

Automated morphometry of transgenic mouse brains in MR images Scheenstra, A.E.H.

Citation

Scheenstra, A. E. H. (2011, March 24). *Automated morphometry of transgenic mouse brains in MR images*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/16649

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/16649

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Bibliography

- J.G. Fox, S.W. Barthold, M.T. Davisson, C.E. Newcomer, F.W. Quimby, and A.L. Smith. *The Mouse in Biomedical Research: Diseases*. Academic Press, 2nd edition, 2007.
- [2] M.A. Klinger. The life history of the mouse in genetics. Nature, 420(December):510–11, 2002.
- [3] R. Jaenisch and B. Mintz. Simian virus 40 DNA sequences in DNA of healthy adult mice derived from preimplantation blastocysts injected with viral DNA. In *Proceedings* of the national academy of sciences, pages 1250–54, 1974.
- [4] R.L. Brinster and R.D. Palmiter. Transgenic mice containing growth hormone fusion genes. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. series B, biological sciences*, 307(1132):309–12, December 1984.
- [5] S. Joshi, B. Davis, M. Jomier, and G. Gerig. Unbiased diffeomorphic atlas construction for computational anatomy. *NeuroImage*, 23:S151–S160, 2004.
- [6] N. Kovacevic, J.T. Henderson, E. Chan, N. Lifshitz, J. Bishop, A.C. Evans, R.M. Henkelman, and X.J. Chen. A three-dimensional MRI atlas of the mouse brain with estimates of the average and variability. *Cerebral cortex*, 15(5):639–45, 2004.
- [7] E.S. Lein, M.J. Hawrylycz, N. Ao, M. Ayres, A. Bensinger, A. Bernard, A.F. Boe, M.S. Boguski, and Et Al. Genome-wide atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain. *Nature*, 445(7124):168–76, 2007.
- [8] Y. Ma, P.R. Hof, S.C. Grant, S.J. Blackband, R. Bennett, L. Slatest, M.D. McGuigan, and H. Benveniste. A three-dimensional digital atlas database of the adult C57BL/6J mouse brain by magnetic resonance microscopy. *Neuroscience*, 135(4):1203–15, 2005.
- [9] Y. Ma, D. Smith, P.R. Hof, B. Foerster, S. Hamilton, S.J. Blackband, M. Yu, and H. Benveniste. In vivo 3D digital atlas database of the adult C57BL/6J mouse brain by magnetic resonance microscopy. *Frontiers: in neuroanatomy*, 2(1):1–10, 2008.
- [10] A. MacKenzie-Graham, E. Lee, I.D. Dinov, M. Bota, D.W. Shattuck, S. Ruffins, H. Yuan, F. Konstantinidis, A. Pitiot, Y. Ding, G. Hu, R.E. Jacobs, and A.W. Toga. A

multimodal, multidimensional atlas of the C57BL/6J mouse brain. *Journal of anatomy*, 204(2):93–102, February 2004.

- [11] A.W. Toga and J. Mazziotta. Brain Mapping: The Methods. Academic Press, 2002.
- [12] A. Delaurier, N. Burton, M. Bennett, R. Baldock, D. Davidson, T.J. Mohun, and M.P. Logan. The Mouse Limb Anatomy Atlas: an interactive 3D tool for studying embryonic limb patterning. *BMC developmental biology*, 8(83):1–7, January 2008.
- [13] M. Baiker, J. Milles, J. Dijkstra, T.D. Henning, A.W. Weber, I. Que, E.L. Kaijzel, C.W.G.M. Löwik, J.H.C. Reiber, and B.P.F. Lelieveldt. Atlas-based wholebody segmentation of mice from low-contrast Micro-CT data. *Medical image analysis*, 14(6):723–37, 2010.
- [14] B. Dogdas, D. Stout, A.F. Chatziioannou, and R.M. Leahy. Digimouse: a 3D whole body mouse atlas from CT and cryosection data. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 52(3):577–87, February 2007.
- [15] M.H. Hofker and J. van Deursen. Transgenic mouse methods and protocols. Humana Press, 2003.
- [16] J.P. Sundberg and T. Ichiki. Genetically engineered mice handbook. CRC Press, 2006.
- [17] A. Nagy, M. Gertsenstein, K. Vintersten, and R. Behringer. Production of Transgenic Mice, chapter 7. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 3rd edition, 2003.
- [18] M.J. Tymms and I. Kola. Gene knockout protocols. Humana Press, 2001.
- [19] G.S. Fisch and J. Flint. Transgenic and knockout models of neuropsychiatric disorders. Humana Press, 2006.
- [20] A. Lajtha, G.B. Baker, S. Dunn, and A. Holt. Handbook of neurochemistry and molecular neurobiology: Practical neurochemistry methods. Springer, 3rd edition, 2007.
- [21] M. Hafezparast, A. Ahmad-Annuar, N.W. Wood, S.J. Tabrizi, and E.M.C. Fisher. Mouse models for neurological disease. *Lancet neurology*, 1(4):215–24, August 2002.
- [22] B. Popko. Mouse Models in the Study of Genetic Neurological Disorders (Advances in Neurochemistry). Springer, 1999.
- [23] J.F. Cryan and A. Holmes. The ascent of mouse: advances in modelling human depression and anxiety. *Nature reviews. Drug discovery*, 4(9):775–90, September 2005.
- [24] I.N. Bankman. Segmentation, pages 71–258. USA: Academic Press, 2nd edition, 2009.
- [25] K.J. Friston, A.P. Holmes, K.J. Worsley, J.P. Poline, and R. Frackowia. Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: a general linear approach. *Human brain mapping*, 2:189–210, 1995.
- [26] K.J. Worsley, S. Marrett, P. Neelin, A.C. Vandal, K.J. Friston, and A.C. Evans. A unified statistical approach for determining significant signals in images of cerebral activation. *Human brain mapping*, 4(1):58–73, January 1996.
- [27] J. Ashburner and K.J. Friston. Voxel-based morphometry the methods. *Neuroimage*, 11(6 Pt 1):805–21, 2000.
- [28] S.J. Sawiak, N.I. Wood, G.B. Williams, A.J. Morton, and T.A. Carpenter. Voxel-based morphometry in the R6/2 transgenic mouse reveals differences between genotypes not seen with manual 2D morphometry. *Neurobiology of Disease*, 33(1):20–27, 2009.

- [29] L. Ferrarini, W.M. Palm, H. Olofsen, R. van Der Landen, M.A. van Buchem, J.H.C. Reiber, and F. Admiraal-Behloul. Ventricular shape biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease in clinical MR images. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 59(2):260–7, February 2008.
- [30] A. Kelemen, G. Székely, and G. Gerig. Elastic model-based segmentation of 3-D neuroradiological data sets. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 18(10):828–39, October 1999.
- [31] P.M. Thompson, K.M. Hayashi, G.I. De Zubicaray, A.L. Janke, S.E. Rose, J. Semple, M.S. Hong, D.H. Herman, D. Gravano, D.M. Doddrell, and A.W. Toga. Mapping cortical changes in Alzheimer's disease, brain development, and schizophrenia. *NeuroImage*, 23:S2–S18, August 2004.
- [32] J. Ashburner. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. NeuroImage, 38(1):95–113, October 2007.
- [33] D. Rueckert, P Aljabar, R.A. Heckemann, J.V. Hajnal, and A. Hammers. Diffeomorphic registration using B-splines. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pages 702–9, January 2006.
- [34] T. Vercauteren, X. Pennec, A. Perchant, and N. Ayache. Diffeomorphic demons: efficient non-parametric image registration. *NeuroImage*, 45(1 Suppl):S61–72, March 2009.
- [35] X.J. Chen, N. Kovacevic, N.J. Lobaugh, J.G. Sled, R.M. Henkelman, and J.T. Henderson. Neuroanatomical differences between mouse strains as shown by high-resolution 3D MRI. *NeuroImage*, 29:99–105, 2005.
- [36] A.E.H. Scheenstra, M. Muskulus, M. Staring, A.M.J.M. van Den Maagdenberg, S. Verduyn-Lunel, J.H.C. Reiber, L. van Der Weerd, and J. Dijkstra. The 3D Moore-Rayleigh test for the quantitative groupwise comparison of MR brain images. In *Proceedings of information processing in medical imaging*, pages 564–75, January 2009.
- [37] A. Badea, G.A. Johnson, and J.L. Jankowsky. Remote sites of structural atrophy predict later amyloid formation in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *NeuroImage*, 50(2):416–27, April 2010.
- [38] J.C. Lau, J.P. Lerch, J.G. Sled, R.M. Henkelman, A.C. Evans, and B.J. Bedell. Longitudinal neuroanatomical changes determined by deformation-based morphometry in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *NeuroImage*, 42(1):19–27, August 2008.
- [39] P.M. Thompson and A.W. Toga. Computing and Visualization in Science: A framework for computational anatomy. *Computing and visualization in science*, 34:13–34, 2002.
- [40] S. Maheswaran, H. Barjat, S.T. Bate, P. Aljabar, D.L.G. Hill, L. Tilling, N. Upton, M.F. James, J.V. Hajnal, and D. Rueckert. Analysis of serial magnetic resonance images of mouse brains using image registration. *NeuroImage*, 44(3):692–700, February 2009.
- [41] F.L. Bookstein. Voxel-Based Morphometry should Not Be Used with Imperfectly Registered Images. *NeuroImage*, 14:1454–62, 2001.
- [42] C. Davatzikos. Why voxel-based morphometric analysis should be used with great caution when characterizing group differences. *NeuroImage*, 23(1):17–20, 2004.

- [43] G.R. Ridgway, S.M.D. Henley, J.D. Rohrer, R.I. Scahill, J.D. Warren, and N.C. Fox. Ten simple rules for reporting voxel-based morphometry studies. *NeuroImage*, 40(4):1429–35, May 2008.
- [44] J.P. Shaffer. Multiple Hypothesis Testing. Annual review of psychology, 46(1):561–84, January 1995.
- [45] D. Pantazis, T.E. Nichols, S. Baillet, and R.M. Leahy. A comparison of random field theory and permutation methods for the statistical analysis of MEG data. *NeuroImage*, 25(2):383–94, 2005.
- [46] A.P. Holmes, R.C. Blair, J.D. Watson, and I. Ford. Nonparametric analysis of statistic images from functional mapping experiments. *Journal of cerebral blood flow and metabolism*, 16(1):7–22, January 1996.
- [47] Y. Benjamini and Y. Hochberg. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the royal statistical society. series* B (methodological), 57(1):289–300, 1995.
- [48] C.R. Genovese, N.A. Lazar, and T. Nichols. Thresholding of statistical maps in functional neuroimaging using the false discovery rate. *NeuroImage*, 15(4):870–8, April 2002.
- [49] J.R. Chumbley and K.J. Friston. False discovery rate revisited: FDR and topological inference using Gaussian random fields. *NeuroImage*, 44(1):62–70, January 2009.
- [50] A. Schwartzman, R.F. Dougherty, J. Lee, D. Ghahremani, and Jonathan E Taylor. Empirical null and false discovery rate analysis in neuroimaging. *NeuroImage*, 44(1):71–82, January 2009.
- [51] L. Jönsson, M.E. Jönhagen, L. Kilander, H. Soininen, M. Hallikainen, G. Waldemar, H. Nygaard, N. Andreasen, B. Winblad, and A. Wimo. Determinants of costs of care for patients with Alzheimer's disease. *International journal of geriatric psychiatry*, 21(5):449–59, 2006.
- [52] D.K. Lahiri, M.R. Farlow, N.H. Greig, and K. Sambamurti. Current drug targets for Alzheimer's disease treatment. Drug development research, 56(3):267–81, July 2002.
- [53] B.P. Leifer. Early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: clinical and economic benefits. Journal of the american geriatrics society, 51(5 Suppl Dementia):S281–8, May 2003.
- [54] R.L. Buckner, A.Z. Snyder, B.J. Shannon, G. LaRossa, R. Sachs, A.F. Fotenos, Y.I. Sheline, W.E. Klunk, C.A. Mathis, J.C. Morris, and M.A. Mintun. Molecular, structural, and functional characterization of Alzheimer's disease: evidence for a relationship between default activity, amyloid, and memory. *The journal of Neuroscience*, 25(34):7709–17, August 2005.
- [55] S. Lehéricy, M. Marjanska, L. Mesrob, M. Sarazin, and S. Kinkingnehun. Magnetic resonance imaging of Alzheimer's disease. *European radiology*, 17(2):347–62, February 2007.
- [56] B.J. Nieman, J. Bishop, J. Dazai, N.A. Bock, J.P. Lerch, A. Feintuch, X.J. Chen, J.G. Sled, and R.M. Henkelman. MR technology for biological studies in mice. *NMR in biomedicine*, 20(3):291–303, May 2007.
- [57] A. Ramani, J.H. Jensen, and J.A. Helpern. Quantitative MR imaging in Alzheimer disease. *Radiology*, 241(1):26–44, 2006.

- [58] J. Skoch, B.T. Hyman, and B.J. Bacskai. Preclinical characterization of amyloid imaging probes with multiphoton microscopy. *Journal of Alzheimer's disease*, 9(3 Suppl):401–7, January 2006.
- [59] A. Nordberg. PET imaging of amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. The lancet neurology, 3(9):519-27, September 2004.
- [60] L. Glodzik-Sobanska, H. Rusinek, L. Mosconi, Y. Li, J. Zhan, S. de Santi, A. Convit, K. Rich, M. Brys, and M.J. de Leon. The role of quantitative structural imaging in the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimaging clinics of north america*, 15(4):803–26, November 2005.
- [61] S.A. Anderson and J.A. Frank. MRI of mouse models of neurological disorders. NMR in biomedicine, 20(3):200–15, May 2007.
- [62] H. Benveniste, G. Einstein, K.R. Kim, C. Hulette, and G.A. Johnson. Detection of neuritic plaques in Alzheimer's disease by magnetic resonance microscopy. *Proceedings* of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America, 96(24):14079–84, November 1999.
- [63] H. Benveniste, Y.U. Ma, J. Dhawan, A. Gifford, S.D. Smith, I. Feinstein, C. Du, S.C. Grant, and P.R. Hof. Anatomical and Functional Phenotyping of Mice Models of Alzheimer's Disease by MR Microscopy. Annals of the new york academy of sciences, 1097:12–29, 2007.
- [64] A. Borthakur, T. Gur, A.J. Wheaton, M. Corbo, J.Q. Trojanowski, V.M. Lee, and R. Reddy. In vivo measurement of plaque burden in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging*, 24(5):1011–7, November 2006.
- [65] C.R. Jack, M. Marjanska, T.M. Wengenack, D.A. Reyes, G.L. Curran, J. Lin, G.M. Preboske, J.F. Poduslo, and M. Garwood. Magnetic resonance imaging of Alzheimer's pathology in the brains of living transgenic mice: a new tool in Alzheimer's disease research. *The neuroscientist*, 13(1):38–48, February 2007.
- [66] T.M. Wengenack, C.R. Jack, M. Garwood, and J.F. Poduslo. MR microimaging of amyloid plaques in Alzheimer's disease transgenic mice. *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging*, 35 Suppl 1:S82–8, March 2008.
- [67] J. Zhang, P. Yarowsky, M.N. Gordon, G. Di Carlo, S. Munireddy, P.C.M. van Zijl, and S. Mori. Detection of amyloid plaques in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease by magnetic resonance imaging. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 51(3):452–7, March 2004.
- [68] L.G. Apostolova and P.M. Thompson. Brain mapping as a tool to study neurodegeneration. *Neurotherapeutics*, 4(3):387–400, July 2007.
- [69] Y. Hirata, H. Matsuda, K. Nemoto, T. Ohnishi, K. Hirao, F. Yamashita, T. Asada, S. Iwabuchi, and H. Samejima. Voxel-based morphometry to discriminate early Alzheimer's disease from controls. *Neuroscience letters*, 382(3):269–74, July 2005.
- [70] S.J. Teipel, C. Born, M. Ewers, A.L.W. Bokde, M.F. Reiser, H. Möller, and H. Hampel. Multivariate deformation-based analysis of brain atrophy to predict Alzheimer's disease in mild cognitive impairment. *NeuroImage*, 38(1):13–24, October 2007.
- [71] G. Castellano, L. Bonilha, L.M. Li, and F. Cendes. Texture analysis of medical images. *Clinical radiology*, 59(12):1061–9, December 2004.

- [72] C.E. Thomaz, F.L.S. Duran, G.F. Busatto, D.F. Gillies, and D. Rueckert. Multivariate Statistical Differences of MRI Samples of the Human Brain. *Journal of mathematical imaging and vision*, 29(2-3):95–106, December 2007.
- [73] L. Cruz, B. Urbanc, S.V. Buldyrev, R. Christie, T. Gómez-Isla, S. Havlin, M. Mc-Namara, H.E. Stanley, and B.T. Hyman. Aggregation and disaggregation of senile plaques in Alzheimer disease. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America*, 94(14):7612–6, July 1997.
- [74] B. Urbanc, L. Cruz, S.V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, M.C. Irizarry, H.E. Stanley, and B.T. Hyman. Dynamics of Plaque Formation in Alzheimer's Disease. *Biophysical journal*, 76(3):1330–4, March 1999.
- [75] R.I. Scahill, J.M. Schott, J.M. Stevens, M.N. Rossor, and N.C. Fox. Mapping the evolution of regional atrophy in Alzheimer's disease: unbiased analysis of fluid-registered serial MRI. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America, 99(7):4703-7, April 2002.
- [76] P.M. Thompson, K.M. Hayashi, G. de Zubicaray, A.L. Janke, S.E. Rose, J. Semple, D. Herman, M.S. Hong, S.S. Dittmer, D.M. Doddrell, and A.W. Toga. Dynamics of Gray Matter Loss in Alzheimer's Disease. *The journal of neuroscience*, 23(3):994 -1005, 2003.
- [77] N. Braakman, J. Matysik, S.G. van Duinen, F. Verbeek, R. Schliebs, H.J.M. de Groot, and A. Alia. Longitudinal assessment of Alzheimer's beta-amyloid plaque development in transgenic mice monitored by in vivo magnetic resonance microimaging. *Journal of* magnetic resonance imaging, 24(3):530–6, September 2006.
- [78] M.F. Falangola, V.V. Dyakin, S.P. Lee, A. Bogart, J.S. Babb, K. Duff, R. Nixon, and J.A. Helpern. Quantitative MRI reveals aging-associated T2 changes in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *NMR in biomedicine*, 20(3):343–51, May 2007.
- [79] C. Duyckaerts, M. Potier, and B. Delatour. Alzheimer disease models and human neuropathology: similarities and differences. Acta neuropathologica, 115:5–38, 2008.
- [80] D.C. German and A.J. Eisch. Mouse models of Alzheimer's disease: insight into treatment. *Reviews in the neurosciences*, 15(5):353–69, January 2004.
- [81] G.A. Higgins and H. Jacobsen. Transgenic mouse models of Alzheimer's disease: phenotype and application. *Behavioural pharmacology*, 14(5-6):419–38, 2003.
- [82] E. McGowan, J. Eriksen, and M. Hutton. A decade of modeling Alzheimer's disease in transgenic mice. *Trends in genetics*, 22(5):281–9, May 2006.
- [83] T.L. Spires and B.T. Hyman. Transgenic models of Alzheimer's disease: learning from animals. the journal of the American society for experimental neurotherapeutics, 2(3):423–37, July 2005.
- [84] T. van Dooren, I. Dewachter, P. Borghgraef, and F. van Leuven. Transgenic mouse models for APP processing and Alzheimer's disease: early and late defects. *Sub-cellular biochemistry*, 38:45–63, January 2005.
- [85] B. Allen, E. Ingram, M. Takao, M.J. Smith, R. Jakes, K. Virdee, H. Yoshida, M. Holzer, M. Craxton, P.C. Emson, C. Atzori, A. Migheli, R.A. Crowther, B. Ghetti, M.G. Spillantini, and M. Goedert. Abundant tau filaments and nonapoptotic neurodegeneration in transgenic mice expressing human P301S tau protein. *The journal of neuroscience*, 22(21):9340–51, November 2002.

- [86] C. Andorfer, Y. Kress, M. Espinoza, R. de Silva, K.L. Tucker, Y. Barde, K. Duff, and P. Davies. Hyperphosphorylation and aggregation of tau in mice expressing normal human tau isoforms. *Journal of neurochemistry*, 86(3):582–90, August 2003.
- [87] D.R. Borchelt, T. Ratovitski, J. van Lare, M.K. Lee, V. Gonzales, N.A. Jenkins, N.G. Copeland, D.L. Price, and S.S. Sisodia. Accelerated amyloid deposition in the brains of transgenic mice coexpressing mutant presenilin 1 and amyloid precursor proteins. *Neuron*, 19(4):939–45, October 1997.
- [88] M.E. Calhoun, K.H. Wiederhold, D. Abramowski, A.L. Phinney, A. Probst, C. Sturchler-Pierrat, M. Staufenbiel, B. Sommer, and M. Jucker. Neuron loss in APP transgenic mice. *Nature*, 395(6704):755–6, October 1998.
- [89] M.E. Calhoun, P. Burgermeister, A.L. Phinney, M. Stalder, M. Tolnay, K.H. Wiederhold, D. Abramowski, C. Sturchler-Pierrat, B. Sommer, M. Staufenbiel, and M. Jucker. Neuronal overexpression of mutant amyloid precursor protein results in prominent deposition of cerebrovascular amyloid. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences* of the United States of America, 96(24):14088–93, November 1999.
- [90] K. Duff, C. Eckman, C. Zehr, X. Yu, C.M. Prada, J. Perez-tur, M. Hutton, L. Buee, Y. Harigaya, D. Yager, D. Morgan, M.N. Gordon, L. Holcomb, L. Refolo, B. Zenk, J. Hardy, and S. Younkin. Increased amyloid-beta42(43) in brains of mice expressing mutant presenilin 1. *Nature*, 383(6602):710–3, October 1996.
- [91] D. Games, D. Adams, R. Alessandrini, R. Barbour, P. Berthelette, C. Blackwell, T. Carr, J. Clemens, T. Donaldson, and F. Gillespie. Alzheimer-type neuropathology in transgenic mice overexpressing V717F beta-amyloid precursor protein. *Nature*, 373(6514):523-7, February 1995.
- [92] M.C. Herzig, D.T. Winkler, P. Burgermeister, M. Pfeifer, E. Kohler, S.D. Schmidt, S. Danner, D. Abramowski, C. Stürchler-Pierrat, K. Bürki, S.G. van Duinen, M.L.C. Maat-Schieman, M. Staufenbiel, P.M. Mathews, and M. Jucker. Abeta is targeted to the vasculature in a mouse model of hereditary cerebral hemorrhage with amyloidosis. *Nature neuroscience*, 7(9):954–60, September 2004.
- [93] L. Holcomb, M.N. Gordon, E. McGowan, X. Yu, S. Benkovic, P. Jantzen, K. Wright, I. Saad, R. Mueller, D. Morgan, S. Sanders, C. Zehr, K. O'Campo, J. Hardy, C.M. Prada, C. Eckman, S. Younkin, K. Hsiao, and K. Duff. Accelerated Alzheimer-type phenotype in transgenic mice carrying both mutant amyloid precursor protein and presenilin 1 transgenes. *Nature medicine*, 4(1):97–100, January 1998.
- [94] K. Hsiao, P. Chapman, S. Nilsen, C. Eckman, Y. Harigaya, S. Younkin, F. Yang, and G. Cole. Correlative memory deficits, Abeta elevation, and amyloid plaques in transgenic mice. *Science*, 274(5284):99–102, October 1996.
- [95] C. Janus, J. Pearson, J. McLaurin, P.M. Mathews, Y. Jiang, S.D. Schmidt, M.A. Chishti, P. Horne, D. Heslin, J. French, H.T. Mount, R.A. Nixon, M. Mercken, C. Bergeron, P.E. Fraser, P. St George-Hyslop, and D. Westaway. A beta peptide immunization reduces behavioural impairment and plaques in a model of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature*, 408(6815):979–82, 2000.
- [96] E. McGowan, F. Pickford, J. Kim, L. Onstead, J. Eriksen, C. Yu, L. Skipper, M.P. Murphy, J. Beard, P. Das, K. Jansen, M. Delucia, W. Lin, G. Dolios, R. Wang, C.B. Eckman, D.W. Dickson, M. Hutton, J. Hardy, and T. Golde. Abeta42 is essential for parenchymal and vascular amyloid deposition in mice. *Neuron*, 47(2):191–9, July 2005.

- [97] D. Moechars, I. Dewachter, K. Lorent, D. Reversé, V. Baekelandt, A. Naidu, I. Tesseur, K. Spittaels, C.V. Haute, F. Checler, E. Godaux, B. Cordell, and F. Van Leuven. Early phenotypic changes in transgenic mice that overexpress different mutants of amyloid precursor protein in brain. *The journal of biological chemistry*, 274(10):6483–92, March 1999.
- [98] S. Oddo, A. Caccamo, J.D. Shepherd, M.P. Murphy, T.E. Golde, R. Kayed, R. Metherate, M.P. Mattson, Y. Akbari, and F.M. LaFerla. Triple-transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease with plaques and tangles: intracellular Abeta and synaptic dysfunction. *Neuron*, 39(3):409–21, July 2003.
- [99] S. Oddo, A. Caccamo, M. Kitazawa, B.P. Tseng, and F.M. LaFerla. Amyloid deposition precedes tangle formation in a triple transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of aging*, 24(8):1063–70, December 2003.
- [100] R. Postina, A. Schroeder, I. Dewachter, J. Bohl, U. Schmitt, E. Kojro, C. Prinzen, K. Endres, C. Hiemke, M. Blessing, P. Flamez, A. Dequenne, E. Godaux, F. van Leuven, and F. Fahrenholz. A disintegrin-metalloproteinase prevents amyloid plaque formation and hippocampal defects in an Alzheimer disease mouse model. *The journal* of clinical investigation, 113(10):1456–64, May 2004.
- [101] M. Ramsden, L. Kotilinek, C. Forster, J. Paulson, E. McGowan, K. SantaCruz, A. Guimaraes, M. Yue, J. Lewis, G. Carlson, M. Hutton, and K.H. Ashe. Agedependent neurofibrillary tangle formation, neuron loss, and memory impairment in a mouse model of human tauopathy (P301L). *The journal of neuroscience*, 25(46):10637– 47, November 2005.
- [102] K. Santacruz, J. Lewis, T. Spires, J. Paulson, L. Kotilinek, M. Ingelsson, A. Guimaraes, M. DeTure, M. Ramsden, E. McGowan, C. Forster, M. Yue, J. Orne, C. Janus, A. Mariash, M. Kuskowski, B. Hyman, M. Hutton, and K.H. Ashe. Tau suppression in a neurodegenerative mouse model improves memory function. *Science*, 309(5733):476–81, July 2005.
- [103] C. Sturchler-Pierrat, D. Abramowski, M. Duke, K.H. Wiederhold, C. Mistl, S. Rothacher, B. Ledermann, K. Bürki, P. Frey, P.A. Paganetti, C. Waridel, M.E. Calhoun, M. Jucker, A. Probst, M. Staufenbiel, and B. Sommer. Two amyloid precursor protein transgenic mouse models with Alzheimer's disease-like pathology. *Proceedings* of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America, 94(24):13287–92, November 1997.
- [104] K. Tanemura, T. Akagi, M. Murayama, N. Kikuchi, O. Murayama, T. Hashikawa, Y. Yoshiike, J.M. Park, K. Matsuda, S. Nakao, X. Sun, S. Sato, H. Yamaguchi, and A. Takashima. Formation of filamentous tau aggregations in transgenic mice expressing V337M human tau. *Neurobiology of disease*, 8(6):1036–45, December 2001.
- [105] Y. Tatebayashi, T. Miyasaka, D. Chui, T. Akagi, K. Mishima, K. Iwasaki, M. Fujiwara, K. Tanemura, M. Murayama, K. Ishiguro, E. Planel, S. Sato, T. Hashikawa, and A. Takashima. Tau filament formation and associative memory deficit in aged mice expressing mutant (R406W) human tau. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America*, 99(21):13896–901, October 2002.
- [106] B. Zhang, M. Higuchi, Y. Yoshiyama, T. Ishihara, M.S. Forman, D. Martinez, S. Joyce, J.Q. Trojanowski, and V.M. Lee. Retarded axonal transport of R406W mutant tau in transgenic mice with a neurodegenerative tauopathy. *The journal of Neuroscience*, 24(19):4657–67, May 2004.

- [107] Y.Z. Wadghiri, E.M. Sigurdsson, M. Sadowski, J.I. Elliott, Y. Li, H. Scholtzova, C.Y. Tang, G. Aguinaldo, M. Pappolla, K. Duff, T. Wisniewski, and D.H. Turnbull. Detection of Alzheimer's amyloid in transgenic mice using magnetic resonance microimaging. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 50(2):293–302, August 2003.
- [108] M. Dhenain, B. Delatour, C. Walczak, and A. Volk. Passive staining: a novel ex vivo MRI protocol to detect amyloid deposits in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 55(3):687–93, March 2006.
- [109] M.F. Falangola, B.A. Ardekani, S. Lee, J.S. Babb, A. Bogart, V.V. Dyakin, R. Nixon, K. Duff, and J.A. Helpern. Application of a non-linear image registration algorithm to quantitative analysis of T2 relaxation time in transgenic mouse models of AD pathology. *Journal of neuroscience methods*, 144:91–97, 2005.
- [110] J.A. Helpern, S. Lee, M.F. Falangola, V.V. Dyakin, A. Bogart, B. Ardekani, K. Duff, C. Branch, T. Wisniewski, M.J. de Leon, O. Wolf, J. O'Shea, and R.A. Nixon. MRI assessment of neuropathology in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 51(4):794–8, April 2004.
- [111] C.R. Jack, M. Garwood, T.M. Wengenack, B. Borowski, G.L. Curran, J. Lin, G. Adriany, O.H.J. Gröhn, R. Grimm, and J.F. Poduslo. In vivo visualization of Alzheimer's amyloid plaques by magnetic resonance imaging in transgenic mice without a contrast agent. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 52(6):1263–71, December 2004.
- [112] C.R. Jack, T.M. Wengenack, D.A. Reyes, M. Garwood, G.L. Curran, B.J. Borowski, J. Lin, G.M. Preboske, S.S. Holasek, G. Adriany, and J.F. Poduslo. In vivo magnetic resonance microimaging of individual amyloid plaques in Alzheimer's transgenic mice. *The journal of Neuroscience*, 25(43):10041–8, October 2005.
- [113] S. Lee, M.F. Falangola, R.A. Nixon, K. Duff, and J.A. Helpern. Visualization of beta-amyloid plaques in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease using MR microscopy without contrast reagents. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 52(3):538–44, September 2004.
- [114] M. Higuchi, N. Iwata, Y. Matsuba, K. Sato, K. Sasamoto, and T.C. Saido. 19F and 1H MRI detection of amyloid beta plaques in vivo. *Nature neuroscience*, 8(4):527–33, April 2005.
- [115] G. Vanhoutte, I. Dewachter, P. Borghgraef, F. Van Leuven, and A. Van Der Linden. Noninvasive in vivo MRI detection of neuritic plaques associated with iron in APP[V717I] transgenic mice, a model for Alzheimer's disease. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 53(3):607–13, March 2005.
- [116] J. Lewis, D.W. Dickson, W.L. Lin, L. Chisholm, A. Corral, G. Jones, S.H. Yen, N. Sahara, L. Skipper, D. Yager, C. Eckman, J. Hardy, M. Hutton, and E. McGowan. Enhanced neurofibrillary degeneration in transgenic mice expressing mutant tau and APP. *Science*, 293(5534):1487–91, August 2001.
- [117] N. El Tannir El Tayara, B. Delatour, C. Le Cudennec, M. Guégan, A. Volk, and M. Dhenain. Age-related evolution of amyloid burden, iron load, and MR relaxation times in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of disease*, 22(1):199–208, April 2006.
- [118] N. El Tannir El Tayara, A. Volk, M. Dhenain, and B. Delatour. Transverse relaxation time reflects brain amyloidosis in young APP/PS1 transgenic mice. *Magnetic resonance* in medicine, 58(1):179–84, July 2007.

- [119] T. Lumley, P. Diehr, S. Emerson, and L. Chen. The importance of the normality assumption in large public health data sets. *Annual review of public health*, 23:151–69, January 2002.
- [120] P.W. Mielke Jr. and K.J. Berry. Permutation Methods: A Distance Function Approach (Springer Series in Statistics). Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [121] P. McCullagh and J.A. Nelder. *Generalized Linear Models*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2 edition, 1989.
- [122] C.E. McCulloch, S.R. Searle, and J.M. Neuhaus. Generalized, Linear, and Mixed Models (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics). Wiley-Interscience, 2000.
- [123] J.A. Hardy and G.A. Higgins. Alzheimer's disease: the amyloid cascade hypothesis. *Science*, 256(5054):184–5, April 1992.
- [124] J. Hardy. Has the amyloid cascade hypothesis for Alzheimer's disease been proved? Current Alzheimer research, 3(1):71–3, February 2006.
- [125] M. Meyer-Luehmann, T.L. Spires-Jones, C. Prada, M. Garcia-Alloza, A. de Calignon, A. Rozkalne, J. Koenigsknecht-Talboo, D.M. Holtzman, B.J. Bacskai, and B.T. Hyman. Rapid appearance and local toxicity of amyloid-beta plaques in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature*, 451(7179):720–4, March 2008.
- [126] B. Sommer. Alzheimer's disease and the amyloid cascade hypothesis: ten years on. Current opinion in pharmacology, 2(1):87–92, February 2002.
- [127] M. Dhenain, N. Privat, C. Duyckaerts, and R.E. Jacobs. Senile plaques do not induce susceptibility effects in T2*-weighted MR microscopic images. *NMR in biomedicine*, 15(3):197–203, May 2002.
- [128] C. Faber, B. Zahneisen, F. Tippmann, and A. Schroeder. Gradient-Echo and CRAZED Imaging for Minute Detection of Alzheimer Plaques in an APPV717I x ADAM10-dn Mouse Model. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 703:696–703, 2007.
- [129] E.M. Sigurdsson, Y.Z. Wadghiri, L. Mosconi, J.A. Blind, E. Knudsen, A. Asuni, H. Scholtzova, W.H. Tsui, Y. Li, M. Sadowski, D.H. Turnbull, M.J. De Leon, and T. Wisniewski. A non-toxic ligand for voxel-based MRI analysis of plaques in AD transgenic mice. *Neurobiology of aging*, 29:836–47, 2008.
- [130] T.M. Wengenack, G.L. Curran, and J.F. Poduslo. Targeting alzheimer amyloid plaques in vivo. *Nature biotechnology*, 18(8):868–72, August 2000.
- [131] T.M. Wengenack, S. Whelan, G.L. Curran, K.E. Duff, and J.F. Poduslo. Quantitative histological analysis of amyloid deposition in Alzheimer's double transgenic mouse brain. *Neuroscience*, 101(4):939–44, January 2000.
- [132] D.J. Daley and D. Vere-Jones. An introduction to the theory of point processes. Springer, New York, 2nd edition, 2008.
- [133] B.T. Hyman, H.L. West, G.W. Rebeck, S.V. Buldyrev, R.N. Mantegna, M. Ukleja, S. Havlin, and H.E. Stanley. Quantitative analysis of senile plaques in Alzheimer disease: observation of log-normal size distribution and molecular epidemiology of differences associated with apolipoprotein E genotype and trisomy 21 (Down syndrome). Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America, 92(8):3586-90, April 1995.

- [134] L. Edelstein-Keshet and A. Spiros. Exploring the formation of Alzheimer's disease senile plaques in silico. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 216(3):301–26, June 2002.
- [135] M. Luca, A. Chavez-Ross, L. Edelstein-Keshet, and A. Mogilner. Chemotactic Signaling, Microglia, and Alzheimer's Disease Senile Plaques: Is There a Connection? *Bulletin of mathematical biology*, 65(4):693–730, July 2003.
- [136] S. Itagaki, P.L. McGeer, H. Akiyama, S. Zhu, and D. Selkoe. Relationship of microglia and astrocytes to amyloid deposits of Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of neuroimmunol*ogy, 24(3):173–82, October 1989.
- [137] D.W. Dickson. Microglia in Alzheimer's disease and transgenic models. How close the fit? The American journal of pathology, 154(6):1627–31, June 1999.
- [138] S.E. Hickman, E.K. Allison, and J. El Khoury. Microglial Dysfunction and Defective Beta-Amyloid Clearance Pathways in Aging Alzheimers Disease Mice. *Journal of neuroscience*, 28(33):8354–60, 2008.
- [139] K. Shoghi-Jadid, J.R. Barrio, V. Kepe, and S. Huang. Exploring a mathematical model for the kinetics of beta-amyloid molecular imaging probes through a critical analysis of plaque pathology. *Molecular imaging and biology*, 8(3):151–62, 2006.
- [140] U. Grenander and M.I. Miller. Pattern theory: from representation to inference. Oxford University Press, New York, 2007.
- [141] D. Cornforth and H. Jelinek. Automated classification reveals morphological factors associated with dementia. Applied soft computing, 8(1):182–90, 2008.
- [142] M. Dhenain, N. El Tannir El Tayara, T. Wu, M. Guegan, A. Volk, C. Quintana, and B. Delatour. Characterization of in vivo MRI detectable thalamic amyloid plaques from APP / PS1 mice. *Neurobiology of aging*, 30(1):41–53, 2009.
- [143] J.C. de la Torre and T. Mussivand. Can disturbed brain microcirculation cause Alzheimer's disease? *Neurological research*, 15(3):146–53, June 1993.
- [144] A. Komatani, K. Yamaguchi, Y. Sugai, T. Takanashi, M. Kera, M. Shinohara, and S. Kawakatsu. Assessment of demented patients by dynamic SPECT of inhaled xenon-133. Journal of nuclear medicine, 29(10):1621–6, October 1988.
- [145] T. Ohnishi, H. Hoshi, S. Nagamachi, S. Jinnouchi, L.G. Flores, S. Futami, and K. Watanabe. High-resolution SPECT to assess hippocampal perfusion in neuropsychiatric diseases. *Journal of nuclear medicine*, 36(7):1163–9, July 1995.
- [146] C.P. Chao, A.L. Kotsenas, and D.F. Broderick. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy: CT and MR imaging findings. *Radiographics*, 26(5):1517–31, 2006.
- [147] E. Kouznetsova, M. Klingner, D. Sorger, O. Sabri, U. Grossmann, J. Steinbach, M. Scheunemann, and R. Schliebs. Developmental and amyloid plaque-related changes in cerebral cortical capillaries in transgenic Tg2576 Alzheimer mice. *International journal of developmental neuroscience*, 24(2-3):187–93, 2006.
- [148] M. Marjanska, G.L. Curran, T.M. Wengenack, P. Henry, R.L. Bliss, J.F. Poduslo, C.R. Jack, K. Ugurbil, and M. Garwood. Monitoring disease progression in transgenic mouse models of Alzheimer's disease with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America*, 102(33):11906–10, August 2005.

- [149] S.B. Domnitz, E.M. Robbins, A.W. Hoang, M. Garcia-Alloza, B.T. Hyman, G.W. Rebeck, S.M. Greenberg, B.J. Bacskai, and M.P. Frosch. Progression of cerebral amyloid angiopathy in transgenic mouse models of Alzheimer disease. *Journal of neuropathology* and experimental neurology, 64(7):588–94, July 2005.
- [150] E.M. Robbins, R.A. Betensky, S.B. Domnitz, S.M. Purcell, M. Garcia-Alloza, C. Greenberg, G.W. Rebeck, B.T. Hyman, S.M. Greenberg, M.P. Frosch, and B.J. Bacskai. Kinetics of cerebral amyloid angiopathy progression in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer disease. *The journal of Neuroscience*, 26(2):365–71, January 2006.
- [151] M. Garcia-Alloza, E.M. Robbins, S.X. Zhang-Nunes, S.M. Purcell, R.A. Betensky, S. Raju, C. Prada, S.M. Greenberg, B.J. Bacskai, and M.P. Frosch. Characterization of amyloid deposition in the APPswe/PS1dE9 mouse model of Alzheimer disease. *Neurobiology of disease*, 24(3):516–24, December 2006.
- [152] N. Beckmann, A. Schuler, T. Mueggler, E.P. Meyer, K. Wiederhold, M. Staufenbiel, and T. Krucker. Age-dependent cerebrovascular abnormalities and blood flow disturbances in APP23 mice modeling Alzheimer's disease. *The journal of neuroscience*, 23(24):8453–9, September 2003.
- [153] T. Krucker, A. Schuler, E.P. Meyer, M. Staufenbiel, and N. Beckmann. Magnetic resonance angiography and vascular corrosion casting as tools in biomedical research: application to transgenic mice modeling Alzheimer's disease. *Neurological research*, 26(5):507–16, July 2004.
- [154] D.R. Thal, W.S.T. Griffin, R.A.I. de Vos, and E. Ghebremedhin. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy and its relationship to Alzheimer's disease. Acta neuropathologica, 115(6):599–609, 2008.
- [155] L.G. Apostolova and P.M. Thompson. Mapping progressive brain structural changes in early Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychologia*, 46(6):1597–612, January 2008.
- [156] C.R. Jack, M.A. Bernstein, N.C. Fox, P. Thompson, G.E. Alexander, D. Harvey, B. Borowski, P.J. Britson, J. L Whitwell, C. Ward, A.M. Dale, J.P. Felmlee, J.L. Gunter, D.L.G. Hill, R. Killiany, N. Schuff, S. Fox-Bosetti, C. Lin, C. Studholme, C.S. DeCarli, G. Krueger, H.A. Ward, G.J. Metzger, K.T. Scott, R. Mallozzi, D. Blezek, J. Levy, J.P. Debbins, A.S. Fleisher, M. Albert, R. Green, G. Bartzokis, G. Glover, J. Mugler, and M.W. Weiner. The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI): MRI methods. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging*, 27(4):685–91, April 2008.
- [157] W.J. Jagust, L. Zheng, D.J. Harvey, W.J. Mack, H.V. Vinters, M.W. Weiner, W.G. Ellis, C. Zarow, D. Mungas, B.R. Reed, J.H. Kramer, N. Schuff, C. DeCarli, and H.C. Chui. Neuropathological basis of magnetic resonance images in aging and dementia. *Annals of neurology*, 63(1):72–80, January 2008.
- [158] R.J. Killiany, T. Gomez-Isla, M. Moss, R. Kikinis, T. Sandor, F. Jolesz, R. Tanzi, K. Jones, B.T. Hyman, and M.S. Albert. Use of structural magnetic resonance imaging to predict who will get Alzheimer's disease. *Annals of neurology*, 47(4):430–9, April 2000.
- [159] M.L. Ries, C.M. Carlsson, H.A. Rowley, M.A. Sager, C.E. Gleason, S. Asthana, and S.C. Johnson. Magnetic resonance imaging characterization of brain structure and function in mild cognitive impairment: a review. *Journal of the american geriatrics society*, 56(5):920–34, May 2008.

- [160] J. Ashburner and K.J. Friston. Why Voxel-Based Morphometry Should Be Used. *NeuroImage*, 14:1238–43, 2001.
- [161] C. Zhang, E. McNeil, L. Dressler, and R. Siman. Long-lasting impairment in hippocampal neurogenesis associated with amyloid deposition in a knock-in mouse model of familial Alzheimer's disease. *Experimental neurology*, 204(1):77–87, March 2007.
- [162] A.A. Ali, A.M. Dale, A. Badea, and G.A. Johnson. Automated segmentation of neuroanatomical structures in multispectral MR microscopy of the mouse brain. *NeuroImage*, 27:425–35, 2005.
- [163] P.M. Thompson and A.W. Toga. Detection, visualization and animation of abnormal anatomic structure with a deformable probabilistic brain atlas based on random vector field transformations. *Medical image analysis*, 1(4):271–94, September 1997.
- [164] R.P. Woods, S.T. Grafton, J.D. Watson, N.L. Sicotte, and J.C. Mazziotta. Automated image registration: II. Intersubject validation of linear and nonlinear models. *Journal* of computer assisted tomography, 22(1):153–65, 1998.
- [165] N.A. Bock, N. Kovacevic, T.V. Lipina, J.C. Roder, S.L. Ackerman, and R.M. Henkelman. In Vivo Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Semiautomated Image Analysis Extend the Brain Phenotype for cdf / cdf Mice. *The journal of Neuroscience*, 26(17):4455– 59, 2006.
- [166] R. Verma, S. Mori, D. Shen, P. Yarowsky, J. Zhang, and C. Davatzikos. Spatiotemporal maturation patterns of murine brain quantified by diffusion tensor MRI and deformation-based morphometry. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America*, 102(19):6978–83, 2005.
- [167] S. Maheswaran, D.L.G. Hill, M.F. James, L. Tilling, N. Upton, J. Hajnal, and D. Rueckert. Deformation based morphometry analysis of serial magnetic resonance images of mouse brains. In *Biomedical image registration*, pages 58–65. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [168] I.N. Bankman, T. Spisz, and S. Pavlopoulos. Two-dimensional shape and texture analysis, page 984. Academic Press, 2nd edition, 2009.
- [169] M. Hajek, M. Dezortova, A. Materka, and R. Lerski. Texture analysis for magnetic resonance imaging. Med4 publishing, 2006.
- [170] C.M. Haralick. Statistical and structural approach to textures. In Proceedings of the IEEE, pages 786–804, 1979.
- [171] T. Tasdizen, S.P. Awate, R.T. Whitaker, and N.L. Foster. MRI tissue classification with neighborhood statistics: a nonparametric, entropy-minimizing approach. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pages 517–25, January 2005.
- [172] O. Yu, J. Steibel, Y. Mauss, B. Guignard, B. Eclancher, J. Chambron, and D. Grucker. Remyelination assessment by MRI texture analysis in a cuprizone mouse model. *Mag-netic resonance imaging*, 22(8):1139–44, October 2004.
- [173] P.A. Freeborough and N.C. Fox. MR image texture analysis applied to the diagnosis and tracking of Alzheimer's disease. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 17(3):475– 9, June 1998.

- [174] Y. Liu, L. Teverovskiy, O. Carmichael, R. Kikinis, M. Shenton, C.S. Carter, V.A. Stenger, S. Davis, H. Aizenstein, J.T. Becker, O.L. Lopez, and C.C. Meltzer. Discriminative MR Image Feature Analysis for Automatic Schizophrenia and Alzheimer's Disease Classification. In *International conference on medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention*, pages 393–401. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2004.
- [175] V.A. Kovalev, M. Petrou, and Y.S. Bondar. Texture anisotropy in 3-D images. *IEEE transactions on image processing*, 8(3):346–60, 1999.
- [176] C.C. Reyes-Aldasoro and A. Bhalerao. Volumetric texture description and discriminant feature selection for MRI. In *Proceedings of IEEE information processing in medical imaging*, volume 18, pages 282–93, July 2003.
- [177] V. Megalooikonomou, J. Zhang, D. Kontos, and P.R. Bakic. Analysis of texture patterns in medical images with an application to breast imaging. In M.L. Giger and N.L. Karssemeijer, editors, *Proceedings of SPIE medical imaging*, volume 6514, page 651421, 2007.
- [178] G.W. Arendash and D.L. King. Intra- and intertask relationships in a behavioral test battery given to Tg2576 transgenic mice and controls. *Physiology* \& behavior, 75(5):643–52, 2002.
- [179] E. Dere, J.P. Huston, and M.A. De Souza-Silva. Episodic-like memory in mice: simultaneous assessment of object, place and temporal order memory. *Brain research* protocols, 16(1-3):10–9, December 2005.
- [180] I.J. Hildebrandt, H. Su, and W.A. Weber. Anesthesia and other considerations for in vivo imaging of small animals. *ILAR journal*, 49(1):17–26, January 2008.
- [181] D.H. Turnbull and S. Mori. MRI in mouse developmental biology. NMR in biomedicine, 20(3):265–74, May 2007.
- [182] A. Gholipour, N. Kehtarnavaz, R. Briggs, M. Devous, and K. Gopinath. Brain functional localization: A survey of image registration techniques. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 26(4):427–51, 2007.
- [183] J. Tohka, E. Krestyannikov, I.D. Dinov, A.M. Graham, D.W. Shattuck, U. Ruotsalainen, and A.W. Toga. Genetic algorithms for finite mixture model based voxel classification in neuroimaging. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 26(5):696–711, 2007.
- [184] T. Rohlfing, D.B. Russakoff, and C.R. Maurer. Performance-based classifier combination in atlas-based image segmentation using expectation-maximization parameter estimation. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 23(8):983–94, August 2004.
- [185] G.A. Johnson, A.A. Ali-Sharief, A. Badea, J. Brandenburg, G. Cofer, B. Fubara, S. Gewalt, L.W. Hedlund, and L. Upchurch. High-throughput morphologic phenotyping of the mouse brain with magnetic resonance histology. *NeuroImage*, 37(1):82–89, 2007.
- [186] A.A. Sharief, A. Badea, A.M. Dale, and G.A. Johnson. Automated segmentation of the actively stained mouse brain using multi-spectral MR microscopy. *NeuroImage*, 39(1):136–45, 2008.
- [187] R.O. Duda, E.H. Peter, and D.G Stork. *Pattern Classification*. John Wiley and Sons, INC, 2nd edition, 2000.

- [188] S. Geman and D. Geman. Stochastic relaxation, Gibbs distributions and the Bayesian restoration of images. *Journal of applied statistics*, 20(5):25–62, 1993.
- [189] J.P. Thirion. Image matching as a diffusion process: an analogy with Maxwell's demons. *Medical image analysis*, 2(3):243–60, September 1998.
- [190] D.L.G. Hill, P.G. Batchelor, M. Holden, and D.J. Hawkes. Medical image registration. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 46:R1–R45, 2001.
- [191] J.B.A. Maintz and M.A. Viergever. A Survey of Medical Image Registration. Medical image analysis, 2(1):1–37, 1998.
- [192] T.S. Yoo. Insight into Images: Principles and Practice for Segmentation, Registration, and Image Analysis. AK Peters Ltd, 2004, 2004.
- [193] P. Lorenzen, M. Prastawa, B. Davis, G. Gerig, E. Bullitt, and S. Joshi. Multi-modal image set registration and atlas formation. *Medical image analysis*, 10(3):440–51, June 2006.
- [194] M.K. Chung, K.J. Worsley, T. Paus, C. Cherif, and D.L. Collins. A unified statistical approach to deformation-based morphometry. *NeuroImage*, 14(3):595–606, 2001.
- [195] J Cao and KJ Worsley. The detection of local shape changes via the geometry of Hotelling's T2 fields. Annals of statistics, 27:925–42, 1999.
- [196] T.E. Nichols and A.P. Holmes. Non-parametric procedures, chapter 21, pages 253–72. Academic Press, 2006.
- [197] A.C. Davison and D.V. Hinkley. Bootstrap methods and their application. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [198] E.L. Lehman. Testing Statistical Hypothesis. John Wiley & Sons, 2nd edition, 1986.
- [199] J.R. Chumbley and K.J. Friston. False discovery rate revisited : FDR and topological inference using Gaussian random fields. *NeuroImage*, 44(1):62–70, 2009.
- [200] B.R. Moore. A Modification of the Rayleigh Test for Vector Data. Biometrika, 67(1):175–80, 1980.
- [201] J. Dutka. On the problem of random flights. Archive for history of exact sciences, 32(3):351–375, 1985.
- [202] Y. Kajikawa and T.A. Hackett. Entropy analysis of neuronal spike train synchrony. Journal of neuroscience methods, 149:90–93, 2005.
- [203] J.J. Tukker, P. Fuentealba, K. Hartwich, P. Somogyi, and T. Klausberger. Cell typespecific tuning of hippocampal interneuron firing during gamma oscillations in vivo. *The journal of neuroscience*, 27(31):8184–9, August 2007.
- [204] A.G. Richardson, G. Lassi-Tucci, C. Padoa-Schioppa, and E. Bizzi. Neuronal activity in the cingulate motor areas during adaptation to a new dynamic environment. *Journal* of neurophysiology, 99(3):1253, 2008.
- [205] R.J. van Beers, P. Haggard, and D.M. Wolpert. The role of execution noise in movement variability. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 91(2):1050–63, February 2004.
- [206] K.P. Able and M.A. Able. Development of sunset orientation in a migratory bird: no calibration by the magnetic field. *Animal behaviour*, 53(2):363–368, February 1997.
- [207] M.K. McNaught and I.P.F. Owens. Interspecific variation in plumage colour among birds: species recognition or light environment? *Journal of evolutionary biology*, 15(4):505–14, June 2002.

Bibliography

- [208] N.H.K. Burton. Nest orientation and hatching success in the tree pipit Anthus trivialis. Journal of avian biology, 37(4):312–17, July 2006.
- [209] N. Chernetsov, W. Chromik, P.T. Dolata, P. Profus, and P. Tryjanowsky. Sex-related natal dispersal of White Storks (Ciconia ciconia) in Poland: How far and where to? *The auk*, 123(4):1103–09, 2006.
- [210] K.V. Mardia and P.E. Jupp. *Directional statistics*. John Wiley & Sons, 2000.
- [211] J.L. Gastwirth. Percentile Modifications of Two Sample Rank Tests. Journal of the American statistical association, 60(312):1127–41, 1965.
- [212] M.A. Stephens. Exact and approximate tests for directions. I. Biometrika, 49(3-4):463– 77, 1962.
- [213] R.D. Lord. The Use of the Hankel Transform in Statistics I. General Theory and Examples. *Biometrika*, 41(1):44–55, 1954.
- [214] F. Wilcoxon. Individual Comparisons by Ranking Methods. Biometrics bulletin, 1(6):80–3, 1945.
- [215] D. Borwein and J.M. Borwein. Some Remarkable Properties of Sinc and Related Integrals. *The ramanujan journal*, 5(1):73–89, March 2001.
- [216] S. Dvorak. Treloar's distribution and its numerical implementation. Journal of physics A: general physics, 5(1):78, 1972.
- [217] H. Hotelling. The Generalization of Student's Ratio. The annals of mathematical statistics, 2(3):360-78, 1931.
- [218] C. Diks. A test for symmetries of multivariate probability distributions. *Biometrika*, 86(3):605–14, September 1999.
- [219] J.W. Pratt. Robustness of Some Procedures for the Two-Sample Location Problem. Journal of the American statistical association, 59(307):665–80, 1964.
- [220] M.W. Fagerland and L. Sandvik. The Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test under scrutiny. Statistics in medicine, 28(10):1487–97, May 2009.
- [221] J.F.C. Kingman. Random walks with spherical symmetry. Acta mathematica, 109(1):11–53, July 1963.
- [222] S. Aki. On nonparametric tests for symmetry in Rm. Annals of the institute of statistical mathematics, 45(4):787–800, 1993.
- [223] P.E. Jupp. A nonparametric correlation coefficient and a two-sample test for random vectors or directions. *Biometrika*, 74(4):887–90, December 1987.
- [224] N. Henze, B. Klar, and S.G. Meintanis. Invariant tests for symmetry about an unspecified point based on the empirical characteristic function. *Journal of multivariate* analysis, 87(2):275–97, 2003.
- [225] V.A. Fernández, M.D.J. Gamero, and J.M. García. A test for the two-sample problem based on empirical characteristic functions. *Computational statistics & data analysis*, 52(7):3730–48, 2008.
- [226] J. Ngatchou-Wandji. Testing for symmetry in multivariate distributions. *Statistical methodology*, 6(3):230–50, May 2009.
- [227] D. Goldberg. What every computer scientist should know about floating-point arithmetic. ACM computing surveys, 23(1):5–48, 1991.

- [228] J. Robinson. Saddlepoint Approximations for Permutation Tests and Confidence Intervals. Journal of the Royal statistical society. Series B (Methodological), 44(1):91–101, 1982.
- [229] P. Gill. Efficient calculation of p-values in linear-statistic permutation significance tests. Journal of statistical computation and simulation, 77(1):55–61, January 2007.
- [230] J. Besag and P. Clifford. Sequential Monte Carlo p -values. Biometrika, 78(2):301–304, 1991.
- [231] M.P. Fay, H. Kim, and M. Hachey. On Using Truncated Sequential Probability Ratio Test Boundaries for Monte Carlo Implementation of Hypothesis Tests. *Journal of computational and graphical statistics*, 16(4):946–67, 2007.
- [232] G. Ulrich. Computer Generation of Distributions on the m-Sphere. Journal of the Royal statistical society. Series C (Applied Statistics), 33(2):158–63, 1984.
- [233] A.T.A Wood. Simulation of the von mises fisher distribution. Communications in statistics - simulation and computation, 23(1):157–64, 1994.
- [234] P.A. Bandettini. What s New in Neuroimaging Methods? Annals of the new york academy of sciences, 1156:260–293, 2009.
- [235] D. Wang, L. Shi, W.C.W. Chu, T. Paus, J.C.Y. Cheng, and P. Ann. A comparison of morphometric techniques for studying the shape of the corpus callosum in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *NeuroImage*, 45(3):738–748, 2009.
- [236] T.F. Cootes, C.J. Twining, K.O. Babalola, and C.J. Taylor. Diffeomorphic statistical shape models. *Image and vision computing*, 26(3):326–32, 2008.
- [237] Walter M Ferrarini, L.Palm, H. Olofsen, M.A. van Buchem, J.H.C. Reiber, and F. Admiraal-Behloul. Shape differences of the brain ventricles in Alzheimer's disease. *NeuroImage*, 32(3):1060–9, September 2006.
- [238] M. Styner, G. Gerig, J. Lieberman, D. Jones, and D. Weinberger. Statistical shape analysis of neuroanatomical structures based on medial models. *Medical image analy*sis, 7(3):207–220, 2003.
- [239] T.B. Terriberry, S.C. Joshi, and G. Gerig. Hypothesis testing with nonlinear shape models. In *Proceedings of information processing in medical imaging*, pages 15–26, 2005.
- [240] A. Mechelli, C.J. Price, K.J. Friston, and J. Ashburner. Voxel-based morphometry of the human brain: methods and applications. *Current medical imaging reviews*, 1(2):105–13, 2005.
- [241] C. Davatzikos and N. Bryan. Using a deformable surface model to obtain a shape representation of the cortex. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 15(6):785–95, January 1996.
- [242] S. Durrleman, X. Pennec, A. Trouvé, P. Thompson, and N. Ayache. Inferring brain variability from diffeomorphic deformations of currents : An integrative approach. *Medical image analysis*, 12:626–637, 2008.
- [243] P. Aljabar, K.K. Bhatia, M. Murgasova, J.V. Hajnal, J.P. Boardman, L. Srinivasan, M.A. Rutherford, L.E. Dyet, A.D. Edwards, and D. Rueckert. Assessment of brain growth in early childhood using deformation-based morphometry. *NeuroImage*, 39(1):348–58, January 2008.

- [244] C. Studholme, V. Cardenas, R. Blumenfeld, N. Schuff, H.J. Rosen, B. Miller, and M. Weiner. Deformation tensor morphometry of semantic dementia with quantitative validation. *NeuroImage*, 21(4):1387–98, April 2004.
- [245] V.A. Cardenas, C. Studholme, S. Gazdzinski, T.C. Durazzo, and D.J. Meyerhoff. Deformation-based morphometry of brain changes in alcohol dependence and abstinence. *NeuroImage*, 34(3):879–87, February 2007.
- [246] T. Chen and D. Metaxas. A hybrid framework for 3D medical image segmentation. Medical image analysis, 9:547–565, 2005.
- [247] M.P. Fay and D.A. Follmann. Designing Monte Carlo Implementations of Permutation or Bootstrap Hypothesis Tests. *The american statistician*, 56(1):63–70, February 2002.
- [248] C. Rorden, L. Bonilha, and T.E. Nichols. Rank-order versus mean based statistics for neuroimaging. *Neuroimage*, 35(4):1531–1537, 2007.
- [249] M. Muskulus, A.E.H. Scheenstra, and S. Verduyn-Lunel. A generalization of the MooreRayleigh test for testing symmetry of vector data and two-sample problems, 2009.
- [250] K.J. Friston, A.P. Holmes, C.J. Price, C. Bu, and K.J. Worsley. Multisubject fMRI Studies and Conjunction Analyses. *NeuroImage*, 396:385–396, 1999.
- [251] J.S. Allen, J. Bruss, S. Mehta, T. Grabowski, C. Kice, and H. Damasio. Effects of spatial transformation on Regional Brain Volume Estimates. *NeuroImage*, 2008.
- [252] A. Klein, J. Andersson, B.A. Ardekani, J. Ashburner, B. Avants, M. Chiang, G.E. Christensen, D.L. Collins, J. Gee, P. Hellier, J. Hyun, M. Jenkinson, C. Lepage, D. Rueckert, P. Thompson, T. Vercauteren, R.P. Woods, J.J. Mann, and R.V. Parsey. Evaluation of 14 nonlinear deformation algorithms applied to human brain MRI registration. *NeuroImage*, 46(3):786–802, 2009.
- [253] J. Olesen. The International Classification of Headache Disorders. Headache, 48(5):691–3, May 2008.
- [254] M.D. Ferrari. The economic burden of migraine to society. *PharmacoEconomics*, 13(6):667–76, June 1998.
- [255] A.M.J.M. van den Maagdenberg, J. Haan, G.M. Terwindt, and M.D. Ferrari. Migraine: gene mutations and functional consequences. *Current opinion in neurology*, 20(3):299– 305, June 2007.
- [256] R.A. Ophoff, G.M. Terwindt, M.N. Vergouwe, R. van Eijk, P.J. Oefner, S.M. Hoffman, J.E. Lamerdin, H.W. Mohrenweiser, D.E. Bulman, M. Ferrari, J. Haan, D. Lindhout, G.J. van Ommen, M.H. Hofker, M.D. Ferrari, and R.R. Frants. Familial Hemiplegic Migraine and Episodic Ataxia Type-2 Are Caused by Mutations in the Ca2+ Channel Gene CACNL1A4. *Cell*, 87(3):543–52, November 1996.
- [257] D. Pietrobon. Migraine: new molecular mechanisms. The neuroscientist, 11(4):373–86, August 2005.
- [258] A. Tottene, F. Pivotto, T. Fellin, T. Cesetti, A.M.J.M. van Den Maagdenberg, and D. Pietrobon. Specific kinetic alterations of human CaV2.1 calcium channels produced by mutation S218L causing familial hemiplegic migraine and delayed cerebral edema and coma after minor head trauma. *The journal of biological chemistry*, 280(18):17678– 86, May 2005.

- [259] A.M.J.M. van Den Maagdenberg, D. Pietrobon, T. Pizzorusso, S. Kaja, L.A.M. Broos, T. Cesetti, R.C.G. van De Ven, A. Tottene, J. van Der Kaa, J.J. Plomp, R.R. Frants, and M.D. Ferrari. A Cacna1a knockin migraine mouse model with increased susceptibility to cortical spreading depression. *Neuron*, 41(5):701–10, March 2004.
- [260] K. Eikermann-Haerter, E. Dileköz, C. Kudo, S.I. Savitz, C. Waeber, M.J. Baum, M.D. Ferrari, A.M.J.M. van Den Maagdenberg, M.A. Moskowitz, and C. Ayata. Genetic and hormonal factors modulate spreading depression and transient hemiparesis in mouse models of familial hemiplegic migraine type 1. *The journal of clinical investigation*, 119(1):99–109, January 2009.
- [261] B. Todorov, R.C.G. van de Ven, S. Kaja, L.A.M. Broos, S.J. Verbeek, J.J. Plomp, M.D. Ferrari, R.R. Frants, and A.M.J.M. van den Maagdenberg. Conditional inactivation of the Cacnala gene in transgenic mice. *Genesis*, 44(12):589–94, December 2006.
- [262] A.M.J.M. van den Maagdenberg, T. Pizzorusso, S. Kaja, N. Terpolilli, M. Shapovalova, F.E. Hoebeek, C.F. Barrett, L. Gherardini, R.C.G. van de Ven, B. Todorov, L.A.M. Broos, A. Tottene, Z. Gao, M. Fodor, C.I. De Zeeuw, R.R. Frants, N. Plesnila, J.J. Plomp, D. Pietrobon, and M.D. Ferrari. High cortical spreading depression susceptibility and migraine-associated symptoms in Ca(v)2.1 S218L mice. Annals of neurology, 67(1):85–98, January 2010.
- [263] E.E. Kors, G.M. Terwindt, F.L. Vermeulen, R.B. Fitzsimons, P.E. Jardine, P. Heywood, S. Love, A.M.J.M. van den Maagdenberg, J. Haan, R.R. Frants, and M.D. Ferrari. Delayed cerebral edema and fatal coma after minor head trauma: role of the CACNA1A calcium channel subunit gene and relationship with familial hemiplegic migraine. Annals of neurology, 49(6):753–60, June 2001.
- [264] A. Tottene, T. Fellin, S. Pagnutti, S. Luvisetto, J. Striessnig, C. Fletcher, and D. Pietrobon. Familial hemiplegic migraine mutations increase Ca(2+) influx through single human CaV2.1 channels and decrease maximal CaV2.1 current density in neurons. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America, 99(20):13284–9, October 2002.
- [265] D. Pietrobon. Familial hemiplegic migraine. Neurotherapeutics, 4(2):274–84, April 2007.
- [266] M.C. Kruit, L.J. Launer, M.D. Ferrari, and M.A. van Buchem. Brain stem and cerebellar hyperintense lesions in migraine. *Stroke*, 37(4):1109–12, April 2006.
- [267] M.C. Kruit, M.A. van Buchem, P.A.M. Hofman, J.T.N. Bakkers, G.M. Terwindt, M.D. Ferrari, and L.J. Launer. Migraine as a risk factor for subclinical brain lesions. the journal of the American medical association, 291(4):427–34, January 2004.
- [268] M.S. Matharu, C.D. Good, A. May, A. Bahra, and P.J. Goadsby. No change in the structure of the brain in migraine: a voxel-based morphometric study. *European journal of neurology*, 10(1):53–7, January 2003.
- [269] W. Valfrè, I. Rainero, M. Bergui, and L. Pinessi. Voxel-based morphometry reveals gray matter abnormalities in migraine. *Headache*, 48(1):109–17, January 2008.
- [270] A. May and M. Matharu. New insights into migraine: application of functional and structural imaging. *Current opinion in neurology*, 20(3):306–9, June 2007.
- [271] P.S. Sándor, A. Mascia, L. Seidel, V. de Pasqua, and J. Schoenen. Subclinical cerebellar impairment in the common types of migraine: a three-dimensional analysis of reaching movements. *Annals of neurology*, 49(5):668–72, May 2001.

- [272] O. Yilmaz-Kusbeci, N. Gocmen-Mas, A. Yucel, H.S. Karabekir, T. Ertekin, and A.C. Yazici. Evaluation of Cerebellar and Cerebral Volume in Migraine with Aura: A Stereological Study (ahead of print). *Cerebellum*, March 2010.
- [273] S.M. Bowyer, K.S. Aurora, J.E. Moran, N. Tepley, and K.M. Welch. Magnetoencephalographic fields from patients with spontaneous and induced migraine aura. Annals of neurology, 50(5):582–7, November 2001.
- [274] F.M. Cutrer, A. O'Donnell, and M. Sanchez del Rio. Functional neuroimaging: enhanced understanding of migraine pathophysiology. *Neurology*, 55(9 Suppl 2):S36–45, January 2000.
- [275] N. Hadjikhani, M. Sanchez Del Rio, O. Wu, D. Schwartz, D. Bakker, B. Fischl, K.K. Kwong, F.M. Cutrer, B.R. Rosen, R.B. Tootell, A.G. Sorensen, and M.A. Moskowitz. Mechanisms of migraine aura revealed by functional MRI in human visual cortex. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America*, 98(8):4687–92, April 2001.
- [276] F. Richter, S. Rupprecht, A. Lehmenkühler, and H. Schaible. Spreading depression can be elicited in brain stem of immature but not adult rats. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 90(4):2163–70, October 2003.
- [277] T.J. Ebner and G. Chen. Spreading acidification and depression in the cerebellar cortex. *The neuroscientist*, 9(1):37–45, February 2003.
- [278] Y. Gursoy-Ozdemir, J. Qiu, N. Matsuoka, H. Bolay, D. Bermpohl, H. Jin, X. Wang, G.A. Rosenberg, E.H. Lo, and M.A. Moskowitz. Cortical spreading depression activates and upregulates MMP-9. *The journal of clinical investigation*, 113(10):1447–55, May 2004.
- [279] S.K. Afridi, M.S. Matharu, L. Lee, H. Kaube, K.J. Friston, R.S.J. Frackowiak, and P.J. Goadsby. A PET study exploring the laterality of brainstem activation in migraine using glyceryl trinitrate. *Brain*, 128(Pt 4):932–9, April 2005.
- [280] A. Bahra, M.S. Matharu, C. Buchel, R.S. Frackowiak, and P.J. Goadsby. Brainstem activation specific to migraine headache. *Lancet*, 357(9261):1016–7, March 2001.
- [281] M.A. Rocca, A. Ceccarelli, A. Falini, B. Colombo, P. Tortorella, L. Bernasconi, G. Comi, G. Scotti, and M. Filippi. Brain gray matter changes in migraine patients with T2-visible lesions: a 3-T MRI study. *Stroke*, 37(7):1765–70, July 2006.
- [282] C. Weiller, A. May, V. Limmroth, M. Jüptner, H. Kaube, R.V. Schayck, H.H. Coenen, and H.C. Diener. Brain stem activation in spontaneous human migraine attacks. *Nature medicine*, 1(7):658–60, July 1995.
- [283] J.R. Gee, J. Chang, A.B. Dublin, and N. Vijayan. The association of brainstem lesions with migraine-like headache: an imaging study of multiple sclerosis. *Headache*, 45(6):670–7, June 2005.
- [284] P.J. Goadsby. New directions in migraine research. Journal of clinical neuroscience, 9(4):368–73, July 2002.
- [285] Z. Katsarava, T. Egelhof, H. Kaube, H. Diener, and V. Limmroth. Symptomatic migraine and sensitization of trigeminal nociception associated with contralateral pontine cavernoma. *Pain*, 105(1-2):381–4, September 2003.
- [286] N.H. Raskin, Y. Hosobuchi, and S. Lamb. Headache may arise from perturbation of brain. *Headache*, 27(8):416–20, September 1987.

- [287] F. Veloso, K. Kumar, and C. Toth. Headache secondary to deep brain implantation. *Headache*, 38(7):507–15, 1998.
- [288] K.M. Welch, V. Nagesh, S.K. Aurora, and N. Gelman. Periaqueductal gray matter dysfunction in migraine: cause or the burden of illness? *Headache*, 41(7):629–37, 2001.
- [289] A.F.M. DaSilva, C. Granziera, J. Snyder, and N. Hadjikhani. Thickening in the somatosensory cortex of patients with migraine. *Neurology*, 69(21):1990–5, 2007.
- [290] K. Doi. Computer-aided diagnosis in medical imaging: historical review, current status and future potential. *Computerized medical imaging and graphics*, 31(4-5):198–211, 2007.
- [291] B.J. Erickson and B. Bartholmai. Computer-aided detection and diagnosis at the start of the third millennium. *Journal of digital imaging*, 15(2):59–68, 2002.

Publications

International journal papers

A.E.H. Scheenstra, R.C.G. van de Ven, L. van der Weerd, A.M.J.M. van Den Maagdenberg, J. Dijkstra, and J.H.C. Reiber. Automated segmentation of *in vivo* and *ex vivo* mouse brain magnetic resonance images. *Molecular imaging.* **8**(1) pages 35-44 (2009)

M. Muskulus, **A.E.H. Scheenstra**, N. Braakman, J. Dijkstra, S. Verduyn-Lunel, A. Alia, H.J.M. de Groot, and J.H.C. Reiber. Prospects for early detection of Alzheimer's disease from serial MR images in transgenic mice. *Current alzheimer research.* **6**(6) pages 503-18 (2009)

Peer-Reviewed conference papers

A.E.H. Scheenstra, A.C.C. Ruifrok, and R.C. Veltkamp. A Survey of 3D Face Recognition Methods. In *Audio- and video-based biometric person authentication* (*AVBPA*). pages 891-9 (2005)

A.E.H. Scheenstra, J. Dijkstra, R.C.G. van de Ven, L. van der Weerd, and J.H.C. Reiber. Automated segmentation of the *ex vivo* mouse brain. In: *Proceedings of SPIE medical imaging*. page 651106 (2007)

A.E.H. Scheenstra, J. Dijkstra, R.C.G. van de Ven, L. van der Weerd, and J.H.C. Reiber. Automated Edge-Driven Markov Random Field Segmentation of *ex vivo* Mouse Brain MRM Images. In: *International symposiom of biomedical imaging (ISBI)*. pages 1292-5 (2007)

A.E.H. Scheenstra, M. Muskulus, M. Staring, A.M.J.M. van den Maagdenberg, S. Verduyn-Lunel, J.H.C. Reiber, L. van Der Weerd, and J. Dijkstra. The 3D Moore-Rayleigh test for the quantitative groupwise comparison of MR brain images. In: *Proceedings of information processing in medical imaging (IPMI)*. pages 564-75 (2009)

Book chapters

A.C.C. Ruifrok, **A.E.H. Scheenstra**, J. Bijhold, and R.C. Veltkamp. Facial image comparison using 3D techniques. In: *Facial Reconstruction. BKA Research Series nr. 35.* Luchterhand Publishers, Ed. T. Buzug, K.M. Sigl, J. Bongartz, K. Prufer. pages 192-8 (2007)

A.E.H. Scheenstra, J. Dijkstra, and L. van der Weerd. Volumetry and other quantitative measurements to assess the rodent brain. In: *In vivo NMR Imaging: Methods and Protocols*. Humana Press, USA. Ed. C. Faber and L. Schroeder. *in press*.

Abstracts

A.C.C. Ruifrok, **A.E.H. Scheenstra**, J. Bijhold, and R.C. Veltkamp. Facial Image Comparison Using 3D Techniques. In *Proceedings 2nd International Conference on Reconstruction of Soft Facial Parts.* (2005)

A.E.H. Scheenstra, J. Dijkstra, R.C.G. van de Ven, L. van der Weerd, and J.H.C. Reiber. Automated registration of histology sections with *ex vivo* MRM volumes. In *Proceedings of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM)*. page 2012 (2006)

A.E.H. Scheenstra, J. Dijkstra, R.C.G. van de Ven, L. van der Weerd, and J.H.C. Reiber. Automated Edge-Driven Markov Random Field Segmentation of *ex vivo* Mouse Brain MRM Images. In *Proceedings of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM)*. page 624 (2007)

L. Ferrarini, **A.E.H. Scheenstra**, G.B. Frisoni, M. Muskulus, M. Pievani, R. Ganzola, J.H.C. Reiber, J. Dijkstra and J. Milles, Morphological changes in the hippocampus predict MCI conversion to AD: An MR-based comparison between Moore-Rayleigh and permutation tests. In *Proceedings International Conference on Alzheimer's Disease*. (2009)

D. Suciu, **A.E.H. Scheenstra**, J. Dijkstra, M.S. Oitzl, and L. van der Weerd. Effects of Continuously High Levels of Corticosteroids on Mouse Hippocampus a Longitudinal *in vivo* MRI Study. In *Proceedings of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM)*. page 2378 (2010)

A.E.H. Scheenstra, D. Suciu, M. Muskulus, J.H.C. Reiber, M.S. Oitzl, L. van der Weerd, and J. Dijkstra. Quantitative and Local Mouse Brain Morphometry in Longitudinal MRI Studies. In *Proceedings of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM)* page 3137 (2010)

Acknowledgements

This thesis describes the work which was performed between 2005 and 2010 under the supervision of Prof. dr. ir. J.H.C. Reiber and dr. ir. J. Dijkstra, at the *Laboratorium voor Klinische en Experimentele Beeldverwerking* (LKEB), a division of the Radiology department at the Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) in The Netherlands. During the course of my PhD study, many have contributed to this work and I would like to express my gratitude to them:

Louise van der Weerd, it has been a pleasure working with you and learning from you. Michael Muskulus, Niels Braakman, Arn van den Maagdenberg, Rob van der Ven, Rob Nabuurs, and Dana Suciu, thank you all for the pleasant collaborations, for giving me insights on statistics, Alzheimer's Disease, migraine, mice, for sharing MR images, and for giving feedback on my papers. Boudewijn Lelieveldt, Luca Ferrarini, Julien Milles and Michèle Huijberts, sometimes our discussions were work related, sometimes they weren't. However, they were always vivid and funny. I enjoyed all of them. Marijn van Stralen, we send so many e-mails with the subject 'MISP' that they were marked as spam. Thank you for the co-foundation of the Medical Imaging Symposium for PhD-students. At the same time, I would like to thank Prof. Reiber and Prof. Niessen, for believing in this crazy idea and giving us time and finances to set up this symposium.

I would like to thank the Terminaalzaal and all members of the notorious cocktail party, for the not-so-work-related discussions and for accepting me for who I am, Alize. Emmanuelle, Maribel and Noortje, thank you for adding the girly touch to the LKEB. Marielle, Marcel, Maddalena, Mary, Josephine, Lillian, and Chris, thank you for volunteering to check my spelling and grammar.

Joyce, Maaike, Jantien, Sabine, Inge, Lieselot, Astrid, Sylvia, Margriet, and Corine, I owe you all my thanks for feeding me tea and chocolate, cooking me dinners, for listening to all my stories, and for allowing me to disappear from time to time, so I could finish my thesis. Furthermore, my gratitude goes to the Amsterdam Lions and the Dutch National Lacrosse Team, among others Marielle, Lillian, Mary, Josephine, Astrid and Rosa, for sharing my passion for lacrosse and for the hours of fun on and off the field. And a special thanks to Lillian for an amazing time while organizing many things for the NLB board. Also, I would like to thank the NKI-AvL RT research group for the nice atmosphere during during my wannabe-postdoc period, with a special thanks to Alessia, Yenny, Maddalena, Angelo, and Edoardo for sharing my addiction for caffeine, sweets, and caffè corretto.

Sabine and Marcel, thanks for being my *paranimfen*. I have no doubt that you can laugh away all my stress, my agitation, and my tendency towards control freakness just before the defense.

Last, but not least, I would like to thank my family for being there for me. Papa and Mama, nobody could have supported me more than you have done. Renske, congratulations by winning our "who will finish the PhD first" competition, I loved our battle and hope we keep having this sisterly competions. Maaike, I know you will beat the two of us by having the *best* PhD-thesis. Gurbe, you will always be my most favorite brother. Opa, Grootvader and Omie thank you for your unconditional love (*Opa, Grootvader and Omie, bedankt voor al jullie onvoorwaardelijke liefde*). The family Pistidda, thank you for welcoming me with open arms into your family (*I Pistidda, grazie di tutto cuore di avermi accolto a braccia aperte*)

Alessio, thank you for teaching me how to (occasionally) relax and slow down. Impatient as ever, I cannot wait to start the rest of our lives together.

Alize Scheenstra

Curriculum vitae

Alize Elske Hiltje Scheenstra was born on 4 November 1981 in Gouda. In 1999, she received her secondary school degree from the Coornhert Gymnasium in Gouda. That same year she started her study in Computer Science at the University of Utrecht, where she received the M.Sc degree in Biomedical Image Sciences in 2005. Her graduation project was performed under the supervision of Prof. dr. R.C. Veltkamp and carried out at the Dutch Forensic Institute on landmark-based 3D face recognition.

From March 2005 until September 2009 she was employed at the *Laboratorium* voor Klinische en Experimentele Beeldverwerking (LKEB) in the Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC). She performed research to the quantitative morphometry on mouse brain MRI under the supervision of Prof. dr. ir. J.H.C. Reiber and dr. ir. J. Dijkstra, of which the results are presented in this thesis. For her work on the 3D Moore-Rayleigh test for quantitative local morphometry she received the IPMI best poster award. During that period she co-founded with Marijn van Straalen the Medical Imaging Symposium for PhD-students, which was the precursor of the Nederlands Forum for Biomedical Imaging (NFBI; http://www.nfbi.nl).

Currently she is employed as postdoc at the radiotherapy department of the Dutch cancer institute (NKI) under the supervision of Prof. dr. M. van Herk and dr. ir. J.-J. Sonke, where she is working on the regional quantification of radiation induced lung damage for stereotactic body radiation therapy.

List of Figures

1.1	The process to generating transgenic modified mice through the im- plantation of embryonic stem cells, see section 1.1.2 for further details. Photography courtesy of Anne Bower and Manfred Baetscher, Trans- genic Core, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR. Printed with permission.	4
1.2	Comparison between the mouse brain and the human brain A) the outer surface and B) the internal anatomy.	5
3.1	Pathological features of Alzheimer's disease: Normal neuron and synapse (A). Affected neuron in late-stage (B). Normal cerebral artery (C). Affected cerebral vessel (D).	18
3.2	Statistical texture analysis of MR images: Original image (A). En- larged 10×10 subimage (B). Gray level representation with 8 levels (C). Co-occurence matrix $C(r, s 1, 0)$ of subimage for horizontal dis- placement (D). Co-occurence matrix $C(r, s 0, 1)$ for vertical displace- ment, which describes the statistical properties of the subimage with regard to local variations (E).	30
3.3	Overview of the minimum age at which Alzheimer pathology was de- tected in <i>in vivo</i> MRI volumes of APP/PS1 mice (A) and the remaining mouse models (B). Dark grey denotes a relaxometric method, light grey denotes that AD pathology was determined by plaque burden analysis. None of the studies used contrast agents during imaging	31

The segmentation pipeline for the mouse brain segmentation algorithm. The Atlas volume is first registered to the new MRI volume, resulting in an initial segmentation. Furthermore, the intensity distributions per class are derived from the atlas and the edge information from the new MRI volume is extracted. The clustering algorithm is performed in the second step for a final segmentation; therefore it uses the class statistics, initial segmentation and edge information as input	40
The MRI atlas used for $in \ vivo(E,G)$ and $ex \ vivo(F,H)$ MR images with their manual segmentations (A,B,C, and D) and corresponding names and abbreviations. For a better understanding, the abbreviations for the brain structures are in upper case where the abbreviations for brain tracts are in lower case	44
A visual comparison between the manual (M) and automated (A) seg- mentation for <i>in vivo</i> MRI (left) and <i>ex vivo</i> MRI volumes (richt). The colour-coding of the various classes correspond to the legend as given in figure 4.2.	44
The increase in κ between the two steps of the presented algorithm for the <i>ex vivo</i> segmentation results. The light grey bar denotes the av- erage κ after the affine atlas-based registration step, whereas the dark grey bar displays the average κ after the clustering step. Furthermore, the standard deviations are given for each bar to indicate the robust- ness of the algorithm. The abbreviations of the various structures are explained in figure 4.2	46
The average kappa indices of the Demons algorithm (light grey bars) compared to the average κ of the presented method (dark grey bars) for the <i>in vivo</i> mouse brain segmentation. Furthermore, the standard deviations are given for each bar to indicate the robustness of the algorithm. The abbreviations of the various structures are explained in figure 4.2	46
Left: Distribution function for $N = 2, 5, 10$ and 50 (solid, from right to left), compared to the asymptotic χ_3^2 distribution (dashed). Right: Logarithm of significance probabilities	59
Estimated power functions for the family of Gaussian distributions with covariance matrix the identity and mean shifted a distance μ away from zero. Sample size $N = 10$.	61
Estimated power functions for the family of Gaussian distributions, varying the standard deviation σ of a single axis. Sample size $N = 10$. Note the small range of the power.	61
Scattered Fisher distribution, visualized by 1,000 randomly drawn points in the unit-cube. Left: Concentration parameter $\lambda = 1$. Middle: Con- centration parameter $\lambda = 2.5$. Right: Concentration parameter $\lambda = 5$.	62
	The segmentation pipeline for the mouse brain segmentation algorithm. The Atlas volume is first registered to the new MRI volume, resulting in an initial segmentation. Furthermore, the intensity distributions per class are derived from the atlas and the edge information from the new MRI volume is extracted. The clustering algorithm is performed in the second step for a final segmentation; therefore it uses the class statistics, initial segmentation and edge information as input The MRI atlas used for <i>in vivo</i> (E,G) and <i>ex vivo</i> (F,H) MR images with their manual segmentations (A,B,C, and D) and corresponding names and abbreviations. For a better understanding, the abbreviations for the brain structures are in upper case where the abbreviations for the brain structures are in upper case where the abbreviations for the origin of the various classes correspond to the legend as given in figure 4.2

5.5	Estimated power functions for the mixture of the scattered Fisher dis- tribution $2F3_5$ with the uniform distribution on the sphere S^2 , varying the mixture probability p that a sample vector arises from the first distribution. Sample size $N = 10. \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	63
5.6	Comparison of significance probabilities for resultant lengths of spher- ically symmetric (smooth curve) and one-dimensional symmetric ran- dom walk (piecewise-linear curve) in three dimensions for $N = 10$ steps. Dotted curve shows the asymptotic case.	65
5.7	Estimated power for translated (10 standard deviations), then rotated diagonal Gaussians with unit variances as a function of relative rotation angle. Sample size $N = 10. \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	67
5.8	Estimated power functions for translated (10 standard deviations), then rotated diagonal Gaussians with unit variance against the scat- tered Fisher distribution (scaled by a unit Gaussian) as a function of relative rotation angle. Sample size $N = 10$. Note the small power at angle zero.	68
5.9	Adjusted estimated power functions. Left: translated (10 standard deviations), then rotated diagonal Gaussians with unit variances as a function of relative rotation angle. Right: translated (10 standard deviations) then rotated diagonal Gaussians with unit variance against the scattered Fisher distribuion (scaled by a unit Gaussian) as a function of relative rotation angle. Sample size $N = 10$. Results based on 100 realizations of 10^4 permutations each.	69
5.10	Generation of spherical deformations. The plot shows the behaviour of the deformation field in a one-dimensional projection along a radial direction. The volume at normalized distance r/R from the centerpoint of the sphere (radius R) is mapped radially to r'/R . For $r < R/2$ the length of the deformation vectors expands linearly, $r' = \lambda_1 r$, attaining its maximum at half radius $r = R/2$. For $r > R/2$ the length shrinks linearly by $\lambda_2 = 2 - \lambda_1$, ensuring continuity at the boundary. The stippled line shows the case of no deformation ($\lambda_1 = 1$)	70
5.11	Validation with a synthetic dataset. A slice from the deformation field of $50 \times 50 \times 80$ voxels (isotropic spacing of 1.00 mm), showing the five spherical deformations that were added to it (see text for details). The color indicates the length of the deformation vectors per voxel. A: Mean deformation field for the first group. B: Difference between deformation fields for the two groups (smaller deformations in spheres S_2 and S_5 in the second group)	74
5.12	Validation with a synthetic dataset. Negative logarithms of significance probabilities for two-sample Moore-Rayleigh test (MR3), the Diks2 test (see text for details), the permutations of the Hotellings T^2 test (pHT2), and the Hotellings T^2 test (HT2).	75

6.1	The deformation fields of a control group (black) and the test group (dark grey) for one voxel $(n = m = 10)$, where the difference vectors, see text for details, are given in dotted arrows. The right frame shows the difference vectors translated to the origin to show the spread of	
	the differences. This situation is given for two differences in means: $\Delta \mu = 0$ (a) and $\Delta \mu = 2\sigma$ (b)	83
6.2	The estimated power for the discrimination of two gaussian spheres with $\sigma = 1$ and relative displacement of $\Delta \mu$ with $N = 5$ (A), $N = 7$	0.0
63	(B), $N = 7$ (C) and $N = 20$ (D)	86
0.5	tion of spherical symmetry for two gaussian spheres with $\sigma_x = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_y = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_z$ with sample sizes $N = 5$ (A and B), $N = 7$ (C and D), $N = 7$ (E and F) and $N = 20$ (G and H), where the left column shows the displacement of one cloud in the <i>x</i> -direction and the right column shows the displacement of a point clound in the <i>y</i> -direction	88
6.4	A slice from the 3D volume of a single control subject (A), the APP23 mouse (B), and the control average (C). Arrows indicate regions which show inhomogeneities, misregistration or incorrect masking. Furthermore, the region around the ventricles is delineated as region of interest.	89
6.5	The probability that a voxel is spherically symmetric for the wild types (top row) and the APP23 mice (bottom row) for three different slices through the brain. The area's which were not found spherically symmetric at a significance level of $\alpha < 0.05$ were color coded by their <i>p</i> -value.	91
6.6	The probability per voxel that there is locally a group difference be- tween wild type mice and APP23 mice for three slices through the brain. The top row shows the results from the permutations of the Hotelling's T^2 test (pHT2) and the bottom row shows the results from the single Moore-Rayleigh test (MR3). The area's which were not found spherically symmetric at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ were	
	not printed	94
7.1	The schematic protocol for post processing of the normalized MR im- ages for a cross-sectional study, so the two groups (control group and test group) can be tested for local significant differences with the Moore- Baulaight test	0.9
7.2	Volume rendering of the manual segmented cerebellum (green), the hippocampal regions (purple), the cortex (yellow) and the ventricles	90
7.3	(blue)	101
	each control group	101

7.4	A volume visualization of the regions that were found significant under Bonferroni correction (red). For a better interpretation of the spatial	
	orientation in the brain, the ventricles are also shown (blue).	103
7.5	A comparison of an MR slice of the control average and a regular	
	subject. The arrows indicate areas where some intensity enhancement	
	due to the averaging are visible	104
7.6	A visual comparison of the <i>in vivo</i> MR averages for each cross-sectional	
	study, showing the caudal shift of the cerebellum indicated with a white	
	arrow	105

List of Tables

2.1	An overview of the characteristics between the four morphometry methods.	12
3.1	The characteristics of transgenic mouse models of AD. Transgene: PAC, P1 artificial chromosome. Promoters: PDGF, platelet-driven growth factor; PrP, prion protein; MoPrP, mouse prion protein; CAMKII, calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. Phenotype; DP, diffuse (pre-amyloid) plaques; AP, amyloid plaques; NFT, neurofibrillary tangles; ND, neurodegeneration; Cog, cognitive impairment. For phenotype: +, positive; -, negative; ?, unknown.	20
3.2	Relaxometry measurements in AD mouse models. Relax.Par, Relax- ation parameters; N.mice, number of mice included in the study; Tg, transgenic; Ntg, non-transgenic; N _t , Time points; Stat. anal., statisti- cal analysis; Lt., longitudinal study; m, months; w; weeks $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	22
3.3	MR micro-imaging of senile plaques and plaque burden in humans and mouse models. Image modality: 2D/3D, 2- or 3-dimensional; $T_1/T_{1rho}/T_2/T_2^*$, applied weighting in MR imaging experiments; DW, diffusion-weighted; ¹⁹ F, imaging of Fluorine-19 labeled contrast agent; GE, Gradient Echo; SE, Spin Echo; FSE, Fast Spin Echo; CRAZED, COSY revamped with asymmetric z-GE detection. Long.: indicates whether the study was longitudinal	25
3.4	Texture analysis applied to alzheimer's disease on human data. AD: Alzheimers disease, MCI: Mild cognitive complainers, C: controls	29

4.1	The sizes in mm^3 and in voxels for the several brain structures. Furthermore, for the separate structures are the <i>in vivo</i> and <i>ex vivo</i> segmentation results given in average kappa indices and standard deviation. The missing values of the <i>in vivo</i> segmentation column represent structures for which no proper expert segmentation could be obtain and thus were excluded from the atlas and automated segmentation.	43
5.1 5.2	Critical values of Moore-Rayleigh statistic in 3D for various sample sizes N	72 72
7.1	The normalized brain structure volumes expressed in $\%$ of the total brain volume \pm the standard deviations	102

List of Abbrevations

AD	Alzheimer's disease
DBM	Deformation-Based Morphometry
HT2	Hotelling's T^2 test
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MR3	three-dimensional Moore-Rayleigh test
pHT2	Permutation test with the HT2 as test statistic
ROI	Region of Interest
SBM	Shape-Based Morphometry
SPM	Statistical Parametric Map
SOI	Structure of Interest
SPM	Statistical Parametric Map
TBM	Tensor-Based Morphometry
TE	Echo Time
TR	Repetition Time
WT	Wild type