



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

P.Genova II 52: A Link with Hesychius

Worp, K.A.

Citation

Worp, K. A. (2006). P.Genova II 52: A Link with Hesychius. *Zeitschrift Für Papyrologie Und Epigraphik*, 156, 185-193. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/10154>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/10154>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

P.GENOVA II 52: A LINK WITH HESYCHIUS?¹

*P.Genova II 52*² (= W. Clarysse a.o., *Leuven Database of Ancient Books*,³ # 10035; = M. Huys a.o., *Catalogue of the Paraliterary Papyri*⁴ # 0274) is a papyrus of unknown provenance, labelled by the first editor a 'Lista di Parole in B-'. After describing the physical characteristics of the papyrus fragment and defining its palaeographical date ('early IIIp*') she points out that the type of text encountered on the fragment definitely looks like an alphabetically ordered word list, though it is not a real glossary because there are no word explanations. Therefore, she concludes (p. 8), "... è possibile che il frammento faccia parte di un indice più ampio di vocaboli, appartenente a una singola opera oppure a opere diverse di uno o più autori", and in a footnote (n. 4) she observes: "Molti sono gli autori ai quali riconducono le parole qui elencate, da Omero a Eschilo, da Aristofane ad Aristotele, da Platone ad altri ancora, e per ognuno di essi può essere citata più di un'opera: risulta pertanto difficile - e forse inutile - cercare in questa molteplicità di fonti una risposta univoca per il nostro frammento."

These observations are, of course, correct. Our general view on this word list may be influenced by my accidental discovery that many (though not all) of the words in this text also appear in a completely or almost completely identical form as *lemmata* in the lexicon of Hesychius, our most important late antique source of Greek lexicography.⁵ The following listing should illustrate this point ('---' indicates the absence of a corresponding entry in Hesychius):

	<i>P.Genova II 52</i> , col. i	Hesychius
1	β]ε . . . νοι	---
2	βελλερ[ο]φ[ο]ν[τη]ς	---
3	βεβηλος-	cf. B 413, βέβηλος
4	βημα	cf. B 551 & 563, βήμα
5	βησσει	cf. B 582, βήσσης
6	βηρυλ'λιοι	cf. B 578, βήρυλλος
7	βιον	cf. B 611*, βιόν
8	βιβλ[...]	cf. B 599, βιβλία; B 609, βιβλιος
9	βιβλαρ[...]	---
10	βλασταν[...]	cf. B 685, βλάσταν
11	βλασφη[...]	---
12] . . . [---

¹ I should like to thank Prof. Dr. K. Alpers, Prof. R.S. Bagnall, Dr. R. Crihiore and Ms. F.A.J. Hoogendijk for contributing various critical remarks to an earlier version of this paper; of course, I am responsible for its final form and content. I should also like to express my gratitude to Dr. B.P. Muhs for correcting my English text.

² L. Migliardi Zingale, *Papiri dell'Università di Genova*, vol. II (nos. 51-90), Firenze 1980 (= *Pap.Flor.*, 6). This text was written on the verso of a papyrus sheet; the recto is published by H. Harrauer - R. Pintaudi, *PUG II 52 recto: frammento di manuale tachygrafico*, *AnalPap.* 14-15 (2002-2003) 117-118.

³ See the website 'http://ldab.arts.kuleuven.ac.be'; hereafter = *LDAB*.

⁴ See the website 'http://cpp.arts.kuleuven.ac.be/searchform.html'; hereafter = *CPP*.

⁵ On this author (*flor.* V or VIp) and his importance for Greek lexicography, see the article by R. Tosi in *Der Neue Pauly*, Bd. V 514-515. On the history and development of Greek (and Latin) lexicography in Antiquity and Byzantium general, see the excellent overview by K. Alpers, 'Lexikographie' B.I-III, in: G. Ueding (Hrsg.), *Historisches Wörterbuch der Rhetorik*, Bd. V: L-Musi (Tübingen 2001) 194-210. Actually, the two studies by M. Naoumides, "Greek Lexicography in the Papyri" (unpubl. Diss. Urbana, Illinois 1961) and "The Fragments of Greek Lexicography in the Papyri" (in: *Classical Studies presented to Ben Edwin Perry* [Urbana, Illinois, 1969; = *Illinois Studies in Language and Literature*, 58] 181-202) do not cover material deriving directly from ancient schools.

	<i>P.Genova</i> II 52, col. ii ⁶	
13	βορβορος [cf. B 813, βόρβορος
14	βουκόλος [cf. B 910, βουκόλος
15	βοστρυχιος	cf. B 847, βοστρυχοειδής; 848 βοστρυχία; 849 βοστρυχίζεται; 850 βόστρυχος; 851 βοστρυχάδες
16	βουβαλο[ς	cf. B 871, βούβαλος
17	βοσκειται [cf. B 845, βόσκομεν; 844, βοσκή
18	βουβων[cf. B 879, βουβωνιασκόπος
19	βοτειαι β [---; cf. below, note ad loc.
20	βοκ'χι[---; cf. below, note ad loc.
21	βουβ[cf. below, note ad loc.

The number of complete or at least partial matches is in my view too high for sheer coincidence, especially when attention is paid to the fact that in both sources fairly unusual words (cf. l. 16 / Hesych. B 871, βούβαλος), declined forms of nouns (cf. ll. 7, 10) and conjugated verbs (cf. ll. 5, 17) are encountered. Obviously, both the author of the Genoa word list and Hesychius drew on the same sources. Moreover, I should like to adduce a remark made by R. Cribiore,⁷ commenting on the device used to enforce command of the letters of the Greek alphabet, the so-called *chalinos* (χαλινός = "gag") which consisted of alphabets in scrambled order that joined together letters that were difficult to pronounce: "Thus, for instance, when beginners wrote and sounded out aloud the made-up word *knaxzbrikh*, they practiced the letters and, supposedly, improved their pronunciation. These words used in school practice passed into Hesychius's Lexicon with pseudo-meanings".⁸ It seems self-evident that if elements of such χαλινοί, devised at some unknown place and time and used in schools in Graeco-Roman Egypt, passed into the work of the lexicographer Hesychius Alexandrinus, other Greek lexicographical material used in schools like, e.g., syllabaries may also be retrieved, if only in part, in this lexicon.⁹ Some further consequences of this idea will be explored later on in this paper. First, however, I add a few more notes on the papyrus from Genoa while adding the results of a search in the *TLG*, 'Authors' > 'generic epithets' > 'Lexicogr.':

- L. 1. On the photo, it seems just possible to read here β]ειθινοι, l. Βιθυνοί, 'inhabitants of Bithynia (in Asia Minor)'. The dotted letters are smudged (see the note ad loc. in the *ed.princ.*); maybe they result from correction? A lemma Βιθυνοί does not appear in Hesychius.¹⁰

⁶ I prefer to adopt a consecutive line numbering, whereas the *ed.princ.* resumes counting afresh from col. i.

⁷ In her *Gymnastics of the Mind* (Princeton-Oxford 2001), 166. See also the same author, *Writing, Teachers and Students in Graeco-Roman Egypt* (Atlanta GA 1996; = *AmStudPap* 36), 39-40 (on *chalinoi*) and 42-43 (on word lists and syllabaries used in schools).

⁸ In a footnote (# 23) Cribiore refers to R. Merkelbach, *Weisse KNAΞΖΒΙ-Milch*, ZPE 61 (1985) 293-296. The complete χαλινός reads κναξζβιχθυπησφλεγμοδρωψ. For κναξ cf. Hesychius, K 3086; for ζβιχ cf. idem, Z 85; for θυπησ cf. idem, Θ 920; for φλεγμο(ς) cf. idem, Φ 585; for δρωψ cf. idem, Δ 2468.

⁹ Or, for that matter, in another, later lexicon. As R. Cribiore reminds me per e-mail, there is also the example of lemmata and glossae of the Homeric Scholia Minora, which were adopted in the Byzantine D- Scholia (cf. her *Gymnastics of the Mind* [fn. 7] 207). And one may also compare the 'scholia' added (by a local schoolmaster ?) to the Kellis Isocrates Codex, on which see K. McNamee, *Notes in the New Isocrates* (*P. Kell. III Gr. 95*), in: I. Andorlini, G. Bastianini, M. Manfredi e G. Menci (edd.), *Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia, Firenze, 23-29 agosto 1998*, II (Firenze, 2001) 907-926. Some of the entries found in these 'scholia' turn out to occur also in Hesychius, cf. e.g. the ancient notes to l. 7 (p. 56): *πρεπει· χρη* with Hesych. Δ 425, *δει· πρεπει χρη*; ll. 30-31 *παραινεισιν· συμβουλειαν* with Hesych. Π 1022, *παρφασις· παραινεις*, *συμβουλια*; ll. 42-43: *ραθυμια· αμελεια* with Hesych. P 41, *ραθυμια· αμελεια*; ll. 44-46: *ρωμη· ισχυς, δυναμεις* with Hesych. P 567, *ρωμη· δυναμεις, ισχυς, σγκος, υγεια, ανδρεια*; ll. 50-52 {αν} *ακιβδηλο(ν)· καθαρον, καλον*, with Hesych. A 2396 *ακιβδηλον· καθαρον*.

¹⁰ This, however, does not need to surprise us. On personal and geographical names being absent in Hesychius, see below, p. 193.

- L. 2: for the reading adopted above, see already the *ed.princ.* l. 2, reading βελλερ[ο]φ[ι] [ν] []ς and noting: "semble qui doversi integrare la forma βελλεροφόντης". There is no lemma Βελλεροφόντης in Hesychius (the closest comes the entry B 489: "Βέλλερος· ὑπὸ Βελλεροφόντου κτανθείς, ἢ ὁ Βελλεροφόντης"), but it occurs in *Etym.Genuinum*, B 91.1; *Etym.Gudianum*, B 266.15; *Etym.Magnum*, 194.51; *Etym.Symeonis*, I 424.16; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 382.12; *Suda*, B 231.
- L. 3, βέβηλος; cf. *Etym.Genuin.*, B 78.1; *Etym.Gud.*, *Addit.*, B 265.23; *Etym.Magn.*, 193.58; *Etym.Sym.*, I 418.25; *Lex.Seguer.*, B 179.20; *Photius*, B 118; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 381.11; *Suda*, B 218.
- L. 4, βήμα; cf. *Etym.Genuin.*, B 106; *Etym.Gud.*, *Addit.*, B 268.17,18; *Etym.Magn.*, 196.35; *Etym.Sym.*, I 432.21; *Lex.Seguer.* B 219.28; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 387.4.13; *Suda*, B 257, 258.
- L. 5, βήσσει; no other lexicon produces this lemma; for the same form as the one given by Hesychius, cf. *Etym.Gud.*, B 268.7, and *ibidem*, *Addit.*, B 268.7.
- L. 6. An ethnic Βηρύλλιοι appears not to exist; the *TLG* lists only the nom.pl. βηρύλλιοι (1x, from the *Schol. in Clementem Alexandrinum*, *Scholia in protrepticum et paedagogum*, p. 335, l. 7) and the gen.pl. βηρυλλίων (3x; *Hist.Alexandri Magni, Recensio I (lib. 3)*, 60.28, 61.4; Michael Psellus, *Theologica*, Opusculum 109.43) as the name of a type of precious stone. Therefore, βήρυλλος (cf. Hesychius B 578: βήρυλλος; *λίθος (Tob. 13.17) AS ἢ βοτάνης εἶδος) and βηρύλλιος may be taken as parallel forms; for the same form as given by Hesychius, cf. *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 386.6.
- L. 7, βίον; cf. als *Etym.Gud.*, B 270.5; for βίον cf. *Photius*, B 142, 143; *Lex. in Opera Gregor. Nazianz. Carmina*, B 42.1.
- L. 8, βιβλί[] ; apparently no other lexicon features a lemma βιβλία; the alternative lemma βίβλιος appears also in *Etym.Magn.*, 197.32; *Etym.Sym.*, I 436.17; *Lex.Seguer.*, B 225.31; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 387.22; *Suda*, B 274.
- L. 9 and 10: apparently no other lexicon features a lemma in βίβλωρ- or in βλάσταν-.
- L. 10. Hesychius B 685 reads 'βλάσταν· βλάσστησιν, Κύπριοι', i.e. the inhabitants of Cyprus used the noun βλάσταν with the meaning of βλάσστησιν = 'budding, sprouting'. Note that the lemma appears here in a papyrus coming most probably from Egypt. There is a possibility, of course, that the papyrus originally contained a form of the verb βλαστανῶ, but this is no more than a mere possibility.
- L. 11: a lemma βλοσσημία appears in *Etym.Gud.*, *Addit.*, B 272.24.26, and in *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 392.22; a lemma βλάσσημος appears in *Etym.Genuin.*, B 132; *Etym.Gud.*, B 272.4; *Etym.Magn.*, 199.20; *Etym.Sym.*, I 444.29; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 391.21; *Suda*, B 323.
- L. 13, βόρβορος; cf. also *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 396.23.
- L. 14, βουκόλος; cf. also *Etym.Genuin.*, B 210; *Etym.Gud.*, B 281.5; *Etym.Magn.*, 208.11; *Etym.Sym.*, I 478.25; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 397.25.
- L. 15: apparently no other ancient lexicographical source features a lemma presenting a compound in βοστρυχ-. For the simplex βόστρυχος, cf. *Etym.Genuin.*, B 189; *Etym.Gud.*, B 279.19; *Etym.Magn.*, 205.32 (Kalliergis); *Etym.Symeonis*, I 468.24; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 397.12; *Suda*, B 403.
- L. 19: one should probably read here βοταικιοί [for Βοττιαῖοι. This ethnic (found in, e.g., Thucydides, Strabo, Plutarch and Stephanus Byz.) indicates the people living in Northern Macedonia in the plain between the lower courses of the Axios and the Haliacmon (see the *Barrington Atlas of the Greek and Roman World*, map 50, section B.3, 'Botti(ai)a Emathia'. NB: probably it is only coincidence that on the map in section C.3 one observes the word 'Borboros?', for which cf. l. 13 in the Genoa papyrus).
- L. 20: one may supply any word or name in βοκχ-; the *TLG* produces the following alternatives:
 1° Βοκχεῖ = the name of a Jewish high priest in the early history of the Jewish people (only in *Chron. Pasch.* 146.11),
 2° Βοκχόρης = the name of name of a settlement near Jerusalem (only in *Fl.Jos.*, *Antiq.Jud.* VII 225.5),
 3° Βοκχυρίς = the name of a town in Mauretania (only in *Cl.Ptolemaeus, Geogr.*, IV 5 §28.4),
 4° Βοκχορηίς (-ίδος) = the title of an epic by a certain Pankrates (only in *Athen.*, *Deipnosoph.* XI 55. 17 Kaibel = *F. Jacoby, FGH III.c 625.F* = *H. Lloyd Jones- P.J. Parsons, Suppl.Hellenist.*, fr. 602),
 5° Βόκχορις (-ρεως /-ριδος, -ριδι, -ρινη) and Βόκχωρις (-εως, -ινη) = the well-known king of Egypt mentioned by Herodotus, Thucydides, Plutarch a.o. (but not in Hesychius), and
 6° Βόκχος (-ου, -φ, -ον), the well-known king in North Africa (Bocchus) mentioned by Plutarch, Diodorus Siculus, Dio Cassius, Strabo, Appian, Photius (in his *Bibliotheca*) a.o. (but not in Hesychius).
- L. 21: for the reconstruction of this line one may consider various lemmata in Hesychius, cp. B 869, βουβάλιες; 870, βουβάλιον; 871 βούβαλος; 873, βούβαρα; 874, βουβάρα; 875, βούβαρις; 876, βούβελα; 877, βουβίλις; 878 βούβοσις, and 881, βούβρωσις.

Furthermore, it may be noticed that no particular number of syllables is adopted in this word list. While the entries in ll. 4, 5 and 7 count only two syllables, the entry in l. 2 (see note ad loc.) counts five. There is, therefore, no reason to reject a priori the idea of a long restoration in l. 15 (the lemma in Hesychius B 847 counts 5 syllables), respectively l. 18 (the lemma in Hesychius B 879 counts 6 syllables).

Against the background of the above discussion of *P.Genova II 52* it may be of some interest to compare the text of other word lists on papyrus with entries in Hesychius's lexicon. I have chosen two texts, viz. *P.Bodmer 51r* and *SB XII 10769*.

	<i>P.Genova</i> II 52, col. ii ⁶	
13	βορβορος [cf. B 813, βόρβορος
14	βουκόλος [cf. B 910, βουκόλος
15	βοστρυχ[ος	cf. B 847, βοστρυχοειδής; 848 βοστρυχία; 849 βοστρυχίζεται; 850 βόστρυχος; 851 βοστρυχῶδες
16	βουβαλο[ς	cf. B 871, βούβαλος
17	βοσκειται [cf. B 845, βόσκομεν; 844, βοσκή
18	βουβων[cf. B 879, βουβωνιασκόπος
19	βοτειαι β. [---; cf. below, note ad loc.
20	βοκ'χ[---; cf. below, note ad loc.
21	βουβ[cf. below, note ad loc.

The number of complete or at least partial matches is in my view too high for sheer coincidence, especially when attention is paid to the fact that in both sources fairly unusual words (cf. l. 16 / Hesych. B 871, βούβαλος), declined forms of nouns (cf. ll. 7, 10) and conjugated verbs (cf. ll. 5, 17) are encountered. Obviously, both the author of the Genoa word list and Hesychius drew on the same sources. Moreover, I should like to adduce a remark made by R. Criore,⁷ commenting on the device used to enforce command of the letters of the Greek alphabet, the so-called *chalinos* (χαλινός = "gag") which consisted of alphabets in scrambled order that joined together letters that were difficult to pronounce: "Thus, for instance, when beginners wrote and sounded out aloud the made-up word *knaxzbrikh*, they practiced the letters and, supposedly, improved their pronunciation. These words used in school practice passed into Hesychius's *Lexicon* with pseudo-meanings".⁸ It seems self-evident that if elements of such χαλινοί, devised at some unknown place and time and used in schools in Graeco-Roman Egypt, passed into the work of the lexicographer Hesychius Alexandrinus, other Greek lexicographical material used in schools like, e.g., syllabaries may also be retrieved, if only in part, in this lexicon.⁹ Some further consequences of this idea will be explored later on in this paper. First, however, I add a few more notes on the papyrus from Genoa while adding the results of a search in the *TLG*, 'Authors' > 'generic epithets' > 'Lexicogr.':

L. 1. On the photo, it seems just possible to read here βειθινοι, l. Βιθουνοί, 'inhabitants of Bithynia (in Asia Minor)'. The dotted letters are smudged (see the note ad loc. in the *ed.princ.*); maybe they result from correction? A lemma Βιθουνοί does not appear in Hesychius.¹⁰

⁶ I prefer to adopt a consecutive line numbering, whereas the *ed.princ.* resumes counting afresh from col. i.

⁷ In her *Gymnastics of the Mind* (Princeton-Oxford 2001), 166. See also the same author, *Writing, Teachers and Students in Graeco-Roman Egypt* (Atlanta GA 1996; = *AmStudPap* 36), 39-40 (on *chalinos*) and 42-43 (on word lists and syllabaries used in schools).

⁸ In a footnote (# 23) Criore refers to R. Merkelbach, *Weisse KNAEZBI-Milch*, *ZPE* 61 (1985) 293-296. The complete χαλινός reads κναξζβιχθπκησφλεγμοδρωψ. For κναξ cf. Hesychius, K 3086; for ζβιχ cf. idem, Z 85; for θπκησ cf. idem, Θ 920; for φλεγμο(ς) cf. idem, Φ 585; for δρωψ cf. idem, Δ 2468.

⁹ Or, for that matter, in another, later lexicon. As R. Criore reminds me per e-mail, there is also the example of lemmata and glossae of the Homeric Scholia Minora, which were adopted in the Byzantine D-Scholia (cf. her *Gymnastics of the Mind* [fn. 7] 207). And one may also compare the 'scholia' added (by a local schoolmaster?) to the Kellis Isocrates Codex, on which see K. McNamee, *Notes in the New Isocrates* (*P. Kell. III Gr. 95*), in: I. Andorlini, G. Bastianini, M. Manfredi e G. Menci (edd.), *Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia, Firenze, 23-29 agosto 1998*, II (Firenze, 2001) 907-926. Some of the entries found in these 'scholia' turn out to occur also in Hesychius, cf. e.g. the ancient notes to l. 7 (p. 56): κρεπει· χρη with Hesych. Δ 425, δει· κρεπει χρη; ll. 30-31 παρανεσιν· συμβουλειαν with Hesych. Π 1022, παρφασις· παρανεσις, συμβουλια; ll. 42-43: ραθυμια· αμελεια with Hesych. P 41, ραθυμια· αμελεια; ll. 44-46: ρωμη· ισχυς, δυναμις with Hesych. P 567, ρωμη· δυναμις, ισχυς, ογκος, υγεια, ανδρεια; ll. 50-52 {αν} ακιβδηλο(ν)· καθαρον, καλον, with Hesych. A 2396 ακιβδηλον· καθαρον.

¹⁰ This, however, does not need to surprise us. On personal and geographical names being absent in Hesychius, see below, p. 193.

- L. 2: for the reading adopted above, see already the *ed.princ.* l. 2, reading βελλερ[ο]φ[]υ[]ς and noting: "semble qui doversi integrare la forma βελλεροφόντης". There is no lemma Βελλεροφόντης in Hesychius (the closest comes the entry B 489: "Βέλλερος· ὑπὸ Βελλεροφόντου κτανθείς, ἢ ὁ Βελλεροφόντης"), but it occurs in *Etym.Genuinum*, B 91.1; *Etym.Gudianum*, B 266.15; *Etym.Magnum*, 194.51; *Etym.Symeonis*, I 424.16; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 382.12; *Suda*, B 231.
- L. 3, βέβηλος; cf. *Etym.Genuin.*, B 78.1; *Etym.Gud.*, Addit., B 265.23; *Etym.Magn.*, 193.58; *Etym.Sym.*, I 418.25; *Lex.Seguer.*, B 179.20; *Photius*, B 118; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 381.11; *Suda*, B 218.
- L. 4, βῆμα; cf. *Etym.Genuin.*, B 106; *Etym.Gud.*, Addit., B 268.17,18; *Etym.Magn.*, 196.35; *Etym.Sym.*, I 432.21; *Lex.Seguer.* B 219.28; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 387.4,13; *Suda*, B 257, 258.
- L. 5, βήσσει; no other lexicon produces this lemma; for the same form as the one given by Hesychius, cf. *Etym.Gud.*, B 268.7, and *ibidem. Addit.*, B 268.7.
- L. 6. An ethnic Βηρύλλιοι appears not to exist; the *TLG* lists only the nom.pl. βηρύλλιοι (1x, from the *Schol. in Clementem Alexandrinum, Scholia in protrepticum et paedagogum*, p. 335, l. 7) and the gen.pl. βηρυλλίων (3x; *Hist.Alexandri Magni, Recensio I (lib. 3)*, 60.28, 61.4; Michael Psellus, *Theologica*, Opusculum 109.43) as the name of a type of precious stone. Therefore, βήρυλλος (cf. Hesychius B 578: βήρυλλος; *λίθος (Tob. 13,17) AS ἢ βοτάνης εἶδος) and βηρύλλιος may be taken as parallel forms; for the same form as given by Hesychius, cf. *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 386.6.
- L. 7, βίον; cf. als *Etym.Gud.*, B 270.5; for βίον cf. *Photius*, B 142, 143; *Lex. in Opera Gregor. Nazianz., Carmina*, B 42.1.
- L. 8, βιβλ[] ; apparently no other lexicon features a lemma βιβλία; the alternative lemma βίβλιος appears also in *Etym.Magn.*, 197.32; *Etym.Sym.*, I 436.17; *Lex.Seguer.*, B 225.31; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 387.22; *Suda*, B 274.
- L. 9 and 10: apparently no other lexicon features a lemma in ββλαρ- or in βλασταν-.
- L. 10. Hesychius B 685 reads 'βλάσταν· βλάσστην, Κύπριοι', i.e. the inhabitants of Cyprus used the noun βλάσταν with the meaning of βλάσστην = 'budding, sprouting'. Note that the lemma appears here in a papyrus coming most probably from Egypt. There is a possibility, of course, that the papyrus originally contained a form of the verb βλαστώ, but this is no more than a mere possibility.
- L. 11: a lemma βλασφημία appears in *Etym.Gud.*, Addit., B 272.24,26, and in *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 392.22; a lemma βλάσφημος appears in *Etym.Genuin.*, B 132; *Etym.Gud.*, B 272.4; *Etym.Magn.*, 199.20; *Etym.Sym.*, I 444.29; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 391.21; *Suda*, B 323.
- L. 13, βόρβορος; cf. also *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 396.23.
- L. 14, βουκόλος; cf. also *Etym.Genuin.*, B 210; *Etym.Gud.*, B 281.5; *Etym.Magn.*, 208.11; *Etym.Sym.*, I 478.25; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 397.25.
- L. 15: apparently no other ancient lexicographical source features a lemma presenting a compound in βοστρυχ-. For the simplex βόστρυχος, cf. *Etym.Genuin.*, B 189; *Etym.Gud.*, B 279.19; *Etym.Magn.*, 205.32 (Kalliergis); *Etym.Symeonis*, I 468.24; *Pseudo-Zonaras*, B 397.12; *Suda*, B 403.
- L. 19: one should probably read here βοτειαίοι [, for Βοττιαίοι. This ethnic (found in, e.g., Thucydides, Strabo, Plutarch and Stephanus Byz.) indicates the people living in Northern Macedonia in the plain between the lower courses of the Axios and the Haliacmon (see the *Barrington Atlas of the Greek and Roman World*, map 50, section B.3, 'Botti(αι) Emathia'). NB: probably it is only coincidence that on the map in section C.3 one observes the word 'Borboros?', for which cf. l. 13 in the Genoa papyrus).
- L. 20: one may supply any word or name in βοκχ-; the *TLG* produces the following alternatives:
 1° Βοκχέι = the name of a Jewish high priest in the early history of the Jewish people (only in *Chron. Pasch.* 146.11),
 2° Βοκχόρης = the name of a settlement near Jerusalem (only in Fl.Jos., *Antiq.Jud.* VII 225.5),
 3° Βοκχυρίς = the name of a town in Mauretania (only in Cl.Ptolemaeus, *Geogr.*, IV 5 §28.4),
 4° Βοκχορηίς (-ίδος) = the title of an epic by a certain Pankrates (only in Athen., *Deipnosoph.* XI 55. 17 Kaibel = F. Jacoby, *FGH* III.c 625.F = H. Lloyd Jones- P.J. Parsons, *Suppl.Hellenist.*, fr. 602),
 5° Βόκχορις (-ρεως /-ριδος, -ριδι, -ριν) and Βόκχωρις (-εως, -iv) = the well-known king of Egypt mentioned by Herodotus, Thucydides, Plutarch a.o. (but not in Hesychius), and
 6° Βόκχος (-ου, -φ, -ον), the well-known king in North Africa (Bocchus) mentioned by Plutarch, Diodorus Siculus, Dio Cassius, Strabo, Appian, Photius (in his *Bibliotheca*) a.o. (but not in Hesychius).
- L. 21: for the reconstruction of this line one may consider various lemmata in Hesychius, cp. B 869, βουβάλιες; 870, βουβάλιον; 871 βούβαλος; 873, βούβαρα; 874, βουβάρα; 875, βούβαρις; 876, βούβελα; 877, βουβίλις; 878 βούβοις, and 881, βούβρωστις.

Furthermore, it may be noticed that no particular number of syllables is adopted in this word list. While the entries in ll. 4, 5 and 7 count only two syllables, the entry in l. 2 (see note ad loc.) counts five. There is, therefore, no reason to reject a priori the idea of a long restoration in l. 15 (the lemma in Hesychius B 847 counts 5 syllables), respectively l. 18 (the lemma in Hesychius B 879 counts 6 syllables).

Against the background of the above discussion of *P.Genova* II 52 it may be of some interest to compare the text of other word lists on papyrus with entries in Hesychius's lexicon. I have chosen two texts, viz. *P.Bodmer* 51^r and *SB* XII 10769.

(1) A check of *P. Bodmer 51^r* (a syllabification exercise for use in school, published by A. di Bitonto Kasser in *Mus. Helv.* 55 [1998] 112-117; date: III-IV^p; = LDAB 5269 = CPP 0290) for possible links with Hesychius and other lexicographical sources produced the following result (below, the absence in Hesychius of an entry corresponding with the entry in the Dublin word list is indicated by '---'):

Col.i

01	[ι - 1-2]ς [Cf. Hesychius I 139, ιβυς; 717, ιξος; 722, Ιξυς; 888, Ιρις; 935, Ισις
02	[ι] - πον	---
03	[ι -]κων	Cf. Hesychius E 846; εικών, 847; εἴκων; cf. also <i>Suda</i> , I 94; <i>Lexic. in Opera Gregor. Nazianz.</i> , in <i>Carmina</i> , E 37, in <i>Orationes</i> , 176; <i>Lex. Seguer.</i> , E 209.2; <i>Etym. Gud.</i> , E 414.6, 11, 13; <i>Pseudo-Zonaras</i> , E 631.24; <i>Lex. Synonymica</i> , 56.1
04	[ι - 1-2]ος	Cf. Hesychius I 670*, Ινδος
05	[ι -]να ζ	Cf. Hesychius E 965, εἴναξ
06	[κ 1-2 -] μα	---
07	[κ 1-2 -] ρος	---
08	[κ 1-2 -] πος	---
09	[κ 1-2 -] πη	---
10	[κ 1-2 -] νος	---
11	[κ 1-2 -] πος	---
12	[κ 1-2 -] κ . . [---
13	[κ? 3-4] . . [---

Col.ii (below, consecutive line numbering is continued from col. i; cf. above fn. 6)

14	[Λ]ου - κας [Cf. Hesychius Λ 1269, -κα; <i>Etym. Parvum</i> , Λ 22, -κας; <i>Suda</i> , Λ 682, -κας
15	[λ]η[-]νος [= Hesychius Λ 887 (ληνός); Photius, Λ 221.10 (ληνός), 15 (ληνός); <i>Etym. Genuin.</i> , Λ 94.1; <i>Etym. Parvum</i> , Λ 5.1; <i>Suda</i> Λ 461.1; <i>Etym. Gud.</i> , Λ 368.52; <i>Etym. Magnum</i> , 564.1 (Kalliergis), <i>Pseudo-Zonaras</i> , Λ 1304.11; <i>Lex. Seguer.</i> , Λ 271.17 (all ληνός).
16	[λ]ευ - κης [Cf. Hesychius Λ 725, -κη; Photius, Λ 216.23; <i>Suda</i> , Λ 319, 320; <i>Gloss. in Herodot.</i> , I 38.1; <i>Etym. Genuin.</i> , Λ 75.1; <i>Etym. Magn.</i> , 561.39 (Kalliergis); <i>Pseudo-Zonaras</i> , Λ 1296.15, (all -κη)
17	[λα]κ - κος [---; Photius, Λ 203.19, 22; <i>Suda</i> Λ 60, 61; <i>Etym. Gud.</i> , Λ 362.26; <i>Etym. Magn.</i> , 555.10 (Kalliergis); <i>Lex. Seguer.</i> Λ 276.20
18	[λ]ηι - στης [Cf. Hesychius Λ 834, -σται; 835, -σται; <i>Etym. Gen.</i> , Λ 95.1; <i>Etym. Gud.</i> , Λ 369.12; <i>Pseudo-Zonaras</i> , Λ 1302.19
19	Μαρ - κος [= Hesychius M 292; <i>Suda</i> , M 214-216 passim
20	μω - ρος [= Hesychius M 2069; Photius, M 284.7; <i>Suda</i> , M 1341; <i>Etym. Gud.</i> , M 402.11, 14, 20; <i>Etym. Magn.</i> , 593.12 (Kalliergis); <i>Pseudo-Zonaras</i> , M 1381.19 (all μωρός); <i>Suda</i> , M 1342 (Μῶρος)
21	μ[ι] - μος [Cf. Hesychius M 2055; (Μῶμος); <i>Etym. Gud.</i> , M 401.22 (Μῶμος); <i>Etym. Magn.</i> , 593.15 (Kalliergis) (Μῶμος); <i>Pseudo-Zonaras</i> M 1381.11 (Μῶμος); <i>Suda</i> M 1079, 1 (Μῆμος), M 1331 (Μῶμος)
22	Μι - νος [= Hesychius M 1419*; <i>Lexic. Artis Grammaticae</i> 429.9; <i>Suda</i> M 1091, 1092; <i>Etym. Gud.</i> M 394.24; <i>Etym. Magn.</i> 588.24 (Kalliergis); <i>Pseudo-Zonaras</i> M 1361.14

- 23 με - λος [= Hesychius M 760; *Etym.Gud.* M 386.14,26; *Etym.Magn.* 577.15,54 (Kalliergis); *Pseudo-Zonaras* M 1348.1
- 24 μ[.] κρο[ς] Cf. *Etym.Gud.* M 394.41,45, *Pseudo-Zonaras* M 1361.9 (both μικρός), vs. Hesychius M 1345 (μικροῦ). There appears to be no lexicon featuring a lemma μικρός.
- 25 μαρ - τυς = Hesychius M 324; Photius, M 248.4; *Etym.Parvum*, M 18; *Etym.Gud.*, M 380.56; *Etym.Magn.*, 574*.139 (Kalliergis); *Lex.Synonymica*, 76.1
- 26 μη - κος = Hesychius M 1176; *Etym. Gud.*, M 391.4; *Etym.Parvum*, M 6; *Suda* M 910; *Pseudo-Zonaras* M 1358.21
- 27 .ο[.] [.] [.] ?[---

For further critical apparatus to the readings of the papyrus see *CPP* # 290.

In this text, too, there seems to be a lot of correspondence with various lexicographical sources. The comparison of col. i.4,5 and col. ii.21,24 with the corresponding entries in Hesychius should induce us to consider alternative readings for those offered in the *ed.princ.* of the papyrus. In col. i.4 one may simply restore [ι-δ]ος; in i.5 there is no problem with accepting a iotacistic spelling at the beginning of the lemma and (after a check of the photo in the *ed.princ.*) with substituting a ξ for the character doubtfully read as a ζ, while in col. ii.24 there cannot be much of a problem with possibly substituting μ[ι-]κρο[ῦ] for μ[.] κρο[ς]. Therefore, the only problem may be found in col. ii.21, where a change of a restored ι into a restored ω (a relatively broad letter) would be needed. A check of the plate in the *ed.princ.*, however, makes it slightly difficult to perform this change. Finally, the original papyrus should be scrutinized in order to see whether the doubtful final sigma in the entries in ll. 14 and 16 should be maintained.

Secondly, I paid attention to a school text from Dublin presenting a similar syllabification exercise, published by W. Clarysse & A. Wouters in *Anc.Soc.* 1 (1970) 201-235, and reprinted in *SB* XII 10769 (= *LDAB* 5508 = *CPP* 0077 = R. Cribiore, *Writing* [cf. above, fn. 7] p. 274 # 390). Below, the absence in Hesychius of an entry corresponding with the entry in the Dublin word list is indicated by '---'. If there is no further statement following a reference to an entry in Hesychius, one may suppose that the text of the lemma found there is completely identical with the entry in the Dublin word list; partial identities are specified. In light of the interpretations given in Hesychius's lexicon it remains to be seen whether all of the capitalization of the Dublin text as offered by the *SB* and the subsequently appearing version of the text in the *CPP* should be maintained.¹¹

Fr. 1v = fol. 2v	Cp. Hesychius	07	χάρ της	---; cf. X 212, -τος
Col. i		08	χαί τη	X 26
-----		09	χά ος	X 168
01 φρον τίς	Φ 910	10	χρεία	---; cf. X 682, -ειαί
02 Χρό σης	X 786	11	χέλυσ	X 340
03 Χά ρις	X 196	12	Χά λκων	---; cf. X 117, -ώνητος
04 Χεί ρων	X 312	13	χεῦμα	X 379
05 χρό νος	---	14	Χρυσίς	X 791
06 χει μών	X 271	15	χάρτης	---; cf. X 212, -τος
		16	ψάλ της	Ψ 55

¹¹ Moreover, in not a few cases there may be reason now to reconsider editorial supplements in the Dublin text, cf. esp. ll. 33, 54, 83 and 92.

17	ψῆφος	Ψ 160	50	Γρηγόριος ¹²	---
18	Ψεννής	---	51	Γοργίαις	Γ 845a, -γίδες
19	Ψυχή	Ψ 293	52	Διώνη	B 128, Βάκχου Διώνης
20	ψόγος	Ψ 233	53	Δημήτριος ¹³	Δ 837, -τριος; cf. Δ 835, -τρα, Δ 836 -τριάς
21	ψεύδος	Ψ 129	54	Δάρδαυρος	Δ 260 (+ άνήρ); cf. also Δ 262, -νια; Δ 257, -νή
22	ψαλμός ψάμμος	---; cf. Ψ 52, -λος; Ψ 64	55	δύ...	---
23	ᾠτος	Ω 484, 485			
24	ὠκύς	Ω 145			
25	ὠμός	Ω 208/215			
26	ὠνή Ω 247, -νος	---; cf. Ω 234, -νήμην;		Fr. 1r = fol. 2r Col. i	
27	ᾠρα	Ω 280 - 283			
28	ωρ	---	56	Ἐκάβη	---
29	ω	---	57	Εὐνίκη	---; cf. E 7019, -νίκω
30	Ἄψυρτος	---	58	Εὐρνή	---
31	Ἄλκμήνη -----	---	59	Εὐπόμη	---
			60	Εἰάνθη	I 56; cf. I 57 -θην, -θης
			61	Εἰδυία	---; cf. E 776, -δυίη
Col. ii			62	ζαμένης	Z 47, 49
	-----		63	Ζάκυνθος	Z 31
32	βα[.].[---	64	Ζωίλος	Z 235
33	Βο[?]ρέτης	---; cf. B 818, -ρέας	65	Ζέφυρος	Z 133
34	βάρβαρος	---; cf. B 217, -ρα	66	ζωγράφος	---
35	βάλανος B 133a/148, -νοι; B 149, -ρες; B 150, -λάσαι	---; cf. B 146, -λανεύς;	67	Ζεύξιπος	---
36	βόρβος	B 813	68	Ζηνόβιος	---
37	βοθί[νης (interchange in the papyrus of o/ou, u/i?)	---; cf. B 891, βουθοί-	69	Ζώσιμος	---
38	Βέβρυκες	---; cf. B 442, βέβρυχεν	70	ζ	---
39	Γαλήνη	Γ 91, 99	71	ζ	---
40	Γρήνικος	Γ 917	72	ζ	---
41	Γηρύων	Γ 533, -ρύοντες	73	ζ	---
42	Γωβρύας (with interchange of ω/o, u/i?)	---; cf. Γ 757, γοβρίαί	74	Ἡλέκτρα	---; cf. H 334, -τρον; H 335, -τρος
43	γόνιμος -μιον	Γ 825; cf. also Γ 826,	75	Ἡφαίστος	H 987
44	γονυθία	---	76	Ἡρακλῆς	---; cf. H 723, -κλεις
45	Γαλάτης	---; cf. Γ 86, γαλατμόν	77	Ἡλιος	H 372
46	Γέμελλος	---			
47	Γερμανός	Γ 431, -νικός			
48	Γελάων γελανδρόν	---; cf. Γ 301,			
49	γ				

¹² The text in the *CPP* features here (and in l. 53, Δημήτριος) a restored ending in -ιος, but Γρηγόριος and Δημήτριος would have, then, four syllables; of course, one may also wish to restore γρηγορί[ε] or γρηγόρι[ος], i.e. three syllables. If one of these two forms is supplied, there is no ground any longer for attempting to establish the date of the text on the basis of the earliest occurrences of the personal name Gregorius; see the remarks by the first editors in *Anc.Soc.* 1 (1970) 206.

¹³ The word ending has been restored in the *CPP* as -ιος, while the accentuation of the *ed.princ.*, Δημήτρις, is maintained. This should not be; one may as well restore the form as Δήμητρα, cf. the entry in Hesychius Δ 835.

78	η	---	Fr. 2v = fol. 3v
79	η	---	Col. i
80	.	---	-----
	-----		111 Ναύ πλι ος ---
			112 Ναύ βο λος ---
Col. ii			113 Νε άν θης N 179
	-----		114 Νι κί ας ---
81	Ί θά κη	---	115 νό μ φι ος ---
82	Ί α σο [ς	---; cf. I 86, Ί ασον	116 Νου μή νιος N 678
	"Αργος		117 ναύ κλη ρος N 120
83	Ί ά σω [v	---; cf. I 90, Ί ασώ	118 Ξαν θί ας ---
84	Ί φι κλ [ος	---	119 Ξε νο φών ---
85	Έ σ τί α	E 6390	120 Ξυ στάρ χης ---
86	Ί κα ρος	I 448	121 Ξέρ ξιπ ρος ---
87	ι	---	122 ξ ---
88	ι	---	123 ξ ---
89	ι	---	124 ξ ---
90	Κά νη θος	---; cf. K 653, -νήτιον	125 ξ ---
91	Κλυ μέ νη	---	126 ξ ---
92	Κό ρω[νος	---; cf. K 3737, -νά;	127 ξ ---
	K 373; 3739/3740/3753, -ρώνη;		128 Ό δυ σ σέ υς ---
	K 3741, -ρώναι		129 Ό λυ μ ρος O 656
93	Κλυ τί η	---; cf. K 3054, -τίδη	130 Ό ρέ σ της ---; cf. O 1163, -στη
94	Κερ κη ίς	---	131 Ό τρύ ν της ---; cf. O 1521, -τήρ;
95	Κα λυ ψά	---	O 1522, -τύς (with interchange of η/υ?)
96	Κρί α σος	---	-----
97	Κέρ βε ρος	K 2298b	Col. ii
98	Κά ι κος	K 224	-----
99	κλυ τω νος	---; cf. K 3043, κλύδων	132 Πο [σ]ει δών ---; cf. Π 3101, -άων
100	Κο μή της	K 3447	133 Ό ρο δι ός P 400
101	κέν ταυ ρος	K 2226	134 Ό ρο δί η ---; cf. P 395, -δια
102	λυ γαι ης	---	135 ρο ε σ σα ---
103	Λι πά ρη	---; cf. Λ 1082, -ρές;	136 ρα βδοϋ χος ---
	Λ 1083- ρεΐν; Λ 1084, -ρέως; Λ 1085,		137 ρά θυ μος P 42
	-ράς; Λ 1086, -ροΐσιν; Λ 1090, -ροΐ		138 Ό ρή σιπ ρος ---
104	λιβκ[¹⁴	---	139 ρά ρι ος ---
105	Λε ον τεύς	---	140 Ό ρη γί νος P 236
106	Λή ι τος	---; cf. Λ 842, -ιτο	141 Ό ρω μα νός ---
107	Λερ ναΐ [α]	Λ 690	142 Ό ρου φί νος ---
108	Λυ κά ων	---; cf. Λ 1328, -καΐον	143 Σκά μαν δρος Σ 829
109	Λα έ ρ της	---	144 Σε μέ λη Σ 402
110	Λυ [τ]αΐ η	Λ 1461	145 Σε ι ρή νες Σ 342
	-----		146 Σί συ φος Σ 751
			147 Στρο φί η ---
			148 Σαρ πι δή ν Σ 229, 230

¹⁴ Cf. the note of the ed.princ. ad loc.: "a reading λιβυ[η] is palaeographically difficult to accept". It would seem possible, however (cf. plate VII in the ed.princ.), that an original λιβια was corrected into λιβυ. In that case there are several candidate words in λιβυ- in Hesychius, cf. Λ 944ff.

149	Σά τνι ος	---	183	χ	---
150	Σώ στρα τος	---	184	Ψα μά θη	---; cf. Ψ 58b, -μαθος
151	Σι μί κη	---	185	ψ .[---
152	σι	---			
153	Σμι κρί νης	---	Col. ii		
154	Στε ρό πη	Σ 1778		-----	
155			186	ω	---
156	Σα μί α	Σ 145, 146 (+ γῆ)	187	ω	---
157	Τάν τα λος	---			
158	Τι θω νός κομον	---; cf. T 883, Τιθωνό- κομον	Fr. 3r = fol. 1r		
159	Τε λα μών	T 392	188	Φα έθου σα Φ 23, -έθων	---; cf. Φ 21, -έθοντα;
160	[Τέρ πι]ος	---; as noted already in the <i>ed.princ.</i> , several restorations are possible here	189	φαεσφόρ[ος]	Φ 30
	-----		190	Φα νο στρά τη	---; cf. Φ 154, -νοτάτη
			191	Φι λο κτή της	---
			192	Φερ σε φό νη	---; cf. Φ 317, -φόνεια
			193	Φε ρε κύ δης	---
			194	Φι λό μη λος δης	---; cf. Φ 513, -μηλεί- δης
Fr. 2r = fol. 3r			195	Φω κυ λί δης	---
Col. i			196	φι λί πι ος	---; cf. Φ 577, -ιππος
	-----		197	Φι λό λα ος	---
161	υ	---	198	φι λό κυ ρος	---
162	υ	---	199	χρυ σο κό μης	---
163	υ	---	200	[X]α ρί σι ος	---
164	Φα έ θων	Φ 23	201	Χαι ρέ στρα τος	---
165	Φέ ρε κλος	---; cf. Φ 303, -κλέα	202	Χαλ κι ό πη	---
166	Φή μι ος	---	203	χ	---
167	Φί λ λυ ρος	---	204	χερ σι μι μας	---
168	Φά λη ρος	---; cf. Φ 99 -ληρα; Φ 100, -ηρεύς; Φ 103 -ληρίς	205	χαλ κο τύ πος	---
169	Φλό γι ος	---	206	χρυ σο ποι ός	---
170	Φυ λα κή	Φ 972	207	χρυ σο χαί της	---
171	Φύ λα κος	---; cf. Φ 973, -λαξ	208	χρυ σο χό ος	---
172	Φλε γραί ος	---	209	χ	---
173	Χρυ ση ίς	---; cf. X 786, -σῆς	210	Χα ρί δη μος	---
174	Χρυ σά ωρ	---; cf. X 773, -σαόρον; X 774, -σαόρον	211	ψαλ μο ποι ός	---
175	Χί μαι ρα	X 473	212	Ψε νο σί ρις	---
176	Χά ρυβ δις	X 213, 214	213	ψη φο παί κτης	---
177	χ	---	214	ψυ χα γω γός	Ψ 287
178	Χαι ρέ ας	---	215	[ψ ?]ς	---
179	Χαι ρή μων	---	216	[?]ιανα .χ[
180	Χαλ κώ δων τας	---; cf. X 116, -κώδον- τας	217	[?]...[

181	χ	---			
182	χ	---			

For further critical apparatus to the readings of the text see CPP 0077.

The result of comparing this long text (217 lines/entries, many of which, however, are damaged beyond recognition) with Hesychius, i.e. ca. 70 complete matches, seems to reveal, again, too much of mutual correspondence for coincidence. At the same time one finds that in the Dublin text especially names are poorly represented among the entries in Hesychius. None of the personal or mythological names in ll. 46, 56, 57, 58, 59, 67, 68, 69, 81, 84, 90, 91, 94, 95, 96, 109, 118, 119, 121, 128, 138, 141, 142, 149, 150, 153, 157, 166, 167, 169, 172, 178, 179, 193, 195, 197, 201, 202, 210, 212 can be connected with an entry in Hesychius. This situation finds its parallel in the Genoa text where ll. 1, 2, 19 and 20 also may be taken to contain names not appearing in Hesychius.

These findings offer, obviously, only a first harvest and will need to be illustrated further by a detailed comparison of all other papyrus word lists with Hesychius's Lexicon and other Greek lexicographical sources.¹⁵ Already now, however, it seems clear that part of the lexicographical material found among the paraliterary papyri from Graeco-Roman Egypt¹⁶ comes, directly or indirectly, from the same original sources that were used by Hesychius Alexandrinus and other Greek lexicographers. For that reason, it may be profitable, sometimes, to consult before all these ancient lexica for restoring a damaged word in a papyrus word list.

University of Leiden

Klaas A. Worp

¹⁵ Cf. below, fn. 16 ad *CPP* 0279 = *LDAB* 4925 (mid I - mid III).

¹⁶ Searching the *CPP* for 'list of words' I obtained the following result for the first six centuries of our era:

<i>CPP</i> = <i>LDAB</i>	Date	Reference
0338	3535	III ^a = <i>P.Hib.</i> II 172
0347	4408	I ^P = <i>CdE</i> 49 (1974) 324-331
0213	0300	I-II ^P = <i>Class.Review</i> 11 (1897) 390-393
0167	4742	II ^P = <i>Stud.Pap.</i> 6 (1967) 99-107 = <i>P.Lit.PalauRib.</i> 37
0230	4632	II ^P = <i>O.Cloud.</i> II 415
0276	4577	II ^P = <i>BKT</i> IX 59
0280	4895	II ^P = <i>JHS</i> 28 (1908) 124, no. 5
0274	10035	III ^P = <i>P.Genova</i> II 52
0293	5356	III ^P = <i>P.David</i> 6.II
0376	5448	III ^P = <i>P.Oxy.</i> VII 1012
0279	4925	mid I - mid III ^P = <i>JHS</i> 28 (1908) 123-124, no. 4 = <i>ZPE</i> 152 (2005) 209-217
0077	5508	III - IV ^P = <i>Anc.Soc.</i> 1 (1970) 201-235 = <i>SB</i> XII 10769
0290	5269	III - IV ^P = <i>Mus.Helv.</i> 55 (1998) 112-117
0313	5587	III - V ^P = <i>T.Varie</i> 36
0275	0792	IV ^P (?) = <i>PSI</i> VIII 892
0287	5825	IV - VI ^P = <i>O.Theb.</i> 48 = <i>MPER</i> N.S. XVIII 232
0288	5829	IV - V ^P = <i>O.CrumST</i> 168 = <i>O. Bodl.</i> II 2193 = <i>MPER</i> N.S. XVIII 236
0298	5895	IV - VII ^P = <i>P.Michael.</i> 6
0320	5872	IV - VII ^P = <i>O.Bahria</i> 1 (= <i>O.Oasis</i> , p. 88) = <i>SB</i> XX 14885
0301	6237	VI ^P = <i>ZPE</i> 96 (1993) 141-152
0303	6356	VI ^P = <i>MPER</i> N.S. XV 114

Re *CPP* 0279 = *LDAB* 4925, I note that the editorial notes in the re-edition of this word list in *ZPE* 152 (2005) 209-217 do not cover all possible links with Hesychius, i.e. next to the words occurring in ll. 7, 9 and perhaps ll. 5 and 11 (if ποξ, respectively ψη]v is supplied in these lines), the words found in ll. 1, 3, 8, 10 and possibly that in l. 6 (if indeed σπαργε is read here) can also be retrieved in Hesychius's lexicon.

I also observe that, when searching the *LDAB* for 'genre/lexicography', the list of potentially relevant Greek texts not connected with a specific author may be extended by *LDAB* nos. 4492, 4558, 4560, 4621, 4633, 4676, 4806, 4811, 4876, 4947, 5091, 5132, 5219, 5353, 5366, 5503, 5505, 5520, 5647, 5755, 6007, and 6322.