



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Adolescent risk taking : the influence of pubertal development, neural responses to rewards and social context

Braams, B.R.

Citation

Braams, B. R. (2015, November 17). *Adolescent risk taking : the influence of pubertal development, neural responses to rewards and social context*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/36352>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/36352>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/36352> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Braams, Barbara

Title: Adolescent risk taking : the influence of pubertal development, neural responses to rewards and social context

Issue Date: 2015-11-17

Chapter 6

Nucleus Accumbens Response to Rewards and Testosterone Levels Are Related to Alcohol Use in Adolescents

*Submitted as: Braams, B.R., Peper, J.S., Van der Heide, D., Peters, S. & Crone, E.A.
Nucleus Accumbens Response to Rewards and Testosterone Levels Are Related to
Alcohol Use in Adolescents*

During adolescence there is a normative increase in risk taking behavior, which is reflected in, for example, increases in alcohol consumption. Prior research has demonstrated a link between testosterone and alcohol consumption, and between testosterone and neural responses to rewards. Yet, no study to date tested how testosterone levels across adolescence and neural responses to rewards relate to and predict individual differences in alcohol use. The current study aimed to investigate this relationship by assessing alcohol use, testosterone levels and neural responses to rewards in adolescents between 12-26 years of age. Participants were measured twice with a two-year interval between testing sessions. Cross-sectional analysis showed that at the second time point higher neural activity to rewards, but not testosterone levels, explained significant variance above age in reported alcohol use. Predictive analyses showed that, higher testosterone level at the first time point, but not neural activity to rewards at the first time point, was predictive of more alcohol use at the second time point. These results suggest that neural responses to rewards are correlated with current alcohol consumption, and that testosterone level is predictive of future alcohol consumption. These results are interpreted in the context of trajectory models of adolescent development.

6.1 Introduction

Adolescence is an important developmental period characterized by increases in risk taking behavior, such as alcohol use, substance abuse and delinquency (Steinberg, 2004). Many of the changes in risk taking behavior are thought to be normative transitions in explorative behavior and sensation seeking, which help adolescents to explore their environment, gain independence and develop individual social and personal goals (Crone & Dahl, 2012; Steinberg, 2008). However, in some situations these risk taking tendencies can have detrimental consequences, such as in the case of excessive alcohol consumption. It was recently reported that 32% of all emergency treatments resulting from alcohol poisoning in the Netherlands were targeted at adolescents between 15 and 19 years of age (Valkenberg, Van Leeuwen, Klein Wolt, & Goossens, 2012). Therefore, risk taking as reflected in alcohol consumption is an important facet of adolescent development. The neurobiological determinants and consequences of this behavior are not yet well understood.

Researchers have previously examined how hormonal changes related to pubertal development coincide with changes in alcohol consumption. Puberty onset starts approximately around age 9-10, although on average about 1.5 years earlier for

girls than for boys, and it is marked by changes in both hormone levels and physical appearance (Susman & Rogol, 2004). Congruent with these changes, adolescents experience changes in the social, emotional and academic domains. Puberty specific change may instigate a transition not only in physical appearance, but also in social-affective domains such as risk taking behavior, and specifically alcohol consumption. In a previous study we measured alcohol use in the last month and total lifetime use. We showed that in both boys and girls, more advanced puberty was associated with higher levels of alcohol consumption, while controlling for age. In addition, boys who had higher baseline levels of testosterone were also more likely to have consumed alcohol (de Water, Braams, Crone, & Peper, 2013).

The psychological and hormonal changes in relation to puberty occur in conjunction with structural (Goddings, Mills, Clasen, Giedd, Viner, & Blakemore, 2014; Peper, Koolschijn, & Crone, 2013) and functional changes in the brain (Blakemore, Burnett, & Dahl, 2010), but few studies examined how social-affective brain reactivity and hormone levels relate to individual differences in alcohol consumption. One target region for social-affective brain reactivity in adolescence is the ventral striatum. Recent studies have shown that neural responses in the ventral striatum to rewards are heightened during adolescence, compared to childhood and adulthood (Braams, Peters, Peper, Güroğlu, & Crone, 2014; Galvan, Hare, Parra, Penn, Voss, Glover, & Casey, 2006; Van Leijenhorst, Zanolie, Van Meel, Westenberg, Rombouts, & Crone, 2010). Especially the nucleus accumbens (NAcc), a key region for processing rewards, shows elevated responses during adolescence. Intriguingly, neural activity in the NAcc corresponded with testosterone levels in early adolescence (Forbes, Ryan, Phillips, Manuck, Worthman, Moyles, Tarr, Sciarrillo, & Dahl, 2010; Op de Macks, Gunther Moor, Overgaauw, Guroglu, Dahl, & Crone, 2011), and with self-reported risk taking tendencies (Galvan, Hare, Voss, Glover, & Casey, 2007). In a recent longitudinal study including 299 participants, we examined NAcc activity across the whole range of adolescence using a simple gambling task that could result in gains or losses. We confirmed the adolescent peak in NAcc activity to rewards at two time points (Braams, Van Duijvenvoorde, Peper, & Crone, 2015). The peak in neural activation in NAcc and the peak in risk taking behavior observed in adolescence are likely to be related. However, few studies have found a direct relationship between the two, possibly due to relatively small sample sizes of neuroimaging studies that assessed both neural reward sensitivity and alcohol consumption.

The current study aimed to shed light on the relationship between NAcc responses to rewards, testosterone levels and self-reported alcohol use in a longitudinal sample. We made use of the neural activity data that were previously reported in Braams et al.

Table 6.1. Participants were selected when data was available for testosterone, alcohol questionnaire and fMRI measure at Time point 2. Of this group, available data for per variable at time point 1 and 2 is described in the table.

	Time point 1		Time point 2	
	N	Mean (SE)	N	Mean (SE)
Age	198	14.99 (.23)	198	16.98 (.23)
Testosterone	182	1.70 (.05)	198	1.88 (.04)
Left NAcc	184	2.01 (.19)	198	1.66 (.17)
Right NAcc	184	2.03 (.19)	198	1.94 (.19)

(2015). This longitudinal study examined neural activity to rewards during adolescence across two time points. In addition to the fMRI session, participants were asked to fill out an alcohol consumption questionnaire that tested three domains of alcohol use: average alcohol consumption per evening, alcohol consumption in the last month and total lifetime alcohol consumption. For a total of 198 participants between 12 and 26 years of age complete data on all measures was available. We hypothesized that age and alcohol use would be positively correlated, replicating prior studies (Spear, 2013). In addition, we hypothesized that the participants who showed elevated responses to rewards during a gambling task in the scanner, would also report higher use of alcohol, consistent with the hypothesis that adolescent specific changes in social-affective neural activity is related to higher risk taking (Galvan, et al., 2007). Furthermore, we expect that higher levels of testosterone correspond with higher alcohol consumption (de Water, et al., 2013). Given the longitudinal design, we were able to test whether neural activity and testosterone merely coincide with higher alcohol use, or also predict alcohol use at the second time point.

6.2 Methods

Participants

Participants were part of a larger, longitudinal study in which participants were included between 8 and 27 years of age. Participants were recruited through high schools and advertisement in local newspapers. Participants who participated in the fMRI session and for whom testosterone data and self-report alcohol data at the second time point were available were selected for the current study. In total, complete data from 198 participants was available at the second time point (102 males). For the longitudinal analyses complete data from a total of 169 participants (87 males) was available. A description of participant data at both time points can be found in Table 6.1. Data from the full sample has previously been published in Braams et al. (2014; 2015); Peters et al. (2014) and Peper et al. (2013). An approximation of IQ was

determined by two subscales, vocabulary and picture completion, from the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for children (WISC-III) or Wechsler Intelligence Scale for adults (WAIS-III) (Wechsler, 1997). Estimated intelligence scores fell within the normal range ($M_{IQ}=107.7$, $SE_{IQ}=.73$). Participants received an endowment for participation in a larger scale study. Participants aged 17 and below received € 30 and adults €60. Participants, or parents in the case of under aged participants, gave written informed consent prior to the start of the experiment. MRI scans for all participants were reviewed by a radiologist and checked for abnormalities. No abnormalities were reported.

Alcohol Questionnaire

Participants filled out a questionnaire in which they indicated how much they drink on average when they go out, how much they drank last month and total lifetime alcohol use (Ames, Grenard, Thush, Sussman, Wiers, & Stacy, 2007). The average number of glasses of alcohol per night was measured with a free response question. Past month alcohol use in number of glasses was measured using a 10-point scale (0, 1-2, 3-4, 5-6, 7-10, 11-15, 16-20, 21-30, 31-50, >50). Lastly, for lifetime alcohol use participants indicated the amount of glasses of alcohol they consumed in total during their lifetime. Responses were collected on an 11-point scale (0, 1-10, 11-20, 21-30, 31-40, 41-50, 51-60, 61-70, 71-80, 81-90, and >90). Participants were asked to count bottles or cans of alcohol as 1.5 glasses. Self-report measures of substance use have been found to show high test-retest reliability with r 's up to .86 (Brener, Kann, McManus, Kinchen, Sundberg, & Ross, 2002).

Testosterone

Testosterone collection has been described previously (Braams, et al., 2015; de Water, et al., 2013; Peper, et al., 2013; Peters, Jolles, Van Duijvenvoorde, Crone, & Peper, 2015). Testosterone levels were assessed in morning saliva samples. Samples were collected by passive drool, directly after waking up and before eating or brushing teeth. Females who had not yet reached menarche and males collected saliva on the day of fMRI testing. To control for menstrual fluctuations, post-menarcheal females and females who used contraceptives with a stopping period collected saliva on the 7th day of their menstrual cycle. At the 7th day of the menstrual cycle hormone levels are less influenced by fluctuations in the cycle (Mihm, Gangooly, & Muttukrishna, 2011; Peper & Dahl, 2013). Females who used contraceptives without a stopping period such as hormonal intrauterine devices were excluded from testosterone assessment.




Figure 6.1. Example of a trial. On trial onset, participants were presented with a screen for 4000 ms indicating for whom they were playing (Self, Friend or Antagonist) and how many coins could be won or lost. During this time, participants chose to play heads or tails by pressing the corresponding button. After a 1000 ms delay, trial outcome was presented for 1500 ms. Participants won when the computer randomly selected the same side of the coin as chosen by the participant.

Testosterone levels for all saliva samples were assayed at the Department of Clinical Chemistry of the VU University Medical Centre. The lower limit of detection was 4 pmol/L. Salivary testosterone was determined by isotope dilution—online solid phase extraction liquid chromatography—tandem mass spectrometry (Peper, et al., 2013). Intra-assay coefficients of variation were 11% and 4% at 10 and 140 pmol/L, respectively, and interassay coefficients of variation were 8% and 5% at 31 and 195 pmol/L, respectively (de Water, et al., 2013). Testosterone levels were not normally distributed, therefore a log transformed measure for testosterone levels was used in all analyses. Testosterone samples were collected from 292 participants on T1 and 274 participants on T2. Testosterone levels from 25 participants on T1 and three participants on T2 fell below the detection limit of 4 pmol/L. These participants were excluded from further analyses. Seven participants on T1 and one participant on T2 did not collect sufficient amount of saliva for assessment. The final number of participants for whom testosterone data was available was 260 on T1 and 270 at T2. Note that only those participants for whom testosterone, alcohol and fMRI data was available at T2 were selected for this study. For a description of total participants see Table 6.1.

Experimental Task

Participants played a heads or tails gambling game in which they could win or lose money (Braams, Güroğlu, de Water, Meuwese, Koolschijn, Peper, & Crone, 2013;

Braams, et al., 2014). On each trial, participants guessed whether the computer would pick heads or tails and they won when the computer selected the chosen side of the coin. Each trial started with a trial onset screen (4000 ms) during which the participant indicated their choice to play for heads or tails. On the trial onset screen the participants also saw how much they could win or lose on that trial, explained in more detail below. The trial onset screen was followed by a fixation screen (1000 ms) and a feedback screen, which showed whether participants won or lost on that trial (1500 ms). Trials ended with a variable jitter (1000-13200 ms), see Figure 6.1. Trial sequence and timing was optimized using OptSeq (Dale, 1999); see also (<http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/optseq/>). Probabilities for winning were 50%. Three different distributions of coins were included; trials on which 2 coins could be won and 5 lost, trials on which 3 coins could be won or 3 lost and finally trials on which 5 coins could be won or 2 could be lost. These different distributions of coins were included to keep participants engaged in the task, but were not analyzed separately (see also Braams, et al, 2013 and Braams, et al, 2014). Participants were informed about the different distributions of coins and were familiarized with them during the practice task. Participants were explained that the coins won during the experiment translated to real money at the end of the experiment. Participants received 4, 5 or 6 euro's at the end of the task. Unbeknownst to the participants, the total earnings on the task did not relate to the amount won during the task but were chosen at random.

Participants played 30 trials in the gambling game for themselves, 30 trials for their best friend and 30 trials for another person. The goal of the current study was to specifically assess neural responses to rewards for self, therefore for the current study only trials on which the participants played for themselves were included (see Braams, et al., 2013 and Braams, et al., 2014 for a description of the data of the first time point for the full task).

Procedure

Participants received instructions regarding the testing session in a quiet laboratory room. Participants were familiarized with the MRI scanner with a mock scanner. Next the gambling game was explained and participants performed six practice trials. After the scanner session WISC-III or WAIS-III were administered. Participants collected saliva for testosterone assessment and filled out the alcohol questionnaire at home, before the testing session.

MRI Data Acquisition

Scanning was performed on a 3 Tesla Philips scanner, with a standard whole-head coil. The functional scans were acquired using a T2*-weighted echo-planar imaging

(EPI) (TR= 2.2 sec, TE= 30 ms, sequential acquisition, 38 slices of 2.75 mm, field of view 220 mm, 80x80 matrix, in-plane resolution 2.75 mm). The first two volumes were discarded to allow for equilibration of T1 saturation effects. After the functional runs, a high-resolution 3D T1-weighted anatomical image was collected (TR= 9.751 ms, TE=4.59 ms, flip angle= 8°, 140 slices, 0.875mm x 0.875mm x 1.2mm, and FOV= 224<.001x168<.001x177.333). Visual stimuli were displayed on a screen in the magnet bore. A mirror attached to the head coil allowed participants to view the screen. Foam inserts inside the coil were used to limit head movement. MRI data acquisition was similar at the two time points (see also Braams et al, 2014).

fMRI Preprocessing and Statistical Analyses

In total 299 participants were scanned at the first time point and 254 participants were scanned at the second time point. For fMRI-analyses, 36 participants at the first time point and 10 participants at the second time point were excluded for moving more than 1 voxel. An additional 14 participants at the first time point and six participants at the second time point were excluded for not finishing the task, technical problems and/or artefacts during data collection. For a total of 160 participants at T1 and 208 at T2 fMRI and data for the alcohol questionnaire was available. Whole brain fMRI analyses are reported for the group for whom data on the fMRI task and alcohol questionnaire was available.

All data were analyzed with SPM8 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London). Images were corrected for slice timing acquisition and differences in rigid body motion. Structural and functional volumes were spatially normalized to T1 templates. Translational movement parameters never exceeded 1 voxel (<3 mm) in any direction for any participant or scan. The normalization algorithm used a 12-parameter affine transform together with a nonlinear transformation involving cosine basis functions and resampled the volumes to 3mm cubic voxels. Templates were based on the MNI305 stereotaxic space (Cocosco, Kollokian, Kwan, & Evans, 1997). Functional volumes were spatially smoothed with a 6 mm FWHM isotropic Gaussian kernel. Statistical analyses were performed on individual subjects data using the general linear model in SPM8. The fMRI time series were modeled as a series of zero duration events convolved with the hemodynamic response function (HRF). On trial onset events were modeled separately for playing for self, friend and other. On feedback onset winning and losing for self, friend and antagonist were modeled. This resulted in three conditions at trial onset (self, friend, other) and six conditions at feedback onset (self win, self lose, friend win, friend lose, other win, other lose). Trials on which the participants failed to respond were modeled separately as covariate of no interest and were excluded from further analyses. The modeled events were used as regressors in a general linear model,




Figure 6.2. Whole brain activation at the first and second time point for Win > Lose for self and the anatomical nucleus accumbens (NAcc) region used for analyses. A similar figure has been published before in Braams et al, 2015.

along with a basic set of cosine functions that high-pass filtered the data, and a covariate for session effects. The least-squares parameter estimates of height of the best-fitting canonical HRF for each condition were used in pair-wise contrasts. The resulting contrast images, computed on a subject-by-subject basis, were submitted to random-effects group analyses. The contrast of interest was win > lose when playing for self, specified at the moment of feedback onset.

Region of Interest Analysis

We used the MarsBaR toolbox (Brett, Anton, Valabregue, & Poline, 2002) (<http://marsbar.sourceforge.net/>) for SPM8 to perform region of interest (ROI) analyses to extract patterns of activation in an a priori defined NAcc cluster. Average beta values, also known as parameter estimates, were used for ROI analyses. We used the functional activation, masked with an anatomical mask of the left and right NAcc extracted from the Harvard-Oxford subcortical atlas, thresholded at 40%. We specifically focused on the NAcc, since previous studies have highlighted this part of the ventral striatum as a key region in reward-based processing (Braams et al., 2014; Delgado, 2007).

6.3 Results

Whole brain analysis

The whole brain contrast for winning > losing for self resulted in significant activation in the bilateral NAcc on both time points, see Figure 6.2 and Table 6.2 for a whole brain table of all above threshold activation for this contrast. The NAcc is a key region for processing rewards and we used the functional activation, masked with an anatomical mask of the NAcc for region of interest analyses.

Table 6.2. Whole brain table for neural activation for the contrast win>lose when playing for self at the first and second time point. Reported clusters survive Family Wise Error correction at the voxel level. Only clusters comprised of 10 voxels or more are reported.

Region	R/L	MNI			T(159)	Voxels
		x	y	z		
Time Point 1						
Caudate Nucleus	L	-9	14	-5	12.24	305
Caudate Nucleus	R	12	14	-5	12.01	631
Mid Cingulate Cortex	L	-3	-7	34	6.41	33
Mid Cingulate Cortex	R	3	-34	37	5.20	10
Inferior Occipital Gyrus	R	42	-79	-11	5.78	45
Supplementary Motor Area	R	6	-22	55	5.53	35
Superior Frontal Gyrus	L	-18	11	58	5.30	13
Time Point 2					T(207)	
Caudate Nucleus	R	15	11	-8	12.26	497
Superior Medial Gyrus	L	-3	56	4	6.30	126
Superior Frontal Gyrus	L	-24	17	55	6.10	148
Inferior Parietal Lobule	L	-48	-61	49	5.84	45
Precuneus	L	-6	-58	10	5.57	23
Paracentral Lobule	L	-12	-22	61	5.47	60
Postcentral Gyrus	L	-27	-34	58	5.34	17
Mid Cingulate Cortex	L	-3	-40	40	5.32	23
Superior Frontal Gyrus	R	21	-13	55	5.30	11
Postcentral Gyrus	R	24	-34	55	5.28	15
Superior Temporal Gyrus	L	-60	-37	17	5.27	15
Inferior Occipital Gyrus	R	42	-91	-8	5.10	14
Mid Frontal Gyrus	R	36	20	52	5.01	10

The relationship between the alcohol questionnaire and age

Reported alcohol use was highly correlated with age: average amount of glasses per night ($r(206) = .59$, $p < .001$), last month ($r(206) = .62$, $p < .001$) and lifetime ($r(206) = .77$, $p < .001$). Participants reported to have drunk less than one glass of alcohol in their lifetime at age 12 and 13. Adults reported to drink an average of 4.5 glasses per night and 24 glasses in the last month, see also Figure 6.3. Note that the scale for lifetime alcohol use ranged from 0 to 91 or more. The highest possible value for lifetime alcohol use is therefore 91 glasses. There were no differences between males and females in average amount of glasses per night ($F(1,196) = .31$, $p = .58$), alcohol drunk last month ($F(1,196) = .01$, $p = .92$) and total lifetime use of alcohol ($F(1,196) = .48$, $p = .49$).

The relationship between age, testosterone, NAcc activation and alcohol use

To investigate the relationship between testosterone levels, NAcc activation during reward processing and 1) average alcohol use per night, 2) alcohol use last month and 3) lifetime alcohol use, we performed linear regressions for each measure. Since alcohol

Table 6.3. Hierarchical regression testing the relationship between average amount of glasses of alcohol drank per night at the second time point (T2) and testosterone levels and left and right Nucleus Accumbens (NAcc) activation at the second time point (T2).

	df	F	R2Δ	B	SE	β	t	p
Left NAcc								
<i>Step 1</i>	1, 167	76.6	.32					
Constant				-5.7	.98		-5.8	<.001
Age				.49	.06	.56	8.8	<.001
<i>Step 2</i>	3, 165	29.1	.03					
Constant				-6.1	.98		-6.2	<.001
Age				.42	.06	.48	7.0	<.001
Testosterone T1					.32	.19	2.7	.007
NAcc win > lose T1				.03	.07	.03	.41	.680
Right NAcc								
<i>Step 1</i>	1, 167	76.6	.32					
Constant				-5.7	.99		-5.8	<.001
Age				.49	.06	.56	8.8	<.001
<i>Step 2</i>	3, 165	29.1	3.9					
Constant				-6.0	.98		-6.2	<.001
Age				.42	.06	.48	7.0	<.001
Testosterone T1				.87	.32	.19	2.7	.007
NAcc win > lose T1				.02	.07	.02	.28	.781

use is related to age, we included age in the first step. In the second step we included testosterone level and the contrast value between winning and losing for self in the NAcc. Separate models were fit for the left and the right NAcc, and separate models were fit for males and females.

Average amount of glasses

First we tested whether there was a relationship between average amount of glasses drunk per night, and age, testosterone and NAcc activation at the second time point. Results showed that, corrected for age, testosterone did not explain variance for the average amount of glasses at the second time point, whereas NAcc activation explained significant variance in the average amount of glasses (see Table 6.3 for a description of the regression models, see Supplemental Table 6.1 for a description of the models for males and females separately).

Second, we tested whether testosterone levels and NAcc activation at the first time point were predictive for the average amount of glasses drank per night at the second time point. This model shows that, again corrected for age, testosterone levels at the first time point significantly predicted the average amount of glasses at the second time point, whereas NAcc activation at the first time point was not predictive of the average amount of glasses per night at the second time point (see Table 6.4 for a description of




Figure 6.3. Correlations between age and (panel A) the average amount of glasses that participant report to drink on average per night, (panel B) the total amount of glasses that participant report to have consumed last month, (panel C) the total amount of glasses that participant report to have consumed in their lifetime. All correlations are significant at $p < .001$.

the regression models, see Supplemental Table 6.2 for a description of the models for males and females separately).

Table 6.4. Hierarchical regression testing the relationship between average amount of glasses of alcohol drank per night at the second time point (T2) and testosterone levels and left and right Nucleus Accumbens (NAcc) activation at the first time point (T1).

	df	F	R2Δ	B	SE	β	t	p
Left NAcc								
<i>Step 1</i>	1, 196	102	.34					
Constant				-6.0	.87		-6.9	<.001
Age				.51		.59	10.1	<.001
<i>Step 2</i>	3, 194	37.2	.02					
Constant				-6.6	.87		-7.1	<.001
Age				.49	.92	.57	9.7	<.001
Testosterone T2				.24	.28	.05	.86	.389
NAcc win > lose T2				.16	.07	.14	2.4	.017
Right NAcc								
<i>Step 1</i>	1, 196	102	.34					
Constant				-6.0	.87		-6.9	<.001
Age				.51	.05	.59	10.1	<.001
<i>Step 2</i>	3, 194	38.2	.03					
Constant				-6.8	.93		-7.3	<.001
Age				.50	.05	.58	9.8	<.001
Testosterone T2				.30	.28	.06	1.1	.285
NAcc win > lose T2				.17	.06	.16	2.8	.006

Alcohol use last month

We first tested whether there was a significant relationship between alcohol use in the last month, and age, testosterone and NAcc activation at the second time point. Only age was a significantly related to alcohol use last month ($\beta=.62$, $t(196)=11.0$, $p<.001$). Testosterone and NAcc activation were both not significantly related to alcohol use last month (all $t<.86$, $p>.38$). When tested separately for males and females there were also no relationships between testosterone and NAcc and alcohol use last month (males, all $t<-1.83$, $p>.070$; females all $t<.72$, $p>.472$).

We then tested whether testosterone and NAcc activation at the first time point were predictive of reported alcohol use in the last month at the second time point. Age at the first time point was a significant predictor for alcohol use last month at the second time point ($\beta=.62$, $t(168)=10.2$, $p<.001$). Testosterone and NAcc activation at the first time point were not predictive of alcohol use on the second time point (all $t<1.6$, $p>.106$). When tested separately for males and females the relationship between testosterone and NAcc on the first time point and alcohol use last month on the second time point was not significant (males, all $t<.91$, $p>.368$; females all $t<.65$, $p>.521$).

Table 6.5. Hierarchical regression testing the relationship between lifetime alcohol use at the second time point (T2) and testosterone levels and left and right Nucleus Accumbens (NAcc) activation at the first time point (T1).

	df	F	R2Δ	B	SE	β	t	p
Left NAcc								
<u>Males</u>								
<i>Step 1</i>	1,84	98.34	.539					
Constant				-90.06	13.75		-6.55	<.001
Age				8.61	0.87	0.73	9.92	<.001
<i>Step 2</i>	3,82	40.65	.059					<.001
Constant				-100.11	13.48		-7.43	<.001
Age				6.37	1.05	0.54	6.06	<.001
Testosterone T1				20.64	6.05	0.31	3.41	0.001
NAcc win > lose T1				0.36	1.05	0.02	0.34	0.735
<u>Females</u>								
<i>Step 1</i>	1,80	125.07	.610					
Constant				-106.45	13.61		-7.81	0
Age				9.89	0.88	0.78	11.18	0
<i>Step 2</i>	3,78	43.99	.019					
Constant				9.34	0.92	0.73	10.12	0
Age				22.19	11.23	0.14	1.97	0.052
Testosterone T1				0.14	1.19	0.00	0.12	0.904
NAcc win > lose T1				9.34	0.92	0.73	10.12	0
Right NAcc								
<u>Males</u>								
<i>Step 1</i>	1,84	98.34	.539					
Constant				-90.06	13.75		-6.55	0
Age				8.61	0.87	0.73	9.92	0
<i>Step 2</i>	3,82	40.78	.059					
Constant				-100.15	13.36		-7.50	0
Age				6.37	1.05	0.54	6.07	0
Testosterone T1				20.45	6.06	0.30	3.38	0.001
NAcc win > lose T1				0.55	1.04	0.04	0.53	0.598
<u>Females</u>								
<i>Step 1</i>	1,80	125.07	.610					
Constant				-106.45	13.62		-7.82	0
Age				9.89	0.89	0.78	11.18	0
<i>Step 2</i>	3,78	44.29	.020					
Constant				-126.32	16.95		-7.45	0
Age				9.35	0.91	0.74	10.23	0
Testosterone T1				22.95	11.29	0.15	2.03	0.045
NAcc win > lose T1				-0.75	1.27	-0.04	-0.59	0.557

Lifetime alcohol use

For life time alcohol use, we first tested whether there was a significant relationship between lifetime alcohol use, and age, testosterone and NAcc activation at the second time point. Results showed that only age was significantly related to lifetime alcohol use ($\beta=.76$, $t(197)=16.3$, $p<.001$). Testosterone and NAcc activation were not significantly related to lifetime alcohol use (all $t<1.78$, $p>.07$). When tested separately for males and females the relationship between NAcc activation at the second time point and lifetime alcohol use measured at the second time point was significant for males, but only for the right NAcc (right NAcc $t=2.05$, $p=.043$ left NAcc $t=1.12$, $p=.264$). For females this relationship was not significant (all t 's $<.836$, $p>.405$).

We then tested whether testosterone and NAcc activation at the first time point were predictive of lifetime alcohol use at the second time point. Results showed that age at the first time point was a significant predictor for lifetime alcohol use at the second time point ($\beta=.76$, $t(168)=14.8$, $p<.001$). Testosterone and NAcc activation at the first time point were not predictive of lifetime alcohol use on the second time point (all t 's <1.7 , $p>.085$). However, tested separately for males and females, the relationship between testosterone levels at the first time point and alcohol use at the second time point was significant for males and trend level significant for females, see Table 6.5.

6.4 Discussion

In this study we aimed to investigate the relationship between risk taking behavior, testosterone and neural responses to rewards. The current study is one of the first to combine neural and endocrinological measures with real life risk taking in a large longitudinal sample with continuous age range. We showed that neural responses to rewards were most strongly related to current alcohol use, whereas testosterone levels were predictive of future alcohol use. The discussion is organized along the lines of the cross-sectional versus predictive analyses.

Cross-sectional and predictive analyses

The results of the cross-sectional comparisons showed that, after controlling for age, NAcc activation in response to receiving rewards explained significant variation in average amount of glasses consumed per night. These findings are consistent with prior research showing that activity in NAcc to rewards correlates with risk taking tendencies in adolescence (Galvan et al., 2007). In contrast, testosterone did not relate to reported alcohol use when analyzed cross-sectionally.

Next, we tested whether testosterone and NAcc activation predicted future alcohol use. This analysis showed that testosterone levels at the first time point, but not NAcc activation, significantly predicted alcohol use on the second time point. This indicates a more protracted relationship than the relationship found between NAcc and alcohol use on the second time point.

Possibly this finding indicates a role for testosterone in (structural) NAcc development. Exactly how testosterone influences brain development is still unknown. To date, two studies have investigated this relationship using a longitudinal design. A study by Herting et al (2014) found that testosterone levels influenced caudate development. In both boys and girls, low levels of testosterone were related to increases in caudate gray matter volume and high levels of testosterone were related to decreases in caudate gray matter volume. A study by Goddings et al. (2014) showed that pubertal development, measured with Tanner stages (Tanner & Whitehouse, 1976), explained variance in NAcc development above age for both sexes. Those individuals who were in a higher pubertal development stage compared to their age-matched peers had smaller NAcc volumes. NAcc development over time shows a linear decrease, which means that smaller NAcc volumes indicate a more adult like structure. These studies combined indicate that pubertal development has an influence on NAcc development above the influence of age. This fits with the protracted relationship between testosterone and alcohol use found in the current study. Testosterone effects on the brain can be slow, but also rapid (Goetz, Tang, Thomason, Diamond, Hariri, & Carre, 2014). In this case, the predictive association between testosterone and future alcohol use might reflect slow effects of testosterone on future behavioral outcomes.

Limitations

The current study used self-reported alcohol use as a proxy for risk taking behavior. We only found a relationship between average amount of glasses and not alcohol use per month and lifetime alcohol use. Possibly there is large variation in circumstantial variables such as number of parties in a certain month, which is reflected in the score for past month alcohol use. Lifetime alcohol use was highly correlated with age and might be more reflective of risk taking behavior when assessed in a group with a smaller age range with preferably mostly young adolescents. Variation in the measure might reflect risk taking behavior in this group more than in an older group.

Risk taking behavior entails a much greater spectrum of behaviors such as sexual risk taking or traffic related risk taking. Future studies could focus on a larger spectrum of risk taking behaviors. Furthermore, the current study used baseline testosterone levels, measured at the beginning of the day. Testosterone levels are known to fluctuate

throughout the day and can change rapidly due to environmental influences (Apicella, Carré, & Dreber, 2014). To assess the relationship between NAcc responses to the gambling task and testosterone levels, future studies could measure testosterone at the moment of task administration.

Conclusions

In conclusion, NAcc activation to rewards is positively related to self-report alcohol use. This finding confirms the hypothesized relationship between neural activation to rewards and real-life risk taking behavior. Even though there was no direct effect of testosterone on alcohol use, testosterone levels were found to be predictive of alcohol use two years later, indicating a more protracted relationship. A crucial question for the future is how testosterone levels influences brain development, and how the combination of both influences real life risk taking (Crone & Dahl, 2012). Future studies assessing these relations across multiple times points will be important to unravel these dynamic relations

Supplemental Table 6.1. Hierarchical regression testing the relationship between average amount of glasses of alcohol drank per night at the second time point (T2) and testosterone levels and left and right Nucleus Accumbens (NAcc) activation at the second time point (T2) for males and females separately.

	df	F	R2Δ	B	SE	β	t	p
Left NAcc								
<u>Males</u>								
Step 1	1, 100	68.598	.407					
Constant				-7.010	1.201		-5.839	<.001
Age				.570	.069	.638	8.282	<.001
Step 2	3, 98	23.821	.015					<.001
Constant				-7.976	1.537		-5.191	<.001
Age				.534	.077	.597	6.932	.372
Testosterone T2				.598	.667	.078	.896	.236
NAcc win > lose T2				.106	.089	.092	1.192	<.001
<u>Females</u>								
Step 1	1, 94	32.347	.256					
Constant				-4.656	1.280		-3.638	<.001
Age				.425	.075	.506	5.687	<.001
Step 2	3, 92	12.872	.040					
Constant				-5.590	1.553		-3.601	.001
Age				.433	.075	.516	5.736	<.001
Testosterone T2				.297	.832	.032	.356	.722
NAcc win > lose T2				.244	.109	.197	2.245	.027
Right NAcc								
<u>Males</u>								
Step 1	1, 100	68.598	.407					
Constant				-7.010	1.201		-5.839	<.001
Age				.570	.069	.638	8.282	<.001
Step 2	3, 98	25.404	.031					
Constant				-8.107	1.516		-5.348	<.001
Age				.535	.076	.598	7.042	<.001
Testosterone T2				.586	.655	.076	.895	.373
NAcc win > lose T2				.171	.084	.156	2.051	.043
<u>Females</u>								
Step 1	1, 94	32.347	.256					
Constant				-4.656	1.280		-3.638	<.001
Age				.425	.075	.506	5.687	<.001
Step 2	3, 92	11.808	.022					
Constant				-5.745	1.617		-3.552	.001
Age				.431	.076	.513	5.633	<.001
Testosterone T2				.492	.850	.053	.579	.564
NAcc win > lose T2				.155	.095	.147	1.634	.106

Supplemental Table 6.2. Hierarchical regression testing the relationship between average amount of glasses of alcohol drank per night at the second time point (T2) and testosterone levels and left and right Nucleus Accumbens (NAcc) activation at the first time point (T1) for males and females separately.

	df	F	R2Δ	B	SE	β	t	p
Left NAcc								
<u>Males</u>								
Step 1	1, 86	57.479	.403					
Constant				-6.074	1.217		-4.991	<.001
Age				.583	.077	.635	7.581	<.001
Step 2	3, 83	22.207	.042					
Constant				-6.772	1.233		-5.492	<.001
Age				.437	.096	.475	4.550	<.001
Testosterone T1				1.359	.553	.257	2.457	.016
NAcc win > lose T1				.031	.096	.026	.323	.747
<u>Females</u>								
Step 1	1, 80	20.512	.204					
Constant				-2.949	1.266		-2.329	.022
Age				.373	.082	.452	4.529	<.001
Step 2	3, 78	8.822	.049					
Constant				-5.017	1.566		-3.204	.002
Age				.311	.085	.377	3.652	<.001
Testosterone T1				2.232	1.037	.220	2.151	.035
NAcc win > lose T1				.080	.111	.071	.721	.473
Right NAcc								
<u>Males</u>								
Step 1	1, 86	56.041	.207					
Constant				-7.062	1.361		-5.188	<.001
Age				.574	.077	.630	7.486	<.001
Step 2	3, 83	21.945	.045					
Constant				-7.510	1.343		-5.590	<.001
Age				.426	.095	.467	4.489	<.001
Testosterone T1				1.393	.553	.264	2.520	.014
NAcc win > lose T1				.031	.095	.027	.324	.747
<u>Females</u>								
Step 1	1, 80	20.916	.397					
Constant				-3.766	1.430		-2.634	.010
Age				.376	.082	.455	4.573	<.001
Step 2	3, 78	8.758	.045					
Constant				-5.724	1.677		-3.414	.001
Age				.323	.085	.391	3.813	<.001
Testosterone T1				2.241	1.043	.221	2.148	.035
NAcc win > lose T1				-.003	.117	-.003	-.027	.979

