2

Meta-analysis of initial seizure thresholds in Electroconvulsive Therapy

Jeroen A. van Waarde
Bastiaan Verwey
Rose C. van der Mast

European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 2009;259:467-474

Abstract

Background: In electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), electrical dosage is determined using 'fixed-dose', 'age-based' dose, or empirical titration methods. Estimation of initial seizure threshold (IST) has been claimed to be imperative for suprathreshold dosing. This systematic review aimed to determine common levels of IST, to define cut-off values for high IST, and to summarize reported IST associated factors.

Methods: Medline and PsycINFO were searched from 1966 to January 2008 and relevant references were cross-checked. Subject headings including ECT, seizure threshold, dosage, and dosing were used. All articles reporting on levels of IST and/or associated factors were included.

Results: Of 395 potentially relevant reports, 46 studies on 70 samples concerning 3,023 patients were selected. Nine samples (n=306 patients) without available standard deviation and four samples (n=275 patients) treated with mixed electrode placement were excluded. Meta-analysis was done on 30 unilaterally treated samples (n=1,326 patients) and 27 bilaterally treated samples (n=1,116 patients). In unilateral ECT, weighted mean of IST was 68.2 milliCoulombs (mC; 95% CI: 63.2-73.3 mC), and in bilateral ECT 111.6 mC (95% CI: 103.7-119.4 mC). Calculated cut-off values for high IST were 121 mC for unilateral ECT and 221 mC for bilateral ECT. According to the literature, male gender and use of bilateral electrode placement appeared to increase IST most prominently.

Conclusions: Calculated electrical doses for 'suprathreshold' right unilateral ECT and for 'moderate above threshold' bilateral ECT, using commonly reported IST levels, were in the same though narrower ranges as provided in 'fixed-dose' and 'half-age' based strategies, respectively.

2

Introduction

Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is an important treatment option for patients with severe, psychotic, or pharmacotherapy-resistant mood disorders.[1,2] To be effective, seizure activity has to be elicited, and the minimal electrical stimulus in the first treatment session that provokes a generalized seizure of sufficient duration indicates the initial seizure threshold (IST).[1,2] In the literature, different seizure durations define seizure adequacy and no systematic association has been shown between seizure duration and clinical improvement.[1,3,4] Clinicians use different methods to choose the initial stimulus dose, of which 'age-based',[4] 'half-age based',[5] 'fixed-high',[1,6] and 'empirical titration based' dosing strategies are common.

Controversy, however, exists about the ability of these different methods to determine the optimal stimulus dose and their risk-benefit ratios.[4,7-10] For example, in unilateral ECT it has been claimed that the electrical stimulus has to exceed the IST substantially for optimal effectiveness (e.g., 6-12 times above IST).[6,11] Moreover, seizure thresholds appear to vary substantially among patients,[4] and factors as gender,[12] age,[13] previous ECT treatment and concomitant medication usage[1,14] may influence seizure thresholds. Some patients show exceptionally high IST being associated with an increased risk of subconvulsive stimulation and unilateral ECT failure.[15]

These findings may imply that basic measurement of the IST by titration protocols would be favorable above other methods. Others, though, stated that in the vast majority of patients the distribution of IST would be tightly clustered in the 50-200 milliCoulombs (mC) range, and therefore the proper electrical dose should be accomplished without dose titration.[4,16] Thus for clinicians, knowledge about the common IST level in average patients may be useful to decide on the preferred stimulus dosing method. Therefore, the aim of our study was to analyze studies on IST and to determine whether common IST levels for both unilateral and bilateral ECT could be possibly deduced from these data. We also wanted to define cut-off values for high IST, since these patients may pose a special problem for clinicians. Additionally, we aimed to summarize reported factors that may be associated with IST levels.

Method

This review aimed to include all published articles on IST in ECT. Medline (Pubmed, National Library of Medicine, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and PsycINFO (American Psychological Association 2008) were searched for articles that were published from 1966 to January 2008. The abstracts of the 395 retrieved articles were

examined for information on IST (Figure 1). Of these articles, 348 were excluded because they did not report on IST and one because the reported mean IST was extraordinarily high compared to those reported in the other studies.[17] References

Figure 1 Flow diagram of selection of reports on initial seizure threshold in ECT



* For 5 samples the characteristics of patients and treatments were not reported.

of the remaining 46 articles were cross-checked, and three standard works on ECT were examined as well.[1-3] The 46 studies that were selected for further investigation consisted of 70 patient samples; 34 patient samples were treated with unilateral ECT, 32 with bilateral ECT and 4 with mixed electrode placement. Because electrode placement is known to have a substantial influence on seizure thresholds,[13] mixed samples were excluded (n=4; 275 patients). Furthermore, nine samples (306 patients) could not be used in the meta-analysis because standard deviations of the mean IST were lacking. Therefore, 57 samples containing 2,442 patients were available for further investigation. These studies were scrutinized for: (1) patient characteristics such as age, gender, psychiatric diagnosis, use of anticonvulsants and previous ECT; (2) treatment characteristics such as electrode placement, settings of the device (constant-current and pulse width), titration process including seizure duration and definition of an adequate seizure, and the anaesthetic drugs used; and (3) data on IST [mean and standard deviation (SD), or median and range in mC] in both unilateral and bilateral ECT.

Statistics

Data are presented as numbers and percentages, and means and SD or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) when appropriate, using SPSS for Windows (version 13.0). After meta-analysis of the data on mean IST with the statistical package of Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (version 2.0), forest plots were generated. Because the included studies proved to be substantially heterogeneous, random effect models were used. Furthermore, we defined high IST level as the upper high 95% bound of the mean IST, which was calculated by adding two times the SD to the mean IST of each patient sample. For both unilaterally and bilaterally treated samples the medians and IQR of these cut-off values were calculated.

Results

Patient and treatment characteristics of the samples

Table 1 summarizes the patient and treatment characteristics of the samples included in the meta-analysis. Mean age of the 57 samples (n=2,442 patients) ranged from 29.8 to 73.8 years, and 36.9% of the patients were male. Most samples concerned patients with major depression (n=37; 64.9%). In 22 (38.6%) samples, also patients with bipolar depression were included. In most studies, seizure adequacy was defined as visible motor activity (35.1%) or EEG seizure activity (22.8%) with a duration ≥ 25 s. All studies, except one,[18] described the use of ECT devices with constant-current and brief pulse properties. In most cases (52 samples; 91.2%), a barbiturate (methohexital or thiopental) was administered as anesthetic.

Table 1 Characteristics of patients and treatment in all the study samples (n=57 concerning 2,442 patients)

Mean age (± SD, range) in years	51.7 (± 9.1, 29.8-73.8)
Male*	971 (36.9%)
Psychiatric diagnosis*	
Major depression	37 (64.9%)
Bipolar depression as well	22 (38.6%)
Psychosis	3 (5.3%)
Various diagnoses	13 (22.8%)
Not described	4 (7%)
Exclusion criterion used*	
Use of anticonvulsants	42 (73.7%)
ECT in previous 6 months	41 (71.9%)
Definition of seizure adequacy in seconds (s)*	
Visible motor seizure activity ≥ 25 s	20 (35.1 %)
EEG seizure activity ≥ 25 s	13 (22.8 %)
Visible motor seizure activity ≥ 15 s	11 (19.3 %)
Visible motor seizure activity ≥ 30 s	3 (5.3 %)
Other description	2 (3.5 %)
Not described	8 (14 %)
Settings of ECT device*	
Constant current 0.8 Ampere (A)	27 (47.4%)
Range of used currents in studies	0.55-0.9 A
Brief pulse 1.0 milliseconds (ms)	20 (35.1%)
Range used pulse width in studies	0.5-2.0 ms
Anaesthetic used*	
Methohexital	36 (63.2 %)
Thiopental	16 (28.1 %)
Other anaesthetic (etomidate; propofol; or not specified)	2 (3.5%)
Not described	3 (5.3%)
Values of IST in unilateral treated patient samples (n=30):	
Range of IST values	42.7-138.9 mC
Median IST	66.6 mC (IQR: 58.4-78.1 mC)
Weighted mean in meta-analysis	68.2 mC (95% CI: 63.2-73.3 mC)
Cut-off values for high IST in unilateral treated patient samples (n=30)	
Range of high IST values	59.3-281.5 mC
Median of high IST values	120.9 mC (IQR: 104.1-163.5 mC)
Values of IST in bilateral treated patient samples (n=27)	
Range of IST values	63.8-192.3 mC
Median IST	107.5 mC (IQR: 94.8-130.0 mC)
Weighted mean in meta-analysis	111.6 mC (95% CI: 103.7-119.4 mC)

Table 1 Continued

Cut-off values for high IST in bilateral treated patient samples (n=27)	
Range of high IST values	119.9-499.9 mC
Median of high IST values	221.0 mC (IQR: 161.8-285.5 mC)

* Data are in numbers and percentages unless otherwise indicated; SD=standard deviation; EEG= electroencephalogram; IST=initial seizure threshold; IQR=interquartile ranges; mC=milliCoulombs.

Levels of IST (Table 1)

The means and ranges of reported IST were analyzed in two ways. Using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software (random effects model), the weighted overall mean IST in right unilateral ECT was 68.2 mC (standard error 2.59; 95% confidence interval [CI] 63.2-73.3 mC), and in bilateral ECT 111.6 mC (standard error 4.02; 95% CI 103.7-119.4 mC). Figure 2 presents these findings in a forest plot.

In the unilaterally treated samples, the means of IST ranged from 42.7 to 138.9 mC (median of all means 66.6 mC; IQR: 58.4-78.1 mC), and in bilaterally treated samples from 63.8 to 192.3 mC (median of all means 107.5 mC; IQR: 94.8-130.0 mC). The cut-off values for high IST in the unilaterally treated samples ranged from 59.3 to 281.5 mC (median 120.9 mC, IQR 104.1-163.5 mC); and in the samples treated with bilateral ECT from 119.9 to 499.9 mC (median 221.0 mC; IQR: 161.8-285.5 mC).

Determinants of IST

In the reviewed literature, several patient-related, treatment-related and some miscellaneous factors were shown or mentioned to be possibly associated with the level of IST (Table 2). When taking into account prospective studies only, levels of IST were significantly related to the following factors: gender,[12] age,[12] number of cumulative treatments,[12,19] electrode placement,[20] dynamic impedance,[21] current characteristics such as stimulus train duration, frequency, pulse width, and amperage,[22,23] and sleep deprivation.[24] Male gender [12] and bilateral electrode placement [20] were reported to increase IST most prominently.

Figure 2 Forest plot of meta-analysis of initial seizure thresholds (IST) in electroconvulsive therapy (n=30 right unilaterally treated samples concerning 1,326 patients, and n=27 bilaterally treated samples concerning 1,116 patients)



Table 2 Possible determinants for initial seizure thresholds (IST) in electroconvulsive therapy (ECT)

Determinant	Type of influence
Patient characteristics	
Gender*	Men have much higher IST (approximately 70%) than women.[12]
Age*	Moderate association, somewhat sex dependent: elderly men have highest IST.[12]
Racial diversity	African-Americans may have higher IST.[29]
Some morphological characteristics	Thickness of skin and scalp bone, and higher brain density may raise the IST, probably due to a raise of static impedance.[30]
Longer inion-nasion distances	Wider interelectrode (inion-nasion) distance may be associated with higher IST, especially in women.[29,31]
Body-mass index (BMI)	In Chinese patients, higher BMI was associated with higher IST.[32]
Presence of neurodegenerative disorder	In dementia, there may be an additional decrease in neuronal excitability associated with higher IST.[33]
Greater medical burden	Modest association with higher IST, especially in patients with cardiovascular diseases.[25]
Psychiatric diagnosis	Manic patients may have lower IST than depressed patients.[26]
Use of psychotropic drugs	
Anaesthetic drugs	Thiopental may have a disadvantage over etomidate in producing seizures of adequate duration.[34]
Anticonvulsants	Higher stimulus doses are required to overcome IST.[1]
Benzodiazepines	Average lorazepam dosage in 48 hours before ECT was not associated with higher IST, but with decreased seizure duration.[25]
Barbiturates	Have anticonvulsant properties.[25]
Neuroleptics	May have an inverse effect and be associated with a lower IST.[35]
Beta-blockers	May raise IST; atenolol does not pass the blood-brain barrier, but metoprolol and propranolol do.[36]
Calcium-antagonists	May raise IST.[37]
Lidocaine	Shortens seizures and may raise IST.[3]

Table 2 Continued

Determinant	Type of influence
ECT characteristics	
Cumulative number of treatments*	Average of 65% increase in IST from first to final session of ECT course.[12,19]
Electrode placement*	IST is higher in bilateral than in right unilateral ECT, and increased on average by 87% during bilateral treatment, and only 40% during right unilateral ECT.[12]
Dynamic impedance*	Lower dynamic impedance increased IST.[38]
Current*	Shorter stimulus train duration with same dose increased IST.[22]
	Higher stimulus frequency (pulses per second) increased IST.[22]
	Longer pulse width increased IST.[23,39]
	Stimuli of 0.8 A gave higher IST than stimuli of 0.9 A.[40]
Miscellaneous factors	
Sleep deprivation*	Decreased IST.[24]
Drinking alcohol	May increase IST.[41]

* Results of prospective study

Discussion

We found that the overall mean of IST in the 30 patient samples treated with right unilateral ECT was 68.2 mC (95% CI 63.2-73.3 mC), whereas in the 27 patient samples that received bilateral ECT the overall mean was 111.6 mC (95% CI 103.7-119.4 mC). IST might be considered as high when the electrical stimulus has to exceed 121 mC in unilateral ECT or 221 mC in bilateral ECT to induce a generalized seizure of at least 25-30 s on EEG. Of reported factors associated with IST levels, male gender and bilateral electrode placement predicted higher IST most explicitly.

Clinical relevance of these findings

Although in the literature, IST levels were suggested to vary substantially between individual patients justifying the empirical titration as a more accurate dosing method (e.g., a 35-fold range [25]), this meta-analysis showed a more narrow range of mean IST. The IST levels, as found in this meta-analysis, bring together the concepts of 'dose-titration', 'fixed-dose' and 'half-age' methods, which was suggested before by rough clinical estimations.[16] After all, a calculated dose for suprathreshold

unilateral ECT [11] using our calculated weighted mean IST (e.g., 6 x 68.2 mC ≈ 409 mC) corresponds to the stimulus dose range as advocated in 'fixed-dose' methods (378-504 mC) for both unilateral and bilateral ECT.[4] On the other hand, the found IST level of 111.6 mC used in 'moderate above IST bilateral stimulus dose' calculations (e.g., 1.5-2.5 x 111.6 mC ≈ 167-279 mC) suggests that the use of 'fixed-dose' methods (378-504 mC [4]) may result in overstimulation, and corresponds more to the 'half-age' method stimulus dose range (50-252 mC) in bilateral ECT.[5]

Strengths and limitations

Although our systematic literature review is relatively complete, only cautious conclusions may be drawn. IST levels were collected from studies that did not primarily aim to examine IST and its associated factors, and the selected samples could not be stratified for gender or for other presumed influencing factors as many data on the 2,442 individuals studied were lacking. This may have influenced our results. For example, if samples would contain relatively more males, or more subjects with lower dynamic impedances, or if IST increasing current characteristics (shorter stimulus train duration, higher stimulus frequency, longer pulse width, lower amperage) were used, the weighted mean would be higher than real. Furthermore, in 22 samples (38.6%) seizure thresholds were analyzed in patients with unipolar as well as bipolar depressive disorders together. Since it has been suggested that patients with bipolar disorder may have a lower seizure threshold,[26] inclusion of these patients may have decreased the overall means of IST. Also, patients who could not reach adequate seizure durations most probably have been excluded, leading to underestimation of the seizure threshold. In only one study, however, this was mentioned as an exclusion criterium.[27]

Moreover, the lack of a standardized definition of adequate seizure duration in titration protocols hampered appropriate comparison of studies. In 40% of the studies, minimal duration of 25-30 s of 'visible motor seizure activity' was assumed to be adequate, which is rather arbitrary.[1,4] In more than 19% of the studies, a shorter seizure duration was regarded as adequate. Limiting our meta-analysis though to the studies that defined an adequate seizure duration as ≥ 25 s of 'visible motor seizure activity' revealed, however, comparable weighted means of IST (unilateral ECT n=27; mean 68.2 mC; 95% CI 62.8-73.6 mC; bilateral ECT n=19; mean 107.1 mC; 95% CI 97.8-116.3 mC). Seizure duration monitoring was sometimes performed with the cuff method only, which is probably unreliable and might lead to higher estimation of IST as the seizure duration would sometimes be incorrectly regarded as insufficient.[28] Further research on IST could be optimized by using standardized procedures for seizure duration monitoring and an agreed definition for seizure adequacy in the titration protocols.

Finally, the calculated levels of mean IST will probably be applicable in most patients, but some individuals will have lower or higher IST, which in unilateral ECT might result in over- or understimulation. Although some factors are known to influence IST, it is uncertain in what manner these must be taken into account in determining IST for the individual patient.

In conclusion, based on this meta-analysis, an IST of 68.2 mC for calculation of suprathreshold unilateral ECT is within the stimulus dose range of 'fixed-dose' methods. A 'moderate above IST bilateral ECT stimulus' dose, calculated with an IST of 111.6 mC, is substantially lower than the stimulus dose range of 'fixed-dose' methods but is within the 'half-age method' based dose range. Given the discussion in the literature about optimal dosing strategies, these findings support use of 'fixed' and 'half-age' methods. Male gender and bilateral electrode placement were reported to increase IST most prominently, and IST exceeding 121 mC in unilateral ECT and 221 mC in bilateral ECT may be regarded as high. More research is needed to determine the relevance of factors influencing IST.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank E. Roovers, PhD, epidemiologist (Rijnstate, Arnhem, the Netherlands) for methodological and statistical assistance, and W.W. van den Broek, MD, PhD (Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands) for his comments on the manuscript.

References

(1) Abrams R. Electroconvulsive Therapy. Fourth edition. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2002.
(2) American Psychiatric Association. The Practice of Electroconvulsive Therapy. Second Edition. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2001.
(3) Coffey CE. The Clinical Science of Electroconvulsive Therapy. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Press Inc; 1993.
(4) Abrams R. Stimulus titration and ECT dosing. J ECT 2002;18:3-9.
(5) Petrides G, Fink M. The "half-age" stimulation strategy for ECT dosing. Convuls Ther 1996;12:138-46.
(6) McCall WV, Reboussin DM, Weiner RD, Sackeim HA. Titrated moderately suprathreshold vs fixed high-dose right unilateral electroconvulsive therapy: acute antidepressant and cognitive effects. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000;57:438-44.
(7) Weiner RD. Stimulus dosing with ECT: to titrate or not to titrate--that is the question. Convuls Ther 1997;13:7-9.
(8) Enns M, Karvelas L. Electrical dose titration for electroconvulsive therapy: a comparison with dose prediction methods. Convuls Ther 1995;11:86-93.
(9) Girish K, Mayur PM, Saravanan ES et al. Seizure threshold estimation by formula method: a prospective study in unilateral ECT. J ECT 2000;16:258-62.
(10) Fink M, Petrides G, Kellner C et al. Change in seizure threshold during electroconvulsive therapy. J ECT 2008;24:114-6.
(11) Sackeim HA, Prudic J, Devanand DP et al. A prospective, randomized, double-blind comparison of bilateral and right unilateral electroconvulsive therapy at different stimulus intensities. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000;57:425-34.
(12) Sackeim H, Decina P, Prohovnik I, Malitz S. Seizure threshold in electroconvulsive therapy. Effects of sex, age, electrode placement, and number of treatments. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1987;44:355-60.
(13) Sackeim HA, Devanand DP, Prudic J. Stimulus intensity, seizure threshold, and seizure duration: impact on the efficacy and safety of electroconvulsive therapy. Psychiatr Clin North Am 1991;14:803-43.
(14) Sackeim HA. The anticonvulsant hypothesis of the mechanisms of action of ECT: current status. J ECT 1999;15:5-26.
(15) Van Waarde JA, Muller METM, Verwey B, Van der Mast RC. Exceptionally high initial seizure threshold in a catatonic patient treated with ECT. J ECT 2009;25:121-124.
(16) Kellner CH. Towards the modal ECT treatment. J ECT 2001;17:1-2.
(17) Ranjkesh F, Barekatain M, Akuchakian S. Bifrontal versus right unilateral and bitemporal electroconvulsive therapy in major depressive disorder. J ECT 2005;21:207-10.
(18) Amiaz R, Stein O, Schreiber S, Danon PN, Dolberg OT, Grunhaus L. Magnetic and seizure thresholds before and after six electroconvulsive treatments. J ECT 2001;17:195-7.
(19) Scott AI, Boddy H. The effect of repeated bilateral electroconvulsive therapy on seizure threshold. J ECT 2000;16:244-51.
(20) Sackeim HA, Prudic J, Devanand DP et al. Effects of stimulus intensity and electrode placement on the efficacy and cognitive effects of electroconvulsive therapy. N Engl J Med 1993;328:839-46.
(21) Delva NJ, Brunet D, Hawken ER et al. Electrical dose and seizure threshold: relations to clinical outcome and cognitive effects in bifrontal, bitemporal, and right unilateral ECT. J ECT 2000;16:361-9.
(22) Girish K, Gangadhar BN, Janakiramaiah N, Lalla RK. Seizure threshold in ECT: effect of stimulus pulse frequency. J ECT 2003;19:133-5.
(23) Kotresh S, Girish K, Janakiramaiah N, Rao GU, Gangadhar BN. Effect of ECT stimulus parameters on seizure physiology and outcome. J ECT 2004;20:10-2.
(24) Gilabert E, Rojo E, Vallejo J. Augmentation of electroconvulsive therapy seizures with sleep deprivation. J ECT 2004;20:242-7.
(25) Boylan LS, Haskett RF, Mulsant BH et al. Determinants of seizure threshold in ECT: benzodiazepine use, anesthetic dosage, and other factors. J ECT 2000;16:3-18.
(26) Mukherjee S, Sackeim HA, Schnur DB. Electroconvulsive therapy of acute manic episodes: a review of 50 years' experience. Am J Psychiatry 1994;151:169-76.

(27) Rasmussen KG, Zorumski CF, Jarvis MR. Possible impact of stimulus duration on seizure threshold in ECT. Convuls Ther 1994;10:177-80.
(28) Mayur PM, Gangadhar BN, Janakiramaiah N, Subbakrishna DK. Motor seizure monitoring during electroconvulsive therapy. Br J Psychiatry 1999;174:270-2.
(29) Colenda CC, McCall WV. A statistical model predicting the seizure threshold for right unilateral ECT in 106 patients. Convuls Ther 1996;12:3-12.
(30) Shapira B, Lidsky D, Gorfine M, Lerer B. Electroconvulsive therapy and resistant depression: clinical implications of seizure threshold. J Clin Psychiatry 1996;57:32-8.
(31) McCall WV, Shelp FE, Weiner RD, Austin S, Norris J. Convulsive threshold differences in right unilateral and bilateral ECT. Biol Psychiatry 1993;34:606-11.
(32) Chung KF. Determinants of seizure threshold of electroconvulsive therapy in Chinese. J ECT 2006;22:100-2.
(33) Krystal AD, Dean MD, Weiner RD et al. ECT stimulus intensity: are present ECT devices too limited? Am J Psychiatry 2000;157:963-7.
(34) Khalid N, Atkins M, Kirov G. The effects of etomidate on seizure duration and electrical stimulus dose in seizure-resistant patients during electroconvulsive therapy. J ECT 2006;22:184-8.
(35) Pisani F, Oteri G, Costa C, Di RG, Di PR. Effects of psychotropic drugs on seizure threshold. Drug Saf 2002;25:91-110.
(36) Luchowska E, Luchowski P, Wielosz M, Kleinrok Z, Czuczwar SJ, Urbanska EM. Propranolol and metoprolol enhance the anticonvulsant action of valproate and diazepam against maximal electroshock. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2002;71:223-31.
(37) Dolin SJ, Hunter AB, Halsey MJ, Little HJ. Anticonvulsant profile of the dihydropyridine calcium channel antagonists, nitrendipine and nimodipine. Eur J Pharmacol 1988;152:19-27.
(38) Sackeim HA, Long J, Luber B et al. Physical properties and quantification of the ECT stimulus: I. Basic principles. Convuls Ther 1994;10:93-123.
(39) Sackeim HA, Prudic J, Nobler MS et al. Effects of pulse width and electrode placement on the efficacy and cognitive effects of electroconvulsive therapy. Brain Stimul 2008;1:71-83.
(40) Swartz CM. Electroconvulsive therapy stimulus dose expressed as volume of seizure foci. J ECT 2006;22:54-8.
(41) Hillbom M, Pieninkeroinen I, Leone M. Seizures in alcohol-dependent patients: epidemiology, pathophysiology and management. CNS Drugs 2003;17:1013-30.
(42) Beale MD, Kellner CH, Pritchett JT, Bernstein HJ, Burns CM, Knapp R. Stimulus dose-titration in ECT: a 2-year clinical experience. Convuls Ther 1994;10:171-6.
(43) Coffey CE, Lucke J, Weiner RD, Krystal AD, Aque M. Seizure threshold in electroconvulsive therapy: I. Initial seizure threshold. Biol Psychiatry 1995;37:713-20.
(44) McCall WV, Weiner RD, Carroll BJ et al. Serum prolactin, electrode placement, and the convulsive threshold during ECT. Convuls Ther 1996;12:81-5.
(45) Sackeim HA, Luber B, Katzman GP et al. The effects of electroconvulsive therapy on quantitative electroencephalograms. Relationship to clinical outcome. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1996;53:814-24.
(46) Krystal AD, Coffey CE, Weiner RD, Holsinger T. Changes in seizure threshold over the course of electroconvulsive therapy affect therapeutic response and are detected by ictal EEG ratings. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 1998;10:178-86.
(47) Lisanby SH, Devanand DP, Prudic J et al. Prolactin response to electroconvulsive therapy: effects of electrode placement and stimulus dosage. Biol Psychiatry 1998;43:146-55.
(48) McCall WV, Sparks W, Jane J, Rosenquist PB, Colenda CC, Reboussin DM. Variation of ictal electroencephalographic regularity with low-, moderate-, and high-dose stimuli during right unilateral electroconvulsive therapy. Biol Psychiatry 1998;43:608-11.
(49) Heikman P, Tuunainen A, Kuoppasalmi K. Value of the initial stimulus dose in right unilateral and bifrontal electroconvulsive therapy. Psychol Med 1999;29:1417-23.

(50) Poulet E. Quantité d'energie optimale à délivrer au cours du traitement ECT: titration ou méthode "âge-dose"? Université Victor-Segalen Bordeaux 2; 1998.
(51) Scott AI, Dykes S. Initial seizure threshold in the clinical practice of bilateral electroconvulsive therapy in Edinburgh, Scotland. J ECT 1999;15:118-24.
(52) Chanpattana W, Buppanharun W, Raksakietisak S, Vaughn MW, Somchai Chakrabhand ML. Seizure threshold rise during electroconvulsive therapy in schizophrenic patients. Psychiatry Res 2000;96:31-40.
(53) Laidlaw J, Bentham P, Khan P et al. A comparison of stimulus dosing methods for electroconvulsive therapy. Psychiatr Bull 2000;24:184-187.
(54) Nobler MS, Luber B, Moeller JR et al. Quantitative EEG during seizures induced by electroconvulsive therapy: relations to treatment modality and clinical features. I. Global analyses. J ECT 2000;16:211-28.
(55) Ng C, Schweitzer I, Alexopoulos P et al. Efficacy and cognitive effects of right unilateral electroconvulsive therapy. J ECT 2000;16:370-9.
(56) Chanpattana W. Seizure threshold in Electroconvulsive Therapy: effect of instrument titration schedule. German J Psychiatry 2001;4:51-6.
(57) Chung KF. Relationships between seizure duration and seizure threshold and stimulus dosage at electroconvulsive therapy: implications for electroconvulsive therapy practice. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2002;56:521-6.
(58) Chung KF, Wong SJ. Initial seizure threshold of bilateral electroconvulsive therapy in Chinese. J ECT 2001;17:254-8.
(59) Heikman P, Katila H, Sarna S, Wahlbeck K, Kuoppasalmi K. Differential response to right unilateral ECT in depressed patients: impact of comorbidity and severity of illness [ISRCTN39974945]. BMC Psychiatry 2002;2:2.
(60) Heikman P, Kalska H, Katila H, Sarna S, Tuunainen A, Kuoppasalmi K. Right unilateral and bifrontal electroconvulsive therapy in the treatment of depression: a preliminary study. J ECT 2002;18:26-30.
(61) Scott AI, Boddy H. Induction agents in electroconvulsive therapy: a comparison of methohexitone and propofol. Psychiatr Bull 2002;26:455-457.
(62) Heikman P, Tuunainen A, Sailas E, Kuoppasalmi K. Seizures induced by low-dose right unilateral and bifrontal electroconvulsive stimuli. J ECT 2003;19:189-93.
(63) Lisanby SH, Luber B, Schlaepfer TE, Sackeim HA. Safety and feasibility of magnetic seizure therapy (MST) in major depression: randomized within-subject comparison with electroconvulsive therapy. Neuropsychopharmacology 2003;28:1852-65.
(64) Perera TD, Luber B, Nobler MS, Prudic J, Anderson C, Sackeim HA. Seizure expression during electroconvulsive therapy: relationships with clinical outcome and cognitive side effects. Neuropsychopharmacology 2004;29:813-25.
(65) Patel AS, Gorst-Unsworth C, Venn RM, Kelley K, Jacob Y. Anesthesia and electroconvulsive therapy: a retrospective study comparing etomidate and propofol. J ECT 2006;22:179-83.
(66) Tiller JW, Ingram N. Seizure threshold determination for electroconvulsive therapy: stimulus dose titration versus age-based estimations. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2006;40:188-92.
(67) McCall WV, Dunn A, Rosenquist PB, Hughes D. Markedly suprathreshold right unilateral ECT versus minimally suprathreshold bilateral ECT: antidepressant and memory effects. J ECT 2002;18:126-9.
(68) Rosa MA, Rosa MO, Daltio CS, Abreu LN, Marcolin MA. Open trial on the efficacy of right unilateral electroconvulsive therapy with titration and high charge. J ECT 2006;22:237-9.