bovée JVMG, Wiweger M, Wuyts W et al. (2011). Tiling resolution array-CGH shows that somatic mosaic deletion of the EXT gene is causative in EXT gene mutation negative multiple osteochondromas patients. *Hum Mutat* 32: 2036–2049.

van den Berg H, Kroon HM, Slaar A, Hogendoorn P. (2008). Incidence of biopsy-proven bone tumors in children: a report based on the Dutch pathology registration “PALGA”. *J Pediatr Orthop* 28: 29–35.

Ventura RA, Martin-Subero JI, Jones M, McParland J, Gesk S, Mason DY et al. (2006). FISH analysis for the detection of lymphoma-associated chromosomal abnormalities in routine paraffin-embedded tissue. *J Mol Diagn* 8: 141–151.


Zak BM, Crawford BE, Esko JD. (2002). Hereditary multiple exostoses and heparan sulfate polymerization. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1573: 346–355.

Zuntini M, Pedrini E, Parra A, Sgariglia F, Gentile FV, Pandolfi M et al. (2010). Genetic models of osteochondroma onset and neoplastic progression: evidence for mechanisms alternative to EXT genes inactivation. *Oncogene* 29: 3827–3834.


Supplementary Information

Supplementary Table 1: Summary of the immunohistochemistry results.


Tumor Group	EXT1		Heparan sulfate	
	Hypertrophic layer	Superficial layer	Hypertrophic layer	Superficial layer
	Mean (S.D.)	Mean (S.D.)	Mean (S.D.)	Mean (S.D.)
Sporadic osteochondromas (n=10)	91 (14)	23 (12)	21 (16)	2 (5)
Grade I sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas (n=11)	90 (9)	34 (21)	18 (18)	4 (3)
Grade II sporadic secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas (n=6)	98 (3)	52 (8)	34 (34)	20 (27)
Multiple osteochondromas (n=10)	44 (21)	9 (9)	7 (7)	1 (2)
Secondary peripheral chondrosarcomas related to multiple osteochondromas (n=5)	81 (16)	46 (16)	32 (22)	32 (22)




Supplementary Figure 1. (A – A4) Sporadic osteochondroma of the proximal humerus in a 12-year-old boy showing a regular lesion, with endochondral ossification, and marrow continuity with the underlying bone. The thickness of the cartilaginous cap is acceptable of the age of the patient and skeletal immaturity. (B – B3) Grade I peripheral chondrosarcoma of the proximal femur in a 21-year-old man showing a regular lesion with well-circumscribed lobular surfaces, with irregular mineralization, and continuity of the cortex with the parent bone. The thickness of the cartilaginous cap is too pronounced for the age of the patient and skeletal maturity. (C – C2) Grade II peripheral chondrosarcoma of the distal femur in a 60-year-old man showing a lesion with irregular surfaces composed by myxoid and cartilaginous nodules. Extensive invasion of the soft tissue can also be seen. On the coronal image, the stalk with continuity of the underlying marrow can be appreciated.



Supplementary Figure 2. Sporadic osteochondroma of the proximal humerus in a 18-year-old boy. (A, B) History of tumor growth on an almost mature skeleton, in which the growth plates (arrowhead) were nearly closed. (C) The histology shows no conclusive criteria for malignancy. This case was classified as a borderline lesion.



Supplementary Figure 3. Overview of a scanned FISH slide of a sporadic osteochondroma (L-1649) shows that cells with homozygous loss of the *EXT1* loci (red tag) are located in the superficial layer of the tumor. On the contrary, cells that retained both copies of *EXT1* (wild-type) are predominantly found in the hypertrophic layer of the tumor, near to the bone of origin.



Supplementary Figure 4. *EXT1* (A) and 10E4 (heparan sulfate; B) immunostaining in the human epiphyseal growth plate. The percentage of *EXT1*-positive cells is slightly higher than the percentage of 10E4-positive cells.