



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Rural landscapes along the Vardar Valley: two site-less surveys near Veles and Skopje, the Republic of Macedonia

Donev, Damjan

Citation

Donev, D. (2014, September 24). *Rural landscapes along the Vardar Valley: two site-less surveys near Veles and Skopje, the Republic of Macedonia*. Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/28917>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/28917>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/28917> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Donev, Damjan

Title: Rural landscapes along the Vardar Valley: two site-less surveys near Veles and Skopje, the Republic of Macedonia

Issue Date: 2014-09-24

Rural Landscapes along the Vardar Valley:

Two site-less surveys near Veles and Skopje, the Republic of Macedonia

Proefschrift
ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. Carel Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op
23.09.2014

door
Damjan Donev
geboren te Skopje, the Republic of Macedonia in 1979

Promoter:

Prof. J.L. Bintliff,

Other committee members:

BOŽIDAR SLAPŠAK PROFESSOR LJUBLJANA

MAARTEN JANSEN PROFESSOR LEIDEN

PETER ATTEMA PROFESSOR GRONINGEN

HANS KAMERMANS DR. LEIDEN

TESSE STEK DR. LEIDEN

MIHAILO POPOVIĆ DR. AUSTRIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES/UNIVERSITY
OF VIENNA

Contents

Acknowledgements	6
Chapter I: Introduction, theoretical approaches and method of fieldwork	7
I.1.1 Archaeological surveys in the Republic of Macedonia	7
I.1.2 Theoretical definitions; the study of human settlement as habitation practices; from settlements to landscapes	11
I.1.3 The principle aims of the survey, definition of the survey areas and a couple of old-new concepts	19
I.2 Method of fieldwork	26
I.2.1 Division of the study areas into field walking blocks, the factor of the field block's size	26
I.2.2 The field block and the individual transect, problems of varying survey intensity	28
I.2.3 The factor of ground visibility	31
I.2.4 The impact of modern human activities	32
I.2.5 Categories of counted material, other types of field documentation	35
I.2.6 The regular grid survey and collection of surface material	37
I.2.7 Conclusions	43
Chapter II: Description of the first survey area	46
II.1 The valley of Sopot: its drainage basin, size and limits, geo-morphology, communication, natural conditions and land-use	46
II.2 The 11 survey sectors or the main topographic components of the survey area	54
II.3 The geo-strategic importance of the valley of Sopot in its wider geographic context	56
Chapter III: Chronology of the collected material and spatial distribution by periods	60
III.1.1 Introduction	60
III.1.2 The Middle Neolithic Period (6 th millennium BC?)	62
III.1.3 The Late Neolithic (5 th and first half of the 4 th millennium BC?)	63
III.1.4 The Bronze Age (3 rd and 2 nd millennium BC, until ca. 1200 BC)	65
III.1.5 The Late Bronze Age (1600-1200 BC)	68
III.1.6 The Dark Ages/Early Iron Age (1200-1000; 1000-800 BC)	69
III.1.7 The Early Iron Age? (1000-800 BC)	72
III.1.8 The Late Iron Age (7 th and 6 th century BC)	75
III.1.9 The problem of the Early Antique layer (Classical Period, 5 th and 4 th century BC)	79
III.1.10 The Hellenistic Period (late 4 th – late 1 st century BC)	81
III.1.11 The Roman to Late Roman Period (AD 1 st -end of 6 th century)	84
III.1.12 The Late Byzantine and Early Ottoman Period (14 th -18 th century)	102
III.1.13 The Late Ottoman and Early Modern Period (1800-1950 AD)	107

III.2 Conclusions	113
III.2.1 History of habitation in the survey area in relation to the regional context and major historical circumstances	113
III.2.2 The dynamic of settlement in the survey area, the long-term trends and cycles, the carrying capacity	145
Chapter IV: The region of Montenegro near Skopje and the second survey area	159
IV.1 Name and geographic location of the wider study region	159
IV.2 Limits and geography of the wider study region	160
IV.3 Geomorphology and geology	165
IV.4 Land-use, agricultural and mineral resources; vegetation, soils and climate	168
IV.5 Modern day settlement pattern, settlement locations and settlement territory	171
IV.6 The survey area, limits and description	177
Chapter V: Chronology of the collected surface finds and spatial distribution by periods	182
V.1 Introduction	182
V.2 The problem of the prehistoric settlement in the survey area	184
V.3.1 The Roman Period: overall and on-site distribution (2 nd -4 th c AD?)	188
V.3.2 The off-site zone	214
V.3.3 Analysis of the integral network of Roman sites and land-use	218
V.4 The Late Roman Period, 4 th -6 th century AD	232
V.5 The Middle and Late Byzantine Period (early 10 th -early 14 th century)	236
V.6 The Late Byzantine-Early Ottoman Period (late 14 th - early 18 th century)	238
V.7 The Late Ottoman-Early Modern Period 1800-1950	244
V.8 Conclusions: the history of settlement in the second survey and the wider study region	249
Chapter VI: General conclusions	280
VI.1 Patterns of habitation and land-use in the two survey areas	280
VI.2 The relation between local, micro-regional and broader regional dynamics; the importance of the geographic setting	289
References	302
List of maps	319
List of tables	319
List of graphs	319
List of maps (on CD)	319
List of photos (on CD)	325
Contents of the appendices (on CD)	325
Contents of appendix I: Analysis of the survey results; the distribution of the total surface record in the first survey area	325
List of tables in Appendix I (on CD)	326
List of graphs in Appendix I (on CD)	326

List of photosin Appendix I (on CD)	326
List of maps in Appendix I (on CD)	327
Contents of appendix II: Surface material distribution by periods from survey area 1	328
List of tables in Appendix II (on CD)	328
List of graphs in Appendix II (on CD)	329
Contents of Appendix III: Analysis of the survey results; the distribution of the total surface record in the second survey area	330
List of tables in Appendix III (on CD)	330
List of graphs in Appendix III (on CD)	330
List of photosin Appendix III (on CD)	330
List of maps in Appendix III (on CD)	331
Contents of Appendix IV: Surface material distribution by periods from survey area 2	332
List of tables in Appendix IV (on CD)	332
List of graphs in Appendix IV (on CD)	333
Abbreviations	334
A short CV	335
Abstract	336

Acknowledgements

Many a times I have spoken wrong when saying that this research was self-funded. It would've been impossible without the unselfish support of my family and friends. They invested a great deal of money, time and energy in a venture, which from the majority's point of view was difficult to understand or simply absurd. My wholehearted indebtedness goes to my grandparents, parents and brother for their endless patience and trust and to the friends and colleagues who voluntarily decided to spend hours of hard work in the open. The basis of this work rests on their good will, love and respect. The list of names of all those who directly or indirectly supported the project over the last 5 years is too long and I have probably lost track. But I should single out the most constant members of the team, A. Ačkovski, J. Gajtanoska, P. Daljev, K. Ivanovski and D. Ristevski who taught me the basics of GIS. Finally, we mustn't forget the hospitality and friendliness of the local people from Sopot, Kučevište and Pobužje.

I would've still been helpless was it not for the pottery course organized by the universities of Leuven, Amsterdam and Leiden. I express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Jeroen Poblome, Prof. Vladimir Stissi and Philip Bes who introduced me to the world of ceramic studies. I also gladly thank my senior colleagues and professors in Macedonia, Trajanika Jovčeva, Prof. Dragi Mitrevski, Zlatko Videvski, Branislav Ristevski, Marina Ončevska-Todorovska whom I consulted about the dating of the fabric groups. All misinterpretations of their comments on the material go on my account.

It would be equally wrong saying that this was a fully independent research project. From its very conception in 2007 up until its final completion, I was guided by the quite hand of my mentor, Prof. John Bintliff.

Chapter I: Introduction, theoretical approaches and method of fieldwork