

S.B.P. 14067

Fragment A. Recto →

Taf.VIII

- 1
2 traces] διὰ μὲν δη. () [λόγ(ου)] κολ(λήματος) η β(ακιλικῆς) [
3] κλ(ήρου) Σεουήρου (ἄρουραι) κδ γ ἀνθ' (οὐ/ῆς) δι' ἀπαιτ(ήσεως) ἐπ' ὄνδ-
(ματος) Β. [
4 ἐπ' ὄ] νομ(άτων) Ἀμοῖ Πανενέως καὶ Ἐρονικκοῦ θώνιος καὶ [
5]. ταπ[.] νο() ἐν θώσ[βε]ι [β(ακιλικῆς)] ἐςπ(αρμένης) (ἄρουραι) ε. [...]
..... [
6] ου κλ(ήρου) (πρότερον) τοῦ α(ύτοῦ) κλ(ήρου) Σεουήρου διὰ μὲν
δη. () λόγ(ου) κ[ολ(λήματος)]
7] βασιλ(ικῆς) ἐςπ(αρμένης) (ἄρουραι) ε γ [ὑπο] λ(όγου) (ἄρουραι)
ιδ / η [δ]ιὰ δὲ ἀπαιτ(ήσεως)
8]...ης...[....]...θει [[εκοι[.]...[....]...[.]...[]]
9]. η β[α]κιλ(ικῆς) ἐςπ(αρμένης) [(ἄρουραι)] . γ διὰ δὲ
(ἀπαιτ(ήσεως)

traces of 1 more line of the next entry

Verso †

Taf.VIII

traces of 1 line

- 12] κ[λ(ήρου)] δ[νδ(ματος) τοῦ] α(ύτοῦ) κλ(ήρου) Σεουήρου δ[ιὰ
13 β]ακιλ(ικῆς) ἐςπ(αρμένης) (ἄρουραι) . η κο [δ]ιω(τικῆς) [
14]. [.]...νος κλ(ήρου) τῆ δ κοίτ(η) (ἀρούρης) γκο ἀςπ(όρου) (ἀρούρης)
δ[.....] καὶ [
15]. ἀνθ' (οὐ/ῆς) δι' ἀπαιτ(ήσεως) ἐπ' ὄνδ(ματος) Ἔρ[ο]υ ...π[.]ου ἀπ[δ
16]. καὶ τῶ[ν] κοι(νώνων) ἀπὸ Δευκίου κολ(λήματος) ι . χοι() (ἄρουραι) ρλ. [

Fragment B. Recto →

- 17
18 ὄν]δ(ματος) τοῦ α(ύτοῦ) κλ(ήρου) Σεουήρου δι(ὰ) δη. () λόγ(ου)
κολ(λήματος) η [
19 δι' ἀπαιτή(σεως)] ἀσύμφω(νος).
20] μειτορ κλή(ρου) ὄνδ(ματος) τοῦ α(ύτοῦ) κλ(ήρου) Σεουήρου δ[ιὰ
21 διὰ ἀπαιτή(σεως) ἀσύμφω(νος)
22]. ου τοῦ Μελανθίου κλ(ήρου) ὄνδ(ματος) τοῦ α(ύτοῦ) κλ(ήρου) Σεου[ήρου
23 διὰ μὲν] δη. () λόγ(ου) κολ(λήματος) η β(ακιλικῆς) (ἄρουραι) ιδ γ διὰ δὲ
ἀπαιτή(σεως) α[

5) ἐν ἑὸς[βε]ι: also in line 26. Cf. P.Pruneti, I centri abitati dell'Ossirinichite. Repertorio toponomastico, Papyrologica Florentina IX, Firenze 1981, 63f.

6) τοῦ α(ύτου) κλη(ήρου) Σεουήρου: the same κληροσ of Severus (not previously attested) appears also in lines 3,12,20,22,25 and 28.

8) After ησ perhaps ἐν followed by a place-name.

14) For numbered κοῖται, see P.J.Sijpesteijn-K.A.Worp, Aegyptus 58,1978, 158ff. Underneath this line nearly 10 cm. have been left blank.

16) ἀπὸ Δευκ(ου): cf. P.Pruneti, op.cit. (note to line 5), 95. The present papyrus seems to be the earliest attestation of this village.

19) ἀκόμω(νοσ): also in line 21 (and perhaps to be supplemented at the end of line 23). ἀκόμωνοσ which occurs relatively seldom in the papyri means "discordant, at variance." At the moment the rents or taxes had to be collected there existed a difference between the entry in the list and the actual situation.

22)]ου τοῦ Μελανθ(ου) κλη(ήρου): only relatively seldom the name of the father of the "owner" of a κληροσ is mentioned. A κληροσ of N.N. son of Melanthios was previously unattested. A κληροσ of a Melanthios is known from P.Oxy. IX 1188,23 (cf. also P.Rend.Harris II 194,9-10n.).

24) A κληροσ of N.N. son of Theodoros was previously unattested. A κληροσ of a Theodoros is known from P.Oxy.Hels. 22,4,15.

P.Pruneti, loc.cit. (footnote 3), 196-199 lists several examples of a κληροσ Πτολεμα(ου). A κληροσ of Ptolemaios son of Ana[is [if we are really dealing with a κληροσ] not listed. Vgl. F.Zucker, Festschrift Oertel, Bonn 1964,101ff.

25-26) The division of words (letters) over the lacunae at the ends and beginnings of lines is unknown. We have, therefore, refrained from giving obvious supplements. At the end of line 25 and at the beginning of line 26 the following seems a plausible supplement: ἐκ N.N. κλη(ήρου) (ἀρουραι) τοσαῦτα ἐπ'ὄνο(ματοσ)] N.N. θώνιοσ κτλ.

31) The traces at the beginning of this line are too vague to warrant a certain reading. It seems impossible to read σχοιν(ιμοσ) (cf. P.Oxy. XLIV 3168 introduction). Cf. line 16 where we do not dare to read this word either.

32) A κληροσ of Ammon (?) was not previously attested.

For the meaning of γύησ or γύοσ, cf. Cl.Gallazzi, ZPE 31,1978,94 (note to line 6).

33) Ἀχιλλ(έωσ) ἱππ(έωσ) Ῥωμ(αίων): the same eques Romanus appears in P.Oxy. XII 1444 (A.D. 248/9) and in an unpublished papyrus from Oxyrhynchos of A.D. 242 (cf. A.H.S.el-Mosallamy, Etud.de Pap. IX, 1971,155 [cf. J.Schwartz, L.Domitius Domitianus, Papyrologica Bruxellensia 12, Bruxelles 1975,92]). This Aurelius Achilleus is certainly not identical with the well known ἐπανορθωτήσ

of the usurpator L.Domitius Domitianus. This person is not listed in H. Devijver, *De Aegypto et Exercitu Romano sive Prosopographia Militiarum Equestrium*, *Studia Hellenistica* 22, Lovanii 1975. The occurrence of this eques Romanus in the present text proves that it has to be dated to the middle of the IIIrd century A.D. It is noteworthy that only one person seems to bear the nomen Aurelius (line 37).

35) A κλῆρος of Κυμίας occurs in P.Turner 24,8. A κλῆρος of Troilos was hitherto unattested. Perhaps we should resolve κλ(ῆρων).

37) A κλῆρος of Glaukias was previously unattested.

38) The number of aruras may be 1 fraction larger, since the horizontal stroke over the fractions continues to the right of κδ.

Κοπρ[έ]ως: cf. S.B.Pomeroy, "Copronyms and the Exposure of Infants in Egypt", *Studies in Roman Law in Memory of A.A.Schiller*, Leiden 1986,147ff. Κοπρέως is the normal genitive of the proper name Κοπρέως which tends to appear in the Oxyrhynchite nome (cf. S.B.Pomeroy, *loc.cit.*, 158). Cf. F.T. Gignac, *Grammar II*, Milano 1981,87f.

39) Underneath this line there are ink-traces. Rather than with a continuation of the present entry or with the beginning of a next entry we are dealing with off-sets.

University of Amsterdam

P.J.Sijpesteijn-K.A.Worp