

# **Anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity, a pathophysiology based approach for early detection and protective strategies**

Broeyer, F.J.F.

### **Citation**

Broeyer, F. J. F. (2012, January 17). *Anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity, a pathophysiology based approach for early detection and protective strategies*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18360



**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

**chapter 7**

**Evaluation of lecithinized human recombinant super oxide dismutase as cardioprotectant in anthracycline-treated breast cancer patients** 

Broeyer FJ, Osanto S, Suzuki J, de Jongh F, van Slooten H, Tanis BC, Bruning TA, Bax JJ, Ritsema van Eck HJ, de Kam ML, Cohen AF, Mituzhima Y, Burggraaf J

## **ABSTRACT**

## introduction

**aim**Anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity is (partly) mediated by free radicals overload. A randomized study was performed in breast cancer patients to investigate whether free-radical scavenger Super Oxide Dismutase (sop) protects against anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity as measured by changes in echo- and electrocardiography and an array of biomarkers.

**methods and results** Eighty female, chemotherapy-naïve breast cancer patients (median age 49, range 24-67) scheduled for 4 or 5 courses of adjuvant three-weekly doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide (ac) chemotherapy, were randomly assigned to receive 80 mg PC-SOD (human recombinant sod bound to lecithin) or placebo, administered intravenously (iv) immediately prior to each ac course.

The primary end point was protection against cardiac damage evaluated using echocardiography, or-assessments, and a set of biochemical markers for myocardial function, oxidative stress and inflammation. Assessments were performed before and during each course of chemotherapy, and at 1, 4 and 9 months after completion of chemotherapy regimen. In all patients cardiac effects such as increases in nt-probnp concentration and prolongation of the orc-interval were noticed. There were no differences between the pc-sop and placebo-treated patients in systolic or diastolic cardiac function or for any other of the biomarkers used to assess cardiac effects of anthracyclines.

**conclusion** pc-sod at a dose of 80 mg iv is not cardioprotective in patients with breast carcinoma treated with anthracyclines.

**clinical trial registration information** The study is registered at www.controlled-trials.com, number isrctn56637853.

Anthracyclines are widely used in treatment regimens of cancer, including breast cancer. Their use is hampered by occurrence of irreversible cardiotoxicity which typically manifests as congestive heart failure (CHF) months to years after anthracycline exposure. It is primarily related to cumulative anthracycline dose and it seems that females are affected more often than males.(1;2) The incidence increases from 5% in patients receiving doses up to 400 mg/m2 to 48% in patients receiving more then 700 mg/ m2 of doxorubicin.(1) Although less toxic analogues such as epi-doxorubicin have been developed, anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity remains a clinical problem.(3) As the decline in ejection fraction and clinically manifest CHF usually become apparent relatively late after anthracycline therapy, it is difficult to assess the cardiotoxic effects of anthracyclines early. However, anthracycline cardiac toxicity has also been reported to occur after only single dose administration.(1) This suggests that it may be possible to use (bio)markers of cardiac effects due to anthracyclines occurring early and that may be predictive of the late toxicity. Indeed, several markers such as or-prolongation and changes in NT-probnp and cardiac troponin levels have been suggested to be such early markers.(4-7) These markers can potentially also be used to assess the effects of putative protective strategies. $(A)$ 

The mechanism of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity has not been fully elucidated, but formation of reactive oxidative species (ROS), such as the superoxide  $(O_2)$  radical seem to play a major role.(8) Superoxide dismutase (sop) is an important scavenger of these ros and its use to prevent organ damage mediated by free radical overload has been investigated.(8) However, the currently existing therapies using exogenous sop as a protectant has been limited by for instance its short half-live and low affinity for the cell membrane.(9) Lecithinized sod (pc-sod) has a 100-200 fold higher affinity for the cell membrane and improved free radical scavenging properties.(10) Several animal-models, including a rodent doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity model, showed that pc-sod protected against free-radical mediated injuries.(11-20)



Early clinical studies in healthy subjects showed that a single intravenous (iv) dose of 80 mg pc-sop resulted in increased sod-activity *in vivo* for 16-24 hours.(21;22)

The efficacy of pc-sop as cardioprotective agent against anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity was explored in an early phase ii study in woman with breast cancer, using serial echocardiography measurements, electrocardiography and a set of (bio)markers, reflecting myocardial function, oxidative stress and inflammation.(4;7;23-28)

## methods

## *Patient population*

This multi-center, randomized, placebo-controlled trial was performed in female patients with early-stage breast cancer eligible for adjuvant doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide (ac) chemotherapy. Patients were scheduled to receive either 4 or 5 ac cycles according to national guidelines at that time. Prior or concomitant use of cardiotoxic medication was an exclusion criterion. Patients with distant metastases, a history of other malignant disease, a life expectancy of less than one year, preexisting cardiovascular diseases, elevated transaminases above 3 times the upper limit of normal and patients of whom we were unable to obtain a good quality echocardiogram before study drug administration were excluded.

The institutional review board of Leiden University Medical Center (lumc) approved the study protocol. All patients gave written informed consent before participation and the study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki (South Africa 1996 amendment), Good Clinical Practice and all applicable local laws and regulations.

## *Study protocol*

This study was coordinated and designed by the Centre of Human Drug Research (CHDR) and carried out in 5 oncology centers in

The Netherlands. After randomization (1:1 to 80mg PC-SOD or placebo) of eligible patients baseline assessments were done and the patients started their scheduled chemotherapy (4 or 5 courses) consisting of a combination of doxorubicin (60 mg/m2 over approximately 30 min) and cyclophosphamide (600 mg/m2 over approximately 30 min) administered iv. Patients were admitted to the hospital in the morning of each chemotherapy course. After baseline assessments were completed the patients received pc-sod or placebo as a 1-hr iv infusion. Immediately thereafter, anti-emetics followed by ac. After discharge in the afternoon, a 24 hour visit took place in the morning of the following day. A similar procedure was repeated during a maximum of four courses. Patients receiving 5 courses received the study drug at the third course but no measurements were done. Median volume loading during the courses was 300ml per hour (in total approximately 850 ml in 4 hours). After completion of chemotherapy, follow up visits took place at 1,  $\Delta$  and 9 months.

## *Study medication*

pc-sod consists of an average of 4 molecules lecithin derivative covalently bound to the human derived CuZn-sop, produced by genetic recombination using *E.coli* as a host cell.(10) The lecithinized product has 3x103 U sop-activity per mg. A single batch of the lyophilized formulation was used. The pc-sop formulation consisted of 80 mg pc-sod and 133mg sucrose, the placebo formulation only consisted of sucrose. PC-SOD and placebo were prepared for use by dissolution in 5% mannitol diluted with distilled water; all study medication was prepared at the lumc hospital pharmacy.

## *Outcome measures*

**efficacy** Efficacy assessments included echocardiography (left ventricular ejection fraction [lvef], e/a ratio), electrocardiography [ECG] (QT-assessments) and blood sampling for biomarkers of cardiac function or damage (nt-probnp, ck-mb and troponin T),

inflammation (macrophage inhibiting protein 1 [mip-1], high sensitivity c-reactive protein [hscrp], tumor necrosis factor alfa [tnf-a] and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 [slcam]), and oxidative stress (OXLDL, urinary biopyrrin and non-protein bound iron [NPBI]).

Echocardiography, ecgs and blood sampling for determination of nt-probnp, ck-mb (mass) and troponin T concentrations were done at baseline and 1 (including npbi), 4 and 9 months after a full chemotherapy regimen.

ecg and blood sampling (for all biomarkers) was done before and at 24 hours after the start of each chemotherapy course. In addition ecg-recordings were made and blood was sampled for determination of  $c$ к-мв (mass), troponin T and  $\texttt{TNF-}\alpha$ concentration at  $\Delta$  hours after the start of each chemotherapy course.

**SAFETY** During the study period hematology and blood chemistry were frequently assessed. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was determined during the courses from 24 hours creatinine clearance, during the follow up visits the MDRD formula was used.(29) In addition, at the first follow-up visit antibodies against pc-sod were determined.

All (serious) adverse events ((s)ae) were monitored from inclusion until last follow up and (s)ae's and concomitant medication were classified according to the World Health Organization Adverse Reaction Terminology and drug (whoart and whodrug) classification system.

After completion of the last chemotherapy cycle for every 10th patient (until 60 patients were included), an interim safety report was reviewed by an independent Data Monitoring Committee (DMC). This report included all occurred (S) A E and laboratory safety data. After each report the pmc informed the principal investigator if in their opinion the data raised any safety concerns. The DMC was blinded during the whole study period, but could request emergency deblinding of (a part of) the data when deemed necessary.

**QUALITY OF LIFE** Quality of life (QoL) was assessed using two validated questionnaires developed by the European Organization

for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC): OoL (EORTC QLQ-C30, version 3) and QoL (eortc qlq-br23).(30) Qol was assessed at baseline, during each course and 1, 4 and 9 months after completion of chemotherapy.

**pharmacokinetics**Blood was sampled for the determination of pc-sod serum concentrations during the first and last course at baseline and directly, 4 hours and 23 hours after the end of the infusion of PC-SOD or placebo.

**echocardiography** Echocardiography was performed at two locations in the Netherlands: the department of cardiology of lumc, Leiden and the department of cardiology of Maasstad Ziekenhuis, Rotterdam. The examinations were performed by a single echographer in each center and all examinations were supervised by an experienced cardiologist. To exclude interobserver variability all echo assessments for each individual patient were done at one center.

The investigations consisted of routine imaging, M-mode imaging for measurement of left ventricular end-diastolic and end-systolic wall thickness (septum, posterior wall), fractional shortening and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF, calculated according to Teichholz).(31) Measurements were made from the parasternal long-axis (or short-axis) view. The ratio of early rapid ventricular filling over atrial assisted filling (e/a ratio) was measured using pulsed-wave Doppler. Regional systolic function was evaluated with visual assessment of wall motion (and wall motion score index, wmsi) according to the 16-segment model. The examinations were performed using agevivid-7 echocardiograph equipped with pulsed-wave Doppler in the lumc and using a Hewlett-Packard hp 5500 with a S3 probe in the Maasstad Ziekenhuis.

**ecg recordings and analysis** For each patient 5-minute ecg recording were made using the CardioPerfect device (Welch Allyn, Delft, The Netherlands). ecg recordings were analyzed after fiducial segment averaging (fsa) to obtain heart rate, and qt-interval. This analysis was done using Intraval (Advanced Medical Systems, Maasdam, the Netherlands).(20)

For the analyses correction of the or-interval for heart rate was done using Bazett's formula ( $\sigma$ TCB=  $\sigma$ T/ $\sqrt{(RR)}$ ), Fredericia's cubic root  $\sigma$ rcF= $\sigma$ <sup>\*</sup> (1/RR)<sup>13</sup>;and using the linear correction method according to Framingham Heart Study ( $\sigma$ rcL=  $\sigma$ T + 0.154  $*$  (1 – RR)).

## *Assays*

Samples were assayed for NT-proBNP, troponin T and CK-MB (mass) and NPBI at the Central Clinical Chemical laboratory (CKCL) of LUMC. Lower limits of detection (inter- and intra-assay variability) were 5 ng/L (< 5.8%), 0.1 ng/mL (< 2.5%), 0.01 µg/mL (< 5.6%) and 0.01 µmol/L (< 9.2) for nt-probnp, cTnT, ck-mb (mass) and npbi respectively. Assays for the-a, hscrp, slcam, MIP-1a, oxldl and urinary bioppyrin were performed at the Netherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research (TNO). The lower limits of detection of the assays (inter- and intra-assay variability) were 0.12 pg/ mL (<12.5%), 0.1 µg/L (< 10%), 0.35 ng/mL (< 12.5%), 10 pg/mL  $($  < 10%), 1 mU/L $($  < 7.5%) and 0.1 U/L $($  < 12.5%) for the a, hscrp, sLCAM, MIP-1a, OXLDL and urinary biopyrrin respectively.

Serum pc-sod concentrations were measured using an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), consisting of an antibody against human Cu, Zn-sop, and a second antibody against human Cu, Zn-sod conjugated with horseradish peroxidase. The assay has a lower limit of quantification 626 ng/mL and the coefficients of variation did not exceed 7.9% which was observed for the lower concentrations. Antibody formation against pc-sod was measured by quantification of specific IgE, IgG and IgM titres as described previously.(21)

## *Statistical analyses*

**power** As both the incidence of sub-clinical cardiotoxicity and the treatment effects were unknown, the power calculation of the study has been performed using a number of assumptions: (1) The incidence of subclinical cardiomyopathy in patients is 33%; (2) Animal experiments suggest that pc-sod treatment prevents cardiomyopathy in 100% of the cases. It has therefore been

estimated that the protection by pc-sop will reduce incidence of cardiomyopathy from 33% to 5.5% in the patients. In order to be able to demonstrate this treatment effect (power=80%; 2-sided test; p<0.05) a total of 72 patients is required. Second an exploratory power calculation on the biomarkers has been performed. These showed that this study has 80% power to detect (2 sided test;  $p \le 0.05$ ) a difference in NT-probap levels between the groups of approximately 53%.

**efficacy and safety population** Eighty female breast cancer patients were randomized to pc-sod or placebo. After randomization one patient was excluded because of an abnormal echography at baseline. During the trial 7 patients were replaced: 4 patients dropped out (2 patient's request, 1 because of discontinuation of chemotherapy due to extreme nausea), 4 patients had incomplete echocardiographic assessments (2 due to logistic problems, 2 due to equipment failure). Data of replaced patients are used in both safety and efficacy analyses. Two patients were excluded from the efficacy analyses because of anomalies in pk-results, hence the safety population and efficacy population consisted of 79 and 77 patients respectively.

**treatment effects** First, for each course the difference between the 24 hour and the baseline measurement was calculated and this series of four differences was compared between placebo and pc-sod treatment. This short term effect was analyzed using a mixed model analysis of variance (sas proc mixed) with visit as repeated factor within patient, treatment (pc-sod/placebo), group (4 or 5 courses) and treatment by group, treatment by time, group by time and treatment by group by time as fixed effects. For ecg parameters, TNFa and CK-MB (mass) the difference between the measurement at  $\Delta$  hour and baseline at the occasion was analyzed the same way.

Second, the baseline measurement of each course except the first and the follow-up measurements were compared between Placebo and pc-sop. The long-term treatment effect for the echocardiographic, ecg parameters and ck-mb and nt-probnp was analyzed using a mixed model analysis of variance (sas proc mixed) with visit (occasion) as repeated factor within patient, treatment,

group, treatment by time, treatment by group, time by group and treatment by time by group as fixed effects. The baseline value of the first course was included as covariate.

**TIME EFFECTS** To assess the 4 and 24 hour difference from baseline within a course, the estimated differences from baseline were compared to 0 (no difference from baseline) within the first treatment mixed model for the ecg and biomarker parameters. The estimated difference between the course 1 baseline and follow up measurements (long-term time-effects) for the echocardiographic, ecg parameters, ck-mb and nt-probnp were compared to 0 (no difference from baseline) within the second treatment mixed model.

**pharmacokinetics** Compartmental pharmacokinetic analysis was performed using NONMEM Version vi software (GloboMax LLC, Hanover, MD), maximum serum concentration and half-life were reported.

**additional (sub-group analyses)** All data was also analyzed excluding patients who received trastuzumab or leftsided radiotherapy as concomitant therapy.

All statistical analyses were performed using sas for windows V9.1.2 (sas Institute, Inc., Cary, nc, usa). The study is registered at www.controlled-trials.com, number isrcTN56637853.

## results

## *Baseline characteristics*

The median age of the 79 patients who received at least one dose of pc-sod and ac chemotherapy was 49 years (range 24-67 years). The median (min-max) number of courses was  $4$  (1 to 5) and  $4$  (2  $\tau$  to  $\varsigma$ ) for placebo and pc-sop, combined with ac chemotherapy, respectively (table 1).

## *Safety*

There were no clinically relevant findings related to PC-SOD treatment on clinical laboratory measurements, vital signs or ecg findings. GFR was stable during the study period. The AE pattern did not differ among treatment groups (table 2) and the majority of ae's could be attributed to the chemotherapeutics and were mild to moderate in intensity. Antibodies against pc-sod were not detected in any of the patients

## **EFFICACY**

## *Long-term effects*

**ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY** LVEF  $(\pm$  SD) and E/A ratio  $(\pm$  SD) were 67%  $\pm$  6, 1.06  $\pm$  0.28 and 64%  $\pm$  7, 1.1  $\pm$  0.3 at baseline, in patients receiving placebo and pc-sod respectively and the overall decline (95% confidence interval between brackets) was -1% (-2 to1%), 0.0 (0.0 to 0.0%) and -2% (-3 to -0%), 0.0 (0.0 to 0.0%) during the study (figure 1, table 3).

Differences (95%-confidence interval between brackets) between pc-sop and placebo on LVEF and E/A ratio were -1%  $(-3, 10, 1\%)$  and 0.0  $(-0.1, 10, 0.0\%)$  respectively.

wmsi did not change significantly during the trial and no differences between treatments were observed.

**biomarkers - myocardial injury**During courses and follow up the overall change (percentage change, 95%-confidence interval between brackets) of NT-proBNP and CK-MB was 32.0%  $(12.8 \text{ to } 54.5), -5.7\%$  (-12.4 to 1.4%) and 14.2% (-2.6 to 33.8%), -7.6% (-14.2 to -0.5%) in patients receiving placebo and pc-sod respectively (figure 2, table 3).

The differences between pc-sop and placebo were -13.5% (-30.9 to 8.2%) and -2.0% (-11.7 to 8.8%) for nt-probnp and ck-mb respectively.

During the follow-up period in 10 patients (6 pc-sop, 4 placebo) detectable (although not pathologically elevated) troponin levels were present.

**electrocardiography**Heart rate and qtc-interval (corrected using a linear method) increased during courses and follow up with 0.6 bpm (-1.5 to 2.8 bpm), 5 msec (3.8 to 11.3msec) and 4.0 bpm (1.9 to 6.2 bpm), 10.8 msec (7.0 to 14.7 msec) in patients with placebo or pc-sop respectively (figure 3, table 3).

The differences between PC-SOD and placebo for heart rate,  $q\tau$ -interval, corrected  $q\tau$ -interval (Bazett) and corrected  $q$ T-interval (linear) were 3.4 BPM (0.4 to 6.5 BPM), -3.1 msec (-11.6 to 5.4 msec), 7.4 msec (1.9 to 12.9 msec) and 3.3 msec (-2.1 to 8.7 msec) respectively.

## *Effects within the courses*

**OXIDATIVE STRESS** Urinary biopyrrin increased (percentage change, 95%-confidence interval between brackets) within the courses in the placebo group only, although this effect was not present for each individual course. Change at 24 hours was 13.0% (0.8 to 26.7%) and 3.4% (-7.9 to 16.0%) in patients receiving placebo and pc-sop respectively. While oxLDL and NPBI levels did not change significantly between baseline and at 24 hours, the difference in percentage change (95%-confidence interval between brackets) between pc-sop and placebo was 10.3% (-20.5 to 52.9%), 6.2% (0.2 to 12.5%) and -8.5% (-22.2 to 7.6%) for urinary biopyrrin, oxldle and NPBI respectively (table  $\Delta$ ).

**myocardial injury**The overall increment at 24 hours postdose for nt-probnp and ck-mb (mass) was 199.8% (154.6 to 253.0%), 8.2% (0.6 to 16.4%) and 263.8% (207.9 to 329.7%), 10.3% (2.5 to 18.8%) in patients receiving placebo and pc-sod respectively.

The difference at 24 hours post-dose between pc-sop and placebo was 21.4% (-3.9 to 53.3%) and 2.0% (-8.1 to 13.1%) for NT-probnp and CK-MB respectively (table 4).

**INFLAMMATION** Within the courses hscrp and sl cam did not change markedly, while  $TNF-\alpha$  and  $MP-1\alpha$  declined with -23.2%  $(-30.5 \text{ to } -15.1\%)$ ,  $-46.9\%$  ( $-64.5 \text{ to } -20.4\%$ ) and  $-27.2\%$  ( $-34.5 \text{ to } -15.1\%$ )

-19.1%), -48.6% (-65.9 to -22.5%) in patients receiving placebo or pc-sod respectively. For tnf-alpha this effect was already present at 4 hours post-dose. At 24 hours the difference between pc-sop and placebo for hscrp, slcam-1,  $TNF-\alpha$ ,  $MIP-1\alpha$  was -2.9% (-19.0) to16.5%), -0.7% (-2.7 to 1.4%), -5.3% (-18.1 to 9.6%) and -3.3%  $(-45.7 \text{ to } 72.1\%)$  respectively (table 4).

**electrocardiography**Heart rate showed a small increment at 24 hour during the courses in the PC-SOD arm, changes were -1.2 BPM (-3.2 to 0.7 BPM) and 3.6 BPM (1.8 to 5.5) BPM) in patients receiving placebo and PC-SOD respectively. After each course the (corrected)  $q\tau$ -interval prolonged at  $4$ hours post-dose and increased further at 24 hours post-dose. Overall prolongation of the orc interval (using a linear correction method) at 24 hours post-dose was 12.4 msec (8.8 to 15.9 msec) and 9.8 msec (6.4 to 13.2 msec) in patients receiving placebo and pc-sod respectively.

The difference between PC-SOD and placebo at 24 hours after each chemotherapy cycle in heart rate, or-interval, corrected  $\sigma$ -interval (Bazett),  $\sigma$ -interval (linear) was 4.9 bpm (2.2 to 7.6 bpm), -11.0 msec (-18.2 to -3.9 msec), 3.0 msec (-2.5 to 8.4 msec) and -2.7 msec (-7.4 to 1.9 msec) respectively (table 4).

## *Number of courses and other adjuvant therapy*

*It was also analyzed if other (potentially cardiotoxic) adjuvant therapy or the number courses influenced our results. As all analyses showed comparable results; only the full dataset was reported.*

**QUALITY OF LIFE** In both treatment groups similar effects (decline) on QoL during the chemotherapy were observed (data not presented).

**pharmacokinetics**Maximum serum concentrations were reached within 1 hour and amounted to  $32.4$  mg/L (SD 11.9) and 31.4 mg/L (SD 12.1) for the first and last visit respectively. The estimated half-life was approximately 20 hours.

## discussion

pc-sod did not show a protective effect on cardiotoxicity, as evidenced by differences in nt-probnp concentration and prolongation of the orc-interval, which occurred in all breast cancer patients undergoing ac chemotherapy. Also, echocardiographic systolic (LVEF) function or any of the array of the other biomarkers assessed did not show a clinical significant change during or following chemotherapy and were also not affected by PC-SOD.

Safety analyses did not show any unfavorable effects of pc-sod at the administered dose, as laboratory assessments and ae patterns were similar between treatments.

The lack of a cardioprotective effect of pc-sop at a dose of 80 mg iv on any of the markers of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in chemo-naïve breast cancer patients may be explained by a lack of efficacy of pc-sop at the dose used.

However, the negative findings in this study are in keeping with the results of several other studies, showing that exogenously administered free radical scavengers are not able to protect against anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity and add to the increasing knowledge that free radical mediated injury is only partly involved in the pathogenesis of the cardiotoxicity of anthracyclines.(32-34) In addition, we were not able to demonstrate the occurrence of oxidative stress *in vivo*, as none of the biomarkers for oxidative stress changed after doxorubicin infusion.

Another reason for the lack of effects of pc-sop (and maybe of free radical scavenging agents in general) could be that the therapeutic window of these agents seem to be narrow. This involves the observation that in animals a bell-shaped doseresponse curve (higher doses of sop showed less protection) is present after administration of (pc-) sop.(11;35-39) If such a bellshaped curve is also present in humans, this could implicate that in this study not the correct dosage was used. Although several mechanisms could be responsible for this bell-shaped effect curve, the most plausible explanation is (pc-) sod causing excess ros formation. In particular this concerns formation of  $H_2O_2$ <br>which has been shown to be capable to induce apoptosis in cardiomyocytes.(8;37;40-43)

Independent of the explanation of the failure of free radical scavenging agents as protective agents against anthracyclineinduced cardiotoxicity, our study re-emphasizes the necessity to identify other strategies to reduce the risk of anthracyclineinduced CHF.

We considered the possibility that the administered doses of anthracyclines did not induce sufficient myocardial damage to detect any prophylactic effect of PC-SOD, as LVEF and E/A ratio did not change markedly. However, the profound changes in ntprobnp concentration and (corrected) or-interval, indicate that in all patients indeed experienced some (subclinical) cardiotoxicity, as both markers are associated with the occurrence of anthracycline induced cardiac failure and an adverse outcome.(44)

A limitation of our study is that although the echo- and electrocardiographic and biochemical endpoints used in this study are well established markers of (anthracycline induced) cardiac damage and functional impairment, oxidative stress and inflammation, the study was not designed to detect differences in cardiac mortality or the occurrence of clinical CHF. Furthermore, some patients received additional potentially cardiotoxic treatments such as trastuzumab and/or radiotherapy. However, re-analysis of the data excluding these patients did not result in different findings. An additional yield of this trial is that we have demonstrated several robust biomarkers that are mechanistically associated with the Adriamycin-induced acute myocardial damage. These biomarkers could be used in future studies with other investigative agents that protect against this damaging effect.

In conclusion, we showed that iv administration of 80mg pc-sod prior to each chemotherapy course was not efficacious as protective agent against anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity, as evaluated by echocardiography, electrocardiography and a comprehensive array of biomarkers of myocardial damage, inflammation and oxidative stress, in female breast cancer patients treated with a combination of cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin for early stage breast cancer.

**role of the funding source** The funding source was involved in the design of the study. Data collection and analyses were performed by CHDR. All authors had access to all study data and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

**conflict of interest** This study was financially supported by LTT-Bio-Pharma, Tokyo, Japan. J. Suzuki and Y. Mizushima were employees of LTT-Bio-Pharma.

#### reference list

- Swain SM, Whaley FS, Ewer MS. Congestive heart failure in patients treated with doxorubicin: a retrospective analysis of three trials. Cancer 2003 Jun 1;97(11):2869-79.
- 2 Von Hoff DD, Layard MW, Basa P, Davis HL, Jr., Von Hoff AL, Rozencweig M, et al. Risk factors for doxorubicin-induced congestive heart failure. Ann Intern Med 1979 Nov;91(5):710-7.
- 3 Ryberg M, Nielsen D, Cortese G, Nielsen G, Skovsgaard T, Andersen PK. New insight into epirubicin cardiac toxicity: competing risks analysis of 1097 breast cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 2008 Aug 6;100(15):1058-67.
- 4 Broeyer FJF, Osanto S, Ritsema van Eck H.J., Steijn AQMJv, Ballieux B.E.P.B., Schoemaker RC, et al. Evaluation of biomarkers for cardiotoxicity of anthracyclin-based chemotherapy. Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology 2007.
- 5 Nakamae H, Tsumura K, Terada Y, Nakane T, Nakamae M, Ohta K, et al. Notable effects of angiotensin ii receptor blocker, valsartan, on acute cardiotoxic changes after standard chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisolone. Cancer 2005 Dec 1;104(11):2492-8.
- 6 Cardinale D, Sandri MT, Martinoni A, Tricca A, Civelli M, Lamantia G, et al. Left ventricular dysfunction predicted by early troponin I release after high-dose chemotherapy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000 Aug;36(2):517-22.
- 7 Cardinale D, Sandri MT, Colombo A, Colombo N, Boeri M, Lamantia G, et al. Prognostic value of troponin I in cardiac risk stratification of cancer patients undergoing high-dose chemotherapy. Circulation 2004 Jun 8;109(22):2749-54.
- 8 Minotti G, Menna P, Salvatorelli E, Cairo G, Gianni L. Anthracyclines: molecular advances and pharmacologic developments in antitumor activity and cardiotoxicity. Pharmacol Rev 2004 Jun;56(2):185-229.
- 9 Igarashi R, Hoshino J, Ochiai A, Morizawa Y, Mizushima Y. Lecithinized superoxide dismutase enhances its pharmacologic potency by increasing its cell membrane affinity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1994 Dec;271(3):1672-7.
- Igarashi R, Hoshino J, Takenaga M, Kawai S, Morizawa Y, Yasuda A, et al. Lecithinization of superoxide dismutase potentiates its protective effect against Forssman antiserum-induced elevation in guinea pig airway resistance. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1992 Sep:262(3):1214-9.
- 11 Tsubokawa T, Jadhav V, Solaroglu I, Shiokawa Y, Konishi Y, Zhang JH. Lecithinized superoxide dismutase improves outcomes and attenuates focal cerebral ischemic injury via antiapoptotic mechanisms in rats. Stroke 2007 Mar;38(3):1057-62.
- 12 Chikawa T, Ikata T, Katoh S, Hamada Y, Kogure K, Fukuzawa K. Preventive effects of lecithinized superoxide dismutase and methylprednisolone on spinal cord injury in rats: transcriptional regulation of inflammatory and neurotrophic genes. J Neurotrauma 2001 Jan;18(1):93-103.
- 13 Hangaishi M, Nakajima H, Taguchi J, Igarashi R, Hoshino J, Kurokawa K, et al. Lecithinized Cu, Zn-superoxide dismutase limits the infarct size following ischemia-reperfusion injury in rat hearts in vivo. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001 Aug 3;285(5):1220-5.
- 14 Nakagawa K, Koo DD, Davies DR, Gray DW, McLaren AJ, Welsh KI, et al. Lecithinized superoxide dismutase reduces cold ischemiainduced chronic allograft dysfunction. Kidney Int 2002 Mar;61(3):1160-9.
- 15 Nakajima H, Ishizaka N, Hangaishi M, Taguchi J, Itoh J, Igarashi R, et al. Lecithinized copper, zincsuperoxide dismutase ameliorates prolonged hypoxia-induced injury of cardiomyocytes. Free Radic Biol Med 2000 Jul 1;29(1):34-41.
- 16 Nakajima H, Hangaishi M, Ishizaka N, Taguchi J, Igarashi R, Mizushima Y, et al. Lecithinized copper, zinc-superoxide dismutase ameliorates ischemia-induced myocardial damage. Life Sci 2001 Jul 13;69(8):935-44.
- 17 Nakauchi K, Ikata T, Katoh S, Hamada Y, Tsuchiya K, Fukuzawa K. Effects of lecithinized superoxide dismutase on rat spinal cord injury. J Neurotrauma 1996 Oct;13(10):573-82.
- 18 Shimmura S, Igarashi R, Yaguchi H, Ohashi Y, Shimazaki J, Tsubota K. Lecithin-bound superoxide dismutase in the treatment of noninfectious corneal ulcers. Am J Ophthalmol 2003 May;135(5):613-9.
- 19 Yunoki M, Kawauchi M, Ukita N, Sugiura T, Ohmoto T. Effects of lecithinized superoxide dismutase on neuronal cell loss in ca3 hippocampus after traumatic brain injury in rats. Surg Neurol 2003 Mar;59(3):156-60.
- 20 den Hartog GJ, Haenen GR, Boven E, van der Vijgh WJ, Bast A. Lecithinized copper,zincsuperoxide dismutase as a protector against doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2004 Jan 15;194(2):180-8.
- 21 Broeyer FJ, van Aken BE, Suzuki J, Kemme MJ, Schoemaker hc, Cohen AF, et al. The pharmacokinetics and effects of a long-acting preparation of superoxide dismutase (pc-sod) in man. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2008 Jan;65(1): 22-9.
- 22 Suzuki I, Broever F, Cohen A, Takebe M, Burggraaf J, Mizushima Y. The Pharmacokinetics of pc-sod, a Lecithinized Recombinant Superoxide Dismutase, After Single- and Multiple-Dose Administration to Healthy Japanese and Caucasian Volunteers. J Clin Pharmacol 2007 Dec 18.
- 23 Meinardi MT, van Veldhuisen DJ, Gietema JA, Dolsma WV, Boomsma F, van den Berg MP, et al. Prospective evaluation of early cardiac damage induced by epirubicin-containing adjuvant chemotherapy and locoregional radiotherapy in breast cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 2001 May 15;19(10):2746-53.
- 24 Bryant J, Picot J, Baxter L, Levitt G, Sullivan I, Clegg A. Use of cardiac markers to assess the toxic effects of anthracyclines given to children with cancer: A systematic review. European Journal of Cancer 2007 Sep;43(13):1959-66.
- 25 Nousiainen T, Vanninen E, Jantunen E, Puustinen J, Remes J, Rantala A, et al. Natriuretic peptides during the development of doxorubicin-induced left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. J Intern Med 2002 Mar;251(3):228-34.
- 26 Suzuki T, Hayashi D, Yamazaki T, Mizuno T, Kanda Y, Komuro I, et al. Elevated B-type natriuretic peptide levels after anthracycline administration, , . American Heart Journal 1998 Aug;136(2):362-3.
- 27 Lipshultz SE, Rifai N, Sallan SE, Lipsitz SR, Dalton V, Sacks DB, et al. Predictive Value of Cardiac Troponin T in Pediatric Patients at Risk for Myocardial Injury. Circulation 1997 Oct 21;96(8):2641-8.
- 28 Kilickap S, Barista I, Akgul E, Aytemir K, Aksoyek S, Aksoy S, et al. cTnT can be a useful marker for early detection of anthracycline cardiotoxicity. Ann Oncol 2005 May;16(5):798-804.
- 29 Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D, et al. A More Accurate Method To Estimate Glomerular Filtration Rate from Serum Creatinine: A New Prediction Equation. Annals of Internal Medicine 1999 Mar 16;130(6):461-70.
- 30 Fayers P, Bottomley A. Quality of life research within the Eortc-the Eortc QLQ-C30. European Organisation for Research and Treatment

of Cancer. Eur J Cancer 2002 Mar;38 Suppl 4:S125-S133.

- 31 Sahn DJ, DeMaria A, Kisslo J, Weyman A. Recommendations regarding quantitation in M-mode echocardiography: results of a survey of echocardiographic measurements. Circulation 1978 Dec;58(6):1072-83.
- 32 Simunek T, Sterba M, Popelova O, Kaiserova H, Adamcova M, Hroch M, et al. Anthracycline toxicity to cardiomyocytes or cancer cells is differently affected by iron chelation with salicylaldehyde isonicotinoyl hydrazone. Br J Pharmacol 2008 Jun 9;155(1):138-48.
- 33 van Dalen EC, Caron HN, Dickinson HO, Kremer LC. Cardioprotective interventions for cancer patients receiving anthracyclines. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;(2):CD003917.
- 34 Gianni L, Herman EH, Lipshultz SE, Minotti G, Sarvazyan N, Sawyer DB. Anthracycline Cardiotoxicity: From Bench to Bedside. J Clin Oncol 2008 Aug 1;26(22):3777-84.
- 35 Bernier M, Manning AS, Hearse DJ. Reperfusion arrhythmias: dose-related protection by antifree radical interventions. Am J Physiol 1989 May;256(5 Pt 2):H1344-H1352.
- 36 Downey JM, Omar B, Ooiwa H, McCord J. Superoxide dismutase therapy for myocardial ischemia. Free Radic Res Commun 1991;12-13 Pt 2:703-20.
- 37 Mao GD, Thomas PD, Lopaschuk GD, Poznansky MJ. Superoxide dismutase (sop)-catalase conjugates. Role of hydrogen peroxide and the Fenton reaction in sop toxicity. I Biol Chem 1993 Jan 5;268(1):416-20.
- 38 Omar BA, Gad NM, Jordan MC, Striplin SP, Russell WJ, Downey JM, et al. Cardioprotection by Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase is lost at high doses in the reoxygenated heart. Free Radic Biol Med 1990;9(6):465-71.
- 39 Ishihara T, Tanaka KI, Tasaka Y, Namba T, Suzuki J, Ishihara T, et al. Therapeutic Effect of Lecithinized Superoxide Dismutase against Colitis. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2009 Jan 1;328(1):152-64.
- 40 Muindi JR, Sinha BK, Gianni L, Myers CE. Hydroxyl radical production and DNA damage induced by anthracycline-iron complex. FEBS Lett 1984 Jul 9;172(2):226-30.
- 41 Nohl H, Jordan W. oh.-generation by adriamycin semiquinone and  $H_2O_2$ ; an explanation for the cardiotoxicity of anthracycline antibiotics. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1983 Jul 18;114(1):197-205.
- 42 Ishii T, Iwahashi H, Sugata R, Kido R. Superoxide dismutase enhances the toxicity of 3-hydroxyanthranilic acid to bacteria. Free Radic Res Commun 1991;14(3):187-94.
- 43 Scott MD, Meshnick SR, Eaton JW. Superoxide dismutase-rich bacteria. Paradoxical increase in oxidant toxicity. J Biol Chem 1987 Mar 15;262(8):3640-5.
- 44 Germanakis I, Anagnostatou N, Kalmanti M. Troponins and natriuretic peptides in the monitoring of anthracycline cardiotoxicity. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2008 Sep;51(3):327-33.

### **Table 1** Baseline Demographics and Clinical Characteristics



† Number of courses doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide (all patients received pc-sod or placebo prior to their chemotherapy courses). No statistical comparison was done at baseline, as baseline values were included as covariates.

### **Table 2** Summary of Adverse Events



Note: Adverse events occurring during chemotherapy in more then 20 patients in one of the the 2 treatment groups. † Nervousness, emotional lability, anxiety, agitation, insomnia, impaired concentration, abnormal thinking, depression and hallucinations

### **Table 3** Long-term effects



**\* Significant change from baseline (p < 0.05)**

### **Table 4** Effects within the courses



 $*$  Significant change from baseline (p < 0.05)

**Figure 1** Mean left ventricular ejection fraction (a) and  $E/A$ -ratio (b) for pc-sop (open circles) and placebo (closed circles) and 95%-confidence intervals (pc-sop down, placebo up) at baseline and 1, 4 and 9 months post-chemotherapy.



**Figure 2** Mean NT-probnp (a) concentrations, ng/L, and  $\sigma$ rc (b), milliseconds, linear corrected for heart rate according to Framingham, during chemotherapy and follow up for PC-SOD (open circles) and placebo (closed circles) and 95%-confidence intervals (pc-sod up, placebo down) during the course and 1, 4, 9 months post-chemotherapy.

