



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The social world of the Babylonian priest

Still, Bastian Johannes Ferdinand

Citation

Still, B. J. F. (2016, February 11). *The social world of the Babylonian priest*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/37767>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/37767>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/37767> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Still, Bastian Johannes Ferdinand

Title: The social world of the Babylonian priest

Issue Date: 2016-02-11

The Social World of the Babylonian Priest

PROEFSCHRIFT

TER VERKRIJGING VAN
DE GRAAD VAN DOCTOR AAN DE UNIVERSITEIT LEIDEN,
OP GEZAG VAN RECTOR MAGNIFICUS PROF.MR. C.J.J.M. STOLKER,
VOLGENS BESLUIT VAN HET COLLEGE VOOR PROMOTIES
TE VERDEDIGEN OP DONDERDAG 2 FEBRUARI 2016
KLOKKE 15.00 UUR

DOOR

BASTIAN JOHANNES FERDINAND STILL

GEBOREN TE TRIER
IN 1987

PROMOTIECOMMISSIE

Promotor: Prof. dr. W.H. van Soldt
Co-promotor: Dr. C. Waerzeggers

Leden: Prof. dr. M. Jursa (Universität Wien)
Prof. dr. O. Kaper
Prof. dr. R.J. van der Spek (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam)
Prof. dr. K. van der Toorn (Universiteit van Amsterdam)
Dr. J.G. Dercksen
Dr. K. Kleber (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam)

De vervaardiging van dit proefschrift is mogelijk gemaakt door het project ‘By the Rivers of Babylon: New Perspectives on Second Temple Judaism from Cuneiform Texts’ gefinancierd door het European Research Council (ERC). De omslagillustratie is ontworpen door Pyhai Jin.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Let me make a humble attempt to express my gratitude to the many people without whose help and support this dissertation would not have been possible.

The research for this PhD study was carried out in the framework of the ERC Starting Grant Project ‘By the Rivers of Babylon: New Perspectives on Second Temple Judaism from Cuneiform Texts,’ under the supervision of Caroline Waerzeggers. It is she who deserves my first and foremost gratitude. I am most grateful for the advice and moral support I received from her over the years, and for always encouraging me to think outside the box. As my teacher and mentor from day one, she has left a deep impression on me as a scholar and person. It has been a real pleasure to have studied and worked with her. I also want to thank my promotor Wilfred van Soldt for his support and trust.

In the UK, I would like to thank: Karen Radner for her infectious spirit and enthusiasms, which were instrumental in boosting the early stages of my research, and express my gratitude for the trust she has placed in me; my colleagues Silvie Zamazalová and Mikko Luukko for making my PhD experience there less of a lonely one; and Jonathan Stökl, who proved not only to be an uplifting project colleague but also a perfectly adequate flatmate.

In Leiden, I am grateful to my project colleagues Tero Alstola, Jason Silverman and Rieneke Sonneveld for creating the stimulating, constructive and heartening environment in which I was able to finish this thesis. My PhD experience would have been infinitely less rewarding without you. Many thanks for all the feedback and help you provided on a daily basis.

I express my thanks to other peers, friends, colleagues and scholars from whom I received support, suggestions, and invaluable feedback at various occasions: Andrew Deloucas, Jaap Geraerts, Andrea Giolai, Bram Jagersma, Barbora Jancikova, Theo Krispijn, Julia Krul, Amélie Kuhrt, Tracy Lemos, Juval Levavi, Guido van Meersbergen, Daniel Soliman, and Joyce de Thouars. Of course, Mark Heerink, for his advice in all matters PhD, and for our mini-wif-waf tournaments. Last, but not least, my two friends and “paranimfen” Joris and Sander.

I also want to thank my family – Pap, Mam, Jo, Ev, Kik – who never lost faith in me and whose support helped through the toughest moments of writing a dissertation. And especially Melanie for the debt that I owe her for the love and patience she showed me during the final phase of the PhD and its aftermath; it is one I will gladly repay in the years to come.

Munich, January 2016

Bastian Still

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figures and Tables**viii**

Introduction.....	1
0.1. State of the art	1
0.2. Research questions.....	4
0.3. Methodology.....	5
0.4. Case study: the priestly community of Borsippa	6
0.5. The Babylonian priest.....	11
0.6. The temple hierarchy	13
0.7. Main protagonists of this study.....	16
0.7.1. Temple-enterers	16
0.7.2. Brewers	18
0.7.3. Bakers	19
0.7.4. Oxherds	21
0.7.5. Reed-workers	23
0.8. Thesis structure	24

PART ONE: Social Interactions among Priests in Borsippa

Chapter 1: The Hypergamous Marriage System.....**29**

Introduction.....	29
1.1. Marriage in Borsippa: sacerdotal endogamy	30
1.2. The concept of <i>wife-giver & wife-taker</i>	34
1.3. Visualising the marriage network	37
1.4. Wife-givers & wife-takers in Borsippa.....	43
1.5. Hypergamy in historical context.....	51
1.6. Wife-givers & wife-takers in the cult	56
Conclusion	62

Chapter 2: Landholding.....**67**

Introduction.....	67
2.1. <i>Hanšû</i> estates and the ancestral family	69
2.1.1. The nature of <i>hanšû</i> land.....	70
2.1.2. The historical origins of <i>hanšû</i> land in Borsippa	73
2.1.3. The motivations behind the land allotment schemes	79
2.1.4. The identity of the beneficiaries	83
2.1.5. The value of <i>hanšû</i> land in the sixth century BCE	90

2.2. Land sales and the circulation of property	94
2.2.1. Marriage alliances.....	94
2.2.2. Professional solidarity.....	99
2.3. Tenancy and agricultural collaboration	101
2.3.1. Unfree tenants	102
2.3.2. Tenants without family names	105
2.3.3. Tenants with family names	107
Conclusion	112
Chapter 3: Silver Lending.....	117
Introduction.....	117
3.1. Temple-enterers	119
3.2. Brewers	122
3.3. Bakers	126
3.4. Oxherds.....	132
3.5. Reed-workers	135
Conclusion	138
Chapter 4: Circles of Trust and Intimacy	141
Introduction.....	141
4.1. Formal quantification of personal networks	146
4.2. Qualitative analysis of tie strength and friendship.....	151
4.2.1. Ea-ilütu-bani	152
4.2.2. Ilia (A).....	158
4.2.3. Bēliya'u.....	165
4.2.4. Rē'i-alpi	173
4.2.5. Atkuppu.....	179
Conclusion	181

PART TWO: Priests in Neo-Babylonian Society

Chapter 5: Homophily and Interaction	191
Introduction.....	191
5.1. Spatial distribution.....	193
5.2. Homophily	195
5.3. Understanding rentiers and entrepreneurs	198
Conclusion	203
Chapter 6: Social Boundary and Collective Identity.....	205
Introduction.....	205
6.1. Affiliation to the temple.....	207
6.1.1. Prebend ownership.....	207
6.1.2. Purity and initiation.....	210
6.1.3. Sacrifices and festivals.....	214
6.1.4. Representation.....	221
6.1.5. Priestly families vs. the individual priest.....	222
6.2. Ownership of property	223
6.2.1. Residential property	224
6.2.2. Landed property	229
6.3. Literacy and scribal education	233

6.4. Language.....	239
Conclusion	248
Conclusion	253
7.1. Summaries of individual chapters.....	253
Chapter 1: The Hypergamous Marriage System.....	253
Chapter 2: Landholding	254
Chapter 3: Silver Lending.....	256
Chapter 4: Circles of Trust and Intimacy.....	257
Chapter 5: Homophily and Interaction	258
Chapter 6: Social Boundary and Collective Identity	259
7.2. Research questions revisited.....	260
7.3. Outcomes of this study.....	261
The impact of temple-based regulations.....	261
Priests as a distinct social group	263
7.4. Outlook	264
Appendix 1: Quantitative analysis of priestly marriages in Borsippa.....	267
Introduction.....	267
1. Temple-enterers	267
2. Brewers	271
3. Bakers	273
4. Butchers	275
5. Oxherds.....	277
6. Reed-workers	278
Appendix 2: Annotated list of hanšū land in Borsippa	281
Appendix 3: Property sales in the Borsippa corpus	291
Sales of <i>hanšū</i> land	291
Sales of non- <i>hanšū</i> land	292
Sales of housing plots	293
Abbreviations	295
Bibliography	303
Samenvatting	329
Curriculum Vitae	339

FIGURES AND TABLES

Fig. 1. Abstract representation of temple hierarchy

Fig. 2. Marriage type in Borsippa

Fig. 3. Sacerdotal endogamy

Fig. 4. Simple graph

Fig. 5. Borsippa marriage network (undirected)

Fig. 6. Borsippa marriage network (directed)

Fig. 7. Relative marriage hierarchy

Fig. 8. Transitive hierarchy

Fig. 9. Flattening of relative social hierarchy

Fig. 10. Marriage ties vs. temple service (1)

Fig. 11. Marriage ties vs. temple service (2)

Fig. 12. Abstract representation of the interactional pattern of priests

Table 1. Silver loans of the Ea-ilūtu-bani archive

Table 2. Silver loans of Marduk-šumu-ibni//Ilia (A)

Table 3. Silver loans of all brewer archives

Table 4. Silver loans of Šaddinna//Bēliya'u

Table 5. Silver loans of the Rē'i-alpi archive

Table 6. Silver loans of the Atkuppu archive

Table 7. Contacts in Borsippa archives vs. Sippar archives

Table 8. Tie strength of Marduk-šumu-ibni//Ilia (A)

Table 9. Tie strength of Šaddinna//Bēliya'u

Table 10. Tie strength of Nabû-mukīn-zēri//Rē'i-alpi & Rēmūt-Nabû//Rē'i-alpi