



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Hemodynamic folluw-up after heart failure surgery : systolic and diastolic function

Brinke, E.A. ten

Citation

Brinke, E. A. ten. (2011, September 29). *Hemodynamic folluw-up after heart failure surgery : systolic and diastolic function*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/17886>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/17886>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CHAPTER 7

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Balloon sizing in surgical ventricular restoration: what volume are we targeting?

Ellen A. ten Brinke

Robert J. Klautz

Paul Steendijk

J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2010 Jul;140(1):240-1

INTRODUCTION

Surgical ventricular restoration (SVR) by endoventricular circular patch plasty was described by Dor and colleagues as a new approach to treat patients with left ventricular (LV) aneurysm.¹ Over time, this technique was optimized and one of the ingredients which most centers currently use is an intraventricular balloon to standardize volume and shape of the residual cavity. During SVR, the LV is opened through the infarct and an endocardial encircling suture (Fontan stitch) is placed at the transitional zone between scarred and normal tissue. Next, the balloon is introduced into the LV and filled with 50 to 60mL saline per square meter of body-surface-area. The Fontan stitch is tightened to approximate the ventricular wall to the balloon and the residual orifice is closed with a patch. Subsequently, the excluded scar tissue is closed over the patch to ensure hemostasis. The guiding principle behind this operation is the concept that SVR reduces wall stress (according to Laplace's law) leading to reduced oxygen demand and improved function of the healthy remote myocardium. The balloon technique is generally considered to produce more consistent and more predictable results with improved clinical outcome.² One of the first papers to describe balloon sizing mentioned that the balloon was inflated to check that the 'new LV diastolic volume' was between 50 and 70mL/m².³ In a recent 'Expert Commentary' Dor et al.² reported a tighter range of 50 to 60mL/m² and explained that the balloon should help to avoid excessive volume reduction which might cause impaired diastolic function and restrictive cardiomyopathy. The technique is referred to as 'diastolic volume balloon sizing', but the basis for selecting this specific target volume range was not explicitly mentioned. To put the values in perspective; recent MRI studies indicate a normal EDVI of 74±15mL/m² in men and 65±11mL/m² in women with, respectively, 25±9mL/m² and 18±5mL/m² for indexed end-systolic volume (ESVI).⁴ Furthermore, a 20% increase in EDVI after myocardial infarction is considered to indicate remodeling⁵ and an ESVI >60mL/m² is associated with advanced heart failure.⁶ Thus, if one assumes that balloon volume predicts post-surgery end-diastolic volume (EDV), even balloon inflation to 60mL/m² would seem too small. However, a direct correspondence with the resulting *in vivo* EDV may not be expected. First, the compliance of the arrested heart during surgery will differ from the compliance of the beating heart after surgery. Second, even if they were the same, the EDV of the beating heart strongly depends on filling pressure and it is unclear how much the arrested heart is preloaded when the surgeon tightens the Fontan stitch.

Clinical summary

To investigate this issue we compiled post-SVR LV volumes from studies that used balloon sizing (Table 1). We focused on studies reporting values obtained within the

Table 1. LV volumes pre- and post SVR

Author (year)	Patients (n)	Follow-up	Imaging	Pre-SVR		Post-SVR	
				EDVI	ESVI	EDVI	ESVI
				(mL/m ²)	(mL/m ²)	(mL/m ²)	(mL/m ²)
Bové (2009) ⁷	23	12 mo	echocardiography	107±23	77±17	61±9	39±5
Dor (2008) ²	104	1 mo	MRI	125±37	93±29	83±23	51±18
Castelvecchio (2008) ⁸	146	at discharge	echocardiography*	110±35	77±33	79±16	47±14
Dardas (2008) ⁹	15	12 mo	echocardiography*	113±24	90±29	73±25	46±21
Menicanti (2007) ¹¹	301	7-10 d	echocardiography*	129±40	95±34	85±29	55±23
Tulner (2006) ¹²	21	6 mo	echocardiography*	136±43	102±42	84±27	55±27
Di Donato (2004) ¹⁰	30	10 d	angiography	202±76	144±69	122±48	69±40

LV, Left ventricle; SVR, Surgical Ventricular Restoration; EDVI, end-diastolic volume index; ESVI, end-systolic volume index; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; * Reported absolute LV volumes were indexed using an average value for body surface area: 1.82 m².⁴

first year after SVR to limit possible confounding effects of late remodeling.^{2 7-12} Two large-scale studies, RESTORE¹³ and STICH¹⁴, were not included in Table 1 because it was not clear whether a balloon was consistently used in all participating centers. However, post-SVR ESVI was 57±34mL/m² in RESTORE and 67mL/m² in STICH. Interestingly, post-SVR EDVI and ESVI were relatively similar between studies suggesting that the balloon helps to standardize results. Furthermore, overall mean EDVI was 84±25mL/m² and mean ESVI was 52±21mL/m², indicating that end-systolic volume (ESV) rather than EDV corresponded with the volume of the balloon.

DISCUSSION

Our results put into question the use of the term ‘diastolic volume sizing’. This finding may indicate that the stiffness of the arrested heart is higher than the diastolic stiffness of the beating heart. An alternative explanation could be that when the LV is closed over the balloon this is done with very limited stretching of the muscle and thus represents a relaxed but unloaded condition in which case the volume may be close to the ‘in vivo’ ESV.¹⁵ However, the correspondence could be fully coincidental and a quantitative relationship between balloon volume and post-SVR ventricular volume (either EDV or ESV) can only be reliably determined from studies with multiple balloon sizes which currently are not available. Although it seems plausible that using a larger balloon would result in a larger post-SVR EDVI and ESVI, this effect has not yet been established.

Apart from the possible advantage of more standardized results, a common concern with SVR is the potential risk of creating diastolic dysfunction and it is likely that the balloon method may help to avoid creating too small LV chambers. However, whether

the balloon method can be effectively used to target a specific post-SVR LV volume and, subsequently, whether adapting post-SVR LV volume actually helps to improve outcome needs to be established in future studies.

REFERENCES

1. Dor V, Saab M, Coste P et al. Left ventricular aneurysm: a new surgical approach. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1989;37:11-19.
2. Dor V, Civaia F, Alexandrescu C et al. The post-myocardial infarction scarred ventricle and congestive heart failure: the preeminence of magnetic resonance imaging for preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative assessment. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2008;136:1405-1412.
3. Dor V, Sabatier M, Montiglio F et al. Endoventricular patch reconstruction in large ischemic wall-motion abnormalities. *J Card Surg.* 1999;14:46-52.
4. Natori S, Lai S, Finn JP et al. Cardiovascular function in multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis: normal values by age, sex, and ethnicity. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2006;186:S357-S365.
5. Mannaerts HF, van der Heide JA, Kamp O et al. Early identification of left ventricular remodelling after myocardial infarction, assessed by transthoracic 3D echocardiography. *Eur Heart J.* 2004;25:680-687.
6. White HD, Norris RM, Brown MA et al. Left ventricular end-systolic volume as the major determinant of survival after recovery from myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1987;76:44-51.
7. Bové T, Van BY, Vandenplas G et al. Short-term systolic and diastolic ventricular performance after surgical ventricular restoration for dilated ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2009;35:995-1003.
8. Castelvechio S, Menicanti L, Ranucci M et al. Impact of surgical ventricular restoration on diastolic function: implications of shape and residual ventricular size. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;86:1849-1854.
9. Dardas PS, Pitsis AA, Mezilis NE et al. Left atrial function and work after surgical ventricular restoration in postmyocardial infarction heart failure. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2008;21:841-847.
10. Di Donato M, Toso A, Dor V et al. Surgical ventricular restoration improves mechanical intraventricular dyssynchrony in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2004;109:2536-2543.
11. Menicanti L, Castelvechio S, Ranucci M et al. Surgical therapy for ischemic heart failure: single-center experience with surgical anterior ventricular restoration. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2007;134:433-441.
12. Tulner SA, Bax JJ, Bleeker GB et al. Beneficial hemodynamic and clinical effects of surgical ventricular restoration in patients with ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2006;82:1721-1727.
13. Athanasuleas CL, Buckberg GD, Stanley AW et al. Surgical ventricular restoration in the treatment of congestive heart failure due to post-infarction ventricular dilation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;44:1439-1445.
14. Jones RH, Velazquez EJ, Michler RE et al. Coronary Bypass Surgery with or without Surgical Ventricular Reconstruction. *N Engl J Med.* 2009.
15. Paulus WJ, Vantrimpont PJ, Rousseau MF. Diastolic function of the nonfilling human left ventricle. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1992;20:1524-1532.