

Sentencing in the Netherlands. Taking risk-related offender characteristics into account

Wingerden, S.G.C. van

Citation

Wingerden, S. G. C. van. (2014, September 25). Sentencing in the Netherlands. Taking risk-related offender characteristics into account. Meijers-reeks. Eleven International Publishing, Den Haag. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/28918

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/28918

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Sentencing in the Netherlands Taking risk-related offender characteristics into account

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/28918 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Wingerden, Sigrid Geralde Clara van

Title: Sentencing in the Netherlands: taking risk-related offender characteristics into

account

Issue Date: 2014-09-25

Sentencing in the Netherlands

Taking risk-related offender characteristics into account

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op donderdag 25 september 2014 klokke 15.00 uur

door

Sigrid Geralde Clara van Wingerden

geboren te Gouda in 1980

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor: prof. dr. mr. L.M. Moerings

Co-promotor: dr. J.A. van Wilsem Overige leden: dr. J.W. de Keijser

prof. dr. P. Nieuwbeerta mr. dr. P.M. Schuyt

prof. dr. R. van Swaaningen (Erasmus Universiteit

Rotterdam)

Lay-out: Anne-Marie Krens – Tekstbeeld – Oegstgeest

ISBN 978 94 6236 479 0

© 2014 S.G.C. van Wingerden | Eleven International Publishing

Published, sold and distributed by ElevenSold and distributed in USA and CanadaInternational PublishingInternational Specialized Book ServicesP.O. Box 85576920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300

2508 CG The Hague Portland, OR 97213-3786, USA The Netherlands Tel: 1-800-944-6190 (toll-free)

Tel.: +31 70 33 070 33 Fax: +1-503-280-8832 Fax: +31 70 33 070 30 orders@isbs.com e-mail: sales@budh.nl www.isbs.com

www.elevenpub.com

Eleven International Publishing is an imprint of Boom uitgevers Den Haag.

This publication is protected by international copyright law.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Printed in The Netherlands



Table of contents

1	Introduction	1	
2	Offender's personal circumstances and punishment. Toward a more refined model for the explanation of sentencing disparities	23	
3	Pre-sentence reports and punishment. A quasi-experiment assessing the effects of risk-based pre-sentence reports on sentencing	57	
4	'Does he deserve another chance? Or have we had it with him?' Judges on the role of the risk of recidivism in sentencing	83	
5	Sentencing homicide offenders in the Netherlands. Offender, victim, and situational influences in criminal punishment	95	
6	There's more to sentencing than imprisonment. The effects of offender characteristics on multifarious sentencing outcomes	129	
7	Summary and general discussion	151	
Sam	envatting en algemene discussie	173	
	Nawoord		
Cur	Curriculum vitae		

Detailed table of contents

1	Intr	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	The daily business of sentencing	1
	1.2	Prior research	1
	1.3	The relevance of sentencing research	3
	1.4	Shifting sentencing goals: towards actuarial justice?	4
	1.5	Research questions	6
	1.6	Contributions to the existing sentencing literature	10
	1.7		12
	1.8	References	17
2	Offe	ENDER'S PERSONAL CIRCUMSTANCES AND PUNISHMENT. TOWARD A	
	MOR	E REFINED MODEL FOR THE EXPLANATION OF SENTENCING DISPARITIES	23
	2.1	Introduction	23
	2.2	Understanding sentencing in the Netherlands: judges'	
		discretionary powers	25
	2.3	Theoretical framework and prior research	26
		2.3.1 A new direction for focal concerns theory	26
		2.3.2 Demographic differences in sentencing and their origins	27
		2.3.3 Theoretical expectations	30
	2.4	Data and method	31
		2.4.1 Dataset	31
		2.4.2 Dependent variables	32
		2.4.3 Independent variables	33
		2.4.4 Methods	36
	2.5	Results	37
		2.5.1 Descriptive analyses	37
		2.5.2 Offender characteristics	40
		2.5.3 Offender's personal circumstances	42
		2.5.4 Change in effects of offender characteristics when	
		personal circumstances are included	44
	2.6	Discussion	46
	2.7	Conclusion	49
	2.8	References	52

3		PRE-SENTENCE REPORTS AND PUNISHMENT. A QUASI-EXPERIMENT ASSESSING THE EFFECTS OF RISK-BASED PRE-SENTENCE REPORTS ON SENTENCING 5				
	3.1 3.2	Introduction From penal welfarism to actuarial justice: Changing tasks of	57			
	0.2	probation agencies	58			
	3.3	Pre-sentence reports in the Netherlands	59			
	3.4	Risk-based pre-sentence reports: Framing the person of the				
		offender	61			
	3.5	Data and method	63			
		3.5.1 Quasi-natural experiment	63			
		3.5.2 Dataset	64			
		3.5.3 Matching procedure	65			
		3.5.4 Balancing tests	69			
		3.5.5 Analytic approach	74			
	3.6	Results	74			
		3.6.1 Type of punishment	74			
	0.7	3.6.2 Sentence length	76			
	3.7 3.8	Discussion and conclusion References	77 79			
	5.0	References	1)			
4	'Doe	'Does he deserve another chance? Or have we had it with him?'				
	JUDG	SES ON THE ROLE OF THE RISK OF RECIDIVISM IN SENTENCING	83			
	4.1	Introduction	83			
	4.2	The role of the risk of recidivism in sentencing in theory	85			
	4.3	Prior research	87			
	4.4	The role of the risk of recidivism according to the judges	88			
		4.4.1 Estimates of the role of the risk of recidivism	88			
		4.4.2 Influence of the risk of recidivism on sentencing	89			
		4.4.3 In pursuit of hope for the offender	89			
		4.4.4 Continuing the sentencing trend	90			
	4.5	Conclusion	91			
	4.6	References	93			
5		TENCING HOMICIDE OFFENDERS IN THE NETHERLANDS. OFFENDER,	0.5			
	VICT	IM, AND SITUATIONAL INFLUENCES IN CRIMINAL PUNISHMENT	95			
	5.1	Introduction	95 97			
		Criminal justice in the United States and the Netherlands				
	5.3	O				
	5.4	1 1				
	5.5	<u> </u>				
	5.6	Data and method 5.6.1 Dependent variables	106			
		5.6.1 Dependent variables5.6.2 Independent variables	107 108			
	5.7	Results	110			
	5.7	5.7.1 Descriptive analyses	110			

Det	ailed ta	ble of co	ontents	XI
		5.7.2	Multivariate analyses – main effects	114
		5.7.3	Multivariate analyses – interaction effects	117
		5.7.4	Explained variance	118
	5.8	Discu	•	119
	5.9	Concl	usion	123
	5.10	Refere	ences	124
6	THER	E'S MOI	RE TO SENTENCING THAN IMPRISONMENT. THE EFFECTS OF	
	OFFE	NDER CI	HARACTERISTICS ON MULTIFARIOUS SENTENCING OUTCOMES	129
	6.1	Introd	luction	129
	6.2	Earlie	r sentencing studies	131
	6.3	Metho	od	134
	6.4	Data		136
	6.5	Result	ts	138
		6.5.1	Sentencing effects of offender characteristics on	
			incarceration and other sanctions	138
		6.5.2	Sentencing effects of offender characteristics;	
			unsuspended prison sentences compared with the	
			totality of sanctions	141
	6.6	Concl		142
	6.7	Discu		143
	6.8	Refere	ences	145
7	Sumi	MARY Al	ND GENERAL DISCUSSION	151
	7.1	Sumn	nary	151
	7.1	7.1.1	3	151
		7.1.2		101
		, , , , , _	effects of risk-related offender circumstances	151
		7.1.3	Effects of structured risk-based pre-sentence reports on	101
			sentencing	152
		7.1.4	Judges' views on the risk of reoffending as a sentencing	
			determinant	154
		7.1.5	Factors related to sentencing homicide offenders	155
		7.1.6	Why sentencing research should consider alternative,	
			more complete measures of sentence severity	156
	7.2	Gener	ral discussion	158
		7.2.1	Taking the offender's characteristics and risk-related	
			social circumstances into account	158
		7.2.2	Theoretical and practical implications	161
		7.2.3	Methodological considerations and suggestions for	
			future research	165
	7.3	Refere	ences	168

XII	_	Detailed table of contents	
	SAMENVATTING EN ALGEMENE DISCUSSIE	173	
	Nawoord	197	
	CURRICULUM VITAE	199	