

The Territorial Jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court: Certain Contested Issues

Vagias, M.

Citation

Vagias, M. (2011, May 25). *The Territorial Jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court: Certain Contested Issues*. Bynkers Hoek Publishing. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/17669

Version:	Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/17669

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

MICHAIL VAGIAS

/ THE TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

CERTAIN CONTESTED ISSUES





PH. D. THESIS

THE TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT CERTAIN CONTESTED ISSUES

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. P.F. van der Heijden, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op woensdag 25 mei 2011 klokke 16.15 uur

door

Michail Vagias geboren te Thessaloniki, Greece in 1980 Promotiecommissie:

Promotor:	prof. dr. C.J.R. Dugard
Co-Promotor:	dr. L.J. van den Herik
Overige leden:	prof. dr. L. Zegveld prof. dr. C. Stahn prof. dr. E. van Sliedregt (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam) dr. C.M.J. Ryngaert (K. U. Leuven, Belgium en Universiteit Utrecht)

Design cover: © Studio Meike Ziegler, Amsterdam

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

During the five years of this thesis (2005-2010), the Center of International and European Economic Law in Thessaloniki, the Peace Palace Library (especially Niels van Tol), the New Business School, Amsterdam, The Hague University of Applied Sciences and the Bynkershoek Institut, The Hague helped each in its own way in its production. I am grateful for the financial support of the Greek State Scholarship Foundation (2007-2010) and Prof. Stilianos Perrakis, for his co-operation as the Scholarship Foundation's Academic Supervisor.

I was extremely fortunate to have John Dugard as my supervisor and Larissa van den Herik as cosupervisor. I am thankful for the discussions of different aspects of my work and the ICC in general with Judge Georghios Pikis, as well as Virginia Lindsay and Melanie O'Brien.

My gratitude for their unwavering support and encouragement to all my friends, including Magnus Lejon, Linus Knutsson and Dennis Persson; from Greece, Hara Aroni, Alex Sarris, Christos Kleisiaris, Sotiris Tezias, Nicholas Bezirgiannis and Agapi Gouziouri, who always kept in touch. The Kyriazis family, Ioannis Kyriazis and Diah Tan, as well as Vasiliki Magoula helped a friend in need of getting out of the phd-way of life.

I was lucky to benefit from the wisdom of Paroula Perraki and Costas Antonopoulos. They made sure I stayed the course in times of ambivalence and doubt. The last few years a number of people put things in perspective; my students, my colleagues at The Hague, including William Worster, Ernst van Bemmelen van Gent and Joris Sprakel from the Bynkershoek Institut, who also assisted greatly with the Dutch summary and the editing of this book. On that note, a warm 'thank you' goes also to Sotiria Tsoukala.

To my Ph.D. witnesses and friends Axelle Cartier and David Dekker I owe a special debt of gratitude. Last, Thomas Skouteris is largely responsible as a mentor, a friend and a colleague for forming my legal thinking – and professional development.

My family was the solid foundation that made this thesis possible. Nicholas, Niki, Vagias and Sophia Vagias, Anastasios, Cristie, Demosthenis, Barbara, Athena and the late Thomas Teneketzis, as well as Maria Kirtsani, Dimitris Kirtsanis and Stella Stavrousis; they gave me their love, support and unconditional faith in my abilities. Thank you.

The memory of my father, Stergios Vagias, steeled my determination to make this thesis a reality. I will always remember him lovingly.

My sister Efi reminded me through her example that the only way to move forward in life is with hope, perseverence and a good cheer. Words do not suffice to express my gratitude and love for her and my mother, Aliki.

M.V., The Hague, 13 March 2011

This Ph.D. Thesis is dedicated to my mother, Aliki, my sister, Efthimia and the memory of my father, Stergios.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chap	oter 1 - Introduction	11
1.1	Objective	15
1.2	Structure	16
1.3	Methodology	17
Chap	oter 2 - Definitions and State Territorial Jurisdiction	21
2.1	Introduction	22
	2.1.1. Jurisdiction	22
	2.1.2 Territorial Jurisdiction	22
	2.1.3 Prescriptive, Enforcement and Adjudicative Jurisdiction	23
2.2	The Lotus Case	24
2.3	Principles and Rules of state jurisdiction	27
	2.3.1. The territorial principle	29
	2.3.2. The principle of connecting links ('the Mann doctrine')	30
0.4	2.3.3. Synthesis	34
2.4	Rules of jurisdiction	35 35
	2.4.1. Territoriality and territorial fictions 2.4.2. Subjective Territoriality	35 39
	2.4.3. Objective Territoriality/Ubiquity	40
	2.4.3.1. Meaning, status	40
	2.4.3.2. Scope; defining constituent elements; mens rea, aiding and abetting, incitemen	
	commit a crime	40
	2.4.3.3. Ubiguity	46
	2.4.4. The effects doctrine	47
Char	oter 3 - The Preparatory Works of Article 12(2)(a)	55
	Purpose of the Chapter – Sources – Structure	56
3.2	The International Law Commission's work on the Statute of an International Criminal Court - Territo	orial
	Jurisdiction	60
3.3.	The Ad Hoc Committee (1995) and the Preparatory Committee (1996-1998)	66
3.4./	Negotiations at the Rome Conference	70
3.5.	Conclusions	74
Chap	oter 4 - Instruments of interpretation of the Rome Statute and Article 12(2)(a)	77
4.1.	Introduction	78
4.2.	Interpretation and territoriality under Article 12(2)(a)	78
	4.2.1. Interpretation of the Rome Statute in accordance with the Vienna Convention on the Law	v of
	Treaties – teleological interpretation	80
		82
		with
	Article 21(3)?	83
	4.2.2.2. Interpretation of Article 12(2)(a) of the Rome Statute in accordance with the princ of legality?	iple 86
	4.2.2.3. Interpretation of the Rome Statute in accordance with the legal nature of	the
	provision?	92
4.3.	Conclusion	94

5.1. Introduction 100 5.1.1. The problem - Post-Rome negotiations in the 2008-2009 Aggression Working Group 102 5.1.2. The inderinal conditions 102 5.1.2. The inherent power of the Court to decide on its jurisdiction (compétence de la compétence/ kompetenz kompetenz) 103 5.1.2. The inherent power of the Court to decide on its jurisdiction (compétence de la compétence/ kompetenz kompetenz) 103 5.1.2.4. The usefulness of international law rules 107 5.1.2.5. The usefulness of international law rules 108 5.2. "Conduct in question" as conduct, including act or omission 109 5.3. Toenduct in question" as conduct, including act or omission 120 5.4. Interim Conclusion 120 5.5. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of fuman rights rules 136 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Delimiting the court's territorial jurisdiction 141 6.5.3.1. The argument 144 6.6. Conclusion	Chapter 5 - 'the conduct in question' 99			
5.12. The doctrinal conditions 102 5.12.1. State territory as connecting link 102 5.12.2. The inherent power of the Court to decide on its jurisdiction (compétence de la compétence) (kompetenz) 103 5.12.3. Interpretation of ICC jurisdiction, delegation of authority and limitations 105 5.12.4. The usefulness of international law rules 107 5.12.5. The usefulness of international law rules 107 5.1.2.4. The usefulness of international law rules 108 5.2. "Conduct in question" as conduct, including act or omission 109 5.3. Conduct in question as 'crimes in question' 120 5.4. Interim Conclusion 120 5.5. Localization of rules and principles of international law 122 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of rules and principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 137 5.5.3. The existence of jurisdiction 137 5.5.3.2. The existence of jurisdiction 144 Conclusion Conclusion 147 Conclusion Conclusion Consequences or ubiquity and t	5.1.	Introduction	100	
5.12.1. State territory as connecting link 102 5.12.2. The inherent power of the Court to decide on its jurisdiction (compétence de la compétence/ kompetenz) 103 5.12.3. Interpretation of ICC jurisdiction, delegation of authority and limitations 105 5.1.2.3. Interpretation of ICC jurisdiction, delegation of authority and limitations 105 5.1.2.5. The usefulness of national law 108 5.2. "Conduct in question" as conduct, including act or omission 109 5.3. "Conduct in question as crimes in question" 115 5.4. Interim Conclusion 122 5.5. Localization of criminal activity 122 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 136 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction 144 conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional collicits 166 6.3.5. P		5.1.1. The problem – Post-Rome negotiations in the 2008-2009 Aggression Working Group		
5.1.2.2. The inherent power of the Court to decide on its jurisdiction (compétence de la compétence/kompetenz) 103 5.1.2.3. Interpretation of ICC jurisdiction, delegation of authority and limitations 105 5.1.2.4. The usefulness of international law rules 107 5.1.2.5. The usefulness of antonal law 108 5.2. "Conduct in question" as crimes in question" 115 5.4. Interim Conclusion 120 5.5. Localization of criminal activity 122 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of rules and principles of international law 122 5.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of querela principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 137 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. The existence of jurisdiction 141 6.5.3.1. The existence of jurisdiction 143 5.6. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Should the Court adout the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
compétence/kompétenz kompetenz)1035.12.3. Interpretation of ICC jurisdiction, delegation of authority and limitations1055.12.4. The usefulness of international law rules1075.12.5. The usefulness of national law1085.2. "Conduct in question" as conduct, including act or omission1095.3. 'Conduct in question' as conduct, including act or omission1095.4. Interim Conclusion1205.5. Localization of criminal activity1225.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of international law1225.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law1295.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction1405.5.3.1. The excitse/nterpretation of jurisdiction1405.5.3.2. The excitse/nterpretation of jurisdiction1405.5.3.3.2. The excitse/nterpretation of jurisdiction?1446.4. Conclusion1476.3. Conclusion1446.3. Conclusion1446.3. Effects octrine1476.3. A. Corriminal jurisdiction – the form of liability1556.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction of sovereignty of States not Parties1666.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts1666.3.5. Pacta tertis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties1676.4. Conclusion1717.4. The Application of the Rome Statute as an international ruley - Article 29 VCLT1767.4.				
5.1.2.3. Interpretation of ICC jurisdiction, delegation of authority and limitations 105 5.1.2.4. The usefulness of international law rules 107 5.1.2.5. The usefulness of autonal law 108 5.2. "Conduct in question" as conduct, including act or omission 109 5.4. Interim Conclusion 120 5.5. Localization of criminal activity 122 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of rules and principles of international law 122 5.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 137 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Conclusion 140 5.5.3. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155				
5.1.2.4. The usefulness of infernational law rules 107 5.1.2.5. The usefulness of national law 108 5.2. "Conduct in question" as conduct, including act or omission 109 5.3. Conduct in question as crimes in question' 115 5.4. Interim Conclusion 120 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of international law 122 5.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 144 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Detimes the structure of the argument 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.3. Practa terliis – violation of sovereignty of States not Part				
5.12.5. The usefulness of national law 108 5.2. "Conduct in question" as 'orimes in question' 115 5.1. Interim Conclusion 120 5.5. Localization of criminal activity 122 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of rules and principles of law 122 5.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 136 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 137 5.5.3. Delimiting the court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Delimiting the court's territorial jurisdiction 141 5.6. Conclusion 143 5.6. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction - the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Erritorial jurisdiction and unitrust – classifications 163 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and ICC territoria				
5.2. "Conduct in question" as conduct, including act or omission 109 5.3. Conduct in question" as 'crimes in question' 115 5.4. Interim Conclusion 120 5.5. Localization of criminal activity 122 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of international law 122 5.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of human rights rules 136 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Delimiting the court's territorial jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Delimiting the court's territorial jurisdiction 141 5.5.3. Devercise/interpretation of jurisdiction 147 5.6. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3.1. Criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.2. Territorial application of the orovereignty of States not Parties 166 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
5.3. 'Conduct in question' as 'crimes in question' 115 5.4. Interim Conclusion 120 5.5. Localization of criminal activity 122 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 122 5.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of human rights rules 136 5.5.3. The existence of jurisdiction 137 5.5.3. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction? 140 5.6. Conclusion 147 6.1. The effects doctrine 147 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3.1. Criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.3. Effects adoption and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdiction of evidence 167 6.3.6. Practa tertis – violation of s	E 0			
5.4. Interim Conclusion 120 5.5. Localization of criminal activity 122 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 136 5.5.3. The existence of jurisdiction 137 5.5.3. The existence of jurisdiction 140 5.5.3. Conclusion 143 5.6. Concluding observations 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. Territorial jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdictional conflicts 163 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.5. Pactical aspects: collection of e				
 5.5. Localization of criminal activity 5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of rules and principles of international law 129 5.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of human rights rules 5.5.3.1. The existence of jurisdiction 137 5.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction 5.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction 5.6. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 6.3. Concluding observations 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction – the form of liability 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 6.3.5. Practia appets: collection of evidence 6.4. Conclusion 172 7. The problem and the role of international rules 7.4.1. Decouption: definition 7.7. 7.4.1. The Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 7.6. 7.4.2. The temporary character of occupation 7.7. 7.4.1.1. Decupation: definition 7.7. 7.4.1.1. Decupation: definition 7.7. 7.4.1.1. The Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 7.6.3. The existence of unisdiction an antexation 7.8. 7.4.1. The temporary charac				
5.5.1. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of rules and principles of international law 122 5.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of human rights rules 138 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of human rights rules 136 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction 137 5.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction 143 5.6. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdictional conflicts 166 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 166 6.4. Conclusion 172 7.1. Introduction 171 7.1. Introduction 172 7.2. The problem and the role of international rules 178 7.4.1. Docupation and let Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176	-			
application of rules and principles of international law1225.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law1295.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of human rights rules1365.5.3.1. The existence of jurisdiction1375.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction1405.5.3.3. Concluding observations1435.6. Conclusion144Chapter 6. The effects doctrine6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument1486.3. The argument1446.3. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability1556.3.2. Erritorial jurisdiction1616.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications1636.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts1666.3.5. Practa tertilis – violation of sovereignly of States not Parties1666.4. Conclusion1727.1. Introduction1717.1. Introduction1727.1. The application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4. Occupation and the role of international rules1747.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.3. The prohibition of annexation1787.4.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.3. The problem and the role of international rules1807.4.3. The prohibition of annexation180	0.0.	,		
5.5.2. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of general principles of law 129 5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of human rights rules 136 5.5.3. The existence of jurisdiction 137 5.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction 143 5.6. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.3. Effects – oriminal and antitrust – classifications 166 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 172 71. Introduction 172 72. The problem and the role of international rules 174 73. The territorial application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176 74.1. Decupation: definition <td></td> <td>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</td> <td></td>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
application of general principles of law1295.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity and the application of human rights rules1365.5.3.1. The existence of jurisdiction1375.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction1405.5.3.3. Concluding observations1435.6. Conclusion144Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine144Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine1451476.1. The problem and the structure of the argument1446.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction?1496.3. Criminal jurisdiction — the form of liability1556.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction — the form of liability1556.3.3. Effects — criminal and antitrust — classifications1616.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts1656.3.5. Pacta tertiis — violation of sovereignty of States not Parties1666.4. Conclusion1717.1. Introduction1717.2. The problem and the role of international rules1747.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4.1. Decupation: definition1797.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.3. The robilition of annexation1807.4.3. The robilition of annexation1807.4.3. The impact of the fact of occupation the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the				
application of human rights rules1365.5.3.1. The existence of jurisdiction1375.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction1405.5.3.3. Concluding observations1435.6. Conclusion144Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument1486.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction?1496.3. The argument1546.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability1556.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction1616.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications1636.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts1666.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties1666.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence1676.4. Conclusion1727.1. Introduction1717.1. Introduction1727.2. The problem and the role of international rules1747.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdic				
application of human rights rules1365.5.3.1. The existence of jurisdiction1375.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction1405.5.3.3. Concluding observations1435.6. Conclusion144Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument1486.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction?1496.3. The argument1546.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability1556.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction1616.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications1636.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts1666.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties1666.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence1676.4. Conclusion1727.1. Introduction1717.1. Introduction1727.2. The problem and the role of international rules1747.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction1807.4.3.1. Criminal jurisdic		5.5.3. Delimiting the Court's territorial jurisdiction on the basis of consequences or ubiquity an	nd the	
5.5.3.2. The exercise/interpretation of jurisdiction 140 5.8.3.3. Concluding observations 143 5.6. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdictional conflicts 161 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 166 6.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 171 7.1. Introduction 171 7.1. Introduction 172 7. The problem and the role of international rules 174 7.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation 178 7.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework 178 7.4.1.1. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation 180 7.4.3. The prohibition o		application of human rights rules	136	
5.5.3.3. Concluding observations 143 5.6. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.5. Pacta tertils – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 172 7.1. Introduction 171 7.1. Introduction 172 7.2. The problem and the role of international rules 174 7.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176 7.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework 178 7.4.1.1. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation 180 7.4.3. The prohibition of annexation 182		5.5.3.1. The existence of jurisdiction	137	
5.6. Conclusion 144 Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction 161 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.5. Pacta tertlis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 171 7.1. Introduction 172 7.2. The problem and the role of international rules 174 7.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176 7.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation 178 7.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework 178 7.4.1.0. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation 180 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occcupied state to the ICC 182 <td></td> <td></td> <td>140</td>			140	
Chapter 6 - The effects doctrine 147 6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction 161 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 172 7.2. The problem and the role of international rules 174 7.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176 7.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation 178 7.4.1.1. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation 180 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied astate to the ICC 182 7.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction 184 7.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty 184		5.5.3.3. Concluding observations		
6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction 161 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 171 7.1. Introduction 171 7.1. Introduction 172 7.2. The problem and the role of international rules 174 7.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176 7.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation 178 7.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework 178 7.4.1.1. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation 180 7.4.3. The prohibition of annexation 180 7.4.4.1. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegat	5.6.	Conclusion	144	
6.1. The problem and the structure of the argument 148 6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction 161 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 171 7.1. Introduction 171 7.1. Introduction 172 7.2. The problem and the role of international rules 174 7.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176 7.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation 178 7.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework 178 7.4.1.1. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation 180 7.4.3. The prohibition of annexation 180 7.4.4.1. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegat	Char	oter 6 - The effects doctrine	147	
6.2. Should the Court adopt the effects doctrine of jurisdiction? 149 6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction 161 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 172 7.1. Introduction 172 7.2. The problem and the role of international rules 174 7.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176 7.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation 178 7.4.1.1. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation 180 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC 182 7.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction 184	-			
6.3. The argument 154 6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability 155 6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction 161 6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 172 7.1. Introduction 172 7.2. The problem and the role of international rules 174 7.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176 7.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation 178 7.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework 178 7.4.1.1. Occupation: definition 179 7.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation 180 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC 182 7.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction 184 7.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty 184 7.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction 185 7.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdicti				
6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction1616.3.3. Effects - criminal and antitrust - classifications1636.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts1656.3.5. Pacta tertiis - violation of sovereignty of States not Parties1666.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence1676.4. Conclusion168Chapter 7 - Belligerent Occupation and ICC territorial jurisdiction7.1. Introduction1727.2. The problem and the role of international rules1747.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4. Occupation and territory - the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197			154	
6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications 163 6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts 165 6.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties 166 6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence 167 6.4. Conclusion 168 Chapter 7 - Belligerent Occupation and ICC territorial jurisdiction 7.1. Introduction 172 7.2. The problem and the role of international rules 174 7.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT 176 7.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation 178 7.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework 178 7.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation 180 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC 182 7.4.3. The prohibition of annexation 184 7.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty 184 7.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction 189 7.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties 193 7.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules 197		6.3.1. Criminal jurisdiction – the form of liability	155	
6.3.4. Over-regulation and jurisdictional conflicts1656.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties1666.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence1676.4. Conclusion168Chapter 7 - Belligerent Occupation and ICC territorial jurisdiction7.1. Introduction1727.2. The problem and the role of international rules1747.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules193		6.3.2. Territorial jurisdiction	161	
6.3.5. Pacta tertiis – violation of sovereignty of States not Parties1666.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence1676.4. Conclusion168Chapter 7 - Belligerent Occupation and ICC territorial jurisdiction7.1. Introduction1727.2. The problem and the role of international rules1747.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197		6.3.3. Effects – criminal and antitrust – classifications	163	
6.3.6. Practical aspects: collection of evidence1676.4. Conclusion168Chapter 7 - Belligerent Occupation and ICC territorial jurisdiction7.1. Introduction1727.2. The problem and the role of international rules1747.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197		a <i>i</i>		
6.4. Conclusion168Chapter 7 - Belligerent Occupation and ICC territorial jurisdiction1717.1. Introduction1727.2. The problem and the role of international rules1747.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4. Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197		• •		
Chapter 7 - Belligerent Occupation and ICC territorial jurisdiction1717.1.Introduction1727.2.The problem and the role of international rules1747.3.The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4.Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1.The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1.Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2.The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3.The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2.The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3.The existence of jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.1.Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1897.4.4.The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5.Conclusion: basic principles and rules197		•		
7.1.Introduction1727.2.The problem and the role of international rules1747.3.The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4.Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1.The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2.The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3.The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2.The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3.The existence of jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.1.Criminal Jurisdiction1897.4.4.The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5.Conclusion: basic principles and rules197	6.4.	Conclusion	168	
7.1.Introduction1727.2.The problem and the role of international rules1747.3.The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4.Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1.The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2.The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3.The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2.The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3.The existence of jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.1.Criminal Jurisdiction1897.4.4.The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5.Conclusion: basic principles and rules197	Chap	oter 7 - Belligerent Occupation and ICC territorial jurisdiction	171	
7.3. The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT1767.4. Occupation and territory - the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197	7.1.	Introduction	172	
7.4.Occupation and territory – the prohibition of annexation1787.4.1.The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1.Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2.The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3.The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2.The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3.The existence of jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.1.Criminal Jurisdiction1857.4.3.2.Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4.The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5.Conclusion: basic principles and rules197	7.2.	The problem and the role of international rules	174	
7.4.1. The Applicable Legal Framework1787.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197	7.3.	The Territorial Application of the Rome Statute as an international treaty - Article 29 VCLT	176	
7.4.1.1. Occupation: definition1797.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197	7.4.			
7.4.1.2. The temporary character of occupation1807.4.1.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197				
7.4.1.3. The prohibition of annexation1807.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197				
 7.4.2. The impact of the fact of occupation to the delegation of territorial jurisdiction by the occupied state to the ICC 7.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction 7.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty 7.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction 7.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction 7.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties 7.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules 				
state to the ICC1827.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197		•		
7.4.3. The existence of jurisdiction1847.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197			•	
7.4.3.1. Criminal Jurisdiction as an attribute of state sovereignty1847.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197				
7.4.3.2. Prescriptive criminal jurisdiction1857.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197		•		
7.4.3.3. Enforcement criminal jurisdiction1897.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197		0,		
7.4.4. The capacity to conclude treaties1937.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197				
7.4.5. Conclusion: basic principles and rules197		·		
	7.5.			
7.6.The Application of Article 12(2)(a) in State Party territories occupied by a State not Party2037.7.The Application of Article 12(2)(a) in the territory of a State not Party occupied by a State Party 205		The Application of Article 12(2)(a) in State Party territories occupied by a State not Party	203	

	7.7.1. "within the territory" meaning "under their control" in Article 12(2)(a) Rome Statute? - the of Article 21(3) Rome Statute - The policy argument	effect 206
	7.7.2. "within the territory" meaning "under their control" in Article 12(2)(a) Rome Statute? - the e	
	of Article 21(3) Rome Statute - The legal argument	210
	7.7.2.1. An example	210
	7.7.2.2. The main issue	211
7.8.	Conclusions	217
Cha	pter 8 - Conclusions	219
8.1.	Introduction	220
8.2.	Foundations	222
8.3.	Article 12(2)(a) and territorial approaches; from strict territoriality to the effects doctrine	and
	beyond?	223
8.4.	Article 12(2)(a) in situations of military occupation	225
	Conclusion	228
BIBI	LIOGRAPHY	230
1.	Books	230
2.	Articles and Book contributions	235
3.	Table of Cases	249
Ann		259
Ann		
	Nederlandse samenvatting	259
	De territoriale rechtsmacht van het Internationale Strafhof – Enkele betwiste kwesties	259
	Summary	262
	The Territorial Jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court – Certain Contested Issues	262
	Curriculum Vitae	264