



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Can Chinese legislation on informational privacy benefit from European experience?

Zhang, K.

Citation

Zhang, K. (2014, September 16). *Can Chinese legislation on informational privacy benefit from European experience?*. dotLegal Publishing dissertation series. dotLegal Publishing, Oegstgeest. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/28739>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/28739>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/28739> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Zhang, Kunbei

Title: Can Chinese legislation on informational privacy benefit from European experience?

Issue Date: 2014-09-16

*Can Chinese Legislation on Informational Privacy
Benefit from European Experience?*

Can Chinese Legislation on Informational Privacy
Benefit from European Experience?

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker
volgens besluit van het College van Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 16 September 2014
klokke 13.45 uur
door

Kunbei Zhang

geboren te China in 1983

dotLegal Publishing dissertation series no. 2014-1

Original and modified cover art and lay-out design by
dotLegal Publishing (Lyx/Xetex/Bibdesk/the Gimp)

© Kunbei Zhang/dotLegal Publishing

ISBN 978-94-92111-00-5



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons
Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this
license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> or
send a letter to Creative Commons, 444 Castro Street, Suite
900, Mountain View, California, 94041, USA.

Promotoren: prof. dr. A.H.J. Schmidt
prof. dr. G.-J. Zwenne
Overige leden: prof. dr. S. van der Hof
prof. dr. B.R. Katzy
prof. dr. R.A. Lawson
prof. dr. J.M. Otto
prof. dr. J.B. Ruhl (Vanderbilt University)
dr. B. W. Schermer

*Can Chinese Legislation on
Informational Privacy
Benefit from European Experience?*

张琨蓓

(Kunbei Zhang)

献给我的父母

Preface

This thesis records the experiences and observations that I collected in the past six years. I spent the most brilliant period of my youth at eLaw, of Leiden University. The interdisciplinary institution helped me find and investigate an interesting field, personal data protection. I selected it as my research subject and will pursue it in my subsequent research efforts (in China).

The conceptions in the thesis are diverse. Part of them is drawn from the legal training I received during the last 12 years. Another part stems from a new interest in the philosophy of science, considering complexity. In this thesis, I weave them together. Indeed, this has seriously challenged my capabilities to cope with creative scientific research, since I needed to describe my arguments and results in a manner understandable to who are strange to this field. The result has been a drastic shift in my research. Readers can find that my research bridges across positivist and realist comparison, law and economics, cultural exploration and complexity theory. Nevertheless, the main purpose of the research remains to improve our comprehension of the law, of data processing and of their interactions.

The book is also an attempt to explain to myself and to my readers how I struggled through the whole journey, with its disappointment and pleasant surprises. Initially, I wrote the thesis in the form of a dialogue to myself. The dialogue I had in mind was a sort of personal testimony, a testimony of how my understandings and misunderstandings unfolded over time, with many detours and shining points hidden in paragraphs, as pleasant surprises. And sometimes I was happy as a playful child when I could change the tune of the story at an unexpected

moment and place. Yet, as a Ph.D student who not only wants to investigate the unexplained, but also wants to graduate, I had to bend this inclination and provided a second version in a more serious tone.

Nevertheless the result still has the traces of a mixed bag that can best be classified as exploratory law research. My research project's structure has (at the level of a single project) become analogous to Kuhn's dynamic scheme for scientific revolutions: normal science within a paradigm, crisis in the paradigm, revolution, the inkling of a new paradigm (Kuhn (1962)). The research was originally triggered by an assumption. Upon its inspection, the research story adapts when anomalies are brought to bare, and finally something new is found, at the point where the initial assumption has itself become an anomaly. Again, the chapters in this thesis follow the logic of exploratory research. Not the "order" of a random walk, but the dynamics of contextually informed search: the conclusion from the current chapter/stage provides the bridge to the questions to be discussed in the following chapters/stages (and then the questions derived thereof become new points for exploration).¹ As a whole, the thesis displays a dynamic scheme because its research path could not be foreseen completely in advance.²

One of its most important arguments leads to the conclusion that the community of personal-data users is a complex adaptive system, and that this finding supports and helps further interdisciplinary cooperation for improved information of the legislator. This gives me the courage to follow my intuition in my research to come, to think out my own ideas and to form

¹I cannot but feel that the legal discipline is currently more in a situation that Kuhn (1962) would qualify as being "in crisis" (also internationally) than in a situation of paradigmatic stability (of "normal science"), when legal data protection arrangements are under consideration.

²"The world which we want to explore is a largely unknown entity. We must, therefore, keep our options open and we must not restrict ourselves in advance. Epistemological prescriptions may look splendid when compared with other epistemological prescriptions, or with general principles, but who can guarantee that they are the best ways to discover, not just a few isolated 'facts', but also some deep-lying secrets of nature? (Feyerabend (1975):12)"

my own independent theories, and to investigate them further in diverse scholarly cooperation. I do not have – none of us has – sufficient scientific education to singlehandedly research in an adequate manner the serious and complex problems of our current communities. Personal data protection is central to many of these problems, and that is where my research will remain focused. During my project I have been encouraged by the finding that there are many people around the world, from many disciplines, who agree with me in this. I cannot wait to join forces with them in my future projects.

Leiden, 15 juli 2014, 张琨蓓

Contents

I	The Perils of Importing Law	1
1	Introduction	3
	Research background	4
	Research question	9
	How can I complete the process?	10
	Research approach	11
	Multiple methods	11
	Positivist functional comparison	12
	Cultural comparison	13
	Incomplete law theory	14
	Realist functional comparison	15
	Intermediate conclusion	15
	Complexity theory and its subject matter	16
	Positivist and realist perspectives	19
	The categorization of Chinese law	21
	Terminology	22
	EU jurisdiction	22
	Data protection law	24
	Privacy and data protection	25
	Data regulator	27
	Source of data	28
	Structure of the book	29
2	A Preliminary Comparison	31
	Introduction	31
	Indicators: OECD Principles	35
	The National Credit Reporting Database	36
	The adequacy of Chinese data protection legislation	38

Data subjects' rights	40
Data users' responsibilities:	41
Implementation	45
Conclusion	49
Consequences of the comparison	49
Can EU law improve Chinese law?	52
3 Do History and Culture Matter?	53
Introduction	53
Cultural value patterns on privacy	55
Cultural value patterns in Europe	57
Cultural value patterns in China	61
Diverse cultural value patterns and data-protection laws	64
The right to informational privacy in Europe	65
Chinese material laws	70
Different laws and cultural value patterns	79
Conclusion	80
4 Incomplete Data Protection Law	83
Introduction	83
The Incomplete Law Theory	85
Law is intrinsic incomplete	85
Two types of incompleteness	86
Institutional mechanisms for incompleteness.	87
Section Summary	91
Incomplete Law: Examples from Directive 95/46/EC	92
Directive 95/46/EC is intrinsically incomplete	92
Courts' efforts to address incompleteness	93
A weakness in courts' action range	100
Legislators nor courts offer complete solutions	104
An alternative strategy: the regulator	106
The multiple-layered regulators' System	106
Regulators exercise LMLEP	108
Limitation of study	112
Conclusion	113

5 Compliance With Law	115
Introduction	115
Regulatory institutions and company behavior	119
The case of RenRen	120
The case of Facebook	129
Preliminary evaluation: Facebook vs. RenRen	130
China's context: Is the ILT's proposal realistic?	131
Chapter conclusion	133
6 Conclusion of Part I	135
Summary	135
Considering China's transplantation plan	140
Challenges ahead	142
II Can Complexity Theory be of any use?	145
7 A heuristic display	147
Why complexity theory?	148
Data-protection law's subject matter: the PDC	153
A framework of CAS essentials	156
Understanding the PDC as a CAS	161
CASs are systemic – so is the PDC	162
CASs are complex – so is the PDC	165
CASs are dynamic – so is the PDC	169
The PDC as a CAS – summing up	175
Peering from a complexity-based perspective	177
(i) Monitoring the effects of intervention	178
(ii) Understanding the environment	180
(iii) Hubs are special	183
(iv) A role for agents' incentives	184
(v) Incentives vs learning	186
Summary	188
Conclusions of Part II	188
Index	193
Bibliography	196

Summary	217
Nederlandse Samenvatting	225
Acknowledgements	235
Propositions	237
Curriculum Vitae of Kunbei Zhang	239