



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

EU asylum procedures and the right to an effective remedy

Reneman, A.M.

Citation

Reneman, A. M. (2013, January 15). *EU asylum procedures and the right to an effective remedy*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/20403>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/20403>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/20403> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Reneman, Anne Marcelle

Title: EU asylum procedures and the right to an effective remedy

Date: 2013-01-15

Bibliography

- Accetto & Zlepnig 2005: M Accetto and S Zlepnig, 'The Principle of effectiveness: Rethinking Its Role in Community law', 11 *European Public Law* (2005), p 375
- Ackers 2005: D Ackers, 'The Negotiations on the Asylum Procedures Directive', 7 *European Journal of Migration and Law* (2005), p 1
- Anagnostaras 2008: G Anagnostaras, 'The incomplete state of Community harmonization in the provision of interim protection by the national courts', 33 *European Law Review* (2008), p 586
- Arnulf 2011: A. Arnulf, 'The principle of effective judicial protection in EU law: an unruly horse?', 36 *European Law Review* (2011), p 51
- Arnulf 2007: A Arnulf, 'Case C-432/05 Unibet [2007]', 44 *Common Market Law Review*, (2007), p 1763
- Baratta 2011: R Baratta, 'National Courts as 'Guardians' and 'Ordinary' Courts of EU Law: Opinion 1/09 of the ECJ', 38 *Legal Issues of Economic Integration* (2011), p 297
- Barbier de La Serre 2006: E Barbier de La Serre, 'Procedural Justice in the European Community Case-law concerning the Rights of the Defence: Essentialist and Instrumentalist Trends', 12 *European Public Law* (2006), p 225
- Barbier de la Serre and Sibony 2008: E Barbier de la Serre and A Sibony, 'Expert Evidence Before the EC Courts', 45 *Common Market Law Review* (2008), p 941
- Barents 2007: R Barents, *Directory of EC Case Law on Competition*, Alphen aan den Rijn: Kluwer Law International (2007)
- Barkhuysen & Van Emmerik 2010: T Barkhuysen and ML van Emmerik, 'Ongebonden binding, Verwijzing naar soft law-standaarden in uitspraken van het EHRM', 35 *NTM/NJCM-Bulletin* (2010), p 827
- Battjes 2006: H Battjes, *European Asylum Law and International Law* Leiden/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff (2006)
- Battjes 2011: H Battjes, 'ECtHR 21 January 2011, *M.S.S v Belgium and Greece*, no 30696/09', *Jurisprudentie Vreemdelingenrecht* 2011/68
- Bliss 2010: M Bliss, 'Serious Reasons for Considering': Minimum Standards of Procedural Fairness in the Application of the Article 1F Exclusion Clauses', 12 *International Journal of Refugee Law* (2000), p 92
- Bloemen, Vloeberghs & Smits 2006: E Bloemen, E Vloeberghs and C Smits, Psychological and psychiatric aspects of recounting traumatic events by asylum seekers in *Care Full, Medico-legal reports and the Istanbul Protocol*, Pharos/Amnesty International/Dutch Council for Refugees (2006), p 43
- Boeles 2006: P Boeles, 'Case C-540/03, *Parliament v Council* [2006]', *Jurisprudentie Vreemdelingenrecht* 2006/313
- Boeles 2007: P Boeles, *Fair Immigration Proceedings in Europe*, The Hague/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff (1997)

- Boeles 2008: P Boeles, 'Case Reports of the European Court of Human Rights, the Human Rights Committee and the Committee against Torture', 10 *European Journal of Migration and Law* (2008), p 105
- Boeles and others 2009: P Boeles, M den Heijer G. Lodder and K Wouters, *European Migration Law*, Antwerp-Oxford-Portland: Intersentia (2009)
- Boerefijn 1999: I Boerefijn, *The Reporting Procedure under the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Practice and Procedures of the Human Rights Committee*, Antwerpen-Groningen-Oxford: Intersentia-Hart (1999)
- Bonner 2006: D Bonner, 'Checking the Executive? Detention Without Trial, Control Orders, Due Process and Human Rights', 12 *European Public Law* (2006), p 45
- Bronckers 2007: MCEJ Bronckers, 'The relationship of the EC Courts with other international tribunals: non-committal, respectful or submissive?,' 44 *Common Market Law Review* (2007), p 601
- Bruin 2003: R Bruin, 'Geen lijdelijke rechter', 19 *Nieuwsbrief Asiel- en Vluchtelingenrecht* (2003), p 572
- Bulterman 2008: M Bulterman, 'Case C-540/03, *Parliament v Council* [2006]', 45 *Common Market Law Review* (2008), p 245
- Butler & de Schutter 2007, I de Jesús Butler and O de Schutter, 'Binding the EU to International Human Rights Law', 26 *Yearbook of European Law* (2007), p 277
- Byrne 2005: R Byrne, 'Remedies of Limited Effect: Appeals under the forthcoming Directive on EU Minimum Standards on Procedures', 7 *European Journal of Migration and Law* (2005), p 71
- Van Cleynenbreugel 2012: P Van Cleynenbreugel, 'Case C-69/10, *Samba Diouf* [2011]', 49 *Common Market Law Review* (2012), p 327
- Conte & Burchill 2009: A Conte and R Burchill, *Defining Civil and Political Rights, The jurisprudence of the United Nations Human Rights Committee*, Ashgate (2009)
- Costa 2008: JP Costa, *The relationship between the European Convention on Human Rights and European Union Law – A Jurisprudential Dialogue between the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice* (Lecture at the King's College London, 7 October 2008)
- Costello 2006: C Costello, 'The European asylum procedures directive in legal context, New Issues in Refugee Research, Research Paper No 134', Geneva: UNHCR 2006
- Craig 2006: P Craig, *EU Administrative Law*, Oxford University Press (2006)
- Craig & de Búrca 2003: P Craig and G de Búrca, *EU Law*, Oxford University Press (2003)
- Delicostopoulos 2003: JS Delicostopoulos, 'Towards European Procedural Primacy in National Legal Systems', 9 *European Law Journal* (2003), p 599
- Dechamp & Dowd 2008: B Deschamp and R Dowd, 'Review of the use of UNHCR Executive Committee Conclusions on International Protection', Geneva: UNHCR (2008)
- Van Dijk a.o. 2006: P van Dijk, F van Hoof, A van Rijn and L Zwaak (eds), *Theory and Practice of the European Convention on Human Rights*, Antwerpen-Oxford: Intersentia (2006)
- Doornbos 2005: N Doornbos, 'On being heard in asylum cases, Evidentiary assessment through asylum interviews', in: G Noll (ed), *Proof, Evidentiary Assessment and Credibility in Asylum Procedures*, Leiden/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff (2005), p 103

- Doornbos 2006: N Doornbos, *Op verhaal komen, Institutionele communicatie in de asielprocedure*, Nijmegen: Wolf Legal Publishers (2006)
- Dougan 2004: M Dougan, *National Remedies Before the Court of Justice, Issues of Harmonisation and Differentiation*, Oxford and Portland Oregon: Hart (2004)
- Douglas-Scott 2006: S Douglas-Scott, 'A Tale of two courts: Luxembourg, Strasbourg and the growing European Rights Aquis', 43 *Common Market Law Review* (2006), p 629
- Dutheil de la Rochère 2008: J Dutheil de la Rochère, 'The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, Not binding but influential: the Example of Good Administration', in A Arnulf, P Eeckhout and T Tridimas (eds), *Continuity and Change in EU law*, Oxford University Press (2008), p 157
- Eckes 2007: C Eckes, 'Case T-228/02, *Modjahedines du people d'Iran v Council* [2006]', 44 *Common Market Law Review* (2007), p 1117
- Engström 2008: J Engström, 'National Courts' Obligation to Apply Community Law *Ex Officio* – The Court Showing new Respect for Party Autonomy and National Procedural Autonomy?', 1 *Review of European Administrative Law* (2008), p 67
- Erlandsson 1998: A Erlandsson, 'The Defendant's right of Access to the Commission's File in Competition Cases', 25 *Legal Issues of Economic Integration* (1998), p 139.
- Gattini 2009: A Gattini, 'Joined Cases C-402/05 P and C-415/05 P, *Kadi and Al Barakaat* [2008], 46 *Common Market Law Review* (2009), p 213
- Gerards 2008: JH Gerards, 'Fundamental Rights and other interests: Should it really make a difference? in E. Brems (ed), *Conflicts between Fundamental Rights*, Antwerp: Intersentia '(2008), p 655
- Gerards 2009: JH Gerards, 'Judicial Deliberations in the European Court of Human Rights', in: N Huls, M Adams, J Bomhof (Eds.), *The Legitimacy of Highest Court's Rulings*, The Hague: T.M.C. Asser Press (2009), p 407
- Good 2004: A Good, 'Expert evidence in Asylum and Human Rights Appeals: an Expert's view', 16 *International Journal of Refugee Law* (2004), p 358
- Goodwin Gill & McAdam 2007: GS Goodwin-Gill and J McAdam, *The Refugee in International Law*, Oxford University Press (2007).
- Gorlick 2003: B Gorlick, 'Common burdens and standards: legal elements in assessing claims to refugee status', 15 *International Journal of Refugee Law* (2003), p 357
- Grahl-Madsen 1966: A Grahl-Madsen, *The Status of Refugees in International Law*, Leiden: Sijthoff (1966)
- Groussot 2003: X Groussot, 'UK Immigration Law under attack and the direct application of article 8 ECHR by the Court of Justice', 3 *Non state actors and International Law* (2003), p 187
- Groussot 2006: X Groussot, *General principles of Community law*, Groningen: Europa Law Publishing (2006)
- Haapaniemi 2009: P Haapaniemi, 'Procedural Autonomy: A misnomer, in L Ervo, M Gräns and A Jokela, *Europeanization of Procedural Law and the New Challenges to Fair Trial*, Groningen: Europa Law Publishing (2009), p 89
- Hailbronner 2010: K Hailbronner (ed), *EU Immigration and Asylum Law, Commentary on EU Regulations and Directives*, München: C.H. Beck/Hart/Nomos (2010)

- Harpaz 2009: G Harpaz, 'The European Court of Justice and its Relations with the European Court of Human Rights: The Quest for Enhanced Reliance, Coherence and Legitimacy', 46 *Common Market Law Review* (2009), p 105
- Harris, O' Boyle & Warbrick 2009: D Harris, M Ó Boyle and C Warbrick (eds), *Law of the European Convention on Human Rights*, Oxford University Press (2009)
- Hathaway 1991: JC Hathaway, *The Law of Refugee Status*, Toronto/Vancouver: Butterworths (1991)
- Hathaway 2005: JC Hathaway, *The Rights of Refugees under International Law*, Cambridge University Press (2005)
- Den Heijer 2008: M Den Heijer, 'Whose Rights and Which Rights? The Continuing Story of *Non-Refoulement* under the European Convention on Human Rights', 10 *European Journal of Migration and Law* 2008, p 277
- Den Heijer 2012: M den Heijer, *Europe and extraterritorial Asylum*, Oxford and Portland Oregon: Hart (2012)
- Herlihy 2005: J Herlihy, 'Evidentiary Assessment and Psychological Difficulties', in G Noll (ed) *Proof, Evidentiary Assessment and Credibility in Asylum Procedures*, Leiden/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff (2005), p 123
- Herlihy, Gleeson & Turner 2010: J Herlihy, K Gleeson and S Turner, 'What Assumptions about Human Behaviour Underlie Asylum Judgments?', 22 *International Journal of Refugee Law* (2010), p 351
- Jans and others 2007: JH Jans, R de Lange, S Prechal and RJGM Widdershoven, *Europeanisation of Public Law*, Groningen: Europe Law Publishing (2007)
- Joseph, Schultz & Castan 2004: S Joseph, J Schultz and M Castan, *The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Cases, Material and Commentary*, Oxford University Press (2004)
- Joseph & others 2006: S Joseph, K Mitchell, L Gyorki and C Benninger-Bud, *Seeking remedies for torture victims, A Handbook on the Individual Complaints Procedures of the UN Treaty Bodies*, Geneva: World Organisation against Torture (2006)
- Kakouris 1997: CN Kakouris, 'Do the Member States Possess Judicial Procedural Autonomy?', 34 *Common Market Law Review* (1997), p 1389
- Kälin 2003: W Kälin, 'Supervising the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees: Article 35 and beyond', in E Feller, V Türk and F Nicholson (ed), *Refugee Protection in International Law, UNHCR's Global Consultations on International Protection*, Cambridge University Press (2003), p 613
- Kaňska 2004: K Kaňska, 'Towards Administrative Human Rights in the EU. Impact of the Charter of Fundamental Rights', 10 *European Law Journal* (2004), p 296
- Van Kempen 2009: E van Kempen, 'De omgang met geheim bewijs in de vreemdelingenrechtelijke procedure', 24 *Migrantenrecht* (2009), p 434
- Kerse 2000: CS Kerse, 'General Principles of Community law: procedural guarantees', in: U Bernitz and J Nergelius (ed), *General Principles of European Community Law*, The Hague etc: Kluwer Law International (2000), p 205
- Koedooder & de Lang 2009: C Koedooder and N de Lang, 'Anti-terrorist Blacklisting in the European Union, The Influence of National Procedures on the Judgments of the Court of First Instance of the European Communities', 36 *Legal Issues of Economic Integration* 2009, p 313

- Kunoy & Mortansson 2010: B Kunoy and B Mortansson, Case C-578/08, *Chakroun* [2010], 47 *Common Market Law Review* (2010), p 1815
- Lauterpacht and Bethlehem 2003: E Lauterpacht and D Bethlehem, 'The scope and content of the principle of *non-refoulement*' in E Feller, V Türk and F Nicholson (eds.), *Refugee Protection in International Law, UNHCR's Global Consultations on International Protection*, Cambridge University Press (2003), p 87
- Lenaerts & Gutiérrez-Fons 2010: K Lenaerts and JA Gutiérrez-Fons, 'The Constitutional Allocation of Powers and General Principles of EU Law', 47 *Common Market Law Review* 2010, p 1629
- McAdam 2007: J McAdam, 'The Qualification Directive, An Overview', in K Zwaan (ed), *The Qualification Directive: Central Themes, Problem Issues and Implementation in Selected Member States*, Nijmegen: Wolf Legal Publishers (2007), p 7
- McGoldrick 1991: D McGoldrick, *The Human Rights Committee, Its role in the Development of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*, Oxford: Clarendon (1991)
- Michelogiannaki 2008: M Michelogiannaki, 'The Negotiations of Directive 2005/85/EC' in K Zwaan (ed), *The Procedures Directive, Central Themes, Problem Issues and Implementation in Selected Member States*, Nijmegen: Wolf Legal Publishers (2008), p 21
- Murphy 2010: CC Murphy, 'Fundamental Rights and Security, The Difficult Position of the European Judiciary', 16 *European Public Law* (2010), p 289
- Murray 2008: JL Murray, 'Fundamental Rights in the European Community Legal Order', 32 *Fordham International Law Journal* (2008), p 531
- Noll 2005: G Noll (ed), *Proof, Evidentiary Assessment and Credibility in Asylum Procedures*, Leiden/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff (2005)
- Noll 2005-II: G Noll, 'Evidentiary assessment and the EU Qualification Directive, New Issues in Refugee Research, Working Paper No. 117', Geneva: UNHCR (2005)
- Noll 2006: G Noll, 'Evidentiary Assessment in Refugee Status Determination and the EU Qualification Directive', 12 *European Public Law* (2006), p 295
- Nowak & McArthur 2008: M Nowak & E McArthur, *The United Nations Convention against Torture, A Commentary*, Oxford University Press (2008)
- Oliver 2011: P Oliver, 'Case C-279/09, *DEB* [2010]', 48 *Common Market Law Review* (2011), p 2023
- Von Papp 2009: K von Papp, 'Case C-450/06, *Varec* [2008]', 46 *Common Market Law Review* 2009, p 991
- Peers & Rogers 2006: S Peers and N Rogers (eds), *EU Immigration and Asylum Law: Text and Commentary*, Leiden/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff (2006)
- Ponce 2005: J Ponce, 'Good Administration and Administrative Procedures', 12 *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies* (2005), p 551
- Popovic 2005: A Popovic, 'Evidentiary Assessment and Non-Refoulement' in: G Noll (ed), *Proof, Evidentiary Assessment and Credibility in Asylum Procedures*, Leiden/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff (2005), p 27
- Prechal 2010: S Prechal, 'Competence Creep and General Principles of Law', 3 *Review of European Administrative Law* (2010), p 5

- Prechal & Shelkopyas 2004: S Prechal and N Shelkopyas, 'National Procedures, Public policy and EC Law. From Van Schijndel to Eco Swiss and Beyond', 5 *European Review of Private Law* (2004), p 589
- Prechal & Widdershoven 2011: S Prechal and RJGM Widdershoven, 'Redefining the Relationship between 'Rewe-effectiveness' and Effective Judicial Protection', 4 *Review of European Administrative Law* (2011), p. 31.
- Reichel 2008: J Reichel, 'Between Supremacy and Autonomy', in U Bernitz, J Nergelius and C Cardner (eds.), *General Principles of EC Law in a Process of Development*, Alphen aan den Rijn: Kluwer Law International (2008), p 243
- Reneman 2010: AM Reneman, 'An EU Right to Interim Protection during Appeal Proceedings in Asylum Cases?', 12 *European Journal of Migration and Law* (2010), p 407
- Reneman 2011: AM Reneman, 'Access to an Effective Remedy before a Court or Tribunal in Asylum Cases', in: E Guild and P Minderhoud (eds), *The First Decade of EU Migration and Asylum Law*, Leiden/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers (2011), p 401
- Rhys Jones 2004: D Rhys Jones, 'Medical Evidence in Asylum and Human Rights Appeals', 16 *International Journal of Refugee Law* (2004), p 381
- Schermers 1992: HG Schermers, Joined Cases C-143/88 and C-92/89, *Zuckerfabrik Süderdithmarschen and Zuckerfabrik Soest* [1991], 29 *Common Market Law Review* (1992), p 133
- Schiek 2006: D Schiek, 'The ECJ Decision in *Mangold*: A Further Twist on Effects of Directives and Constitutional Relevance of Community Equality Legislation', 35 *Industrial Law Journal* (2006), p 329
- Schuurmans 2008: Y Schuurmans, 'Review of Facts in Administrative Law Procedures; A European Community Law Perspective', 1 *Review of European Administrative Law* (2008), p 5
- Schwartz, 2004: J Schwartz, 'Judicial Review of European Administrative Procedure', 68 *Law and Contemporary Problems* (2004), p 85
- Senden 2004: L Senden, *Soft law in Community Law*, Oxford and Portland Oregon: Hart (2004)
- Sinaniotis 2006: D Sinaniotis, *The Interim Protection of Individuals before the European and National Courts*, Alphen aan de Rijn: Kluwer (2006)
- Spaventa 2009: E Spaventa, 'Joined Cases T-256/07, *People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran* [2008] and T-284/08, *People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran* [2008]', 46 *Common Market Law Review* (2009), p 1239
- Spielman 1999: D Spielmann, 'Human Rights Case Law in the Strasbourg and Luxembourg Courts: Conflicts, Inconsistencies, and Complementarities', in P Alston, MR Bustelo and J Heenan, *The EU and Human Rights*, Oxford University Press (1999), p 757
- Spijkerboer 2008: TP Spijkerboer, 'ECtHR 20 September 2007, *Sultani v France*, no 45223/05, *Jurisprudentie Vreemdelingenrecht* 2008/462
- Spijkerboer 2009: TP Spijkerboer, 'Case C-465/07, *Elgafaji* [2009]', *Jurisprudentie Vreemdelingenrecht* 2009/111
- Spijkerboer 2009-II: TP Spijkerboer, 'Subsidiarity and 'Arguability': the European Court of Human Rights' Case Law on Judicial Review in Asylum Cases', 21 *International Journal of Refugee Law* (2009), p 48

- Spijkerboer & Vermeulen 1995: TP Spijkerboer and BP Vermeulen, *Vluchtelingenrecht*, Amsterdam/Leersum: Nederlands Centrum Buitenlanders 1995.
- Spijkerboer & Vermeulen 2005: TP Spijkerboer and BP Vermeulen, *Vluchtelingenrecht*, Nijmegen: Ars Aequi Libri (2005)
- Staffans 2008: I Staffans, 'Evidentiary Standards of Inquisitorial versus Adversarial Asylum Procedures in the Light of Harmonization', 14 *European Public Law* (2008), p 615
- Staffans 2010: I Staffans, 'Judicial Protection and the New European Asylum Regime', 12 *European Journal of Migration and Law* (2010), p 273
- Summers 2007: S Summers, *Fair Trials, The European Criminal Procedural Tradition and the European Court of Human Rights*, Oxford and Portland Oregon: Hart (2007)
- Schwartz 2004: J Schwartz, 'Judicial Review of European Administrative procedures', 68 *Law and Contemporary Problems* (2004), p 85
- Thomas 2006: R. Thomas, 'Assessing the Credibility of Asylum Claims, EU and UK Approaches Examined', 8 *European Journal of Migration and Law* (2006), p 79
- Toth 1990: A.G. Toth, 'Case C-213/89 Factortame I [1990]', 27 *Common Market Law Review* (1990), p 573
- Trechsel 2005: S Trechsel, *Human Rights in Criminal Proceedings*, Oxford University Press (2005)
- Tridimas 2006: T Tridimas, *The General Principles of Community Law*, Oxford University Press (2006)
- Tridimas & Gutierrez-Fons 2008: T Tridimas and JA Gutierrez-Fons, 'EU Law, International Law and Economic Sanctions against Terrorism: The Judiciary in Distress?', 32 *Fordham International Law Journal* (2008), p 660
- Trstenjak & Beysen 2011: V Trstenjak and E Beysen, 'European Consumer Protection Law: Curia Semper Dabit Remedium?', 48 *Common Market Law Review* (2011), p 95
- Türk 2010: V Türk, 'UNHCR's Role in Supervising International Protection Standards in the Context of its Mandate', Geneva: UNHCR 2010
- Tzanou & El Droubi 2010: M Tzanou and S El Droubi, 'Case T-318/01, *Othman v Council* [2009]', 47 *Common Market Law Review* (2010), p 1233
- Vedsted-Hansen 2005: J Vedsted-Hansen, 'Common EU Standards on Asylum – Optional Harmonisation and Exclusive Procedures?', 7 *European Journal of Migration and Law* (2005), p 369
- Ward 2007: A Ward, *Judicial review and the rights of private parties in EU law*, Oxford University Press (2007)
- Widdershoven 2011: RJGM Widdershoven, 'Case C-69/10, *Samba Diouf* [2011]', *AB Rechtspraak Bestuursrecht* 2011/304.
- Wildhaber 2002: L Wildhaber, 'The place of the European Court of Human Rights in the European Constitutional Landscape, Address at the Conference of European Constitutional Courts, XIIth Congress' (2002), www.confcoconsteu.org
- De Witte 1999: B de Witte, 'The Role of the ECJ in Human Rights', in P Alston, MR Bustelo and J Heenan, *The EU and Human Rights*, Oxford University Press (1999), p 859
- Wouters 2009: KW Wouters, *International Legal Standards for the Protection from refoulement*, Intersentia 2009

- Young 2005: AL Young, 'The Charter, Constitution and Human Rights: is this the Beginning of the End for Human Rights Protections by Community Law', 11 *European Public Law* (2005), p 219
- Zahle 2005: H Zahle, 'Competing Patterns for Evidentiary Assessments', in: G Noll (ed) *Proof, Evidentiary Assessment and Credibility in Asylum Procedures*, Leiden/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff (2005), p 13
- Zwaan 2005: KM Zwaan, *UNHCR and the European Asylum Law*, Nijmegen: Wolf Legal Publishers (2005)

Table of Cases

1 COURT OF JUSTICE

Aalborg Portland ao v Commission, Joined Cases C-204/00 P etc [2004]
Accor, Case C-310/09 [2011]
Adeneler, Case C-212/04 [2006]
Advocaten voor de Wereld, Case C-303/05 [2007]
AEG, Case 107/82 [1983]
Aguirre Zaraga, Case C-491/10 PPU [2010]
Akrich, Case C-109/01 [2003]
AKZO Chemie BV and AKZO Chemie UK v Commission, Case 53/85 [1986]
AKZO, Case C-62/86 [1991]
Alassini, Joined cases C 317/08, C 318/08, C 319/08 and C 320/08
Al-Jubail, Case C-49/88 [1991]
Antonissen, Case C-393/96 [1997]
B. and D., Joined Cases C 57/09 and C 101/09 [2010]
Baumbast, Case C-413/99 [2002]
Baustahlgewebe v Commission, Case C-185/95 P [1998]
Bolbol, Case C-31/09 [2010]
Borelli, Case C-97/91 [1991]
BPB and Britisch Gypsum v Commission, Case C-310/93 P [1995]
British American Tobacco and and Newman Shipping, Case C-435/03 [2005]
Brunhofer, Case C-381/99 [2001]
BVBA Management, Training en Consultancy, Case C-239/05 [2007]
Carpenter, Case C-60/00 [2002]
Cetinkaya, Case C-467/02 [2004]
Chakroun, Case C 578/08 [2010]
Cofidis, Case C-473/00 [2002]
Commission v Austria, Case C-424/99 [2001]
Commission v Ireland ao, Case C-89/08 P [2009]
Commission v Italy, Case C-129/00 [2003]
Commission v Lisrestal ao, Case C-32/95P [1996]
Commission v Tetra Laval, Case C-12/03 P [2005]
Coote, Case C-185/97 [1998]
Danfoss, Case C-109/88 [1998]
DEB, Case C-279/09 [2010]
Defrenne, Case 149/77 [1978]
Dereci ao, Case C-256/11 [2011]
Deticek, Case C-403/09 PPU [2010]
Deutsche Shell, Case C-188/91 [1993]

Dilexport, Case C-343/96 [1999]
Direct Parcel Distribution Belgium, Case C-264/08 [2010]
Dokter, Case C-28/05 [2006]
Dörr and Unal, Case C-136/03 [2005]
Dounias, Case C-228/98 [2000]
Dynamic Medien, Case C-244/06 [2008]
E and F, Case C-550/09 [2010]
Elgafaji, Case C-465/07 [2009]
Enderby, Case C-127/92 [1993]
ERG ao, Joined cases C-379/08 and C-380/08 [2010]
Eribrand, Case C-467/01 [2003]
ERT, Case C-260/89 [1991]
Eurofood IFSC, Case C-341/04 [2006]
Evans, Case C-63/01 [2003]
Factortame, Case C-213/89 [1990]
Feryn, Case C-54/07 [2008]
Foto-Frost, Case 314/85 [1987]
France v Commission, Case C-17/99 [2001]
Francovich, Case C-6/90 [1991]
Grant, Case C-249/96 [1998]
Grimaldi, Case C-322/88 [1989]
Grundig Italiana, Case C-255/00 [2002]
Hassan and Ayadi v Council and Commission, Joined Cases C-399/06 P and C-403/[2009]
Hauer, Case 44/79 [1979]
Hautala, Case C-353/99 P [2001]
Hercules Chemicals v Commission, Case C-51/92 P [1999]
Hoechst, Joined Cases C-46/87 and C-227/88 [1989]
Humanplasma GmbH, Case C-421/09 [2010]
Impact, Case C 268/06 [2008]
Industrie des Poudres Sphériques v Council, Case C-458/98 P [2000]
Interporc v Commission, Case C-41/00 P [2003]
Johnston, Case 222/84 [1986]
Kadi and Al Barakaat v Council and Commission, Joined Cases C-402/05 P and C-415/[2008]
Kadzoev, Case C-357/09 PPU [2006]
Kalanke, Case C-450/93 [1995]
Kampelmann ao, Joined Cases C-253/96 to C-258/96 [1997]
Kapniki Michailidis, Joined Cases C-441/98 and C-442/98 [2000]
Kelly, Case C-104/10 [2011]
Knauf Gips v Commission, Case C-407/08P [2010]
Kofisa Italia, Case C-1/99 [2001]
Kremzow, Case C-299/95 [1997]
Küçükdeveci, Case C-555/07 [2010]
Kühne, Case C-269/99 [2001]
Laboratoires Boiron SA, Case C-526/04 [2006]
Lange, Case C-350/99 [2001]
Levez, Case C-326/96 [1998]

M v. Minister for Justice, Equality and Law Reform Ireland, Case C-277/11
Mangold, Case C-144/04 [2005]
Maurin, Case C-144/95 [1996]
Mediocruso v Commission, Case C-462/98P [2000]
Meilicke, Case C-262/09 [2011]
Mellor, Case C-75/08 [2009]
Mobistar, Case C-438/04 [2006]
Mono Car Styling, Case C 12/08 [2009]
MRAX, Case C-459/99 [2002]
Mulder, Case 120/86 [1988]
N.S. and M.E. ao, Joined Cases C-411/10 and C-493/10[2011]
Nikoloudi v Organismos Tilepikonion Ellados AE, Case C-196/02 [2005]
Nölle, Case C-16/90 [1991]
Océano Grupo Editorial and Salvat Editores, Joined cases C-240/98 to C-244/98 [2000]
Ordre des barreaux francophones et germanophones, Case C-305/05 [2007]
Orfanopoulos, Case C-482/01 [2004]
Orkem, Case C-347/87 [1989]
Panayotova, Case C-327/02 [2004]
Parliament v Council, Case C-540/03 [2006]
Parliament v Council, Case C-133/06 [2008]
Parliament v Reynolds, Case C-111/02 P [2004]
Pecastaing, Case 98/79 [1980]
Peterbroeck, Case C-312/93 [1995]
PKK/KNK v Council, Case C-229/05 P [2006]
Pontin, Case C-63/08 [2008]
Preston and Fletcher, Case C-78/98 [2000]
Pupino, Case C-105/03 [2005]
Rauh, Case C-314/89 [1991]
Rewe, Case 33/76 [1976]
Rewe-Handelsgesellschaft Nord v Hauptzollamt Kiel, Case C-158/80 [1981]
Rinke, Case C-25/02 [2003]
SA Roquette Frères, Case 138/79 [1980]
Safalero, Case C-13/01 [2003]
Salahadin Abdulla, Joined Cases C-175/08, C-176/08, C-178/08 and C-179/08 [2009]
Salzgitter Mannesmann v Commission, Case C-411/04 P [2007]
Samba Diouf, Case C-69/10 [2011]
Schmidberger, Case C-112/00 [2003]
Siples, Case C-226/99 [2001]
Sodemare, Case C-70/95 [1997]
Sopropé, Case C-349/07 [2008]
SpA San Giorgio, Case C-199/82 [1983]
Spain v Lenzing, Case C-525/04 P [2007]
Specialarbejderforbundet i Danmark, Case C-400/93 [1995]
Steffensen, Case C-276/01 [2003]
Strabag, Case C 314/09 [2010]
Technische Universität München, Case C-269/90 [1991]
Tögel, Case C-76/97 [1998]

Trianel Kohlekraftwerk Lünen, Case C 115/09 [2011]
UNECTEF v Heylens ao, Case 222/86 [1987]
Unibet, Case C 432/05 [2007]
Union de Pequeños, Case C-50/00 [2002]
Upjohn Ltd, Case C-120/97 [1999]
van Esbroeck, Case C-436/04 [2006]
Varec, Case C-450/06 [2008]
Verholen, Case C-87/90 [1991]
Viking Line, Case C-438/05 [2007]
Visciano, Case C-69/08 [2009]
Vlassopoulou, Case C-340/89 [1991]
Wachauf, Case 5/88 [1989]
Weber's wine world, Case C-147/01 [2003]
Wells, Case C-201/02 [2004]
Wilson, Case C-506/04 [2006]
X v Commission, Case C-404/92 P [1994]
Z v Parliament, Case C-270/99 P [2001]
Zuckerfabrik Süderdithmarschen and Zuckerfabrik Soest, Joined Cases C-143/88 and C-92/89 [1991]

2 COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE/GENERAL COURT

Airtours v Commission, Case T-342/99 [2002]
Alrosa v Commission, Case T-170/06 [2007]
Asia Motor France v Commission, Case T-387/94 [1996]
Atlantic Container Line ao, Joined Cases T-191/98, T-212/98 to T-214/98 [2003]
Bank Melli Iran v Council, Case T-390/08 [2009]
BPB v Commission, Case T-53/03 [2008]
British Airways and British Midland Airways v Commission, Joined Cases T-371/94 and T-394/94 [1998]
Cableuropa ao v Commission, Joined Cases T-346/02 and T-347/02 [2003]
Campolargo v Commission, Case T-372/00 [2002]
Casini v Commission, Case T-132/03 [2005]
Chef Revival USA v OHIM, Case T-232/00 [2002]
Cimenteries CBR ao v Commission, Joined Cases T 25/95, T 26/95, T 30/95 to T 32/95, T 34/95 to T 39/95, T 42/95 to T 46/95, T 48/95, T 50/95 to T 65/95, T 68/95 to T 71/95, T 87/95, T 88/95, T 103/95 and T 104/95 [2000]
Dow AgroSciences Ltd v Commission, Case T-475/07 [2011]
Endemol v Commission, Case T-221/95 [1999]
Evropaïki Dynamiki v EMSA, Case T 70/05 [2010]
F v Commission, Case T-211/98 [2000]
Fahas v Council, Case T-49/07 [2010]
FMC Foret v Commission, Case T-191/06 [2011]
Forum 187 v Commission, Case T-276/02 [2003]
Hercules Chemicals v Commission, Case T-7/89 [1991]
HFB ao v Commission, Case T-9/99 [2002]
Hoechst v Commission, Case T-410/03 [2008]

Hoechst v Commission, Case T 161/05 [2009]
Imperial Chemical Industries v Commission, Case T-36/91 [1995]
ITT Promedia v Commission, Case T-111/96 [1998]
Kadi v Commission, Case T-85/09 [2010]
Knauf Gips v Commission, Case T 52/03 [2008]
Kone v Commission, Case T-151/07 [2011]
KONGRA-GEL ao v Council, Case T-253/04 [2008]
Mannesmannröhren-Werke v Commission, Case T-112/98 [2001]
Mannesmannröhren-Werke v Commission, Case T-44/00 [2004]
Melli Bank v Council, Joined Cases T-246/08 and T-332/08 [2009]
Microsoft v Commission, Case T-201/04 [2007]
NMH Stahlwerke ao v Commission, Joined Cases T-134/94, T-136/94, 'T-137/94, T-138/94, T-141/94, T-145/94, T-147/94, T-148/94, T-151/94, T-156/94 and T-157/94 [1999]
Organization des Modjahedines du peuple d'Iran v Council, Case T-228/02 [2006]
Othman v Council and Commission, Case T-318/01 [2009]
People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran v Council, Case T-256/07 [2008]
People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran v Council, Case T-284/08 [2008]
Pfizer Animal Health v Council, Case T-13/99 [2002]
Pitsiorias v Council and ECB, Joined Cases T-3/00 and T-337/04 [2007]
Safariland v OHIM, Case T-262/09 [2011]
Schneider Electric v Commission, Case T-310/01 [2002]
Schröder v CPVO, Case T-187/06 [2008]
Schunk and Schunk Kohlenstoff-Technik v Commission, Case T-69/04 [2008]
Sison v Council, Case T-47/03 [2007]
Sison v Council, Case T-341/07 [2009]
Sison v Council, Joined Cases T-110/03, T-150/03 and T-405/03 [2005]
Solvay v Commission, Case T-30/91 [1995]
Solvay v Commission, Case T 186/06 [2011]
Stichting Al Aqsa v Council, Case T-327/03 [2007]
Yusuf and Al Barakaat International Foundation v Council and Commission, Case T-306/01 [2005]

3 EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

A v the Netherlands, no 4900/06 (20 July 2010)
A. ao v the United Kingdom, no 3455/05 (19 February 2009)
A.A. v Sweden, no 8594/04 (2 September 2008)
A.J. v Sweden, no 13508/07 (8 July 2008)
A.M. ao v Sweden, no 38813/08 (16 June 2009)
A.M. v France, no 20341/08 (12 October 2010)
Abdolkhani and Karimnia v Turkey, no 30471/08 (22 September 2009)
Abou Amer v Romania, no 14521/03 (24 May 2011)
Achmadov and Bagurova v Sweden, no 34081/05 (10 July 2007)
Ahmadpour v Turkey, no 12717/08 (15 June 2010)
Airey v Ireland, no 6289/73 (9 October 1979)
Akdivar v Turkey, no 21893/93 (16 September 1996)

- Aksoy v Turkey*, no 59741/00 (31 October 2006)
Alatulkkila v Finland, no 33538/96 (28 July 2005)
Al-Moayad v Germany, no 35865/03 (20 February 2007)
Al-Nashif v Bulgaria, no 50963/99 (20 June 2002)
Aoulmi v France, no 50278/99 (17 January 2006)
Atlan v the United Kingdom, no 36533/97 (19 June 2001)
Auad v Bulgaria, no 46390/10 (11 October 2011)
B.A. v France, no 14951/09 (2 December 2010)
Bader v Sweden, no 13284/04 (8 November 2005)
Bahaddar v the Netherlands, no 25894/94 (19 February 1998)
Bannikova v Russia, no 18757/06 (4 November 2010)
Bati v Turkey, no 33097/96 and 57834/00 (3 June 2004)
Bello v Sweden, no 32213/04 (17 January 2006)
Belziuk v Poland, no 23103/93 (25 March 1998)
Bensaid v the United Kingdom, no 44599/98 (6 February 2001)
Botmeh and Alami v the United Kingdom, no 15187/03 (7 June 2007)
Boultif v Switzerland, no 54273/00 (2 August 2001)
Boutagni v France, no 42360/08 (18 November 2010)
Boyle and Rice v the United Kingdom, no 9659/82 (27 April 1988)
Brugger v Austria, no 7693/01 (26 January 2006)
Bryan v the United Kingdom, no 19178/91 (25 October 1995)
C.G. ao v Bulgaria, no 1365/07 (24 April 2008)
Capital Bank AD v Bulgaria, no 49429/99 (24 November 2005)
Chahal v the United Kingdom, no 22414/93 (25 October 1996)
Chapman v the United Kingdom, no 27238/95 (18 January 2001)
Charahili v Turkey, no 46605/07 (13 April 2010)
Chentiev and Ibragimov, no 21022/08, 51946/08 (14 September 2000)
Chevrol v France, no 49636/99 (13 February 2003)
Collin and Akaziebie v Sweden, no 23944/05 (8 March 2007)
Čonka v Belgium, no 51564/99 (5 February 2002)
Crompton v the United Kingdom, no 42509/05 (27 October 2009)
Cruz Varas ao v Sweden, no 15576/89 (20 March 1991)
D ao v Turkey, no 24245/03 (22 June 2006)
D v the United Kingdom, no 30240/96 (2 May 1997)
Dagtekin v Turkey, no 70516/01 (13 December 2007)
Damla ao v Germany, no 61479/00 (26 October 2000)
Dbouba v Turkey, no 15916/09 (13 July 2010)
Demir and Baykara v Turkey, no 34503/97 (12 November 2008)
Desde v Turkey, no 23909/03 (1 February 2011)
Devenney v the United Kingdom, no 24265/94 (19 March 2002)
Devlin v the United Kingdom, no 29545/95 (30 October 2001)
Diallo v Czech Republic, no 20493/07 (23 June 2011)
Dombo beheer v the Netherlands, no 14448/88. (27 October 1993)
Doorson v the Netherlands, no 20524/92 (20 February 1996)
Döry v Sweden, no 28394/95 (12 November 2002)
Dowsett v the United Kingdom, no 39482/98 (24 June 2003)
Družstevní Záložna Pria ao v the Czech Republic, no 72034/01 (31 July 2008)
Dzhaksybergenov v Ukraine, no 12343/10 (10 February 2011)

- E.G. v the United Kingdom*, no 53688/08 (31 May 2011)
E.N. v Sweden, no 15009/09 (8 December 2009)
Edwards and Lewis v the United Kingdom, nos 349647/98 and 40461/98 (27 October 2004)
Edwards and Lewis v the United Kingdom, nos 39647/98 and 40461/98 (22 July 2003)
Edwards v the United Kingdom, no 13071/87 (16 December 1992)
Ekbatani v Sweden, no 10563/83 (26 May 1988)
Elmuratov v Russia, no 66317/09 (3 March 2011)
F. v United Kingdom, no 17341/03 (22 June 2004)
F.H. v Sweden, no 32621/06 (20 January 2009)
Fawsie v Greece, no 40080/07 (28 October 2010)
Fischer v Austria, no 16922/90 (26 April 1995)
Fitt v the United Kingdom, no 29777/96 (16 February 2000)
G.R. v the Netherlands, no 22251/07 (10 January 2012)
Gaforov v Russia, no 25404/09 (21 October 2010)
Garabayev v Russia, no 38411/02 (7 June 2007)
Garayev v Azerbaijan, no 53688/08 (10 June 2010)
Garcia Manibardo v Spain, no 38695/97 (15 February 2000)
Gebremedhin v France, no 25389/05 (26 April 2007)
Göç v Turkey, no 36590/97 (11 July 2002)
Golder v the United Kingdom, no 4451/70 (21 February 1975)
Górny v Poland, no 50399/07 (8 June 2010)
Gulijev v Lithuania, no 10425/03 (16 December 2008)
H.R. v France, no 64780/09 (22 September 2011)
Haas v Germany, no 73047/01 (17 September 2005)
Haililova ao v Sweden, no 20283/09 (13 October 2009)
Hakizimana v Sweden, no 37913/05 (27 March 2008)
Haliti v Switzerland, no 14015/02 (1 March 2005)
Harutioenyan ao v the Netherlands, no 43700/07 (1 September 2009)
Helmert v Sweden, no 11826/85 (29 October 1991)
Hermi v Italy, no 18114/02 (18 October 2006)
Hilal v the United Kingdom, no 45276/99 (6 March 2001)
Hirsi Jamaa ao v Italy, no 27765/09 (23 February 2012)
Husseini v Sweden, no 10611/09 (13 October 2011)
I.M. v France, no 9152/09 (2 February 2012)
Ireland v the United Kingdom, no 5310/71 (18 January 1978)
Iskandarov v Russia, no 17185/05 (23 September 2010)
J.E.D. v the United Kingdom, no 42225/98 (2 February 1999)
J.H. v the United Kingdom, no 48839/09 (20 December 2011)
Jabari v Turkey, no 40035/98 (11 July 2000)
Jane Smith v the United Kingdom, no 25154/94 (18 January 2001)
Jasper v the United Kingdom, no 27052/95 (16 February 2000)
Jussila v Finland, no 73053/01 (23 November 2006)
K.R.S. v the United Kingdom, no 32733/08 (2 December 2008)
Kaboulov v Ukraine, no 41015/04 (19 November 2009)
Kaldik v Germany, no 28526/05 (22 September 2005)
Kandomabadi v the Netherlands, no 6276/03 (24 June 2004)
Karim v Sweden, no 24171/05 (4 July 2006)

- Karner v Austria*, no 40016/98 (24 July 2003)
Katani v Germany, no 67679/01 (31 May 2001)
Khodzayev v Russia, no 52466/08 (12 May 2010)
Kingsley v the United Kingdom, no 35605/97 (28 May 2002)
Klass v Germany, no 5029/71 (6 September 1978)
Klein v Russia, no 24268/08 (1 April 2010)
Kleyn ao v the Netherlands, nos 39343/98, 39651/98, 43147/98 and 46664/99, (6 May 2003)
Koktysh v Ukraine, no 43707/07 (10 December 2009)
Koskinas v Greece, no 47760/99 (20 June 2002)
Kuashal ao v Bulgaria, no 1537/08 (2 September 2009)
Kudla v Poland, no 30210/96 (26 October 2000)
Kugler v Austria, no 65631/01 (14 October 2010)
Le Compte, Van Leuven, De Meyere v Belgium, no 6878/75 (23 June 1981)
Leander v Sweden, no 9248/81 (26 March 1987)
Liu and Liu v Russia, no 42086/05 (6 December 2007)
Liu v Russia, no 29157/09 (26 July 2011)
Lobo Machado v Portugal, no 15764/89 (22 January 1996)
Lupsa v Romania, no 10337/04 (8 June 2006)
M. ao v Bulgaria, no 41416/08 (26 July 2011)
M. v Sweden, no 22556/05 (6 September 2007)
M.B. ao v Turkey, no 36009/08 (15 June 2010)
M.H. v Sweden, no 10641/08 (20 October 2008)
M.S.S. v Belgium and Greece, no 30696/09 (21 January 2011)
Maaouia v France, no 39652/98 (5 October 2000)
Malhous v the Czech Republic, no 33071/96 (12 July 2001)
Mamatkulov and Askarov v Turkey, no 46827/99 (4 February 2005)
Mantovanelli v France, no 21497/93 (18 March 1997)
Maslov v Austria, no 1638/03 (23 June 2008)
Matsiukhina and Matsiukhin v Sweden, no 31260/04 (21 June 2005)
Matyar v Turkey, no 23423/94 (21 February 2002)
Matyjek v Poland, no 38184/03 (24 April 2007)
Mawajedi Shikpohkt and Makkamat Shole v the Netherlands, no 39349/03 (27 January 2005)
McKeaown v the United Kingdom, no 6684/05 (11 January 2011)
Meftah ao v France, no 32911/96 (26 July 2002)
Mehmet Eren v Turkey, no 32347/02 (14 October 2008)
Mercieca ao v Malta, no 21974/07 (14 June 2011)
Mir Isfahani v the Netherlands, no 31252/03 (31 January 2008)
Mirilashvili v Russia, no 6293/04 (11 December 2008)
Moscicki v Poland, no 52443/07 (14 June 2011)
Mubilanzila Mayeka and Kaniki Mitunga v Belgium, no 13178/03 (12 October 2006)
Muminov v Russia, no 42502/06 (11 December 2008)
Musa e.a. v Bulgaria, no 61259/00 (11 January 2007)
N v Finland, no 38885/02 (26 July 2005)
N. v Sweden, no 23505/09 (20 July 2010)
N.S. v Denmark, no 58359/08 (20 January 2011)
NA v the United Kingdom, no 25904/07 (17 July 2008)

Nachova ao v Bulgaria, no 43577/98 and 43579/98 (6 July 2005)
Nasimi v Sweden, no 38865/02 (16 March 2004)
Nideröst-Huber v Switzerland, no 18990/91 (27 January 1997)
Nnyanzi v the United Kingdom, no 21878/06 (8 April 2008)
Nolan and K. v Russia, no 2512/04 (12 February 2009)
O. v Italy, no 37257/06 (24 March 2009)
Obermeier v Austria, no 11761/85 (28 June 1990)
Öcalan v Turkey, no 46221/99 (12 March 2003)
Olaechea Chuas v Spain, no 24668/03 (10 August 2006)
Ovdienko v Finland, no 1383/04 (31 May 2005)
Ozata v Turkey, no 19578/02 (20 October 2005)
Özpinar v Turkey, no 20999/04 (19 October 2010)
Paramsothy v the Netherlands, no 14492/03 (10 November 2005)
Pérez de Rada Cavanilles v Spain, no 28090/95 (28 October 1998)
Pocius v Lithuania, no 35601/04 (6 July 2010)
Potocka ao v Poland, no 33776/96 (4 October 2001)
R.C. v Sweden, no 41827/07 (9 March 2010)
R.U. v Greece, no 2237/08 (7 June 2011)
Raza v Romania, no 31465/08 (11 February 2010)
Rowe and Davis v the United Kingdom, no 28901/95 (16 February 2000)
Ryabikin v Russia, no 8320/04 (19 June 2008)
S.H. v the United Kingdom, no 19956/06 (15 June 2010)
S.M. v Sweden, no 47683/08 (10 February 2009)
Saadi v Italy, no 37201/06 (28 February 2008)
Saadi v the United Kingdom, no 13229/03 (29 January 2008)
Said v the Netherlands, no 2345/02 (5 July 2005)
Salah Sheekh v the Netherlands, no 1948/04 (11 January 2007)
Salontaji-Drobnjak v Serbia, no 36500/05 (13 October 2009)
Samina v Sweden, no 55463/09 (20 October 2011)
Sardinas Albo v Italy, no 56271/00 (8 January 2004)
Schmautzer v Austria, no 15523/89 (28 September 1995)
Selmouni v France, no 25803/94 (28 July 1999)
Shamayev ao v Georgia and Russia, no 36378/02 (12 April 2005)
Sialkowska v Poland, no 8932/05 (22 March 2007)
Sibomana v Sweden, no 32010/09 (22 November 2011)
Sigma Radio Television, nos 32181/04 and 35122/05 (21 July 2011)
Smith and Grady v the United Kingdom, no 33985/96 (27 December 1999)
Soering v the United Kingdom, no 14038/88 (7 July 1989)
Soldatenko v Ukraine, no 2440/07 (23 October 2008)
Soltysyak v Russia, no 4663/05 (10 February 2011)
Stanford v the United Kingdom, no 16757/90 (23 February 1994)
Sufi and Elmi v the United Kingdom, nos 8319/07 and 11449/07 (28 June 2011)
Sultani v France, no 45223/05 (20 September 2007)
T.I. v the United Kingdom, no 43844/98 (7 March 2000)
T.N. v Denmark, no 20594/08 (20 January 2011)
Tehrani ao v Turkey, no 32940/08, 41626/08, 43616/08 (13 April 2010)
Tekdemir v the Netherlands, no 46860/99 and 49823/99, (1 October 2002)
Terra Woningen v the Netherlands, no 20641/92 (28 November 1998)

Tinnelly and McElduff ao v the United Kingdom, no 20390/92 and 21322/92 (10 July 1998)
Toumi v Italy, no 25716/09 (5 April 2011)
Tsfayo v the United Kingdom, no 60860/00 (14 November 2006)
Užukauskas v Lithuania, no 16965/04 (6 July 2010)
V. v Finland, no 40412/98 (24 April 2007)
Van Mechelen ao v the Netherlands, nos 21363/93, 21364/93, 21427/93 and 22056/93, (18 March 1997)
Veeber v Estonia, no 37571/97 (7 November 2002)
Vilvarajah ao v the United Kingdom, nos 13163/87, 13164/87, 13165/87, 13447/87, 13448/87 (30 October 1991)
Y v Russia, no 20113/07 (4 December 2008)
Y.P. and L.P. v France, no 32476/06 (2 September 2010)
Yakubov v Russia, no 7265/10 (8 November 2011)
Z ao v the United Kingdom, no 29392/95 (10 May 2001)
Z.N.S. v Turkey, no 21896/08 (19 January 2010)
Zawisza v Poland, no 37293/09 (31 May 2011)
Zednik v Czech Republic, no 74328/01 (28 June 2005)
Zumtobel v Austria, no 12235/86 (21 September 1993)

4 EUROPEAN COMMISSION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

M.P.G. v Sweden, no 20981/92 (8 April 1993)
Wickramasinghe v the United Kingdom, no 31503/96 (9 December 1997)

5 COMMITTEE AGAINST TORTURE

A.A. v the Netherlands, no 198/2002 (14 May 2003)
A.A. v Switzerland, no 268/2005 (11 May 2007)
A.E. v Switzerland, no 24/1995 (2 May 1995)
A.F. v Sweden, no 89/1997 (8 May 1998)
A.K. v Australia, no 148/1999 (11 May 2004)
A.L.N. v Switzerland, no 90/1997 (19 May 1998)
A.M. v France, no 302/2006 (3 June 2010)
A.R. v Sweden, no 170/2001 (23 November 2001)
A.R. v the Netherlands, no 203/2002 (21 November 2003)
A.S. v Sweden, no 149/1999 (15 February 2001)
Adel Tebourski v France, no 300/2006 (11 May 2007)
Aemei v Switzerland, no 34/1995 (29 May 1997)
Agiza v Sweden, no 233/2003 (20 May 2005)
Alan v Switzerland, no 21/1995 (8 May 1995)
Ayas v Sweden, no 97/1997 (12 November 1998)
C.T. and K.M. v Sweden, no 279/2005 (22 January 2007)
Chahin v Sweden, no 310/2007 (8 July 2011)
Chedli Ben Ahmed Karoui v Sweden, no 185/2001 (25 May 2002)
Dadar v Canada, no 258/2004 (5 December 2005)

- E.J.V.M. v Sweden*, no 213/2002 (28 November 2003)
E.J. et al. v Sweden, no 306/2006 (21 November 2008)
E.R.K. and Y.K. v Sweden, nos 270/2005 and 271/2005 (2 May 2007)
E.V.I. v Sweden, no 296/2006 (2 May 2007)
El Rgeig v Switzerland, no 280/2005 (22 January 2007)
Elif Pelit v Azerbaijan, no 281/2005 (29 May 2007)
Enrique Falcon Ríos v Canada, no 133/99 (17 December 2004)
G.K. v Switzerland, no 219/2002 (12 May 2003)
H.B.H. et. al v Switzerland, no 192/2001 (16 May 2003)
H.D. v Switzerland, no 112/1998 (3 June 1999)
Halil Haydin v Sweden, no 101/97 (16 December 1998)
Harminder Singh Khalsa et al v Switzerland, no 336/2008 (7 July 2011)
I.A.O. v Sweden, no 65/1997 (21 March 1997)
Iratxe Sorzábal Díaz v France, no 194/2001 (3 May 2005)
J.U.A. v Switzerland, no 100/1997 (16 December 1998)
Jahani v Switzerland, no 357/2008 (1 July 2011)
Josu Arkauz Arana v France, no 63/1997 (5 June 2000)
K.N. v Switzerland, no 94/1997 (19 May 1998)
Kaveh Yaragh Tala v Sweden, no 43/1996 (15 November 1996)
Khan v Canada, no 15/1994 (18 November 1994)
Kisoki v Sweden, no 41/1996 (8 May 1996)
L.Z.B. v Canada, no 304/2006 (15 November 2007)
M.S.G. et al. v Switzerland, no 352/2008 (8 July 2011)
M.B.B. v Sweden, no 104/1998 (21 June 1999)
M.F. v Sweden, no 326/2007 (26 November 2008)
M.J.A.M.O. e.a. v Canada, no 293/2006 (15 May 2008)
M.N. v Switzerland, no 259/2004 (22 November 2006)
M.X. v Sweden, no 311/2007 (15 May 2008)
Mafhoud Brada v France, no 195/2002 (24 May 2005)
Mehdi Zare v Sweden, no 256/2004 (17 May 2006)
Minani v Canada, no 331/2007 (10 December 2009)
Mondal v Sweden, no 338/2008 (7 July 2011)
N.P v Australia, no 106/1998 (3 June 1999)
Nadeem Ahmad Dar v Norway, no 249/2004 (16 May 2007)
Nirmal Singh v Canada, no 319/2007 (8 July 2011)
Njamba and Balikosa v Sweden, no 322/2007 (3 June 2010)
Parot v Spain, no 6/1990 (9 June 1995)
R.K. v Canada, no 42/1996 (22 February 1996)
R.K. v Sweden, no 309/2006 (19 May 2008)
R.T-N v Switzerland, no 350/2008 (4 July 2011)
S.C. v Denmark, no 143/199 (3 September 2000)
S.G. v the Netherlands, no 135/1999 (14 May 2004)
S.P.A. v Canada, no 282/2005 (6 December 2006)
S.U.A. v Sweden, no 223/2002 (22 November 2004)
Said Amini v Denmark, no 339/2008 (30 November 2010)
T.D. v Switzerland, no 375/2009 (3 July 2011)
V.L. v Switzerland, no 262/2005 (22 January 2007)
X v Australia, no 324/2007 (5 May 2009)

Y.H.A. v Australia, no 162/2000 (27 March 2002)
Z.K. v Sweden, no 301/2006 (16 May 2008)
Z.Z. v Canada, no 123/1998 (15 May 2001)

6 HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE

Ahani v Canada, no 1051/2002 (15 June 2004)
Alzery v Sweden, no 1416/2005 (10 November 2006)
Castedo v Spain, no 1122/2002 (3 November 2008)
Dawood Khan v Canada, no 1302/2004 (10 August 2006)
Ernst Zundel v Canada, no 1341/2005 (4 April 2007)
George Kazantzis v Cyprus, no 972/2001 (19 September 2003)
Gonzales del Rio v Peru, no 263/1987 (28 October 1992)
Griffin v Spain, no 493/1992 (5 April 1995)
Hamida v Canada, no 1544/2007 (13 April 2010)
Hammel v Madagascar, no 155/1983 (3 April 1987)
Jagjit Singh Bhullar v Canada, no 982/2001 (13 November 2006)
Jonny Rubin Byahuranga v Denmark, no 1222/2003 (9 December 2004)
Judge v Canada, no 829/1998 (20 October 2003)
Karttunen v Finland, no 387/1989 (5 November 1992)
Kimouche v Algeria, no 1328/2004 (16 August 2007)
Kindler v Canada, no 470/1991 (18 November 1993)
Lnenicka v Czech Republic, no 1484/2006 (28 April 2008)
Madafferi v Australia, no 1011/2001 (26 August 2004)
Moraël v France, no 207/86 (28 July 1989)
Moses Solo Tarlue v Canada, no 1551/2007 (28 April 2009)
Oló Bahamonde v Equatorial Guinea, no 468/1991 (10 November 1993)
Osivand v the Netherlands, no 1289/2004 (11 April 2006)
P.K. v Canada, no 1234/2003 (20 March 2007)
P.S. v Denmark, no 397/1990 (31 August 1992)
Perterer v Austria, no 1015/2001 (20 August 2004)
Pillai v Canada, no 1763/2008 (9 May 2011)
Silva v Uruguay, no 34/1978 (8 April 1981)
Toonen v Australia, no 488/1992 (31 March 1994)
Vicente ao v Colombia, no 612/95 (19 August 1997)
Weiss v Austria, no 1086/2002 (15 May 2003)
Zheludkova v Ukraine, no 726/1996 (6 December 2002)

7 NATIONAL COURTS

Germany
 Bundesverfassungsgericht 8 September 2009, BvQ 56/09

The Netherlands
 Administrative Jurisdiction Division of the Council of State 12 October 2001, no
 200103977/1

Administrative Jurisdiction Division of the Council of State 27 January 2003, no
200206297/1

Administrative Jurisdiction Division of the Council of State 18 November 2008, no
200805862/1

Administrative Jurisdiction Division of the Council of State 26 May 2009, no
200902200/1/V2

The United Kingdom

Court of Appeal 28 January 2000, *R. v. Home Secretary, ex parte Turgut* [2000] Imm
LR 306

House of Lords 19 February 1987, *R v. Home Secretary, ex parte Bugdaycay* [1987]
AC 514

Index

A

Abuse of asylum proceedings | 5, 385, 402, 404
accelerated asylum procedures | 5, 27, 49, 81, 93, 117, 132, 157, 159-160, 185, 220, 258-259, 385, 388, 403-404, 406, 410
access to asylum procedures | 39, 44-45, 102, 390
Amnesty International | 206, 245
anonymous source of information | 244, 310, 317, 346, 349, 354, 367-368, 372, 376, 399
anonymous witness | 356, 360, 366, 376, 399
Asylum Support Teams | 395
Austria | 128, 172
authenticity of documents | 179, 206, 208, 234, 238-239, 346
automatic suspensive effect, see *suspensive effect*

B

balancing of interests | 4, 26-27, 55, 78, 108-110, 114, 120, 303-304, 372, 375, 382, 384-385, 399
Belgium | 148-149, 195, 259, 277, 299, 390-391
benefit of the doubt | 42, 190, 220, 230-233, 254, 414
Bulgaria | 132, 207-208, 348, 390
business secrets | 109, 307-324, 384,
burden of proof | 25-26, 42, 80-81, 116, 149, 152, 201-214, 230, 253, 277, 387, 392, 396-397
· objective burden of proof | 201-209
· shifting the burden of proof | 80, 191, 202-209, 253, 397

· subjective burden of proof | 201, 209-214

C

Canada | 280, 293, 345, 364
Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, see also *fundamental rights* | 7-18, 43-47, 54-56,
children, see *minor asylum applicants*
child specific forms of persecution | 162
Concluding Observations and Recommendations | 23-24, 64, 70, 73
constitutional traditions of the Member States | 9, 14, 16-18, 24, 74
consistent interpretation | 97
Committee against Torture | 5, 23, 60, 63, 70-75, 89-90, 120
Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe | 23, 68-69, 73
Committee on the Rights of the Child | 24, 60-61, 63, 70-71, 120, 164-166, 172-174, 229-230, 233
Common EU Guidelines for the processing of country of origin information | 394
Common European Asylum System (CEAS) | 6, 32-36, 56-62, 401-403
· legal basis | 32-33
· objectives | 34-35
· second phase | 401-403
competition cases | 100-101, 306-326, 335, 343-344, 383-384, 388
complex assessments | 267-270, 274-275, 292-293, 301-302
country of origin information | 157, 176, 210-212, 219, 239, 242-247, 253-

255, 283, 293, 298, 303, 349, 354, 367, 397-398, 411

- evidentiary value | 211-212, 242-243, 397-398, 411
- quality | 243-247

credibility | 2, 116, 121, 153, 155, 158-159, 168, 175, 178-180, 182, 184-190, 219-233, 238-239, 254, 257, 263-265, 281-290, 293, 301, 303, 346, 368-369, 374, 376, 397-399, 410

Czech Republic | 132, 390

D

Denmark | 38, 42, 404-405

dependent adult | 160-161, 186, 396, 408

derogation possibilities | 12, 40, 43-47, 389, 403

detention | 26-27, 37, 39, 132, 347, 350-352, 355, 371

direct effect of EU law | 83

Dublin Regulation (Regulation No 343/2003) | 11, 26, 33-34, 36, 38, 216, 218

duty

- of care | 101-102
- to conduct an adequate examination of the asylum claim | 176, 212, 403
- to state reasons | 101-102, 105-108, 114, 243, 273, 293, 304-305, 334-338, 342-343, 375-377, 382, 399

E

efficiency of procedures | 183, 261, 384-385, 401

equal treatment cases | 121, 190, 203-204, 208, 253, 383

EU citizens | 130, 133, 137-139, 145, 147, 296-297

EU fundamental rights

- function | 13-14
- scope of application | 11-13, 43-46
- sources of inspiration | 14-18, 53-75
- level of protection | 54-56

European Asylum Curriculum | 394

European Asylum Support Office (EASO) | 394-395

European Convention on Human Rights | 2-4, 8-10, 15-16, 23, 54-58, 74-75, 392-393

European Court of Human Rights 2-4, 16, 54, 56-57, 64-68, 71-75

EU sanctions aimed at combating terrorism | 324-344, 383

- Autonomous | 327-329
- based on UN Security Council resolutions | 326-327

evidentiary assessment | 2, 25, 42, 233-251, 254-255, 272, 274, 397-398, 401, 405, 410-412

excessive formalism | 99

EXCOM Conclusions | 63, 69-70, 73-74

exculpatory evidence | 309-311, 323, 364, 371, 375

Executive Committee of the Programme of the High Commissioner (ExCom) | 4, 63, 69-70

exhaust domestic remedies, obligation to | 90, 95, 139, 142

ex officio application of EU law | 258, 387

expert reports | 212, 239-241, 255, 270, 398, 411

extradition | 25, 127, 129-130, 143, 145, 278, 282-283, 298, 407

F

Family Reunification Directive (Directive 2003/86/EC) | 44-45, 47, 67

Finland | 129, 225

first country of asylum | 27, 40

France | 140, 157, 159, 170, 179, 390

full jurisdiction test | 91, 96, 260-263, 289-294, 301

G

gender | 158, 161, 171-172, 187, 397

General Comment | 64, 70, 73

general principles of EU law

- function | 13-14

- scope of application | 11-13, 43-46
- sources of inspiration | 14-18, 53-75
- level of protection | 54-56

Germany | 162
Greece | 102, 128, 132, 144, 169-171

H

harmonisation | 4-5, 7, 35, 40-41, 391, 402, 404
homosexuality | 162
Human Rights Committee | 5, 63, 70-75, 89-90, 120
human rights organizations | 206, 209, 212, 222, 236, 242-246, 255, 398
Human Rights Watch | 206, 245
Hungary | 132,

I

impartiality of a court or tribunal | 87, 96, 291, 339, 345
inadmissible asylum applications | 40, 92, 146, 385, 406
inculpatory evidence | 310, 323, 370-371
independence of a court or tribunal | 87, 96, 339, 344, 348-349
individual assessment of the asylum claim | 214-219, 254,
information on the asylum procedure | 36, 39, 61, 102, 115, 129, 131, 151, 163-164, 166, 172, 387
irreparable harm | 126, 131-133, 139, 141-145, 151-152, 293, 386
interim measures | 89, 132, 136, 139, 141, 143-145
interim protection | 78, 80-81, 126, 129, 131-152, 383, 396, 406
interim relief see *interim protection*
internal documents | 307, 313-314
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | 2, 15-16, 19, 23, 53, 56, 59, 62-63, 71, 73, 77, 87, 90, 92, 96, 99-103, 120, 133, 142, 144, 194, 202, 288, 345
international relations of the Member States | 306, 324-325

interpreter | 102, 115, 150, 166-172, 176, 184, 187, 396-397, 409
interview, see *personal interview*
interviewer | 166-172, 174, 186-187, 396-397, 408-409
Ireland | 38-39, 42, 132, 404-405
Italy | 170, 390

J

judicial review | 2, 20, 81, 90, 110, 113, 134, 138, 153, 179-180, 188, 257-302, 304, 318-323, 328, 333, 339-346, 371, 383, 385, 388, 390, 398, 412-413
· *ex nunc* | 258, 282, 294-300, 302, 386-387, 391, 403, 412-413
· intensity | 26, 81, 90, 110, 179-180, 188, 2567, 263-294, 300-302, 388, 390, 398, 412-413
· scope | 90, 257-263, 300-301, 325-326, 398, 412-413

L

language | 115, 154, 165-169, 184-186, 239, 290, 396, 408
legal aid | 2, 23, 27, 37, 39-40, 86, 98-99, 102, 115, 387-388, 390, 392, 403
legal certainty | 10, 49, 94, 109
legal assistance, see *legal aid*
legality review | 48-49
Lithuania | 132
Luxembourg | 132, 216, 395

M

manifest error | 268-271
manifestly unfounded asylum
 applications | 92, 126, 132, 146, 152, 157, 160, 185, 215, 220, 385, 406
medical reports | 191, 210, 212-214, 223, 226-227, 239-240, 247-251, 255, 282, 287, 398, 411-412
· conclusiveness | 250-251
· evidentiary value | 247-248
· quality | 249-250
minimum standards | 6-7, 13-14, 19, 31-35, 40, 43-46, 119, 389-390
minor asylum applicants | 39, 61, 116, 156, 161-166, 172-174, 186-187, 214,

- 229, 232-233, 254, 392, 396-397, 408, 413
- N**
- national courts, role of | 47-51, 400
- national security | 26-27, 48, 89, 110, 192, 196, 252, 303-304, 306-308, 324, 344-349, 353-356, 358-364, 371-375, 384, 392, 412-413
- nature of the rights claimed | 2, 26, 113-115, 120, 133, 184, 252, 278, 374, 386
- negative facts | 207-209, 397
- new elements or findings | 274, 277-278
- non-confidential version | 311, 315-320, 323, 343, 366, 372, 376, 386, 400
- non-confidential summary see *non-confidential version*
- O**
- oral hearing see *right to an oral hearing*
- overall fairness of the procedure | 78, 108, 110-112, 120, 181, 260, 291, 382, 385-386
- P**
- Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe | 23, 69, 73, 160, 168
- past persecution | 187-198, 205
- personal interview | 2, 40, 61, 153-180, 185-188, 229, 390-392, 396-397, 403
- children #156, 161-166, 172-174, 186-187, 229, 396
 - conduct | 166-174, 186-187, 396-397, 408-409
 - dependent adults | 156, 160-161, 186, 396, 408
 - exceptions | 40, 154-166, 185-186, 396, 407-408
 - gender-sensitive | 171-172, 187, 397
 - report | 154, 175-178, 187, 397, 409-410
- Portugal | 132
- positive obligation | 97, 210, 213, 253, 387
- post traumatic stress syndrome | 226, 228
- practical cooperation | 394-395
- precautionary principle | 269
- preliminary ruling procedure | 8, 13, 49-51, 55, 137, 381, 400
- presumption | 158, 189-190, 197, 214-219, 254, 383, 391-392, 398
- principle of
- effectiveness | 7-8, 18, 42, 77-85, 88, 93-94, 109, 111, 114, 116, 119, 128, 133, 135, 157, 160, 168, 186, 190-192, 208, 213, 215, 217, 230, 236, 239, 251-252, 266, 297, 300, 392
 - equivalence | 82-84, 98, 109, 135, 217
 - legal certainty #10, 49, 94, 109
 - national procedural autonomy | 19, 77-81, 119, 150, 263, 294-295, 300, 381
 - priority of EU law | 136-137
 - proportionality | 10, 110, 235
 - subsidiarity | 9, 78
- proper administration of justice | 88
- prospective analyses | 272, 274
- public policy, threat to | 296-297
- Q**
- Qualification Directive (Directive 2004/83/EC) | 3, 7, 25-26, 31, 33-36, 41-43, 45, 50, 58-62, 69-70, 154, 191-193, 202, 208, 234, 263, 381-382, 389, 392, 401-405, 411, 414
- R**
- Reception Conditions Directive (Directive 2003/9/EC) | 33-34, 36
- Refugee Convention | 2, 4, 7, 16, 19, 33, 35, 45, 53, 56-59, 61-63, 69-70, 73-74, 88, 120, 128, 143, 157-158, 192, 202, 208, 346, 405
- Return Directive (Directive 2008/115/EC) | 26, 36
- recast proposal
- Dublin Regulation | 34
 - Procedures Directive | 21, 34, 382, 385, 389, 401-414

- Qualification Directive | 21, 34, 382, 402, 405, 410, 413-414
- Reception Conditions Directive | 34
- repayment of charges levied contrary to EU law | 217-218
- right
 - of access to a court or tribunal | 84, 86, 96, 98-99, 112, 119, 125-126, 129, 138, 354, 361, 367, 384
 - of access to the file | 84, 100-101, 104, 304-316, 320, 373-375
 - to a fair trial | 8, 14, 18, 77, 79, 81, 84-92, 96, 99-100, 112, 119-120, 185, 265, 275, 289-292, 321, 351, 363, 373, 393
 - to a public hearing | 86-87, 110, 155, 180, 183-184, 188
 - to adversarial proceedings | 81, 99-100, 110, 115, 119, 181, 304-305, 347, 350-375, 385, 391-392, 399
 - to an oral hearing before a court or tribunal | 121, 155, 180-185, 393, 410
 - to asylum | 1-4, 10, 25-26, 31, 41-42, 80, 113-114, 151, 157, 161, 167, 184, 189, 191, 202, 208, 215, 220, 230, 232-235, 239-240, 247, 251-254, 297
 - to be heard | 18, 60, 81, 84, 101-105, 108-109, 112, 119, 121, 154-188, 229, 258, 273, 293, 304-305, 308, 317, 324, 326, 329-334, 342-344, 373-377, 381-385, 396, 399, 407-410
 - to comment on the report of the personal interview | 154, 175-177, 187, 397, 409-410
 - to equality of arms | 81, 99-101, 103, 119, 181, 304-305, 310, 312, 322, 347, 350-352, 360, 371-372
 - to good administration | 1, 8, 10, 18, 77, 79, 81, 84-85, 101-108, 119, 298
 - to participate effectively in a hearing before a court or tribunal | 183-185, 188, 387
 - to remain on the territory of the Member State | 18, 20, 27, 37, 39, 121, 126-153, 382, 396, 405-407
- rights of the defence | 84, 94, 103, 107, 109, 112, 148-149, 152, 277, 305-334, 341, 341, 343-344, 355, 368, 373, 376, 396, 399
- rigorous scrutiny | 85, 115, 130, 148-152, 157, 262, 269, 275-286, 293-294, 301, 349, 353, 387, 390, 396
- S
 - safe country of origin | 27, 40, 156, 202, 214-215
 - safe third country | 27, 40, 156, 202, 406
 - scientific advice, see *expert reports*
 - sexual abuse | 161, 171, 227-228
 - Slovenia | 157, 259
 - soft law | 15, 72, 75
 - Spain | 132, 170
 - special counsel | 363-366, 368, 376, 399, 413
 - special vulnerable group #
 - standard of proof | 25, 144, 189-202, 252, 310, 371, 397, 401, 405, 410-412
 - Schengen Borders Code (Regulation No 562/2006) | 25
 - State aid | 113, 190, 213
 - Stockholm Programme | 34, 402
 - subject matter of the procedure,
 - relevance of the | 78, 113-117, 120, 382, 386-388
 - subsequent asylum applications | 40, 129, 156, 159, 171, 234, 294-295, 297, 300, 386, 407
 - subsidiary protection | 4, 7, 25, 33, 35, 38-39, 41-42, 56-57, 189-190, 192, 197, 199-200, 202, 211, 252, 304, 403, 407
 - subsidiary role of human rights
 - mechanisms | 85, 87, 89-90, 262, 275-276, 281-288, 293, 299-300, 302
 - suspensive effect, appeal with see *interim protection*
 - Sweden | 132, 221-222, 285, 346

T

Tampere summit | 6, 33, 35-36
 tax law | 235, 254
 Temporary Protection Directive
 (Directive 2001/55/EC) | 33, 35
 Terrorism | 303, 308, 324-344, 347, 351,
 355, 370
 The Hague Programme | 34, 402
 The Netherlands | 162, 224, 242, 261,
 281, 286, 349, 390
 The United Kingdom | 38, 42, 132, 135,
 196, 259, 278-280, 293, 338, 342, 353-
 358, 365, 404-405
 third country nationals | 34-35, 46, 395,
 403
 time-limits | 37, 81, 89, 99, 109, 114,
 117, 131, 146, 149, 385, 387-388
 training | 102, 169-170, 173-174, 230,
 394, 403, 408
 traumatised asylum applicants | 214,
 226-228, 233, 254
 Treaty of Amsterdam | 32
 Treaty of Lisbon | 8-9, 33, 50, 326

U

unaccompanied minor see *minor asylum
 applicants*
 UN Convention against Torture | 2, 16,
 19, 53, 56, 59-62, 73, 77, 87-93, 96,
 120, 133, 142, 144, 202, 248, 280, 345
 UN Convention on the Rights of the
 Child | 16, 19, 53, 56, 60-63, 73, 120,
 164-166, 229

UNHCR Handbook | 63, 70, 73, 115,
 128, 171, 193, 198, 214
 UNHCR Guidelines | 4, 63, 70, 73, 88,
 229
 United Nations High Commissioner for
 Human Rights | 245
 United Nations High Commissioner for
 Refugees (UNHCR) | 4, 5, 7, 24, 37,
 39, 63, 69-70, 72-75, 88, 115, 120-122,
 128-134, 143, 145, 157-161, 167-176,
 180, 187, 192-194, 198, 209-211, 214-
 215, 218-219, 229, 231-233, 242, 245,
 259, 294, 344, 346, 384, 389-392, 404
 United Nations Independent Expert on
 Minority Issues | 246
 United Nations Relief and Works
 Agency for Palestine Refugees
 (UNWRA) | 235
 United Nations Secretary General | 245
 United Nations Security Council | 325-
 327, 331, 333, 339, 341, 344
 US State Department | 246

V

vulnerability | 115-117, 120, 170-171,
 173, 226-227, 408

W

written statement | 157, 396