

**Labour law and development in Indonesia** Tjandra, S.

## Citation

Tjandra, S. (2016, February 4). *Labour law and development in Indonesia. Meijers-reeks*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/37576

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/37576

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



# Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/37576</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Tjandra, Surya Title: Labour law and development in Indonesia Issue Date: 2016-02-04 Labour Law and Development in Indonesia

# Labour Law and Development in Indonesia

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op dinsdag 4 februari 2016 klokke 10.00 uur

door

Surya Tjandra

geboren te Jakarta (Indonesië)

in 1971

Promotor: Prof.dr. G.J.J. Heerma van Voss Copromotor: Dr. A.W. Bedner

Promotiecommissie: Prof.dr. P.F. van der Heijden Prof.dr. J.M. Otto Dr. M.H. Shubhan (Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia) Prof.dr. O. Törnquist (University of Oslo, Norway)

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Waddinxveen Printwerk: Wöhrmann Printservice

ISBN 978-94-6203-981-0

© 2016 S. Tjandra

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieen, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Het reprorecht wordt niet uitgeoefend.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, made available or communicated to the public, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publisher, unless this is expressly permitted by law.

### Acknowledgments

Several institutions and individuals supported me during my doctoral study. I am grateful to all of them. I wish to thank the Netherlands Education Centre, which provided me the financial support for the first stage of my doctoral study through the Huygens Scholarship Program. I am grateful to the Faculty of Law of Leiden University, and in particular to the Van Vollenhoven Institute, which provided me with a great environment for my research. I thank the teaching and research staff: Guus Heerma van Voss, Adriaan Bedner and Jan Michiel Otto, who accompanied me from the start of my study journey. I also thank the VVI supporting staff: now, Kari van Weeren, Kora Bentvelsen, Dennis Janssen, and then, Jan van Olden and Marianne Moria; the libraries of the Law Faculty and the KITLV, especially the late Albert Dekker who was always willing to help. I also acknowledge the support and friendship of fellow PhD-students and other colleagues in the Institute. In particular I would like to mention my fellow Indonesian students: Antonius Cahyadi, Henky Widjaja, Herlambang Wiratraman, Rikardo Simarmata, Santy Kouwagam, Hari Nugroho, and many others, for their friendship during my stay in Leiden.

During my studies I have had the opportunities to meet great people that introduced me to the wider world. I would like to mention a few of them: Sulisyowati Irianto of the University of Indonesia, Michele Ford of the University of Sydney, Australia, Teri Caraway of the University of Minnesota, USA, Kosuke Mizuno of the University of Kyoto, Japan, Olle Törnquist of the University of Oslo, Norway; Gerd Botterweck and Erwin Schweissheim of the Friedrich Ebert Stiftung, and their staff at the Jakarta office, especially Rina Julvianti and Mian Manurung; Nina Berg and Susanne Ludwig of the DGB Bildungswerk; as well as Ruth Vermeulen and Tjalling Postma of the FNV Mondiaal.

Colleagues at the Trade Union Rights Centre and the Faculty of Law, Atma Jaya Catholic University, Jakarta, have always encouraged me throughout my studies. Special thanks go to Rita Olivia, Jafar Suryomenggolo, Lucky Rooshinta, Andriko Otang and Tuti Nurhayati, as well as to Samuel Hutabarat, Tisa Windayani (during my first years at the Centre and the Faculty), and to Bernadeth Setiadi, my friend and mentor at the University.

Various people in Jakarta and in other regions in Indonesia assisted me in the collection of data for this study. I am grateful to all of them. In particular I thank my interviewees who shared their views on the issues covered in this study, especially those from the trade unions, employers' organization, government officials, ad hoc judges, fellow academics. I thank my late parents – my mother Wiriana Tjandra and my father Satya Wirawan for their love and encouragement throughout my educational career. I am also grateful to my wife Wina Suntiowangi for her love and patience throughout my studies.

Finally, I wish to thank Bec Donaldson who edited the final manuscript.

Jakarta, 10 October 2015

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgments			V
Glossary			Х
Introduction			1
	1	Labour law and development: 'competing conceptions'	3
	2	The political economy of law and the approach of this study	6
	3	Focus and framework of the study	9
	4	Theoretical and comparative considerations	10
		4.1 The character of labour law in East Asia	10
		4.2 The impact of labour law in East Asia	14
	5	Labour law reform and its limits	20
1	HISTORICAL BACKGROUND: EVOLUTION OF INDONESIA'S LABOUR LAW		
	1	The colonial era – the law of the lords	28
	2	Labour disputes, emergence of unions, and their laws	33
	3 4	The early independence – protective legislation (1945-1949) Parliamentarian democracy and 'guided democracy' –	40
		the beginning of a conflict (1949-1965)	43
	5	Summary	48
2	Тн	ie New Order era: 'rule by (labour) law' (1965 – 1998)	51
	1	The political economy of labour and development: 1965 – 1998	51
		1.1 Industrialisation and the authoritarian state	52
		1.2 Economic agenda of the early New Order	54
		1.3 Collapse of oil-prices and export-oriented industrialisation	56
		1.4 Structural adjustments and 'Soeharto Inc.'	59
	2	Labour law and industrial relations in practice	62
		2.1 State corporatism and Pancasila Industrial Relations	62
		2.2 Labour law in practice	65
	3	Concluding remarks	70

3	The Reformasi: neo-liberalism, democracy, and labour law	
	reform (1998 – 2006)	73
	1 Democratization and neo-liberal economic reform	74
	2 Labour law changes	80
	2.1 The Trade Union Law No. 21/2000	82
	2.2 The Manpower Law No. 13/2003	86
	2.3 The Industrial Relations Dispute Settlement Law No. 2/2004	91
	3 The aftermath and further efforts towards change	93
	4 Concluding remarks	98
4	Trade unions and the law in Indonesia	99
	1 Introduction	99
	2 The regulation of trade unions	101
	2.1 Trade unions and their regulation before 1998	101
	2.2 Trade unions and their regulation after 1998	111
	2.3 Challenging the SPSI dominance	117
	2.4 Post-1998 trade unions in Indonesia: key features	124
	3 The two levels of the trade union movement in Indonesia	128
	3.1 Regional level: towards political trade unionism?	129
	3.2 National level: the battle of paradigms?	137
	4 Conclusion	155
5	The law and politics of minimum wage setting	159
	1 Introduction	159
	2 The evolution of minimum wage policies	162
	3 Minimum wage setting	172
	3.1 Wage councils	172
	3.2 Regional minimum wage setting	175
	4 Wage councils and the workers' struggle for better wages	178
	4.1 Union involvement: influencing from within and without	180
	4.2 Union strategies: targeting the KHL, pressuring the	
	government	182
	5 The case of Sukabumi and the KBS	184
	5.1 The background of the case study	184
	5.2 The struggle	185
	6 The case of Jakarta and the national government response	191
	7 Discussion	196
	8 Conclusion	201

VIII

Table of Contents
-------------------

6	TE	IE INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS DISPUTES COURT, QUO VADIS?	205
	1	The Industrial Relations Court (PHI)	206
		1.1 Birth of the Industrial Relations Court	207
		1.2 The industrial relations dispute process	209
		1.3 Key aspects of administration of the dispute process	214
		1.4 Effects of the PHI on unions	221
	2	The Industrial Relations Court (PHI) in practice	224
		2.1 Cases bestowed from the $P4P/D$	226
		2.2 Which procedural laws?	228
		2.3 Law No. 2/2004 versus HIR/RBg?	230
		2.4 'The case is free, but costly'	231
		2.5 Time for case proceedings	235
	3	Consequences of the PHI for labour	238
		3.1 Conceptual inadequacy and enforcement issues	238
		3.2 'Pure' civil procedural law?	240
		3.3 Problems of judicial corruption	242
		3.4 Solutions outside the PHI	244
		3.5 All parties disappointed	249
	4	Reformers from within?	250
	5	Conclusion	256
Conclusion: In search of the right balance		259	
	1	The new institutional landscape: changes and continuities	259
		1.1 Trade union legislation	261
		1.2 Minimum wage setting	263
		1.3 Industrial Relations Court	264
	2	The re-emergence of the labour movement: opportunities and	
		challenges	265
	3	Theoretical considerations: the effectiveness of the labour law	269
		3.1 Effective labour law enforcement	271
		3.2 How can labour law enforcement be made more effective?	274
	4	Suggestions for further research	279
	5	Final remarks	281
Sui	им	ARY	285
Summary (in Dutch)		289	
References		293	
Curriculum Vitae		313	

IX

## Glossary

Asosiasi Pengusaha Indonesia (Apindo)

Badan Pelaksana Jaminan Sosial (BPJS) Bupati *Burgerlijk Wetboek* Buruh

Dewan Dewan Pimpinan Cabang Dewan Pimpinan Daerah Dewan Pimpinan Pusat

Dewan Pengupahan

Federasi Serikat Pekerja Metal Indonesia (FSPMI)

**Global Union Federation** 

Hakim ad hoc

Herziene Indonesisch Reglement (HIR)

Hukum perburuhan

Kabupaten Kebutuhan Fisik Minimum Kebutuhan Hidup Layak Kebutuhan Hidup Minimum Keputusan Ketenagakerjaan Kesehatan *Koeli Ordonnantie* Komite Aksi Jaminan Sosial (KAJS)

Kuli kontrak

Lembaga Bantuan Hukum Lembaga Kerja Sama Tripartit Association of Indonesian Employers

Social Security Executing Agency Regent / District Head The Netherlands Indies' Civil Code Labour / Worker

Council Branch Leadership Council Regional Leadership Council National Central Leadership Council Wage Council

Indonesian Metal Workers Federation

International Federation of Sector Unions

Ad hoc Judges (at the Industrial Relations Court) Revised Indonesia Regulations (civil litigation procedure in Java) Labour law

Regency / District Minimum physical need Decent living need Minimum subsistence need Decree Manpower Health Coolie Ordinance Action Committee for Social Security Reform contract coolie

Legal Aid Institute Tripartite Cooperation Institution

#### Glossary

Menteri Tenaga Kerja

Orde Baru

Pancasila

Panitia Penyelesaian Perselisihan Perburuhan Daerah/Pusat (P4D/P) Pekerja Pengadilan Hubungan Industrial (PHI) Peraturan Perjanjian Kerja Bersama *Poenale sanctie* 

Reformasi Rechtsreglement Buitengewesten (RBg)

Rupiah (Rp)

Serikat Pekerja/Serikat Buruh *Staatsblad* 

Tim Kecil

Undang-Undang Upah minimum Minister of Manpower

the New Order

The Five Principles (Indonesia's national ideology) Regional/Central Labour Dispute Settlement Committee Workers Industrial Relations Court

Regulation Collective Labour Agreement Penal sanction

Reform era, starting in 1998 Regulation for the Outer Territories (civil litigation procedure in the outer islands of Indonesia) Rupee (Indonesia's currency)

Workers Unions/Labour Unions State gazette

Small Team

Act of parliament Minimum wages

#### This crisis consists precisely in the fact that the old is dying and the new cannot be born; in this interregnum a great variety of morbid symptoms appear.

(Antonio Gramsci, 1971: 276)

In this vision, the framework of decollectivized, deregulated, and deinstitutionalized neo-liberal labour law is here to stay because it matches the basic needs of a globalized capitalist market economy and of liberal democracy. Yet at the very moment of its apparent triumph, individualized market labour law faces political, industrial and judicial challenges.

(Bob Hepple, 1996: 626)

Labour law evolved in response to ... worker resistance to injuries and injustices visited upon them by industrial capitalism ... [with a] vocation 'to address and seek to relieve a fundamental social and economic problem in modern society: the subordination of labour to capital, or of employee to employer'.

(Karl Klare, 2002: 3, referring to Hugh Collins, 1989)