

Z-FIRE: ISM Properties of the z = 2.095 COSMOS Cluster

Kewley, L.J.; Yuan, T.; Nanayakkara, T.; Kacprzak, G.G.; Tran, K.V.H.; Glazebrook, K.; ... ; Tomczak, A.

Citation

Kewley, L. J., Yuan, T., Nanayakkara, T., Kacprzak, G. G., Tran, K. V. H., Glazebrook, K., ... Tomczak, A. (2016). Z-FIRE: ISM Properties of the z = 2.095 COSMOS Cluster. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *819*(2), 100. doi:10.3847/0004-637X/819/2/100

Version:Not Applicable (or Unknown)License:Leiden University Non-exclusive licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/47199

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



Z-FIRE: ISM PROPERTIES OF THE z = 2.095 COSMOS CLUSTER

LISA J. KEWLEY¹, TIANTIAN YUAN¹, THEMIYA NANAYAKKARA², GLENN G. KACPRZAK², KIM-VY H. TRAN³, KARL GLAZEBROOK², LEE SPITLER^{4,5}, MICHAEL COWLEY^{4,5}, MICHAEL DOPITA^{1,6}, CAROLINE STRAATMAN⁷, IVO LABBÉ⁷, AND ADAM TOMCZAK³ ¹The Australian Național University, Australia; lisa.kewley@anu.edu.au

² Swinburne University, Australia ³ Texas A&M University, USA

⁴ Macquarie University, Australia ⁵ Australian Astronomical Observatory, Australia

King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Leiden University, The Netherlands

Received 2015 January 21; accepted 2015 June 19; published 2016 March 3

ABSTRACT

We investigate the ISM properties of 13 star-forming galaxies within the $z \sim 2$ COSMOS cluster. We show that the cluster members have $[N II]/H\alpha$ and $[O III]/H\beta$ emission-line ratios similar to $z \sim 2$ field galaxies, yet systematically different emission-line ratios (by ~ 0.17 dex) from the majority of local star-forming galaxies. We find no statistically significant difference in the $[N II]/H\alpha$ and $[O III]/H\beta$ line ratios or ISM pressures among the $z \sim 2$ cluster galaxies and field galaxies at the same redshift. We show that our cluster galaxies have significantly larger ionization parameters (by up to an order of magnitude) than local star-forming galaxies. We hypothesize that these high ionization parameters may be associated with large specific star formation rates (SFRs; i.e., a large SFR per unit stellar mass). If this hypothesis is correct, then this relationship would have important implications for the geometry and/or the mass of stars contained within individual star clusters as a function of redshift.

Key words: galaxies: abundances – galaxies: fundamental parameters – galaxies: starburst

1. INTRODUCTION

Galaxy clusters formed by the collapse of the highest density peaks in primordial density fluctuations (e.g., Peebles 1993; Peacock 1999). The cluster environment strongly affects the star formation history of cluster members. Galaxies in the centers of clusters are observed to be redder and more evolved than galaxies on the outskirts of the cluster, as well as field galaxies at the same redshift (Osterbrock 1960; Dressler et al. 1985; Balogh et al. 1997, 1999). Thus, the most massive clusters today likely formed in short episodes of vigorous star formation that effectively ceased by $z \sim 1$ (Stanford et al. 1998; Blakeslee et al. 2006; van Dokkum & van der Marel 2007; Eisenhardt et al. 2008; Mei et al. 2009; Mancone et al. 2010; Brodwin et al. 2013; Tanaka et al. 2013). Theoretical simulations of cluster formation and evolution support this scenario (Springel et al. 2005; De Lucia et al. 2006). However, using old stellar populations to reconstruct the star formation conditions and to understand why star formation ceased in clusters is extremely difficult. Recently, several galaxy clusters have been discovered in the "redshift desert" (1.5 < z < 2.5) (Kurk et al. 2009; Papovich et al. 2010; Tanaka et al. 2010, 2013; Fassbender et al. 2011; Gobat et al. 2011; Nastasi et al. 2011; Santos et al. 2011; Spitler et al. 2012; Stanford et al. 2012; Zeimann et al. 2012; Muzzin et al. 2013). With near-infrared multi-object spectroscopy on 8-10 m telescopes, it is now possible to study the star formation conditions in these clusters, prior to (or during) the end of their major star-forming episodes.

Near-infrared spectroscopy probes the optical rest-frame emission lines at $z \sim 2$, providing a wealth of information about the ionized gas surrounding the young, hot stars. The strong lines [N II], $H\alpha$, [O III], $H\beta$, and the [O II] and [S II] doublets diagnose the power source of galaxies, the star formation rate (SFR), the gas-phase chemical abundance, the ionization state of the gas, the electron density of the gas, and

the amount of dust extinction, among other physical properties (see Osterbrock 1989 for a review). Knowledge of these properties in clusters across cosmic time helps to build a more comprehensive picture of how star formation progressed in clusters, and how the cluster environment impacted the star formation process.

So far, large near-infrared spectroscopic studies have predominantly focused on field galaxies. High redshift field galaxies appear to have larger $[N II]/H\alpha$ and/or $[O III]/H\beta$ optical line ratios (by ~ 0.3 dex) compared with local field galaxies (Erb et al. 2006; Hainline et al. 2009; Bian et al. 2010; Rigby et al. 2011; Yabe et al. 2012; Zahid et al. 2013a; Holden et al. 2014; Shapley et al. 2015; Steidel et al. 2014). These elevated line ratios may indicate a major change in the ionized gas or star formation properties as a function of time. Many possible causes for this offset have been proposed, including a larger ionization parameter (e.g., Brinchmann et al. 2008; Kewley et al. 2013b; Shirazi et al. 2014), a harder ionizing radiation field (Stanway et al. 2014; Steidel et al. 2014), a higher ISM pressure (density; Shirazi et al. 2014), a contribution from an active galactic nucleus (AGN; Groves et al. 2006; Wright et al. 2010; Trump et al. 2011), and contamination by shocks from galactic outflows (Förster Schreiber et al. 2014; Newman et al. 2014). Sample selection is likely to play a large role in the relative importance of each of these factors (Juneau et al. 2014).

If the cluster environment causes more rapid evolution during the star formation stage than in the field, then we might expect a difference in the ISM conditions in clusters at high redshift compared with field galaxies. The star formation properties of high redshift cluster galaxies relative to the field are currently under debate. Using stacked near-infrared spectroscopy, Valentino et al. (2015) suggested that the relationship between star formation and stellar mass is similar among cluster and field galaxies at $z \sim 2$. However, Tran et al.

(2010) and Brodwin et al. (2013) argue that the SFR in cluster galaxies is elevated relative to field galaxies at the same stellar mass.

In this paper, we test the hypothesis that star-forming conditions in clusters are more evolved than the field using the $z \sim 2$ COSMOS cluster (Spitler et al. 2012). The COSMOS cluster is an ideal laboratory for studying the formation of massive nearby galaxy clusters. The COSMOS cluster contains four distinct overdensities (Spitler et al. 2012). Cosmological simulations suggest that at least two of the overdensities will merge across cosmic time, creating a Virgo-like cluster by $z \sim 0$ (Yuan et al. 2014). The cluster has 57 spectroscopically confirmed members, and follows the same mass–metallicity relation as field galaxies at $z \sim 2$ (Kacprzak et al. 2015).

The COSMOS cluster and comparison samples are described in Section 2. In Section 3, we describe our near-infrared spectroscopy. In Section 4, we compare the optical line ratios for 10 galaxies in the COSMOS cluster with field galaxies at the same redshift, and with local star-forming galaxies. We investigate the electron density (or ISM pressure) in the COSMOS cluster in Section 5, and the ionization state of the gas in Section 6. We discuss the implications of this work and our conclusions in Section 7. Throughout this paper, we adopt the flat Λ -dominated cosmology as measured by the 7 year *WMAP* experiment (h = 0.72, $\Omega_m = 0.29$; Komatsu et al. 2011).

2. SAMPLE SELECTION

2.1. The $z \sim 2$ COSMOS Cluster

The COSMOS cluster was discovered as part of the *Magellan*/FourStar Galaxy Evolution Survey (ZFOURGE) photometric redshift survey of the COSMOS field (Scoville et al. 2007). The ZFOURGE survey uses five medium bandwidth filters $(J_1, J_2, J_3, H_s, H_l)$ and the broadband K_s filter on the *Magellan* FOURSTAR instrument (Persson13, Straatman et al. 2015). High-redshift galaxy overdensities were identified using surface density maps within narrow $\Delta z \sim 0.2$ redshift ranges between 1.5 < z < 3.5. With this technique, the COSMOS cluster was revealed with photometric redshifts $z \sim 2.2$ (Spitler et al. 2012). Subsequent Keck observations revealed 57 spectroscopically confirmed cluster members within a projected radius of ~5 Mpc at z = 2.095 (Yuan et al. 2014). We compare the ISM properties of the COSMOS cluster with two comparison field samples.

2.2. Local Comparison Field Sample

We use the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) as our local comparison sample (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2006). Although the COSMOS cluster galaxies will have evolved into red elliptical galaxies by z = 0, the SDSS represents the range of properties seen in massive ($M > 10^9 M_{\odot}$) nearby starforming galaxies. We select galaxies from the SDSS DR4, as described in Kewley et al. (2006). To avoid aperture effects and incompleteness, we restrict the SDSS redshift range to 0.04 < z < 0.1. The lower redshift limit corresponds to a minimum aperture covering fraction of $\gtrsim 20\%$ to minimize aperture effects (Kewley et al. 2005). We use the emission-line fluxes from the JHU/MPA catalog with H β , [O III], H α , [N II], and [S II] emission lines with signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) > 3σ . These spectra have been corrected by the JHU/MPA team for underlying stellar absorption using stellar population synthesis models. Our SDSS sample contains 85,224 galaxies. We remove AGNs using the Kewley et al. (2006) optical classification scheme, leaving 66,590 star forming galaxies.

2.3. $z \sim 2$ Comparison Field Sample

We compare the ISM properties of the COSMOS cluster with the properties of 10 serendipitous field galaxies between 1.8 < z < 2.2, observed in the same slitmasks as the COSMOS cluster candidates. These galaxies were identified in the ZFOURGE five medium bandwidth filters.

We supplement our small field sample with 39 star-forming galaxies between 1.8 < z < 2.3 from the Keck Baryonic Structure Survey (KBSS-MOSFIRE) survey by Steidel et al. (2014). The KBSS-MOSFIRE star-forming galaxy sample contains ~70.9% of galaxies previously selected using rest-frame far-UV spectra, with the remaining galaxies covering a broader range of rest-frame UV and optical colors. The final KBSS sample with detected [N II]/H α and [O III]/H β emission-lines is not biased in SFR and stellar mass, relative to the parent (photometric) sample (see Figure 4 in Steidel et al. 2014).

To avoid selection effects based on galaxy mass, while ensuring statistically significant samples for comparison, we create two comparison field samples, depending on the suite of emission lines available. We refer to these two samples as our BPT and [S II] samples, respectively.

BPT Field Comparison Sample: to compare the position of galaxies on the standard optical diagnostic diagrams (Baldwin et al. 1981; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987; Kewley et al. 2001a), we select galaxies with the full suite of H $\beta \lambda$ 4861, [O III] λ 5007, H $\alpha \lambda$ 6563, and [N II] λ 6585 lines. Steidel et al. apply a lower S/N limit of 5 σ to the [O III] and H α emission-lines, and a 2 σ limit to the [N II] and H α emission lines. For consistency, we apply the same S/N limits to our field galaxies, but we highlight galaxies with [N II] or H α emission lines with S/N < 3 σ in our figures to indicate that these detections are marginal.

The position of a galaxy on the optical diagnostic diagrams is sensitive to its gas-phase metallicity, and hence to its stellar mass through the mass-metallicity relation (Tremonti et al. 2004; Zahid et al. 2013b). Selecting a high redshift sample for the full suite of H β , [O III], H α , [N II] emission line biases a sample toward galaxies with detectable [O III] and [N II] lines. This selection can introduce a bias against highmass and low-mass galaxies. Metal-rich nebulae produce low [O III] emission-line fluxes and the oxygen lines are sensitive to the electron temperature of the gas, which is cool in metal-rich nebulae. On the other hand, selecting for [N II] detections biases against low metallicity galaxies that have weak [NII]. The [N II] line is sensitive to metallicity only in the metal-rich regime $(\log(O/H) + 12 \gtrsim 8.4;$ Kewley & Dopita 2002) because nitrogen changes from a primary to a secondary nucleosynthetic element at intermediate metallicities. Therefore, we match our field and comparison samples in stellar mass. Here, we use a subsample of the KBSS-MOSFIRE galaxies matched in stellar mass to our ZFIRE cluster sample with 9.0 $< M_*/M_{\odot} < 10.0$. This stellar mass selection results in 17 galaxies.

Our ZFIRE serendipitious field sample contains four galaxies with the full suite of emission lines H β λ 4861, [O III] λ 5007, H α λ 6563, and [N II] λ 6585 detected to S/N > 3 σ within the same stellar mass range of 9.0 < M_*/M_{\odot} < 10.0. Applying the KBSS-MOSFIRE S/N limits yields one additional galaxy.

[S II] Field Comparison Sample: we use the [S II] doublet for calculating the electron density of the ionized gas in the [S II] zone. To compare the electron density of the cluster and field galaxies, we select galaxies with rest-frame red emissionlines Hα λ6563, [N II] λ6585, [S II] λ6717, and [S II] λ6731 detected to the 3σ level. This selection results in high luminosity, high stellar mass samples because the [S II] lines are only weakly dependent on the metallicity (see, e.g., Kewley & Dopita 2002). We therefore match our cluster and field galaxies in stellar mass (9.5 < M_*/M_{\odot} < 11.0), resulting in 10 field comparison galaxies from our MOSFIRE data set. Our BPT and [S II] field samples are not mutually exclusive, and they span the same range of SFRs (6 < $\frac{SFR}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}}$ < 56).

For our electron density analysis, we are unable to compare with the KBSS-MOSFIRE sample because the KBSS-MOS-FIRE [S II] line fluxes are not publicly available.

3. ZFIRE: HIGH-Z MOSFIRE NEAR-INFRARED SPECTROSCOPY

3.1. Observations

We obtained near-infrared spectroscopy for the COSMOS cluster as part of our ZFIRE spectroscopic survey of high-*z* cluster candidates. The candidates were selected based on the ZFOURGE photometric redshifts (Spitler et al. 2012) derived from deep images obtained through the five ZFOURGE near-infrared medium-band filters. The median uncertainty for the ZFOURGE photometry is ~0.05 dex (Tomczak et al. 2014).

Observations of cluster candidates were made on Keck MOSFIRE (McLean et al. 2012) on 2013 December 24-25 and 2014 February 10-13,. Eight masks were used in the K-band $(1.93-2.45 \,\mu\text{m})$ to obtain the H α and [N II] emission lines, as well as two masks in the H-band (1.46–1.81 μ m), to detect the $H\beta$ and $[O_{III}]$ emission lines. Total on-source exposure times were 2 hr for the K-band masks, and 5.3 and 3.2 hr for the two H-band masks. Seeing varied between 0.4 and 0.7 arcsec throughout the exposures. We used a slit width of 0".7, which gives a spectral resolution of R = 3690 in the K-band and R = 3620 in the H-band. The MOSFIRE field of view of 6.1×6.1 arcmin enabled 224 objects to be targeted in six pointings. We observed an A0V type standard star in both the wide-slit mode and the narrow-science-slit ($\sim 0^{".7}$ slit width) mode before and after our science target exposures for telluric and flux calibration.

3.2. Data Reduction

The raw MOSFIRE data were reduced using the publiclyavailable data reduction pipeline (DRP) developed by the instrument team.⁸ The DRP provides background-subtracted, rectified and wavelength calibrated 2D spectra. All spectra were calibrated to wavelength in a vacuum. The H-band data were calibrated using night sky lines. The K-band data were calibrated using both night sky lines and a Neon arc lamp to ensure sufficient red emission lines for wavelength calibration. The typical residual for the wavelength solution is ≤ 1 Å. We used our own custom IDL routines to correct the 2D spectra for telluric absorption, and for flux calibration using A0V standard stars. We have visually inspected the two-dimensional spectra to check for contamination by sky lines at the positions of the emission lines, including the weak [N II] λ 6584 line and the [S II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716,6731 doublet. We have also checked that our error spectra fully account for skyline residuals. The uncertainty for our flux calibration is ~8% (T. Nanayakkara et al. 2016, in preparation). The 1D spectra and associated 1 σ error spectra were extracted using an aperture that corresponds to the FWHM of the spatial profile. For objects that are too faint to fit a Gaussian to the spatial profile, we used the FWHM of the stellar profile on the same mask as the extraction aperture.

3.3. Emission-line Measurements

Gaussian profiles were fit to the emission lines in H and K bands separately. For widely separated lines such as [O II] λ 3727, H $\beta\lambda$ 4861, single Gaussian functions are fit with four free parameters: the centroid (or the redshift), the line width, the line flux, and the continuum. The doublet [O III] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007 are initially fit as a double Gaussian function with six free parameters: the centroids 1 and 2, line widths 1 and 2, fluxes 1 and 2, and the continuum. A triple-Gaussian function is simultaneously fit to the three adjacent emission lines: $[N II] \lambda \lambda 6548$, 6583 and H α . The centroid and velocity width of the $[N II] \lambda \lambda 6548$, 6583 lines are constrained by the velocity width of H $\alpha\lambda$ 6563, and the ratio of [N II] λ 6548 and $[N II] \lambda 6583$ is constrained to the theoretical value of 1/3 given in Osterbrock (1989). The line profile fitting is conducted using a χ^2 minimization procedure which uses the inverse of the 1σ error spectrum of the DRP. This fitting procedure yields the redshift, line flux, line width, continuum and the associated statistical errors. Our typical 3σ flux limit is 1.8×10^{-18} erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². Example spectra of our COSMOS cluster members are given in Figure 1 of Yuan et al. (2014).

From our spectra, we obtained spectroscopic redshifts for 180 galaxies. Of these, 57 galaxies are confirmed cluster members (Yuan et al. 2014). A total of 8/57 ZFIRE cluster galaxies have the full suite of H β λ 4861, [O III] λ 5007, H α λ 6563, and [N II] λ 6585 lines detected to S/N > 3 σ , which we refer to as our BPT cluster sample. For consistency with the KBSS-MOSFIRE field sample, we also show the positions of galaxies with H β λ 4861 and [N II] λ 6585 lines detected to S/N of 2 σ , and [O III] λ 5007, H α λ 6563 lines detected to 5 σ . The KBSS-MOSFIRE S/N selection criteria would add five additional galaxies to the cluster sample. We have verified that our results are unchanged regardless of whether we apply our S/N > 3 criterion, or whether we apply the KBSS-MOSFIRE S/N criteria.

Our ZFIRE BPT cluster sample was selected to satisfy $9.0 < \log(M_*/M_{\odot}) < 10.0$ to match the mass range spanned by the BPT field control sample.

Of the galaxies with H α λ 6563 and [N II] λ 6585 lines detected to S/N > 3 σ , four galaxies also have both [S II] λ 6717 and [S II] λ 6731 detected to the 3 σ level, and span the stellar mass range 9.5 < log(M_*/M_{\odot}) < 11.0, where the stellar mass range is matched to the [S II] comparison sample. We refer to these four [S II] detected cluster galaxies as our [S II] cluster sample. Of these, only three galaxies have all of the H β , [O III], H α , [N II], and [S II], emission lines detected to the 3 σ level.

3.4. Stellar Mass and SFR measurements

We compute stellar masses using the ZFOURGE J_1, J_2, J_3, H_s , H_l and K_s photometry. We fit the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population synthesis models with FAST (Kriek et al. 2009), assuming a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function,

⁸ See http://code.google.com/p/mosfire/

a Calzetti et al. (2000) attenuation law, and solar metallicity. The same models and parameters were used by Steidel et al. (2014) for the KBSS-MOSFIRE sample. Our BPT cluster sample, the Steidel BPT field sample, and our ZFIRE BPT field sample have the same mean stellar masses, within the errors $(\langle \log(M_*/M_{\odot}) \rangle = 9.62 \pm 0.06, 9.63 \pm 0.08, \text{ and } 9.67 \pm 0.07, \text{ respectively})$. Although limited by small numbers, our [S II] cluster and field comparison samples also have consistent stellar masses, within the errors $(10.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ c.f. } 9.6 \pm 0.2, \text{ respectively})$.

SFRs are calculated from the extinction-corrected H α emission line and the Hao et al. (2011) calibration, as described in detail in Tran et al. (2015). We correct the H α emission line for extinction using the Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction curve. The resulting SFRs range between $5 < \frac{\text{SFR}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}} < 110$ for our BPT cluster sample and $5 < \frac{\text{SFR}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}} < 225$ for our BPT field sample. The 2D Kolmogorov–Smirnov test indicates that our cluster and field samples have statistically consistent ($P(\text{KS}) < 1\sigma$) extinction and SFRs, given the sample sizes and errors. We do not match the SFR range for the cluster and field galaxies because the SFR is correlated with the ionization parameter, and the ionization parameter is one of the quantities that we aim to test for differences among field and cluster galaxies.

4. OPTICAL EMISSION-LINE ANALYSIS

4.1. The [N II]/Ha Versus [O III]/HB Diagnostic Diagram

The $[N \Pi]/H\alpha$ versus $[O \Pi]/H\beta$ diagram was originally proposed by Baldwin et al. (1981) to distinguish between galaxies powered by H II regions, planetary nebulae, and objects powered by a harder ionizing radiation field, such as galaxies containing AGNs. This diagram (known as the BPT diagram) is useful at intermediate and high redshift because the $[N \Pi]$ H α $[O \Pi]$ and H β lines are often the only emission lines observable in near-infrared spectra of high redshift galaxies, and the wavelength of the emission-lines are sufficiently close that the $[N \Pi]/H\alpha$ and $[O \Pi]/H\beta$ ratios do not need to be flux calibrated or corrected for extinction.

Both H II regions and star-forming galaxies form a curved locus on the $[N II]/H\alpha$ versus $[O III]/H\beta$ optical diagnostic diagram (Dopita et al. 2000; Kewley et al. 2006). In Figure 1(a), we show the locus of the star-forming galaxies in the SDSS sample. Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987) showed that theoretical photoionization models can reproduce this curved locus. This locus is now known as the star-forming abundance sequence because the shape of the locus is dictated primarily by the chemical abundance (metallicity) of the starforming regions within galaxies. Metal-poor galaxies have low $[N II]/H\alpha$ and high $[O III]/H\beta$ ratios due to the combination of low chemical abundance and the electron temperature sensitivity of the oxygen collisionally excited lines.

The ISM and star formation properties of a galaxy affects its position relative to the star-forming abundance sequence on the BPT diagram (see Figure 1 in Kewley et al. 2013a). A rise in the ISM pressure causes both the $[N \Pi]/H\alpha$ and the $[O \Pi]/H\beta$ line ratios to rise. A hard ionizing radiation field (such as from a Wolf–Rayet dominated stellar population) causes a similar rise in the $[N \Pi]/H\alpha$ and $[O \Pi]/H\beta$ line ratios.



Figure 1. $[N \ n]/H\alpha$ vs. $[O \ m]/H\beta$ diagnostic diagram showing our COSMOS cluster (red circles) in comparison to the local SDSS sample (upper panel), our field comparison sample (middle panel), and the Kewley et al. (2013b) redshift-dependent classification line and error ranges (red solid line and dotted lines respectively, lower panel). The blue lines in the middle panel show the position of the local SDSS envelope for comparison. Field galaxies are colored according to sample, where pale blue corresponds to the KBSS-MOSFIRE field sample of Steidel et al. (2014), and black corresponds to field galaxies from our MOSFIRE observations with all strong-lines detected to >3 σ . Orange circles and dark blue circles show the additional galaxies that would be added to our cluster sample and field sample if we were to apply the S/N limits of Steidel et al.

However, a rise in the ionization parameter causes the $[N II]/H\alpha$ (and $[S II]/H\alpha$) to fall, while $[O III]/H\beta$ rises. The ionization parameter is defined as the number of hydrogen ionizing photons passing through a unit area per second divided by the number density of hydrogen atoms $n_{\rm H}$, and is a measure of the amount of ionization that a radiation field is able to produce in an H II region. For a spherical geometry, the ionization

parameter q can be defined using the Stromgren radius R_s (Strömgren 1939):

$$q = \frac{Q_{\rm H^0}}{4\pi R_{\rm s}^2 n_{\rm H}} \tag{1}$$

where $Q_{\rm H^0}$ is the flux of ionizing photons above the Lyman limit. In an ionized nebula, the number density of hydrogen is approximately the electron density $n_{\rm e}$.

The ionization parameter is affected by both the hardness of the ionizing radiation field, as well as the bolometric luminosity of the ionizing source. The combination of theoretical photoionization models, and observations of the [O III], H β , [N II], $H\alpha$ lines, and the [S II] doublet allows one to discriminate between the effect of a differing ionization parameter, the ISM pressure, and the radiation hardness. The $[S II] \lambda 6717/[S II]$ λ 6731 ratio constrains the ISM pressure, thanks to the sensitivity of this doublet to the hydrogen density of the gas. The $[S_{II}]/H\alpha$ ratio is particularly sensitive to the hardness of the ionizing radiation field because the [S II] lines are produced in a partially ionized zone that is large when the radiation field contains a significant fraction of high energy (X-ray and EUV) photons. Finally, the combination of the $[N \pi]/[S \pi]$ and $[O \pi]/[S \pi]$ $H\beta$ ratios separate the ionization parameter and the metallicity, as shown in Dopita et al. (2013).

Figure 1(a) gives the $[N II]/H\alpha$ versus $[O III]/H\beta$ diagram for the SDSS (gray contours) and the COSMOS cluster (red symbols). The SDSS star-forming sequence is parameterized by Kewley et al. (2013a) (their Equation (5)). Using this parameterization, we calculate the median $[O III]/H\beta$ offset between the COSMOS cluster and the SDSS star-forming sequence to be ~0.17 dex. We investigate possible causes for this offset in the following sections.

We compare the position of our COSMOS cluster with our field comparison sample in Figure 1(b). We have matched the stellar mass range of both samples (i.e., $9.0 < \log(M)/M_{\odot} < 10.0$) to avoid mass biases.

Our COSMOS cluster has line ratios that are well matched to the field galaxies at the same redshift. The two-sided Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic for the $[N II]/H\alpha$ and $[O III]/H\beta$ ratios yields D-values of 0.34 and 0.35, respectively, with significance levels of 0.36 and 0.32, indicating that our cluster and the field sample are not significantly different. We have also verified that the relationships between $[N II]/H\alpha$ and stellar mass, and $[O III]/H\beta$ and stellar mass are the same for the cluster and field samples, within the errors. We conclude that the cluster environment has not produced more rapid evolution in the ISM conditions in our star-forming galaxies at $z \sim 2$.

4.2. AGN and Shock Contamination

AGNs strongly affect the $[N II]/H\alpha$ and $[O III]/H\beta$ optical line ratios. Galaxies containing AGNs form a separate sequence on the BPT diagram, beginning at the high metallicity, high mass end of the star-forming abundance sequence (Figure 1(a)). This AGN sequence occurs because the hard radiation field from an AGN produces more ionizations into the O^{2+} ion, and more collisional excitations of the [O III] line. The position of an AGN host galaxy along the AGN sequence depends on the contribution from star formation to the line ratios, the slope of the EUV radiation field, and the ionization parameter of the radiation field (Groves et al. 2004b).

We use the redshift-dependent theoretical optical classification scheme of Kewley et al. (2013b) to identify possible AGNs in our sample (Figure 1(c)). This scheme was based on the predictions of cosmological hydrodynamic simulations, as well as stellar evolutionary synthesis and photoionization models. We find that three galaxies in our cluster with 2 < S/N([N II]) < 3 may have a small (~20%) contribution from an AGN based on their optical line ratios (i.e., $[O m]/H\beta \gtrsim 1.0)$, but these galaxies are within the ± 0.1 dex error range of the classification line (dotted lines in Figure 3(c)), and may be low metallicity star-forming galaxies with a hard ionizing radiation field, such as from Wolf-Rayet stars (Kewley et al. 2001a; Dopita et al. 2006a; Masters et al. 2014), or their [N II] line fluxes may simply have too low S/N to reliably classify. We discuss these galaxies further in Section 6. The remaining galaxies in our cluster are likely to be purely star-forming.

Shocks can also produce strong $[O III]/H\beta$, and can mimic AGNs on the BPT diagram locally (e.g., Kewley et al. 2001b; Rich et al. 2011). At the low metallicities of high redshift galaxies, shocks can also mimic the emission-line ratios of starforming galaxies (Kewley et al. 2013a). In Figure 2, we show the position of our COSMOS cluster galaxies relative to the slow shock models of Rich et al. (2010, 2011) (cyan) and the fast shock models of Allen et al. (2008) (green). These models are described in detail in Kewley et al. (2013a), and examples of typical mixing sequences are given in Rich et al. (2010, 2011). The shock models occupy different regions of the diagnostic diagram depending on the metallicity of the sample. Only the lowest metallicity $(\log(O/H) + 12 < 8.17)$ shock models can account for the line ratios of our cluster galaxies. However, our cluster galaxies lie along the standard galaxy mass-metallicity relation at $z \sim 2$ (Kacprzak et al. 2015), with metallicities between $8.3 < \log(O/H) + 12$ < 8.7 in the Kewley & Dopita (2002) metallicity scale. Here, we have converted the metallicities from the Pettini & Pagel (2004) calibration into the Kewley & Dopita (2002) scale using the calibrations provided in Kewley & Ellison (2008) to yield consistent metallicity estimates with our photoionization and shock models. Because the shock models for 8.3 < $\log(O/H) + 12 < 8.7$ produce optical line ratios that are significantly larger than observed (by 0.1–0.4 dex), we rule out a dominant contribution from shocks to the optical emissionline ratios of our cluster galaxies.

Galaxies containing a mixture of ionizing sources, such as shocks and star formation will lie along mixing sequences between the star-forming sequence and the 100% shock models. The position and shape of the mixing sequences depend on the metallicity of the galaxy and the shock velocity. We cannot rule out a small (~10%) contribution from shocks to the emission-line ratios. High angular resolution and high spectral resolution integral field spectroscopy with adaptive optics can distinguish shocked regions from star-forming regions at high redshift (Yuan et al. 2012). This integral field spectroscopy is one of our future research directions for this sample.

4.3. Comparison with Theoretical Models of ISM Conditions

We compare the location of our ZFIRE cluster on the BPT diagram with our predictions from theoretical stellar population synthesis and photoionization models. Our models are described in detail in Kewley et al. (2013a) and Dopita et al. (2013). We use the chemical evolution predictions from Davé



Figure 2. Allen et al. (2008) fast shock models (green) and the Rich et al. (2010) slow shock models (cyan) as a function of metallicity (panels (a)–(e)). The shock model data indicate where galaxies that are 100% dominated by shocks are likely to lie. The SDSS sample from Kewley et al. (2006) is shown in gray. The positions of COSMOS cluster galaxies (red circles) and COSMOS field galaxies (black circles) are not consistent with the 100% shock models for the metallicities spanned by these galaxies (log(O/H) + 12 \gtrsim 8.3). Orange and dark blue circles show the additional galaxies that would be added to our cluster sample and field sample if we were to apply the S/N limits of Steidel et al.

et al. (2011) to constrain the gas-phase metallicity history for star-forming galaxies with $M_* > 10^9 M_{\odot}$. The metallicity at a given redshift determines the shape of the EUV spectrum produced in the Starburst99 or Pegase2 evolutionary synthesis models (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1999; Leitherer et al. 1999), which in turn, affects the line intensities predicted by the Mappings IV photoionization code (Dopita et al. 2013). We use the instantaneous and continuous burst models from Starburst99 and Pegase2 with a Salpeter Initial Mass Function, and the Pauldrach/Hillier stellar atmosphere models. We note that the choice of IMF makes negligible difference in the line ratios that we are using in this analysis (Dopita et al. 2014). The resulting stellar population spectra are embedded within a spherical nebula. Our Mappings IV photoionization code calculates radiative transfer self-consistently throughout the nebula. Mappings IV uses a Kappa temperature distribution which is more suitable for a turbulent ISM than a Stefan-Boltzmann distribution (Nicholls et al. 2012). For AGNs, we use the dusty radiation-pressure dominated models of Groves et al. (2004a).

With these models, we produce theoretical predictions for how the optical emission-line ratios will appear for galaxy samples at different redshifts, based on the following limiting assumptions for star-forming galaxies and AGNs:

- 1. star-forming galaxies at high redshift may have ISM conditions and/or an ionizing radiation field that are either (a) the same as local galaxies (normal ISM conditions) or (b) more extreme than local galaxies (extreme ISM *conditions*). Extreme conditions in star-forming galaxies can be produced by a larger ionization parameter and a denser interstellar medium, and/or an ionizing radiation field that contains a larger fraction of photons able to ionize O^+ into O^{2+} (i.e., energy >35.12 eV) relative to the number of Hydrogen ionizing photons (i.e., a harder radiation field). In Kewley et al. (2013a), we use an ionizing radiation field from the Pegase 2 stellar population synthesis models (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1999) to mimic the hard ionizing radiation field from the stellar atmospheres from massive stars with the effects of stellar rotation. The difference between our hard ionizing radiation field and our normal ionizing radiation field varies with wavelength (see Figure 2 in Kewley et al. 2001a), between 1 and 10 erg s M_{\odot} in $\log(\lambda F_{\lambda})$ between 100 and 1000 Å. Similar effects on the optical line ratios can be achieved by increasing the ionization parameter by up to an order of magnitude (i.e., $q = 2 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{cm \, s^{-1}}$ to $q = 2 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{cm \, s^{-1}}$, and/or by raising the electron density by up to two orders of magnitude (i.e., $n_e = 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to $n_e = 1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) (L. J. Kewley et al. 2016, in preparation).
- 2. The AGN narrow line region at high redshift may either (c) have already reached the level of enrichment observed in local galaxies (*metal-rich*), or (d) have the same metallicity as the surrounding star-forming gas. In the latter case, the AGN narrow-line region at high redshift would be more *metal-poor* than local AGN narrow-line regions. Our AGN narrow-line region models cover a large range of power-law indices $(-1.2 < \alpha < -2.0)$ and ionization parameters $(0.0 < \log(U) < -4.0)$. Therefore, the theoretical AGN region may be larger than the observed location of AGNs on the BPT diagram at high redshift.



Figure 3. [N II]/H α vs. [O III]/H β diagnostic diagram showing the local SDSS galaxies (top panel), and our z = 2.095 COSMOS cluster (bottom panel; red circles). Orange and dark blue circles show the additional galaxies that would be added to our cluster sample and field sample if we were to apply the S/N limits of Steidel et al. Solid lines show our theoretical predictions for the star-forming abundance sequence (left curves) and the starburst–AGN mixing sequence (right curves) for z = 0 (top) and z = 2 (bottom) for four limiting model scenarios (columns). Column (1): normal ISM conditions, and metal-rich AGN NLR at high-z; Column (2): normal ISM conditions, and metal-rich AGN NLR at high-z; Column (4): extreme ISM conditions, and metal-poor AGN NLR at high-z. Blue dotted lines in the lower panel show the position of the local models, for comparison.

These two sets of limiting assumptions yield four limiting scenarios for where galaxies might be located on the BPT diagram at different redshifts:

- 1. Normal ISM conditions, and metal-rich AGN narrow-line regions at high-z.
- 2. Normal ISM conditions, and metal-poor AGN narrowline regions at high-z.
- 3. Extreme ISM conditions, and metal-rich AGN narrowline regions at high-z.
- 4. Extreme ISM conditions, and metal-poor AGN narrowline regions at high-*z*.

Our theoretical model predictions for each of these four limiting scenarios are given by the solid lines in Figure 3 for z = 0 (upper panel) and $z \sim 2$ (lower panel). The COSMOS cluster appears to span a range of ISM and star-forming conditions, from nearly local-star-forming conditions (panels (1), (2)) to more extreme star-forming conditions (panels (3), (4)). Our data do not contain sufficient AGNs to distinguish between the two limiting AGN scenarios. We conclude that at least four of our cluster galaxies have more extreme starforming conditions than local star-forming galaxies, on average, and that their star-forming conditions are similar to field galaxies at the same redshift, as seen in Figure 1.

5. ELECTRON DENSITY/PRESSURE OF THE ISM

We investigate whether the $[N \Pi]/H\alpha$ ratio correlates with the electron density of the ionized gas. The mean ISM pressure, *P*, is related to the total gas density, *n*, and mean electron temperature, $T_{\rm e}$, through $n = \frac{P}{T_{\rm e}k}$. Here, the total density *n* is

related to the electron density through $n = 2n_e(1 + H_e/H)$. In a fully ionized plasma, the electron temperature is ~10⁴ K and the ISM pressure is directly proportional to the electron density (see, e.g., Dopita et al. 2006b, for a discussion). The mean ISM pressure is related to the mean mechanical luminosity flux from the central star clusters within H II regions. In the standard adiabatic shell model for H II regions, H II regions expand until the internal pressure from mass-loss and supernova energydriven bubbles equals the ambient pressure of the ISM (Oey & Clarke 1997). Therefore, in the expanding bubble model, the ISM pressure is inversely proportional to the H II region radius (Dopita et al. 2006b).

We calculate the electron density using the [S II] doublet ratio, $[S II] \lambda 6717/[S II] \lambda 6731$. We assume a four-level model atom in our Mappings IV models. The relationship between the $[S II] \lambda 6717/[S II] \lambda 6731$ and electron density given by Mappings IV is identical to the [S II]-electron density relationship in Osterbrock (1989). When the [S II] ratio approaches ~1.45, the electron density is in the low density limit (i.e., ≤ 10 cm⁻³). Only one out of five of the COSMOS cluster galaxies with measurable [S II] ratios is in the low density limit.

In Figure 4, we show the electron density versus the $[N II]/H\alpha$ ratio for the COSMOS cluster and the $z \sim 2$ field galaxies from our [S II] sample. The cluster galaxies span a similar range of electron densities as the field galaxies at the same redshift. The two-sided Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic yields a D-value of 0.4, with a significance level of 0.54, indicating that the two samples are not significantly different. Clearly, there is no systematic difference in the electron density among our cluster and field galaxies at $z \sim 2$, within the limitations of our small sample size and relatively large errors.



Figure 4. $[N \ \pi]/H\alpha$ ratio vs. electron density for the COSMOS cluster (red circles), and field galaxies observed as part of the ZFIRE survey (black circles). Also shown are additional galaxies that would be added to our cluster sample and field sample if we were to apply the S/N limits of Steidel et al. (orange and dark blue circles, respectively).

6. THE IONIZATION STATE OF THE GAS

The ionization state of the gas (or "ionization parameter") is traditionally measured using the ratio of two ionization states of the same atomic species. The most commonly used ionization parameter diagnostic is the $[O III] \lambda 5007/[O II] \lambda 3727$ ratio. This ratio is usually calibrated as a function of ionization parameter and metallicity using stellar population synthesis and photoionization models (e.g., Kewley & Dopita 2002; Kobulnicky & Kewley 2004). Here, we use the [N II]/[S II] and $[O III]/H\beta$ ratios to distinguish the ionization parameter from metallicity, because the rest-frame blue [O II] line is not available within the wavelength coverage of our spectra. The $[N \pi]/[S \pi]$ ratio is sensitive to ionization parameter because the ionization potentials of the [N II] $\lambda 6584$ and [S II] $\lambda \lambda 6717$, 31 lines differ significantly. The ratio of [N II]/[S II] is also sensitive to metallicity. particularly for metallicities below $\log(O/H) + 12 < 8.69$. This metallicity sensitivity occurs because the ratio of collisional excitation rates of [N II] and [S II] is a weak function of nebular temperature, which becomes high at low metallicities due to the lack of coolants in the nebula. We note that although sulphur is a typical alpha element (i.e., its abundance scales directly proportional to oxygen; Ryde et al. 2014), nitrogen has both primary and secondary nucleosynthetic origins, and is sensitive to the metallicity in the secondary nucleosynthetic regime $(\log(O/H) + 12 \gtrsim 8.4)$. To account for this effect, our models use an empirical function for N/O versus O/H which takes into account both the primary and secondary nucleosynthetic components, as described in Dopita et al. (2013). The [O III]/ $H\beta$ ratio helps to separate the ionization parameter from metallicity, particularly below $\log(O/H) + 12 < 8.69$, where the [N II]/[S II] ratio becomes insensitive to ionization parameter. In this regime, the $[O m]/H\beta$ ratio is primarily sensitive to the ionization parameter. At higher metallicities, log(O/H) + 12> 8.69, the oxygen lines in the optical and the infrared



Figure 5. $[N \ n]/[S \ n]$ ratio vs. $[O \ n]/H\beta$ for the $z \sim 2$ COSMOS cluster for $>3\sigma$ detections of $[S \ n]$ (red circles) and for $\sim 2\sigma$ detections of $[S \ n]$ (orange circles), compared with our $z \sim 2$ ZFIRE field galaxies (black filled circles) and the local SDSS sample (dots). The stellar mass range of the SDSS sample has been matched to the stellar mass range of our $z \sim 2$ galaxies $(9.5 < \log(M)/M_{\odot} < 11.0)$. The colored curves show our theoretical photoinization models for a range of metallicity (blue–green lines), and ionization parameter (yellow–red lines), as indicated in the legends. The COSMOS cluster and field galaxies have larger ionization parameters than the majority of local SDSS galaxies. Galaxies with electron densities that could be as large as $n_e \sim 1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ within their errors are highlighted with a bold circular outline.

dominate the cooling of the nebula, leading to less collisional excitations of the O^{2+} ion, and a stronger dependency between $[O\,{\rm III}]/H\beta$ and metallicity.

In Figure 5, we show theoretically how the ionization parameter (yellow-red curves) and the metallicity (green-blue curves) are related on the [N II]/[S II] versus $[O III]/H\beta$ diagram. For ionization parameters $\log(q) < 7.75$, the [N II]/[S II] and $[O \text{ III}]/H\beta$ line ratios successfully separate the ionization parameter from metallicity. We show cluster and $z \sim 2$ field galaxies with $>3\sigma$ detections of [S II] (red circles and black circles, respectively), as well as cluster galaxies with less reliable 2σ detections of [S II] (orange circles). The majority of local star-forming galaxies have ionization parameters between $6.0 < \log(q) < 7.25$, while our COSMOS and field galaxies have larger ionization parameters, i.e., $\log(q) \ge 7.25$. The two galaxies with the largest $[O m]/H\beta$ ratios lie above the theoretical curves, suggesting a possible small (i.e., $\sim 20\%$) AGN contribution. Additional information from the X-rays, IR, or radio is required to confirm the presence of an AGN in these galaxies.

The electron density of our models (described in Dopita et al. 2014) is fixed by the ISM pressure, and corresponds to $n_e \sim 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. An electron density of 10 cm^{-3} is consistent with all of our cluster galaxies and two-thirds of our field galaxies shown on Figure 5, within the errors. We note that if the model electron density is increased from 10 cm^{-3} to an extreme value of 1000 cm^{-3} , the theoretical $[O \text{ III}]/\text{H}\beta$ ratio would rise by ~0.4 dex. The [S II] line fluxes are subject to large uncertainties (up to 1000 cm^{-3}). Within these large errors, two

cluster galaxies and one field galaxy could potentially have electron densities as large as 1000 cm^{-3} . We highlight the position of these three galaxies on Figure 5 with a circular outline. Even with an 1000 cm^{-3} , two out of the three high electron density galaxies would still have ionization parameters larger than local SDSS galaxies at the same stellar mass.

Large ionization parameters have been seen in high redshift galaxies in previous work (e.g., Brinchmann et al. 2008; Shirazi et al. 2014; Steidel et al. 2014), but the cause of such a large ionization parameter is unknown. Brinchmann et al. (2008) and Shirazi et al. (2014) suggest that higher electron densities and a larger escape fraction of H-ionizing photons may be responsible for the larger ionization parameter. On the other hand, Steidel et al. (2014) suggest that a high effective temperature in the massive stellar population, possibly from stellar rotation and/or binaries may be responsible for the large ionization parameters. Our sample is too small to reach statistically significant conclusions on the cause of the high ionization parameter in our cluster and field galaxies, especially given that four of our galaxies lie along the edge of the ionization parameter-metallicity grid in Figure 5 where distinguishing between ionization parameters above log(q) > 7.75 is impossible. We note that the cluster galaxy with the lowest ionization parameter $(\log(q) \sim 7.4)$ has a significantly lower specific SFR (log(SFR/ M_*) ~ -8.9) than galaxies majority of our cluster the (mean $\langle \log(SFR/M_*) \rangle = -8.4 \pm 0.2$). If there is a relationship between specific SFR and ionization parameter, then this may have important implications on the geometry and mass of the star-forming clusters at high redshift.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have investigated the rest-frame optical line ratios of the COSMOS galaxy cluster at redshift 2.095. We compare the line ratios of the COSMOS cluster with field galaxies at the same redshift. We incorporate theoretical stellar evolution, photoionization, and shock models into our analysis to investigate any changes in ISM conditions, ISM pressure, or ionization parameter as a function of redshift or as a function of environment.

We find that the COSMOS cluster optical line ratios are indistinguishable from field galaxies at the same redshift. We find no statistical difference among the ISM pressure or ionization parameter of field or cluster galaxies, within the limited size of our sample. We conclude that for the COSMOS cluster, the cluster environment makes no or limited impact on the ISM properties of its member galaxies. We also rule out shocks as a dominant contributor to the optical emission lines in our cluster galaxies.

We show that the COSMOS cluster has larger $[N II]/H\alpha$ and/ or $[O III]/H\beta$ ratios than local galaxies, similar to the large line ratios seen in field galaxies at high redshift. This offset is consistent with a theoretical change in ISM conditions with redshift. We investigate possible causes of this change of ISM conditions using our theoretical models. We rule out a change in ISM pressure as the dominant cause of the extreme ISM conditions in our high redshift sample. We use the [N II]/[S II] versus $[O III]/H\beta$ diagram to distinguish the ionization parameter from metallicity. We show that the large line ratios are likely to result from a large ionization parameter $(\log(q) \ge 7.25)$. The ionization parameters in our COSMOS cluster and field galaxies are significantly larger (by up to 1 dex) than seen in local galaxies. We suggest that there may be a relationship between ionization parameter and specific SFR. A larger sample of high-redshift galaxies with ionization parameter and specific SFR measurements is required to test this idea and to explore possible implications on the H $\scriptstyle\rm II$ region geometry and star cluster properties.

The authors thank the referee for an excellent and comprehensive referee report. L.J.K. gratefully acknowledges the support of an ARC Future Fellowship and ARC Discovery Project DP130103925. L.J.K. and M.A.D. also acknowledge the support of ARC Discovery Project DP130104879. The writing of this paper would not have been possible without the ANU CHELT 2014 Academic Women's Writing Workshop. L.J.K. thanks the RSAA interstellar plotters and the GEARS-3D group for providing a supportive and stimulating environment under which to conduct this research. G.G.K. acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council through the award of a Future Fellowship (FT140100933). This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services and the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED). Data in this paper were obtained at the W.M. Keck Observatory, made possible by the generous financial support of the W.M. Keck Foundation, and operated as a scientific partnership among Caltech, the University of California and NASA. We recognize and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and reverence that the summit of Mauna Kea has always had within the indigenous Hawaiian community.

REFERENCES

- Adelman-McCarthy, J. K., Agüeros, M. A., Allam, S. S., et al. 2006, ApJS, 162, 38
- Allen, M. G., Groves, B. A., Dopita, M. A., Sutherland, R. S., & Kewley, L. J. 2008, ApJS, 178, 20
- Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, PASP, 93, 5
- Balogh, M. L., Morris, S. L., Yee, H. K. C., Carlberg, R. G., & Ellingson, E. 1997, ApJL, 488, L75
- Balogh, M. L., Morris, S. L., Yee, H. K. C., Carlberg, R. G., & Ellingson, E. 1999, ApJ, 527, 54
- Bian, F., Fan, X., Bechtold, J., et al. 2010, ApJ, 725, 1877
- Blakeslee, J. P., Holden, B. P., Franx, M., et al. 2006, ApJ, 644, 30
- Brinchmann, J., Pettini, M., & Charlot, S. 2008, MNRAS, 385, 769
- Brodwin, M., Stanford, S. A., Gonzalez, A. H., et al. 2013, ApJ, 779, 138
- Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, 682
- Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
- Chabrier, G. 2003, PASP, 115, 763
- Davé, R., Finlator, K., & Oppenheimer, B. D. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 1354
- De Lucia, G., Springel, V., White, S. D. M., Croton, D., & Kauffmann, G. 2006, MNRAS, 366, 499

Dopita, M. A., Fischera, J., Sutherland, R. S., et al. 2006a, ApJS, 167, 177

- Dopita, M. A., Fischera, J., Sutherland, R. S., et al. 2006b, ApJ, 647, 244
- Dopita, M. A., Kewley, L. J., Heisler, C. A., & Sutherland, R. S. 2000, ApJ, 542, 224
- Dopita, M. A., Rich, J., Vogt, F. P. A., et al. 2013, ApJS, 208, 10
- Dopita, M. A., Rich, J., Vogt, F. P. A., et al. 2014, Ap&SS, 350, 741
- Dressler, A., Thompson, I. B., & Shectman, S. A. 1985, ApJ, 288, 481
- Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Brodwin, M., Gonzalez, A. H., et al. 2008, ApJ, 684, 905
- Erb, D. K., Shapley, A. E., Pettini, M., et al. 2006, ApJ, 644, 813
- Fassbender, R., Nastasi, A., Böhringer, H., et al. 2011, A&A, 527, L10 Fioc, M., & Rocca-Volmerange, B. 1999, arXiv:astro-ph/9912179
- Förster Schreiber, N. M., Genzel, R., Newman, S. F., et al. 2014, ApJ, 787, 38
- Gobat, R., Daddi, E., Onodera, M., et al. 2011, A&A, 526, A133
- Groves, B. A., Dopita, M. A., & Sutherland, R. S. 2004a, ApJS, 153, 9
- Groves, B. A., Dopita, M. A., & Sutherland, R. S. 2004b, ApJS, 153, 75
- Groves, B. A., Heckman, T. M., & Kauffmann, G. 2006, MNRAS, 371, 1559
- Hainline, K. N., Shapley, A. E., Kornei, K. A., et al. 2009, ApJ, 701, 52
- Hao, C.-N., Kennicutt, R. C., Johnson, B. D., et al. 2011, ApJ, 741, 124

- Holden, B. P., Oesch, P. A., Gonzalez, V. G., et al. 2014, ApJ, submitted (arXiv:1401.5490)
- Juneau, S., Bournaud, F., Charlot, S., et al. 2014, ApJ, 788, 88
- Kacprzak, G. G., Yuan, T., Nanayakkara, T., et al. 2015, ApJ, 802, 26
- Kewley, L. J., & Dopita, M. A. 2002, ApJS, 142, 35
- Kewley, L. J., Dopita, M. A., Leitherer, C., et al. 2013a, ApJ, 774, 100
- Kewley, L. J., Dopita, M. A., Sutherland, R. S., Heisler, C. A., & Trevena, J. 2001a, ApJ, 556, 121
- Kewley, L. J., & Ellison, S. L. 2008, ApJ, 681, 1183
- Kewley, L. J., Groves, B., Kauffmann, G., & Heckman, T. 2006, MNRAS, 372, 961
- Kewley, L. J., Heisler, C. A., Dopita, M. A., & Lumsden, S. 2001b, ApJS, 132, 37
- Kewley, L. J., Jansen, R. A., & Geller, M. J. 2005, PASP, 117, 227
- Kewley, L. J., Maier, C., Yabe, K., et al. 2013b, ApJL, 774, L10
- Kobulnicky, H. A., & Kewley, L. J. 2004, ApJ, 617, 240
- Komatsu, E., Smith, K. M., Dunkley, J., et al. 2011, ApJS, 192, 18
- Kriek, M., van Dokkum, P. G., Labbé, I., et al. 2009, ApJ, 700, 221
- Kurk, J., Cimatti, A., Zamorani, G., et al. 2009, A&A, 504, 331
- Leitherer, C., Schaerer, D., Goldader, J. D., et al. 1999, ApJS, 123, 3
- Mancone, C. L., Gonzalez, A. H., Brodwin, M., et al. 2010, ApJ, 720, 284
- Masters, D., McCarthy, P., Siana, B., et al. 2014, ApJ, 785, 153
- McLean, I. S., Ramsay, S. K., & Takami, H. 2012, Proc. SPIE, 8446, 0
- Mei, S., Holden, B. P., Blakeslee, J. P., et al. 2009, ApJ, 690, 42
- Muzzin, A., Fassbender, R., Böhringer, H., et al. 2013, ApJ, 777, 18
- Nastasi, A., Buschkamp, P., Genzel, R., et al. 2011, A&A, 532, L6
- Newman, S. F., Buschkamp, P., Genzel, R., et al. 2014, ApJ, 781, 21
- Nicholls, D. C., Dopita, M. A., & Sutherland, R. S. 2012, ApJ, 752, 148
- Oey, M. S., & Clarke, C. J. 1997, MNRAS, 289, 570
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1960, ApJ, 132, 325
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1989, Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei (Mill Valley, CA: Univ. Science Books)
- Papovich, C., Momchev, I., Willmer, C. N. A., et al. 2010, ApJ, 716, 1503
- Peacock, J. A. 1999, Cosmological Physics (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Peebles, P. J. E. 1993, Principles of Physical Cosmology (Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press)
- Pettini, M., & Pagel, B. E. J. 2004, MNRAS, 348, L59

- Rich, J. A., Dopita, M. A., Kewley, L. J., & Rupke, D. S. N. 2010, ApJ, 721, 505
- Rich, J. A., Kewley, L. J., & Dopita, M. A. 2011, ApJ, 734, 87
- Rigby, J. R., Wuyts, E., Gladders, M. D., Sharon, K., & Becker, G. D. 2011,
- ApJ, 732, 59 Ryde, N., Jönsson, H., & Matrozis, E. 2014, Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana, 85, 269
- Santos, J. S., Fassbender, R., Nastasi, A., et al. 2011, A&A, 531, L15
- Scoville, N., Aussel, H., Brusa, M., et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 1
- Shapley, A. E., Reddy, N. A., Kriek, M., et al. 2015, ApJ, 801, 88
- Shirazi, M., Brinchmann, J., & Rahmati, A. 2014, ApJ, 787, 120
- Spitler, L. R., Labbé, I., Glazebrook, K., et al. 2012, ApJL, 748, L21
- Springel, V., Di Matteo, T., & Hernquist, L. 2005, ApJL, 620, L79
- Stanford, S. A., Brodwin, M., Gonzalez, A. H., et al. 2012, ApJ, 753, 164
- Stanford, S. A., Eisenhardt, P. R., & Dickinson, M. 1998, ApJ, 492, 461
- Stanway, E. R., Eldridge, J. J., Greis, S. M. L., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 444, 3466
- Steidel, C. C., Rudie, G. C., Strom, A. L., et al. 2014, ApJ, 795, 165
- Straatman, C. M. S., Labbé, I., Spitler, L. R., et al. 2015, ApJ, 808, 29
- Strömgren, B. 1939, ApJ, 89, 526
- Tanaka, M., Finoguenov, A., Mirkazemi, M., et al. 2013, PASJ, 65, 17
- Tanaka, M., Finoguenov, A., & Ueda, Y. 2010, ApJL, 716, L152
- Tomczak, A. R., Quadri, R. F., Tran, K.-V. H., et al. 2014, ApJ, 783, 85
- Tran, K.-V. H., Papovich, C., Saintonge, A., et al. 2010, ApJL, 719, L126
- Tran, K.-V. H., Nanayakkara, T., Yuan, T., et al. 2015, ApJ, 811, 28
- Tremonti, C. A., Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G., et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 898
- Trump, J. R., Weiner, B. J., Scarlata, C., et al. 2011, ApJ, 743, 144
- Valentino, F., Daddi, E., Strazzullo, V., et al. 2015, ApJ, 801, 132
- van Dokkum, P. G., & van der Marel, R. P. 2007, ApJ, 655, 30
- Veilleux, S., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1987, ApJS, 63, 295
- Wright, S. A., Larkin, J. E., Graham, J. R., & Ma, C.-P. 2010, ApJ, 711, 1291
- Yabe, K., Ohta, K., Iwamuro, F., et al. 2012, PASJ, 64, 60
- Yuan, T., Nanayakkara, T., Kacprzak, G. G., et al. 2014, ApJL, 795, L20
- Yuan, T.-T., Kewley, L. J., Swinbank, A. M., & Richard, J. 2012, ApJ, 759, 66
- Zahid, H. J., Geller, M. J., Kewley, L. J., et al. 2013a, ApJL, 771, L19
- Zahid, H. J., Yates, R. M., Kewley, L. J., & Kudritzki, R.-P. 2013b, ApJ, 763, 92
- Zeimann, G. R., Stanford, S. A., Brodwin, M., et al. 2012, ApJ, 756, 115