Universiteit Leiden
The Netherlands

Interpretation of fundamental rights in a multilevel legal system : an analysis of the European Court of Human Rights and the Court of Justice of the European Union

Senden, H.C.K.

Citation
Senden, H. C. K. (2011, November 8). *Interpretation of fundamental rights in a multilevel legal system : an analysis of the European Court of Human Rights and the Court of Justice of the European Union*. *School of Human Rights Research Series*. Intersentia, Antwerp. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18033

Version:	Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18033

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A

ALBORS LLORENS (1999): "The European Court of Justice, More Than a Teleological Court" 2 *Cambridge Yearbook of Legal Studie*s 1999, p. 373-398

ALEXY (1989): ALEXY, *A Theory of Legal Argumentation; The Theory of Rational Discourse as Theory of Legal Justification*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1989, p. 221-250

ALKEMA (2000): "The European Convention as a Constitution and its Court as a Constitutional Court" in MAHONY (ed.), *Protection des droits de l'homme: la perspective européenne*, Cologne, Heymans, 2000, p. 41-63

ARAI-TAKAHASHI (2002): ARAI-TAKAHASHI, *The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine and the Principle of Proportionality in the Jurisprudence of the ECHR*, Antwerp, Intersentia, 2002

ARNULL (2006): ARNULL, *The European Union and its Court of Justice* (2nd ed.), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2006

AUST (2000): "Interpretation" in AUST, *Modern Treaty Law and Practice*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2000, p.184-206

AUST (2007): "Interpretation" in AUST, *Modern Treaty Law and Practice* (2nd ed.), Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2007, p. 230-255

B

BALCERZAK (2004-2005): "The Doctrine of Precedent in the International Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights", 27 *Polish Yearbook of International Law* 2004-2005, p. 131-144

BARAK (2005A): BARAK, *Purposive Interpretation in Law*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 2005

BARAK (2005B): "Constitutional Interpretation" in Mélin-Soucramien (ed), *L'Interprétation Constitutionell*e, Paris, Dalloz, 2005, p. 91-118

BARBER & FLEMING (2007): BARBER & FLEMING, *Constitutional Interpretation; The Basic Questions*, New York, Oxford University Press, 2007

BAUDENBACHER (2003): "Judicial Globalization: New Development or Old Wine in New Bottles?", 38 *Texas International Law Journal* 2003, p. 505-526

BENTELE (2008): "Mining for Gold: The Constitutional Court of South Africa's Experience with Comparative Constitutional Law", *Brooklyn Law School Legal Studies Research Pape*rs, 2008, No. 113, www.ssrn.com

BERGHE, VAN DEN (2010): "The EU and Issues of Human Rights Protection: Same Solutions to More Acute Problems?" 16 *European Law Journal*, 2010, p. 112-157

BESSELINK (1998): "Entrapped by the Maximum Standard: On Fundamental Rights, Pluralism and Subsidiarity in the European Union", 35 *Common Market Law Review* 1998, p. 629-680

BERNHARDT (1967): "Interpretation and Implied (Tacit) Modification of Treaties", 27 *Zeitschrift für Ausländisches Öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht* 1967, p. 491-506

BERNHARDT (1988): "Thoughts on the Interpretation of Human-Rights Treaties" in MATSCHER, PETZOLD & WIARDA, *Protecting Human Rights: the European Dimension*, Cologne, Karl Heymans Verlag, 1988, p. 65-71

BERNHARDT (1995): "Interpretation in International Law" in BERNHARDT, *Encyclopedia of International Law,* Vol 2, Amsterdam, p. 1416-1425

BERNHARDT (1999A): "Evolutive Treaty Interpretation, Especially of the European Convention on Human Rights", 42 *German Yearbook of International* Law 1999, p. 10-25

BERNHARDT (1999B): "Comparative Law in the Interpretation and Application of the European Convention on Human Rights" in BUSUTTIL, *Mainly Human Rights*, Valetta, Fondation Internationale Malte, 1999, p. 33-40

BLANKE (2006): "Protection of Fundamental Rights afforded by the European Court of Justice in Luxembourg", in BLANKE & MANGIAMELLI, *Governing Europe under a Constitution*, Berlin, Springer, 2006, p. 265-277

BLOIS, DE (1994): "The Fundamental Freedom of the European Court of Human Rights" in Lawson & De Blois (ed.), *The Dynamics of the Protection of Human Rights in Europe*, Dordrecht, Nijhoff, 1994, p. 35-59

BOGDANDY, VON (2000): "The European Union as a Human Rights Organization? Human Rights and the Core of the European Union", 37 *Common Market Law Review* 2000, p. 1307-1338

BOSSUYT (2005): BOSSUYT & WOUTERS, *Grondlijnen van internationaal recht*, Antwerp, Intersentia, 2005, p. 75-82

BRENNAN (1985-1986): "In Defense of Dissents", 37 *Hastings Law Journal* 1985-1986, p. 427-438

BRENNAN (1989): "Why Have a Bill of Rights?", 9 *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 1989, p. 425-440

BROWN & KENNEDY (2000): BROWN & KENNEDY, *The Court of Justice of the European Communities* (5th ed.), London, Sweet & Maxwell

BUFFARD & ZEMANEK (1998): "The 'Object and Purpose' of a Treaty: An Enigma", 3 *Austrian Review of International & European Law* 1998, p. 311-343

C

CAROZZA (1998): "Uses and Misuses of Comparative Law in International Human Rights: Some Reflections on the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights", 73 Notre Dame Law Review 1997-1998, p. 1217-1237

CAROZZA (2003): "Subsidiarity as a Structural Principle of International Human Rights Law", 97 *American Journal of International* Law 2003, p. 38-79

CAROZZA (2004): "The Member States" in PEERS & WARD (ed.), *The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights: politics, law and policy*, Portland, Hart, 2004, p. 35-59

CHRISTOFFERSEN (2009A): "The principle of proportionality" in CHRISTOFFERSEN, *Fair Balance: proportionality, subsidiarity and primarity in the European Convention on Human Rights*, Leiden, Nijhoff, 2009, p. 44-60

CHOUDHRY (1998): "Globalization in Search of Justification: Towards a Theory of Comparative Constitutional Interpretation", 74 *Indiana Law Journal* 1998-1999, p. 819-890

CHOUDHRY (2006): "Migration as a new Metaphor in Comparative Constitutional Law" in CHOUDHRY (ed.), *Migration of Constitutional Ideas*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2006, p. 1-37

CHRISTOFFERSEN (2009B): "Impact on General Principles of Treaty Interpretation" in KAMMINGA & SCHEININ (ed.), *The Impact of Human Rights Law on General International Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2009, p. 37-61

CLITEUR (2005): "Rechtsvinding" in CLITEUR, *Nederlands recht* (2nd ed.), Deventer, Kluwer, 2005, p. 157-180

COHN & KREMNITZER (2005): "Judicial Activism: A Multidimensional Model", 18 *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* 2005, p. 333-356

COLNERIC (2007): "Die Rolle des Rechtsvergleichung in der Praxis des EuGH" in EPINAY, HAAG & HEINEMANN, *Die Herausforderung von Grenzen*, 2007, Baden Baden, Nomos, p.317-323

COMMENTARY TO ARTICLES OF THE VIENNA CONVENTION ON THE LAW OF TREATIES, *Yearbook of International Law Commission*, 1966, p. 217-226

CONWAY (2008): "Levels of Generality in the Legal Reasoning of the European Court of Justice", 14 *European Law Journal* 2008, p. 787-805

COPPEL & O'NEILL (1992): "The European Court of Justice: Taking Rights Seriously", 29 *Common Market Law Review* 1992, p. 669-692

CRAIG (2008): "Fundamental Rights" in MOSER & SAWYER, *Making Community Law*, Cheltenham, Edward Elger Publishing, 2008, p. 54-79

CRAIG & DE BURCA (2008): CRAIG & DE BURCA, *EU Law; Text Cases and Materials* (4th ed.), New York, Oxford University Press, 2008

CUETO-RUA (1981): "The Pragmatic and Teleological Elements in the Interpretation and Application of the Law", in CUETO-RUA, *Judicial Methods of Interpretation*, Louisiana State University Law Publishers, p. 176-191

CUNHA RODRIGUES (2010): "The Incorporation of Fundamental Rights in the Community Legal Order", in Poiares Maduro & Azoulai (ed.), *The Past and Future of EU Law; The Classics of EU Law Revisited on the 50th Anniversary of the Rome Treaty*, Portland, Hart, 2010, p. 89-97

D

DAMMANN (2001-2002): "The Role of Comparative Law in Statutory and Constitutional Interpretation", 14 *Saint Thomas Law Review* 2001-2002, p. 517-559

DE BURCA (2001): "The Drafting of the European Union Charter of Fundamental Rights", 26 *European Law Review* 2001, p. 126-138

DORSEN (2005): "The Relevance of Foreign Legal Materials in U.S. Constitutional Cases: A Conversation between Justice Antonin Scalia and Justice Stephen Breyer" 3 *ICON* 2005, p. 519-541

DÖRTE HEMPFING (2004): "European Convention on Human Rights: Just a Source of Inspiration? Jurisprudence of the European Court of Justice" in GRIES & ALLEWELDT, *Human Rights within the European Union*, Berlin, Berliner Wissenschafts-Verlag, 2004, p. 9-26

DOUGLAS-SCOTT (2004): "The Charter of Fundamental Rights as a Constitutional Document", 1 *European Human Rights Law Review* 2004, p. 37-50

DOUGLAS-SCOTT (2006): "A Tale of Two Courts: Luxembourg, Strasbourg and the Growing European Human Rights Acquis", 43 *Common Market Law Review* 2006, p. 629-665

DROOGHENBROECK (2009): "Les frontières du Droit et le temps juridique: la Cour européenne des droits de l'homme repousse les limites. En marge de l'arrêt de Grande Chambre du 12 novembre 2008 rendu en l'affaire Demir et Baykara c. Turquie", *Revue trimestrielle des droits de l'Homme* 2009, p. 811-850

DUE (1999): "Understanding the reasoning of the Court of Justice" in RODRÍGUEZ IGLESIAS (ed.), *Mélanges en hommage à Fernand Schockweiler*, Baden Baden, Nomos, 1999, p. 73-85

DWORKIN (1986): DWORKIN, *Law's Empire*, London, Fontana Press, 1986, ch. 9

DUMON (1976): "The Case Law of the European Court of Justice: A Critical Examination of the Methods of Interpretation", *Report: Judicial and Academic Conference 27 and 28 September 1976*, Luxembourg, Court of Justice of the European Communities, 1976

E

EVANS (2010): EVANS, *International Law* (3rd ed.), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2010, p. 198-204

F

FAIGMAN(1992): "Reconciling Individual Rights and Government Interests: Madisonian Principles versus Supreme Court Practice", 78 *Virginia Law Review* 1991, p. 1521-1580

FAIRCLOTH PEOPLES (2008): "The use of Foreign Law by the Advocates General of the Court of Justice of the European Communities", 35 *Syracuse Journal of International Law and Commerce* 2008, p. 218-271

FENNELLY (1996-1997): "Legal Interpretation at the European Court of Justice", 20 *Fordham International Law Journal* 1996-1997, p. 656-679

FETERIS (2005): "The Rational Reconstruction of Argumentation Referring to Consequences and Purposes in the Application of Legal Rules: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective", 19 *Argumentation* 2005, p. 459-470

FITZMAURICE (2008A): "Dynamic (Evolutive) Interpretation of Treaties Part I", 21 *Hague Yearbook of International Law* 2008, p. 101-153

FITZMAURICE (2009): "Dynamic (Evolutive) Interpretation of Treaties Part II", 22 *Hague Yearbook of International Law* 2008, p. 3-31

FONTANA (2001): "Refined Comparativism in Constitutional Law", 49 *UCLA Law Review* 2001, p. 539-623

FRANKEN (2003): FRANKEN, *Encyclopedie van de rechtswetenschap*, Deventer, Kluwer, 2003, p. 171-203

FRENCH (2006): "Treaty Interpretation and the Incorporation of Extraneous Legal Rules", 55 *ICLQ* 2006, p. 281-314

G

GANSHOF VAN DER MEERSCH (1988): "Le caractère «autonome» des termes et la «margin d'appréciation» des gouvernements dans l'interprétation de la Convention européenne des Droits de l'Homme" in MATSCHER, PETZOLD & WIARDA, *Protecting Human Rights: the European Dimension*, Cologne, Karl Heymans Verlag, 1988, p. 201-220

GARLICKI (2009): "Judicial Deliberations: The Strasbourg Perspective" in HULS, ADAMS & BOMHOFF (ed.), *The Legitimacy of Highest Courts' Rulings*, The Hague, T.M.C. Asser Press, 2009, p. 389-397

GERARDS (2005): GERARDS*, Judicial Review in Equal Treatment Cases,* Leiden, Nijhoff, 2005

GERARDS (2009): "Judicial Deliberations in the European Court of Human Rights" in HULS, ADAMS & BOMHOFF (ed.), *The Legitimacy of Highest Courts' Rulings*, The Hague, T.M.C. Asser Press, 2009, p. 407-437

GERARDS & SENDEN (2009): "The Structure of Fundamental Rights and the European Court of Human Rights", 7 *ICON* 2009, p. 619-653

GERARDS (2010): "Methoden en beginselen van interpretatie en toetsing, inclusief de margin of appreciation doctrine" in HERINGA & SCHOKKENBROEK (ed.), *EVRM*

Rechtspaak & Commentaar (supplement 88), Lelystad, Koninklijke Vermande, 2010

GOLSONG (1993): "Interpreting the European Convention on Human Rights Beyond the Confines of the Vienna Convention of the Law on Treaties?" in MACDONALD, MATSCHER & PETZOLD, The *European system for the Protection of Human Rights*, Dordrecht, Nijhoff, 1993, p. 147-162

GOMEZ-AROSTEGUI (2005): "Defining Private Life under the European Convention on Human Rights by Referring to Reasonable Expectations", 35 California Western International Law Journal 2005, p. 153-202

GREER (2008): "What's wrong with the European Convention on Human Rights?", 30 *Human Rights Quarterly* 2008, p. 680-702

H

HARDING (2003): "Comparative Reasoning and Judicial Review", 28 *Yale Journal of International Law* 2003, p. 409-464

HARMSEN (2007): "The European Court of Human Rights as a ' Constitutional Court': Definitional Debates and the Dynamics of Reform", in MORRISON, MCEVOY & ANTHONY (ed.), *Judges, Transition and Human Rights*, New York, Oxford University Press, 2007, p. 33-53

HARRIS, O'BOYLE & WARBRICK (1995): "The interpretation of the Convention" in HARRIS, O'BOYLE & WARBRICK (ed.), *Law of the European Convention on Human Rights*, London, Butterworths, p. 5-19

HARRIS, O'BOYLE & WARBRICK (2009): "The interpretation of the Convention" in HARRIS, O'BOYLE & WARBRICK (ed.), *Law of the European Convention on Human Rights* (2nd ed.), Oxford, Oxford University Press, p. 5-10

HARTLEY (2003): HARTLEY, *The foundations of European Community law: an introduction to the constitutional and administrative law of the European Community* (5th ed.), Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2003

HARVEY (2004): "Militant Democracy and the European Convention on Human Rights", 29 *European Law Review* 2004, p. 407-420

HERINGA (1996): "The 'Consensus Principle'. The Role of 'Common Law' in the ECHR Case Law", 3 *Maastricht Journal* 1996, p. 108-145

HELFER (1993): "Consensus, Coherence and the European Convention on Human Rights", 26 *Cornell International Law Journal* 1993, p. 133-165

HELFER (1997-1998): "Toward a Theory of Effective Supranational Adjudication", 107 *Yale Law Journal* 1997-1998, p. 273-390

HELFER (2003-2004): "Constitutional Analogies in the International Legal System", 37 *Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review* 2003-2004, p. 193-238

HIGGINS (2006): "A Babel of Judicial Voices? Ruminations from the Bench", 55 *ICLQ* 2006, p. 791-804

HILF (1986): "The Role of Comparative Law in the Jurisprudence of the Court of Justice of the European Communities", in MESTRAL (ed.), *The Limitation of Human Rights in Comparative Constitutional Law*, Cowansville, Blais, 1986, p. 549-573

HOFFMAN, LORD (2009): "The Universality of Human Rights", *Judicial Studies Board Annual Lecture*, 2009

HUSCROFT (2004): "A Constitutional 'Work in Progress'? The Charter and the Limits of Progressive Interpretation", 23 *Supreme Court Law Review* 2004, p. 413-438

J

JACKSON (2005-2006): "Constitutional Comparisons: Convergence, Resistance, Engagement", 119 *Harvard Law Review* 2005-2006, p. 109-128

JACKSON (2006): "A recipe of Increased Legitimacy of the European Court of Human Rights as a Supranational Constitutional Court", 39 *Connecticut Law Review* 2006, p. 759-806

JACKSON (2006-2007): "Constitutions as 'Living Trees'? Comparative Constitutional Law and Interpretive Metaphors", 75 *Fordham Law Review* 2006-2007, p. 921-960

JACOBI (2005): "The Subtle Unraveling of Federalism: The Illogic of Using State Legislation as Evidence of an Evolving National Consensus", *Northwestern University School of Law Public Law & Legal Theory Research Paper Series* 2005, no. 15, www.ssrn.com

JACOBS (1969): "Varieties of Approach to Treaty Interpretation: with Special Reference to the Draft Convention on the Law of Treaties before the Vienna Diplomatic Conference" 18 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 1969, p. 319-346

JACOBS (2001): "Human Rights in the European Union: The Role of the Court of Justice", 26 *European Law Review* 2001, p. 331-341

JACOBS (2003A): "Approaches to Interpretation in a Plurilingual Legal System" in HOSKINS & ROBINSON, *A True European*, Oxford, Hart, 2003, p. 297-305

JACOBS (2003B): "Judicial Dialogue and the Cross-Fertilization of Legal Systems: The European Court of Justice", 38 Texas International Law Journal 2003, p. 547-556

JACOBS (2007): "Between Luxembourg and Strasbourg: Dialogue between the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice" in EPINAY, HAAG & HEINEMANN, *Die Herausforderung von Grenzen*, 2007, Baden Baden, Nomos, p. 205-214

JANSSEN (2003): JANSSEN, *Constitutionele interpretatie: Een rechtsvergelijkend onderzoek naar de vaststelling van de reikwijdte van het recht op persoonlijkheid*, The Hague, Sdu, 2003, p. 157-200

JONAS & SAUNDERS (2010): "The Object and Purpose of a Treaty: Three Interpretative Methods", 43 *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law* 2010, p. 565-609

K

KAHN (2002-2003): "Comparative Constitutionalism in a New Key", 101 *Michigan Law Review* 2002-2002, p. 2677-2706

KAHN-FREUD (1974): "On Uses and Misuses of Comparative Law", 37 *Modern Law Review* 1974, p. 1-27

KAKOURIS (1994): "Use of the Comparative Method by the Court of Justice of the European Communities", 6 *Pace International Law Review* 1994, p. 267-283

KASTANAS (1996): "Les fondements de l'interpretation autonome de la convention" in KASTANAS, *Unité et Diversité: notions autonomes et margin d'appréciation des états dans la jurisprudence de la Cour européenne des droits de l'homme*, Brussels, Bruylant, 1996, p. 333-354

KAVANAGH (2003): "The Idea of a Living Constitution", 16 *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* 2003, p. 55-89

KHUSHAL MURKENS (2008): "Comparative Constitutional Law in the Courts: Reflections on the Originalists' Objections", *LSE Law, Society and Economy Working Papers* 2008, No. 15, www.ssrn.com

KIIKERI (2001); KIIKERI, *Comparative Legal Reasoning and European Law*, Dordrecht, Kluwer, 2001

KLABBERS (1997): "Some Problems Regarding the Object and Purpose of Treaties", 8 *Finnish Yearbook of International Law* 1997, p. 138-160

KOFFEMAN (2010): "(The right to) personal autonomy in the case law of the European Court of Human Rights", *report for the Dutch Governmental Commission on the Constitution,* Leiden, Leiden University, 2010.

KOOPMANS (1996): "Judicial Decision-Making" in CAMPBELL & VOYATZI (ed.), *Legal Reasoning and Judicial Interpretation of European Law*, Trenton Publishing, 1996

KOOPMANS (2000): "The Theory of Interpretation and the Court of Justice" in O'KEEFFE (ed.), *Judicial Review in European Union law*, The Hague, Kluwer, 2000, p. 45-58

KRISCH (2008): "The Open Architecture of European Human Rights Law", 71 *The Modern Law Review* 2008, p. 183-216

KÜHLING (2006): "Fundamental Rights" in VON BOGDANDY & BAST, *Principles of European Constitutional Law*, Oxford, Hart, 2006, p. 501-547

KUNER (1991): "The Interpretation of Multilingual Treaties: Comparison of Texts Versus the Presumption of Similar Meaning", 40 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 1991, p. 953-964

KUTSCHER (1976): "Methods of Interpretation: as Seen by a Judge at the Court of Justice", *Report: Judicial and Academic Conference 27 and 28 September 1976*, Luxembourg, Court of Justice of the European Communities, 1976

L

LANGENBUCHER (1998): "Argument by Analogy in European Law", 57 *Cambridge Law Journal* 1998, p. 481-521

LASOK (2004): "Principles of Interpretation of EU Law" in LASOK & MILLETT, *Judicial Control in the EU: procedures and principles*, Richmond, Richmond Law & Tax, 2004, p. 375-417

LASSER (2004): LASSER, *Judicial Deliberations, A Comparative Analysis of Judicial Transparency and Legitimacy*, New York, Oxford University Press, 2004

LASSER (2009): LASSER, *Judicial Transformations; The Rights Revolution in the Courts of Europe*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2009, p. 214-222

LAWSON (1996): "Adieu les travaux! Het afgenomen belang van de *travaux préparatoires* voor de uitleg van het EVRM", 21 *NJCM-Bulletin* 1996, p. 61-74

LAWSON (2009): "Ex Boreale Lux: On the Influence of the ECJ on the Interpretation of the ECHR" in BULTERMAN, HANCHER, MCDONNELL & SEVENSTER (eds.), *Views of European Law from the Mountain*, Alphen aan de Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2009, p. 439-454

LEACH (2005): "Underlying Convention Principles" in LEACH, *Taking a case to the European Court of Human Rights*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2005, p. 161-178

LEE (2007): "International Consensus as Persuasive Authority in the Eights Amendment", 156 *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 2007, p. 102-158

LENAERTS & DE SMIJTER (2001A): "A 'Bill of Rights' for the European Union", 38 *Common Market Law Review* 2001, p. 273-300

LENAERTS & DE SMIJTER (2001B): "The Charter and the Role of the European Courts", 8 *Maastricht Journal* 2001, p. 90-101

LENAERTS (2003): "Interlocking Legal Orders in the European and Comparative Law", 52 *ICLQ* 2003, p. 873-906

LENAERTS (2005): "The Relationship between the Actors" in LENAERTS & VAN NUFFEL (ed.), *Constitutional Law of the European Union, London*, Thomson Sweet & Maxwell, 2005, p. 557-565

LENAERTS (2007): "Interpretation and the Court of Justice: A Basis for Comparative Reflection", 41 *International Lawyer* 2007, p. 1011-1032

LETSAS (2004): "The Truth in Autonomous Concepts: How to Interpret the ECHR", 15 *E.J.I.L.* 2004, p. 279-305

LETSAS (2007): LETSAS, *A Theory of Interpretation of the European Convention on Human Rights*, New York, Oxford University Press, 2007

LETSAS (2010): "Intentionalism and the Interpretation of the ECHR" in FITZMAURIZE & ELIAS, *Treaty Interpretation and the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties: 30 Years On*, Leiden, Nijhoff, 2010, p. 257-272

LINDERFALK (2003): "On the Meaning of 'Object and Purpose' Criterion, in the Context of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, Article 19", 72 *Nordic Journal of International Law* 2003, p. 429-448

LINDERFALK (2007): "Using the Object and Purpose" in LINDERFALK, *On the Interpretation of Treaties: the Modern International Law as Expressed in the 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties*, Dordrecht, Springer, 2007, p. 203-233

LINDERFALK (2008A): "Doing the Rights Thing for the Right Reason- Why Dynamic or Static Approaches Should be Taken in the Interpretation of Treaties", 10 *International Community Law Review* 2008, p. 109-141

LINDERFALK (2008B): "Who are 'the Parties'? Article 31, Paragraph 3(c) of the 1969 Vienna Convention and 'the Principle of Systemic Interpretation Revisited'", *Netherlands International Law Review* 2008, p. 343-364

LOCK (2009): "The CJEU and the ECtHR: The Future Relationship between the Two European Courts", 8 *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals* 2009, p. 375-398

LOTH (2009): "Courts in a Quest for Legitimacy: a Comparative Approach" in HULS, ADAMS & BOMHOFF (ed.), *The Legitimacy of Highest Courts' Rulings*, The Hague, T.M.C. Asser Press, 2009, p. 267-288

LUTZHOEFT (2009): "How do Texts Matter? The Impact of Texts in Human Rights Reasoning in Europe", *Columbia Law School Public Law and Legal Theory Working Paper Group* 2009, www.ssrn.com

M

MAHONEY (1990): "Judicial Activism and Self-Restraint in the European Court of Human Rights" 11 *Human Rights Law Journal* 1990, p. 57-88

MAHONEY (2002-2003): "New Challenges for the European Court of Human Rights Resulting from the Expanding Case Load and Membership" 21 *Pennsylvania State International Law Review* 2002-2003, p. 101-114

MAHONEY (2004): "The Comparative Method in Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights: Reference Back to National Law" in CANIVET & ANDENAS (ed.), *Comparative Law before the Courts*, London BIICL, 2004, p. 133-150

MANCINI (2000): "Safeguarding Human Rights: The Role of the European Court of Justice" in MANCINI, *Democracy and Constitutionalism in the European Union*, Oxford, Hart, 2000, p. 81-95

MARKESINIS & FEDTKE (2005-2006): "The Judge as Comparatist", 80 *Tulane Law Review* 2005-2006, p. 13-167

MARKS (1995): "The European Convention on Human Rights and its 'Democratic Society'", 66 *British Yearbook of International Law* 1995, p. 209-238

MATSCHER (1993): "Methods of Interpretation of the Convention" in MACDONALD, MATSCHER & PETZOLD, The *European system for the Protection of Human Rights*, Dordrecht, Nijhoff, 1993, p. 63-81

McCormick (1978): McCormick, *Legal Reasoning and Legal Theory*, New York, Oxford University Press, 1978

McCormick & Summers (1991): "Interpretation and Justification", in MacCormick & Summers, *Interpreting Statutes: A Comparative Study*, Darthmouth, Aldershot, 1991, p. 511-551

McCormick (1993): "Argumentation and Interpretation in Law", 6 *Ratio Juris* 1993, p. 16-29

McCormick (2005); McCormick, *Rhetoric and the Rule of Law: A Theory of Legal Reasoning*, New York, Oxford University Press, 2005

McCrudden (2002): "The Future of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights", 2002, www.ssrn.com

McCrudden (2003): "Human Rights and Judicial Use of Comparative Law" in Örücü (ed.), *Judicial Comparativism in Human Rights Cases*, Glasgow, UKNCCL, 2003, p. 1-22

McCrudden (2007): "Judicial Comparativism and Human Rights", in Örücü & Nelken (ed.), *Comparative Law: A Handbook*, Oxford, Hart, 2007, p. 371-398

McCrudden (2008): "Human Dignity and Judicial Interpretation of Human Rights" 19 *EJIL* 2008, p. 655-724

McLachlan (2005): "The Principle of Systemic Integration and Article 31(3)(c) of the Vienna Convention", 54 *ICLQ* 2005, p. 279-320

McRae (2002): "The Search for Meaning: Continuing Problems with the Interpretation of Treaties", 33 *Victoria University Wellington Law Review* 2002, p. 209-260

Melchior (1988): "Notions vagues ou indéterminées et lacunes dans la Convention européenne des Droits de l'Homme", in Matscher, Petzold & Wiarda, *Protecting Human Rights: the European Dimension*, Cologne, Karl Heymans Verlag, 1988, p. 411-419

Merrills (1993): Merrills, *The Development of International Law by the European Court of Human Rights* (2nd ed.), Manchester, Manchester University Press, 1993

Mertens de Wilmars (1990): "Methodes van interpretatie van het Hof van Justitie van de Europese Gemeenschappen*"* Rotterdam, Erasmus Universiteit, 1990

Mertens & Vermeulen (2008): "Dissenting Opinions" in Buruma, *Op het rechte pad*, Nijmegen, Wolff, 2008, p. 47-62

Miller (2009): "Beguiled Metaphors: The 'Living Tree' and Originalist Constitutional Interpretation in Canada", 22 *Canadian Journal of Law & Jurisprudence* 2009, p. 331

Millett (1989): "Rule of Interpretation of E.E.C. Legislation", 10 Statute Law Review 1989, p. 163-182

Mowbray (1999): "The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in the Promotion of Democracy", *Public Law* 1999, p. 703-725

Mowbray (2005): "The Creativity of the European Court of Human Rights", 5 *Human Rights Law Review* 2005, p. 57-79

MOWBRAY (2009): "An Examination of the European Court of Human Rights Approach to Overruling its Previous Case Law", 9 *Human Rights Law Review* 2009, p. 179-201

N

NAPEL, TEN (2009): "The European Court of Human Rights and Political Rights: The Need for More Guidance", 5 *European Constitutional Law Review* 2009, p. 464-480

NI AOLAIN (1995-1996): "The Emergence of Diversity: Differences in Human Rights Jurisprudence", 19 *Fordham International Law Journal* 1995-1996, p. 101-142

NICOL (2005): "Original Intent and the European Convention on Human Rights", *Public Law* 2005, p. 152-172

O

ORAKHELASHVILI (2003): "Restrictive Interpretation of Human Rights Treaties in the Recent Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights", 14 *EJIL* 2003, p. 529-568

ORAKHELASHVILI (2008): ORAKHELASHVILI, *The Interpretation of Acts and Rules in Public International Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2008

OST (1992): "The Original Canons of Interpretation of the European Court of Human Rights" in DELMAS-MARTY (ed.), *The European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights: International Protection versus National Restrictions*, Dordrecht, Nijhoff, 1992, p. 283-318

OVEY & WHITE (2006): "Principles of Interpretation" in OVEY & WHITE, *Jacobs and White*, *The European Convention on Human Rights* (4th ed.), Oxford, Clarendon Press, 2006, p. 38-55

P

PEERS (2003): "The European Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights: Comparative Approaches" in Örücü (ed.), *Judicial Comparativism in Human Rights Cases*, Glasgow, UKNCCL, 2003, p. 107-129

PELLONPÄÄ (2007): "Continuity and Change in the Case-Law of the European Court of Human Rights", in KOHEN, *Promoting, Justice, Human Rights and Conflict Resolution Through International Law,* Leiden, Nijhoff, 2007, p. 409-420

PETZOLD (1993): "The Convention and the Principle of Subsidiarity" in MACDONALD, MATSCHER & PETZOLD, The *European system for the Protection of Human Rights*, Dordrecht, Nijhoff, 1993, p. 41-62

POAIRES MADURO (2007): "Interpretation European Law: Judicial Adjudication in a Context of Constitutional Pluralism", 1 *European Journal of Legal Studies* 2007, p. 1

PREBENSEN (2000): "Evolutive Interpretation of the European Convention on Human Rights" in MAHONY (ed.), *Protection des droits de l'homme: la perspective européenne*, Cologne, Heymans, 2000, p. 1123-1137

R

RAINER (2008): "Fundamental Rights in the European Union" in ŠIŠKOVÁ (ed.), *The Process of Constitutionalisation of the EU and Related Issues,* Groningen, Europa Law Publishing, 2008, p. 27-38

REHNQUIST (2006): "The Notion of a Living Constitution", 29 *Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy* 2006, p. 401-415

REICH (2005): REICH, *Understanding EU Law: Objectives, Principles and Methods of Community Law* (2nd ed.), Antwerp, Intersentia, 2005

REID (2004): "Convention Principles and Approach" in REID, *A Practitioner's Guide to the European Convention on Human Rights*, London, Thomson/Sweet & Maxwell, 2004

RESS (2006): "The Legal Relationship between the European Court of Human Rights and the Court of Justice of the European Communities according to the European Court of Human Rights" in BLANKE & MANGIAMELLI, *Governing Europe under a Constitution*, Berlin, Springer, 2006, p. 279-296

RIETIKER (2010): "The Principles of 'Effectiveness' in the Recent Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights: Its Different Dimensions and Its Consistency with Public International Law – No Need for the Concept of Treaty Sui Generis", 79 *Nordic Journal of International Law* 2010, p. 245-277

RIGAUX (1998): in SUDRE, PETTITI & TRECHSEL (ed.), *L' interprétation de la Convention Européenne des Droits de l' Homme*, Brussels, Bruylant, 1998, p. 41-62

RITTER (2005-2006): "A New Look at the Role and Impact of the Advocates-General-Collectively and Individually", 12 *Columbia Journal of European Law* 2005-2006, p. 751-774

ROOSEVELT III (2006): ROOSEVELT III, *The Myth of Judicial Activism*, New Haven, Yale University Press, 2006

ROSENFELD (2006): "Comparing Constitutional Review by the European Court of Justice and the U.S. Supreme Court", 4 *ICON* 2006, p. 618-651

ROZAKIS (2005-2006): "The European Judge as Comparatist", 80 *Tulane Law Review* 2005-2006, p. 257-279

RYSSDALL (1996): "The Coming of Age of the European Convention on Human Rights", *European Human Rights Law Review* 1996, p. 18-29

S

SCALIA (1997): SCALIA, *A Matter of Interpretation*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1997

SCALIA (2004): "Foreign Legal Authority in the Federal Courts" 98 *American Society of International Law Proceedings* 2004, p. 305-310

SCHERMERS & WAELBROECK (2001): "The Community Legal Order" in SCHERMERS & WAELBROECK (ed.), *Judicial Protection in the European Union* (6th ed.), The Hague, Kluwer, 2001, p. 3-27.

SCHOKKENBROEK (2000): "Methoden van interpretatie en toetsing: een overzicht van beginselen toegepast in de Straatsburgse Jurisprudentie" in HERINGA & SCHOKKENBROEK (ed.), *EVRM Rechtspaak & Commentaar* (supplement 18), Lelystad, Koninklijke Vermande, 2000

SENDEN (2009): "Het gebruik van niet-geratificeerde internationale instrumenten voor de interpretatie van het EVRM. Noot bij *Demir & Baykara t. Turkije",* 34 *NJCM-Bulletin* 2009, p. 407-427

SÉVON (1999): "Experiencing the Court of Justice of the European Communities" in RODRÍGUEZ IGLESIAS (ed.), *Mélanges en hommage à Fernand Schockweiler*, Baden Baden, Nomos, 1999, p. 577-592

SHARMA ARYAL (2003): SHARMA ARYAL, *Interpretation of Treaties: Law and Practice*, New Delhi, Deep & Deep, 2003

SHARPSTON (2008): "The Changing Role of the Advocate General" in ARNULL & EECKHOUT (ed.), *Continuity and Change in EU Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2008, p. 20-33

SHAW (2008): SHAW, *International Law* (6th ed.), Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2008, p. 932-938

SHELTON (1996-1997): "Reconcilable Differences? The Interpretation of Multilingual Treaties", 20 *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review* 1996-1997, p. 611-638

SINGER & ENGEL (2007): "L'importance de la recherché comparative pour la justice communautaire", 134 *Journal de droit International* 2007, p. 497-513

ŠIŠKOVÁ (2008): "European Constitutionalism and its Human Rights Dimension" in ŠIŠKOVÁ (ed.), *The Process of Constitutionalisation of the EU and Related Issues,* Groningen, Europa Law Publishing, 2008, p. 3-23

SITARAMAN (2009): "The Use and Abuse of Foreign Law in Constitutional Interpretation", 32 *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy* 2009, p. 653-693

SLAUGHTER (1994-1995): "A Typology of Transjudicial Communication", 29 *University of Richmond Law Review* 1994-1995, p. 99-137

SLAUGHTER (2003): "A Global Community of Courts", 44 *Harvard International Law Journal* 2003, p. 191-219

SLOTBOOM (2001): "Do Different Treaty Purposes Matter for Treaty Interpretation", 4 *Journal of International Economic Law* 2001, p. 557-579

SMITH (2005): SMITH, *Regels van rechtsvinding*, Den Haag, Boom, 2005

SOTTIAUX & VAN DER SCHYFF (2008): "Methods of International Human Rights Adjudication: Towards a More Structured Decision-Making Process for the Euro-

pean Court of Human Rights", 31 *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review* 2008, p. 115-156

STEINER (2006): "General Principles of Law" in STEINER, *EU Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2006, p. 114-144

SUDRE (1998): "Le recours aux «notions autonomes»", in SUDRE, PETTITI & TRECHSEL (ed.), *L' interprétation de la Convention Européenne des Droits de l' Homme*, Brussels, Bruylant, 1998, p. 93-131

SUDRE (2008): "La motivation des decisions de la Cour Europeenne des Droits de l'Homme", in RUIZ FABRI & SOREL, *La motivation des décisions des jurisdictions internationales*, Paris, Pedone, 2008, p. 171-189

T

THOMASSEN (2006): "Het geheim van de raadkamer en de dissenting opinion" *NJB* 2006, p. 686

TIZZANO (2008): "The Role of the CJEU in the Protection of Fundamental Rights" in ARNULL & EECKHOUT (ed.), *Continuity and Change in EU Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2008, p. 125-138

TOUFAYAN (2005): "Human Rights Treaty Interpretation: A Postmodern Account of Its Claim to 'Speciality'", *Center for Human Rights and Global Justice Working Paper*, 2005, No. 2 (www.chrgj.org/publications/wp.html)

TRIBE & DORF (1990): "Levels of Generality in the Definition of Rights", 57 *University of Chicago Law Review* 1990, p. 1057-1108

TRIDIMAS (1996): "The Court of Justice and Judicial Activism", 21 *European Law Review* 1996, p. 199-210

TSEN-TA LEE (2007): "Interpreting Bills of Rights: The Value of a Comparative Approach", 5 *ICON* 2007, p. 122-152

TUSHNET (2003-2004): "Transnational/Domestic Constitutional Law", 37 *Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review* 2003-2004, p. 239-269

V

VANDE LANOTTE (2005): "Interpretatie- en toepassingsbeginselen van het Europees Hof voor de Rechten van de Mens" in VANDE LANOTTE & HAECK, *Handboek EVRM* (deel 1), Antwerp, Intersentia, 2005, p. 177-225

VANNESTE (2010): VANNESTE, *General International Law before Human Rights Courts: Assessing the Specialty Claims of International Human Rights Law*, Antwerp, Intersentia, 2010

VILLIGER (2009): VILLIGER, Commentary on the 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, Leiden, Nijhoff, 2009

VINCENZI & FAIRHURST (2002): VINCENZI & FAIRHURST, *Law of the European Community*, Harlow, Longman, 2002

W

WAELE, DE (2009): DE WAELE, *Rechterlijk activisme en het Europees Hof van Justitie*, The Hague, Boom, 2009, p. 369-380

WALDRON (2005-2006): "Foreign Law and the Modern Ius Gentium", 119 *Harvard Law Review* 2005-2006, p. 129-147

WALUCHOW (2007): "Constitutional Interpretation and Constitutional Theories" in WALUCHOW, *A Common Law Theory of Judicial Review. The Living Tree*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2007, p. 52-73

WASENSTEINER (2004): "Common Tradition of all Member States: The Courts Method of Defining the EU Human Rights Standards" in GRIES & ALLEWELDT, *Human Rights within the European Union*, Berlin, Berliner Wissenschafts-Verlag, 2004, p.

WEILER & LOCKHART (1995): "'Taking Rights Seriously' Seriously: The European Court and its Fundamental Rights Jurisprudence- Part I", 32 Common Market Law Review 1995, p. 51-94

WEILER & LOCKHART (1995): "'Taking Rights Seriously' Seriously: The European Court and its Fundamental Rights Jurisprudence- Part II", 32 Common Market Law Review 1995, p. 579-627

WEILER (1999): "Fundamental Rights and Fundamental Boundaries: on the Conflict of Standards and Values in the Protection of Human Rights in The European Legal Space" in WEILER, *The Constitution of Europe: "Do the new clothes have an emperor?" and other essays on European integrat*ion, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999, p. 102-129

WEILER (2000): "Editorial: Does the European Union Truly Need a Charter of Rights?", 6 *European Law Journal* 2000, p. 95-97

WESSEL (2004): "Relational Contract Theory and Treaty Interpretation: End-Game Treaties v. Dynamic Obligations" 60 NYU *Annual Survey of American Law* 2004, p. 149-186

WHEATLEY (2007): "Minorities under the ECHR and the Construction of a 'Democratic Society'", *Public Law* 2007, p. 770-792

WILDHABER (2000): "Precedent in the European Court of Human Rights", in MAHONY (ed.), *Protection des droits de l'homme: la perspective européenne*, Cologne, Heymans, 2000, p. 41-63

WILDHABER (2002): "A Constitutional Future for the European Court of Human Rights?", 23 *Human Rights Law Journal*, 2002, p. 161-165

WITTE, DE (1999): "The Past and Future Role of the European Court of Justice in the Protection of Human Rights", in ALSTON, *The EU and Human Rights*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1999, p. 859-897

WHITE (2008): "The Strasbourg Perspective and its Effect on the Court of Justice: Is Mutual Respect Enough?" in ARNULL & EECKHOUT (ed.), *Continuity and Change in EU Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2008, p. 139-156

WHITE (2009): "Judgments in the Strasbourg Court: Some Reflections", 2009, www.ssrn.com

WHITE & BOUSSIAKOU (2008): "Separate Opinions in the European Court of Human Rights", 2008, www.ssrn.com

WHITE & BOUSSIAKOU (2009): "Voices from the European Court of Human Rights", 27 *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights* 2009, p. 167-189

WYATT & DASHWOOD (2006): WYATT & DASHWOOD, *European Union Law* (5th ed.), London, Sweet & Maxwell, 2006, p. 404-414

Y

YOUNG (2005-2006): "Foreign Law and the Common Denominator Problem", 119 *Harvard Law Review* 2005-2006, p. 148-167

YOUROW (1996): YOUROW, *The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the dynamics of European Human Rights Jurisprudence*, The Hague, Kluwer

LIST OF CASES

Judgments from the European Court of Human Rights

ECtHR (GC), *A v. Croatia*, judgment of 14 October 2010, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *A, B and C v. Ireland*, judgment of 16 December 2011, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Abdulaziz, Cabales and Balkandi v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 28 May 1985, Series A No. 94
ECtHR, *Airey v. Ireland*, judgment of 9 October 1979, *Series* A No. 32
ECtHR, *Al-Saadoon and Mufdhi v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 2 March 2010, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Amann v. Switzerland*, judgment of 16 February 2000, *Reports* 2000-II
ECtHR, *B. v. France*, judgment of 25 March 1993, *Series* A No. 232-C
ECtHR (GC), *Banković and others v. Belgium and others*, decision of 12 December 2001, Reports 2001-VII
ECtHR (GC), *Beard v United Kingdom*, judgment of 18 January 2001, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Behrami & Behrami v. France*, decision of 2 May 2007, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Beyeler v. Italy*, judgment of 5 January 2000, *Reports* 2000-I
ECtHR (GC), *Bosphorus HavaYollari Turizm Ve Ticaret Anonim Şirketi v. Ireland*, judgment of 30 June 2005, *Reports* 2005-VI
ECtHR, *Botta v. Italy*, judgment of 24 February 1998, *Reports* 1998-I
ECtHR, *Buckley v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 25 September 1996, *Reports* 1996-IV
ECtHR, *Burghartz v. Switzerland*, judgment of 22 February 1992, *Series* A No. 280-B
ECtHR, *Case "Relating to certain aspects of the laws on the use of languages in education in Belgium" v. Belgium*, judgment of 23 July 1968, *Series* A No. 6, A/6
ECtHR (GC), *Chapman v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 18 January 2001, *Reports* 2001-I
ECtHR (GC), *Chassagnou and others v. France*, judgment of 29 April 1999, *Reports* 1999-III

ECtHR (GC), *Christine Goodwin v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 11 July 2002, *Reports* 2002-VI
ECtHR (GC), *Comingersoll S.A. v. Portugal*, judgment of 6 April 2000, *Reports* 2000-IV
ECtHR, *Cossey v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 27 September 1990, *Series* A No. 184
ECtHR, *Costello-Roberts v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 25 March 1993, *Series* A No. 247-C
ECtHR, *Cruz Varas and others v. Sweden*, judgment of 20 March 1991
ECtHR, *Demir & Baykara v. Turkey*, judgment of 21 November 2006, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Demir and Baykara v. Turkey*, judgment of 12 November 2008, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Depalle v. France*, judgment of 29 March 2010, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Deweer v. Belgium*, judgment of 27 February 1980, *Series* A No. 35). Sudre (1998), p. 116-117
ECtHR (GC), *Dickson v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 4 December 2007, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Engel and others v. the Netherlands*, judgment of 8 June 1976, *Series* A No. 22
ECtHR (GC), *Evans v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 10 April 2007, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *F v. Switzerland*, judgment of 18 December 1987, *Series* A No. 128
ECtHR, *Fägerskiöld v. Sweden*, decision of 26 February 2008, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Ferrazzini v. Italy*, judgment of 12 July 2001, Reports 2001-VII
ECtHR, *Funke v. France*, judgment of 25 February 1993, *Series* A No. 256-A
ECtHR, *Futro v. Poland*, decision of 12 December 2000, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Gasus Dosier- und Fördertechnik GmbH v. the Netherlands*, judgment of 23 February 1995, *Series* A No. 306B
ECtHR, *Gaygusuz v. Austria*, judgment of 16 September 1996, *Reports* 1996-IV
ECtHR, *Gillow v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 24 November 1986, *Series* A 109
ECtHR, *Gitonas v Greece*, judgment of 1 July 1997, *Reports* 1997-IV
ECtHR, *Golder v United Kingdom*, judgment of 21 February 1975, *Series* A No. 18
ECtHR, *Hartung v. France*, decision of 3 November 2009, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Hatton and others v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 8 July 2003, *Reports* 2003-VII
ECtHR (GC) *Hirst (No.2.) v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 6 October 2005, *Reports* 2005-IX
ECtHR (GC), *I v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 11 July 2002, *Reports* 2002-VI

ECtHR (GC), *Iatridis v. Greece*, judgment of 25 March 1999, *Reports* 1999-II
ECtHR (GC), *Ilaşcu and others v. Moldova and Russia*, decision of 4 July 2001, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Incal v Turkije*, judgment of 9 June 1998, *Reports* 1998-IV
ECtHR, *Ireland v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 18 January 1978, *Series* A No. 25
ECtHR, *James and others v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 21 February 1986, *Series* A No. 98
ECtHR, *Johnston and others v Ireland*, judgment of 18 December 1986, *Series* A No. 112
ECtHR (GC), *Jussila v. Finland*, judgment of 23 November 2006, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Kimlya and others v. Russia*, judgment of 1 October 2009, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Kjeldsen, Busk Madsen and Pederson v Denmark*, judgment of 7 December 1976, *Series* A No. 23
ECtHR, *Klass and others v Germany*, judgment of 6 September 1978, *Series* A No. 28
ECtHR, *König v. Germany*, judgment of 28 June 1978, *Series* A No. 27
ECtHR, *Koua Poirrez v. France*, judgment of 30 September 2003, *Reports* 2003-X
ECtHR (GC), *Kress v. France*, judgment of 7 June 2001, *Reports* 2001-VI
ECtHR (GC), *Kudla v. Poland*, judgment of 26 October 2000, *Reports* 2000-XI
ECtHR, *Leander v Sweden*, judgment of 26 March 1987, *Series* A No. 116
ECtHR, *Le Compte, Van Leuven and De Meyere v Belgium*, judgment of 23 June 1981, *Series* A No. 34
ECtHR, *Lithgow and others v United Kingdom*, judgment of 8 July 1986, *Series* A No. 102
ECtHR, *Loizidou v. Turkey (preliminary objections)*, judgment of 23 March 1995, *Series* A No. 310
ECtHR (GC), *Maaouia v. France*, judgment of 5 October 2000, *Reports* 2000-X
ECtHR (GC), *Mamatkulov and Askarov v. Turkey*, judgment of 4 February 2005, *Reports* 2005-I
ECtHR, *Marckx v. Belgium*, judgment of 13 June 1979, *Series* A No. 31
ECtHR (GC), *Martinie v. France*, judgment of 12 April 2006, Reports 2006-VI
ECtHR, *Matos e Silva, Lda, and others v. Portugal*, judgment of 16 September 1996, *Reports* 1996-IV
ECtHR (GC), *Matthews v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 18 February 1999, *Reports* 1999-I
ECtHR, *M.C. v. Bulgaria*, judgment of 4 December 2003, *Reports* 2003-XII
ECtHR *McCann and others v United Kingdom*, judgment of 27 September 1995, Series A No. 324

ECtHR (GC), *Micallef v. Malta*, judgment of 15 October 2009, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Mubilanzila Mayeka and Kaniki Mitunga v. Belgium*, judgment of 12 October 2006, *Reports* 2006-XI
ECtHR, *Niemietz v. Germany*, judgment of 16 December 1992, *Series* A No. 251-B
ECtHR (GC), *Öcalan v. Turkey*, judgment of 12 May 2005, *Reports* 2005-IV
ECtHR (GC), *Öneryildiz v. Turkey*, judgment of 30 November 2004, *Reports* 2004-XII
ECtHR (GC), *Osman v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 28 October 1998, *Reports* 1998-VIII
ECtHR, *Öztürk v. Germany*, judgment of 21 February 1984, *Series* A No. 73
ECtHR (GC), *Pellegrin v. France*, judgment of 8 December 1999, *Reports* 1999-VIII
ECtHR, *P.G. and J.H. v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 25 September 2001, *Reports* 2001-IX
ECtHR (GC), *Polacek & Polackova v. Czech Republic*, decision of 10 July 2002, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Posti and Rahko v. Finland*, judgment of 24 September 2002, Reports 2002-VII
ECtHR, *Pretty v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 29 April 2002, *Reports* 2002-III.
ECtHR, *Prokopovich v. Russia*, judgment of 18 November 2004, *Reports* 2004-XI
ECtHR, *Quark Fishing Limited v. United Kingdom*, decision of 19 September 2006, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Rantsev v. Cyprus and Russia*, judgment of 7 January 2010, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Ringeisen v. Austria*, judgment of 16 July 1971, *Series* A No. 13
ECtHR, *Rees v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 17 October 1986, *Series* A No. 106
ECtHR, *Rotaru v. Romania*, judgment of 4 May 2000, Reports 2000-V
ECtHR (GC), *Saadi v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 29 January 2008, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *S.A. Dangeville v. France*, judgment of 16 April 2002, *Reports* 2002-III
ECtHR, *Schalk and Kopf v. Austria*, judgment of 24 June 2010, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Scoppola v. Italy (No. 2),* judgments of 17 September 2009, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Selmouni v. France*, judgment of 28 July 1999, *Reports* 1999-V
ECtHR (GC), *Senator Lines GmbH v. Austria and others*, decision of 10 March 2004, *Reports* 2004-IV
ECtHR, *Sheffield & Horsham v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 30 July 1998, *Reports* 1998-V

ECtHR, *Sigurdur A. Sigurjónsson v. Iceland*, judgment of 30 June 1993, *Series* A No. 264
ECtHR, *Smirnova v. Russia*, judgment of 24 July 2003, *Reports* 2003-IX
ECtHR, *Société Colas Est and others v. France*, judgment of 16 April 2002, *Reports* 2002-III
ECtHR, *Soering v United Kingdom*, judgment of 7 July 1989, *Series* A No. 161
ECtHR (GC), *Stec and others v. United Kingdom*, decision of 6 July 2005, *Reports* 2005-X
ECtHR, *Stjerna v. Finland*, judgment of 25 November 1994, *Series* A No. 299-B
ECtHR (GC), *Stoll v. Switzerland,* judgment of 10 December 2007, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Storbråten v. Norway*, decision of 1 February 2007, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Stran Greek Refineries and Stratis Andreadis v. Greece*, judgment of 9 December 1994, *Series* A No. 301-B
ECtHR (GC), *T v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 16 December 1999, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Tyrer v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 25 April 1978, *Series* A No. 26
ECtHR, *Tre Traktörer Aktiebolag v. Sweden*, judgment of 7 July 1989, *Series* A No. 159
ECtHR (GC), *Üner v. the Netherlands*, judgment of 18 October 2006, *Reports* 2006-XII
ECtHR (GC), *United Communist Party of Turkey and others v. Turkey*, judgment of 30 January 1998, *Reports* 1998-I
ECtHR (GC), *V v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 16 December 1999, *Reports* 1999-IX.
ECtHR, *Van der Mussele v. Belgium*, judgment of 23 November 1983, *Series* A No. 70
ECtHR, *Vanjak v Croatia*, judgment of 14 January 2010, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Vermeulen v. Belgium*, judgment of 20 February 1996, *Reports* 1996-I
ECtHR (GC), *Vilho Eskelinen and others v. Finland*, judgment of 19 April 2007, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Vo v. France*, judgment of 8 July 2004, *Reports* 2004-VIII
ECtHR, *Von Hannover v. Germany*, judgment of 24 June 2004, *Reports* 2004-VII
ECtHR, *Witold Litwa v. Poland*, judgment of 4 April 2000, *Reports* 2000-III
ECtHR, *Wemhoff v. Germany*, judgment of 27 June 1968, *Series* A No. 7
ECtHR, *Young James and Webster v. United Kingdom*, judgment of 13 August 1981, *Series* A No. 44
ECtHR (GC), *Yumak & Sadak v. Turkey*, judgment of 8 July 2008, *unpublished*
ECtHR (GC), *Zolotukhin v. Russia*, judgment of 10 February 2009, *unpublished*
ECtHR, *Zwierzynski v. Poland*, judgment of 19 June 2001, *Reports* 2001-VI

Reports from the European Commission on Human Rights

ECHR, *Svenska Lokmannaförbundet v. Sweden*, report of 27 May 1974, *Series* B No. 18
ECHR, *Tyrer v. United Kingdom*, report of 14 December 1976, *Series* B No. 24.

Judgments from the European Court of Justice

C-26/62*, NV Algemene Transport- en Expeditie Onderneming van Gend & Loos v. Netherlands Inland Revenue Administration* [1963] ECR 1
C-28/62, *Da Costa en Schaake NV and others v. Administratie der Belastingen* [1963] ECR 61
C-6/64, *Flaminio Costa v. E.N.E.L* [1964] ECR 585
C-29/69, *Erich Stauder v City of Ulm – Sozialamt* [169] ECR 419
C-11/70, *Internationale Handelsgesellschaft mbH v. Einfuhr- und Vorratsstelle für Getreide und Futtermittel* [1970] ECR 01125
C-22/70, *Commission v. Council (ERTA)*, [1971] ECR 263
C-4/73, J. *Nold, Kohlen- und Baustoffgroßhandlung v. Ruhrkohle Aktiengesellschaft* [1974] ECR 00491
C-17/74, *Transocean Marine Paint Association v. Commission of the European Communities* [1974] 01063
C-6/75, *Ulrich Horst v. Bundesknappschaft* [1975] ECR 00823
C-36/75, *Roland Rutili v. Ministre de l'intérieur* [1975] ECR 01219
C-118/75, *Lynne Watson and Alessandro Belmann* [1976] ECR 01185
C-130/75, *Vivien Prais v. Council of the European Communities* [1976] ECR 01589
C-149/77, *Gabrielle Defrenne v Société anonyme belge de navigation aérienne Sabena* [1978] ECR 01365
C-44/79, *Liselotte Hauer v. Land Rheinland-Pfalz* [1979] ECR 03727
C-136/79, *National Panasonic (UK) Limited v Commission of the European Communities* [1980] ECR 02033
C-155/79, *AM & S Europe Limited v. Commission of the European Communities* [1982] ECR 01575
C-53/81, *D.M. Levin v Staatssecretaris van Justitie* [1982] ECR 01035
C-283/81,*CILFIT v. Ministero della Sanità* [1982] ECR 3415
C-63/83, *Regina v. Kent Kirk* [1986] ECR 02689
C-294/83, *Parti écologiste "Les Verts" v. European Parliament* [1986] ECR 01339
C-222/84, *Marguerite Johnston v. Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary* [1986] ECR 01651

C-222/86, *Union nationale des entraîneurs et cadres techniques professionnels du football (Unectef) v. Georges Heylens and others* [1987] ECR 04097
C-46/87, *Hoechst AG v. Commission of the European Communities* [1989] ECR 02859
C-374/87, *Orkem v. Commission of the European Communities* [1989] ECR 03283
C-5/88, *Hubert Wachauf v. Bundesamt für Ernährung und Forstwirtschaft* [1989] ECR 02609
C-49/88, *Al-Jubail Fertilizer Company (Samad) and Saudi Arabian Fertilizer Company (Safco) v. Council of the European Communities* [1991] ECR I-03187
C-260/89, *Elliniki Radiophonia Tiléorassi (ERT) AE and Panellinia Omospondia Syllogon Prossopikou v. Dimotiki Etairia Pliroforissis and Sotirios Kouvelas and Nicolaos Avdellas and others* [1991] ECR I-02925
C-159/90, *The Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland Ltd v Stephen Grogan and others* [1991] ECR I-04685
C-168/91, *Christos Konstantinidis v. Stadt Altensteig – Standesamt and Landratsamt Calw – Ordnungsamt* [1993] ECR I-01191
C-219/91, *Criminal proceedings against Johannes Stephanus Wilhelmus Ter Voort* [1992] ECR I-05485
C-267/91, *Criminal proceedings against Keck and Mithouard* [1993] ECR I-6097.
C-415/93, *Union royale belge des sociétés de football association ASBL and others v. Jean-Marc Bosman* [1995] ECR I-04921.
C-13/94, *P v. S and Cornwall County Council* [1996] ECRI-02143
C-74/95, *Criminal Proceedings against X* [1996] ECR I-06609
C-185/95, *Baustahlgewebe GmbH v. Commission of the European Communities* [1998] ECR I-08417; C-299/95, *Friedrich Kremzow v Republik Österreich* [1997] I-02629
C-368/95, *Vereinigte Familiapress Zeitungsverlags- und vertriebs GmbH v Heinrich Bauer Verlag* [1997] ECR I-03689
C-249/96, *Lisa Jacqueline Grant v. South-West Trains Ltd* [1998] ECR I-00621
C-309/96, *Daniele Annibaldi v. Sindaco del Comune di Guidonia and Presidente Regione Lazio* [1997] ECR I-07493
C-185/97, *Belinda Jane Coote v. Granada Hospitality Ltd.* [1998] ECR I-05199
C-245/97, *Germany v. Commission* [2000] ECR I-11621
C-7/98, *Dieter Krombach v. André Bamberski* [2000] ECR I-01935
C-17/98, *Emesa Sugar (Free Zone) NV v. Aruba* [2000] ECR I-00665
C-310/98, *Met-Trans and Sagpol* [2000] ECR I-1797

C-122/99, *D and Kingdom of Sweden v. Council of the European Union* [2001] ECR I-04319
C-274/99, *Bernard Connolly v. Commission of the European Communities* [2001] ECR I-01611
C-20/00, *Booker Aquacultur Ltd v. The Scottish Ministers* [2003] ECR I-07411
C-50/00, *Unión de Pequeños Agricultores (UPA) v. Council of the European Union* [2002] ECR I-06677
C-60/00, *Mary Carpenter v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2002] ECR I-06279
C-94/00, *Roquette Frères SA v. Directeur général de la concurrence, de la consommation et de la répression des fraudes, and Commission of the European Communities* [2002] ECR I-09011
C-112/00, *Eugen Schmidberger, Internationale Transporte und Planzüge v. Republik Österreich* [2003] ECR I-05659
C-465/00, *Rechnungshof v Österreichischer Rundfunk and Others* [2003] ECR I-04989
C-117/01, *K.B. v National Health Service Pensions Agency and Secretary of State for Health* [2004] ECR I-00541
C-36/02, *Omega Spielhallen- und Automatenaufstellungs-GmbH v. Oberbürgermeisterin der Bundesstadt Bonn* [2004] ECR I-09609
C-200/02, *Kunqian Catherine Zhu and Man Lavette Chen v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2004] ECR I-09925
C-263/02, *Commission of the European Communities v. Jégo-Quéré & Cie SA* [2004] ECR I-03425
C-540/03, *European Parliament v Council of the European Union* [2006] ECR I-05769
C-300/04, *M. G. Eman and O. B. Sevinger v. College van burgemeester en wethouders van Den Haag* [2006] ECR I-08055
C-411/04, *Salzgitter Mannesmann GmbH v. Commission of the European Communities*, [2007] ECR I-00959
C-436/04, *Criminal proceedings against Leopold Henri Van Esbroeck* [2006] ECR I-02333
C-303/05, *Advocaten voor de Wereld VZW v. Leden van de Ministerraad* [2007] ECR I-03633
C-341/05, *Laval un Partneri Ltd v. Svenska Byggnadsarbetareförbundet and others* [2007] ECR I-11767
C-370/05, *Criminal proceedings against Uwe Kay Festersen* [2007] ECR I-01129
C-432/05, *Unibet (London) Ltd and Unibet (International) Ltd v. Justitiekanslern* [2007] ECR I-02271

C-438/05, *International Transport Workers' Federation and Finnish Seamen's Union v. Viking Line ABP and OÜ Viking Line Eesti* [2007] ECR I-10779
C-275/06, *Productores de Música de España (Promusicae) v. Telefónica de España SAU* [2008] ECR I-00271
C-303/06, *S. Coleman v. Attridge Law and Steve Law* [2008] ECR I-05603
C-341/06, *Chronopost SA and La Poste v. Union française de l'express (UFEX) and Others* [2008] ECR I-04777
C-450/06, *Varec SA v. Belgian State* [2008] ECR I-00581
C-14/07, *Ingenieurbüro Michael Weiss und Partner GbR v. Industrie- und Handelskammer Berlin* [2008] ECR I-03367
C-308/07, *Koldo Gorostiaga Atxalandabaso v. European Parliament* [2009] ECR I-01059
C-349/07, *Sopropé – Organizações de Calçado Lda v. Fazenda Pública* [2008] ECR I-10369
C-465/07, *Meki Elgafaji and Noor Elgafaji v. Staatssecretaris van Justitie* [2009] ECR I-00921
C-127/08, *Blaise Baheten Metock and Others v. Minister for Justice, Equality and Law Reform* [2008] I-06241
C-506/06, *Sabine Mayr v. Bäckerei und Konditorei Gerhard Flöckner OHG* [2008] ECR I-01017
C-261/09, *Gaetano Mantello* [2010]
C-279/09, *DEB Deutsche Energiehandels- und Beratungsgesellschaft mbH v. Bundesrepublik Deutschland* [2010]

Judgment from the General Court

T-112/98, *Mannesmannröhren-Werke AG v. Commission of the European Communities* [2001] ECR

INDEX

Terms followed by section

A
Advocate General, role of: 3.3; 13.2.2.3
Application phase: 1.1; 2.4; 3.3; 4.4.1.4; 10.2.1.3; 10.2.2; 13.4
Argumentative burden: 3.1
Article 31 VCLT: 4.2; 4.3.1; 4.3.2; 4.3.3; 4.4.1.2; 4.4.1.3; 5.1.3; 6.1.2; 7.2; 8.1; 9.1; 10.3; 10.6
Article 32 VCLT: 4.2; 4.3; 5.1.3
Article 33 VCLT: 4.3.1; 9.1
Autonomie organique: 8.2
Autonomie substantielle: 8.2
Autonomous interpretation, principle of: 4.4.1.3; 4.4.2.3; 8; 12.1; 12.2; 13.4.2; 14.5

B
Bielefelder Kreis: 4.2
Bifurcated structure: 2.3
Broad evolutieve interpretation: 7.1

C
Charter on fundamental rights: 3.1; 3.2; 4.3.1; 4.3.3; 4.4.2; 6.1.4; 7.5; 8.3; 13; 13.2.2.2; 13.3; 13.4
Cherry-picking: 6.1.6.2; 10.4.5; 10.6
Collegiate body: 3.3
Choudhry: 6.1.7
Common ground: 5.2.2; 6.1; 6.2; 8.2; 8.3; 10.1; 10.2.1.3
Common denominator: 6.1.6.2; 7.4.3; 12.5
Comparative interpretation: 4.3.5; 6; 6.1.1; 10; 10.1; 13.2; 14.3
Consensus: 4.3.5; 6.1.6.2; 7.4.3; 7.4.4; 8.2; 10.2.1.2; 10.4; 13.2.2.6
Constitutional court: 2.2; 7; 7.3
Constitutional justice: 2.2
Contractual treaties: 2.1; 4.4.1.2

D
Democracy, principle of: 4.4.1.4
Dialogical interpretation: 6.1.7; 6.4; 14.3
Dynamic interpretation: 4.3.4; 4.4.2.4; 7.4.5; 7.5; 11.1; 11.3; 11.4

E
Emergent purpose: 5.1.2.; 5.3
Effectiveness, principle of: 4.4.1.2; 4.4.2.2
Evolutive interpretation, principle of: 4.4.2.4; 4.5; 5.2.2; 6.2; 7; 9.3; 9.4; 9.6; 10.2; 10.3; 10.5; 11; 13.2.2.5; 13.4.1
External comparative interpretation: 6.1.3; 6.1.5; 6.1.6.1; 6.2; 6.3; 6.4; 10.3; 10.4.1; 10.4.2; 10.4.4; 10.6; 13.2.1; 13.2.2; 13.2.3; 13.2.4; 13.5

G
Genealogical interpretation: 6.1.7

General principles of Community law: 3.1; 4.3.5

H
Heuristic phase: 1
Hierarchy: 4.2; 4.3.1
Historical interpretation: 4.3.4; 4.3.6; 7.3
Human dignity, principle of: 4.4.1.5
Hypothetical intent: 5.1.1

I
Individual justice: 2.2
Internal comparative interpretation: 6.1.3; 6.1.5; 6.2; 6.3; 7.4.3; 10.3; 10.4.4; 10.6; 11.6; 13.2.1; 13.2.2; 13.2.2.2; 13.2.3; 13.2.5; 13.5; 14.3
International Law Commission: 4.2.4; 4.3.4; 4.4.1.2
Interpretation phase: 1.1
Interpretative aids: 1.2; 4.1

J
Judicial activism: 4.3.2; 5.1.3; 5.1.4; 5.2.2; 5.3; 6.1.6.1; 7.2; 7.3; 8.2; 8.3;
Judicial law-making: 1.3; 4.3.2; 4.4.1.2; 5.1.4; 5.3; 6.1.6.1; 7.2; 11.3
Judicial style: 3.3; 13.1; 13.3

L
Law-making treaty: 2.1; 2.5; 4.3.1; 4.3.2; 4.4.1.2; 9
Level of abstraction: 4.4.2.1; 5.1.2; 6.1.6.2; 9.4; 9.6
Level of generality: 6.1.6.2
Living constitution: 7.3
Living instrument: 4.1; 4.3.4; 4.4.1.1; 11.1; 11.2; 11.3; 11.4
Living tree: 7.3

M
Margin of appreciation: 1.1; 8.2; 10.2; 10.2.1.3; 10.2.2
Meaning originalism: 7.1
Meta-teleological interpretation: 4.1; 4.3.2; 4.4.1.2; 4.4.2.1; 5; 7.5; 8.2; 9.1; 9.2; 9.3; 9.6; 11.6; 13.3
Methods of interpretation: 4.1; 4.3
Micro-teleological interpretation: 4.1; 4.3.2; 4.4.2.1; 5; 7.4.3; 8.2; 13.3
Multilateral treaties: 4.3.4; 5.1.3; 6.1.2
Multilevel: 1.2; 2.4; 4.3.2; 4.5; 6.1.7; 6.3
Multilingual treaty: 4.3.1; 4.3.2

N
Narrow evolutive interpretation: 7.1
National constitutional traditions: 3.1; 4.4.2.3; 6.3; 13.2.2

O
Object and purpose: 2.1; 4.3.2; 4.4.1.2; 5.1.1; 5.1.2; 5.1.3; 5.2.2; 7.4.1; 7.4.4; 8.1; 9.1; 9.2; 9.3; 9.5; 12.4; 13.3
Objective teleological interpretation: 4.3.2; 5.1.1; 9.1; 9.3.1; 9.3.2; 13.3
Originalism: 6.1.6.1; 7.1; 7.3

P
Personal autonomy, principle of: 4.4.1.5
Practical and effective rights: 4.4.1.2; 7.4.1; 9.1; 9.3.3; 9.4; 11.2; 11.5; 14.2; 14.3
Precedent: 1.2; 9.3.3; 10.2.1.2
Present-day conditions: 4.4.1.1; 4.4.2.4; 6.2; 7.1; 7.4.1; 7.4.2; 11.1; 11.2; 11.3; 11.5
Primary means of interpretation: 7.4.4
Principle of interpretation: 4.1; 4.4

Problematic: 1.5; 7.4.2
Process of discovery: 1
Process of justification: 1
Publicly argumentative model: 2.3

R
Real purpose: 4.3.2; 5.1.1
Reasonable author: 4.3.2 ; 5.1.1; 5.1.2

S
Separate opinions: 2.3
Sovereignty: 3.1; 6.1.6.1; 6.1.6.2
Special nature: 4.4.1.2; 5.2.2; 7.4.1
Strong autonomy: 8.2; 13.4.2
Subjective interpretation: 4.3.4
Subjective school: 5.1.1
Subjective teleological interpretation: 4.3.2; 5.1.1; 9.1; 9.3.1; 9.3.2; 13.3
Subsequent agreement: 7.2
Subsequent practice: 7.2
Subsidiarity: 2.4; 3.3
Supplementary means of interpretation: 5.1.3; 7.2; 7.4.4
Systemic interpretation: 4.2; 4.3.; 8.2; 10.4.3; 11.4

T
Teleological interpretation: 4.2; 4.3.2; 4.4.2.2; 4.5; 5; 7.4.3; 9; 11.5; 13.3; 14.2
Teleological school: 5.1.1
Textual interpretation: 4.1; 4.2; 4.3.1; 4.3.2; 4.3.3; 9.3.1; 11.3; 13.2.4; 14.3
Textual school: 5.1.1
Travaux préparatoires: 4.3.2; 4.3.4; 5.1.3; 5.2.1; 7.2; 7.4.4; 7.5; 9.3.1; 9.3.2; 9.6; 10.2.1.1; 11.3; 12.5; 13.3
Treaty of Lisbon: 3.1; 3.2; 8.3

U
Unified structure: 2.3
Uniformity: 1.1; 4.4.2.3; 8.1; 8.3
Universalist interpretation: 6.1.7

V
Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties: 4.2; 4.3.1; 4.3.2; 4.3.3; 4.4.1.2; 4.4.1.3; 4.4.2.3; 5.1.1; 5.1.3; 6.1.2; 7.2; 8.1; 9.1; 10.3

W
Weak autonomy: 8.2; 13.4.2

CURRICULUM VITAE

Hanneke Senden studied European Law School at the University of Maastricht, where she graduated in 2004. During her studies she spent one semester at the University of Uppsala in Sweden. After her graduation she was accepted to the European Master's Degree in Human Rights and Democratisation in Venice, Italy. In the context of this Master, she spent one semester in Venice taking part in inter-disciplinary courses on human rights and one semester in Graz, Austria, to complete her thesis (*Reforming the reforms; securing the sustainability of the European Court of Human Rights*). For her thesis she was awarded an internship (and accompanying grant) at Human Rights Watch in Brussels, Belgium for the duration of six months. From September 2006 until May 2011 she worked as a PhD candidate at the Department of Public Law of Leiden University. In the context of her PhD research she spent one semester at Boston University (2009). Since June 2011 she works as a lawyer at Van Doorne (Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

School of Human Rights Research Series

The School of Human Rights Research is a joint effort by human rights researchers in the Netherlands. Its central research theme is the nature and meaning of international standards in the fi eld of human rights, their application and promotion in the national legal order, their interplay with national standards, and the international supervision of such application. The School of Human Rights Research Series only includes English titles that contribute to a better understanding of the different aspects of human rights.

Editorial Board of the Series:
Prof. dr. J.E. Goldschmidt (Utrecht University), Prof. dr. D.A. Hellema (Utrecht University), Prof. dr. W.J.M. van Genugten (Tilburg University), Prof. dr. M.T. Kamminga (Maastricht University), Prof. dr. P.A.M. Mevis (Erasmus University Rotterdam), Dr. J.-P. Loof (Leiden University) and Dr. O.M. Ribbelink (Asser Institute).

Published titles within the Series:

1 Brigit C.A. Toebes, *The Right to Health as a Human Right in International Law*
ISBN 90-5095-057-4

2 Ineke Boerefijn, *The Reporting Procedure under the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Practice and Procedures of the Human Rights Committee*
ISBN 90-5095-074-4

3 Kitty Arambulo, *Strengthening the Supervision of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Theoretical and Procedural Aspects*
ISBN 90-5095-058-2

4 Marlies Glasius, *Foreign Policy on Human Rights. Its Infl uence on Indonesia under Soeharto*
ISBN 90-5095-089-2

5 Cornelis D. de Jong, *The Freedom of Thought, Conscience and Religion or Belief in the United Nations (1946-1992)*
ISBN 90-5095-137-6

6 Heleen Bosma, *Freedom of Expression in England and under the ECHR: in Search of a Common Ground. A Foundation for the Application of the Human Rights Act 1998 in English Law*
ISBN 90-5095-136-8

7 Mielle Bulterman, *Human Rights in the External Relations of the European Union*
ISBN 90-5095-164-3

8 Esther M. van den Berg, *The Infl uence of Domestic NGOs on Dutch Human Rights Policy. Case Studies on South Africa,Namibia, Indonesia and East Timor*
ISBN 90-5095-159-7

9 Ian Seiderman, *Hierarchy in International Law: the Human Rights Dimension*
ISBN 90-5095-165-1

10 Anna Meijknecht, *Towards International Personality: the Position of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples in International Law*
ISBN 90-5095-166-X

11 Mohamed Eltayeb, *A Human Rights Approach to Combating Religious Persecution. Cases from Pakistan, Saudi Arabia and Sudan*
ISBN 90-5095-170-8

12 Machteld Boot, *Genocide, Crimes Against Humanity, War Crimes: Nullum Crimen Sine Lege and the Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court*
ISBN 90-5095-216-X

13 Corinne Packer, *Using Human Rights to Change Tradition. Traditional Practices Harmful to Women's Reproductive Health in sub-Saharan Africa*
ISBN 90-5095-226-7

14 Theo R.G. van Banning, *The Human Right to Property*
ISBN 90-5095-203-8

15 Yvonne M. Donders, *Towards a Right to Cultural Identity?*
ISBN 90-5095-238-0

16 Göran K. Sluiter, *International Criminal Adjudication and the Collection of Evidence: Obligations of States*
ISBN 90-5095-227-5

17 Nicola Jägers, *Corporate Human Rights Obligations: in Search of Accountability*
ISBN 90-5095-240-2

18 Magdalena Sepúlveda, *The Nature of the Obligations under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*
ISBN 90-5095-260-7

19 Mitsue Inazumi, *Universal Jurisdiction in Modern International Law: Expansion of National Jurisdiction for Prosecuting Serious Crimes under International Law*
ISBN 90-5095-366-2

20 Anne-Marie L.M. de Brouwer, *Supranational Criminal Prosecution of Sexual Violence: The ICC and the Practice of the ICTY and the ICTR*
ISBN 90-5095-533-9

21 Jeroen Gutter, *Thematic Procedures of the United Nations Commission on Human Rights and International Law: in Search of a Sense of Community*
ISBN 90-5095-557-6

22 Hilde Reiding, *The Netherlands and the Development of International Human Rights Instruments*
ISBN 978-90-5095-654-3

23 Ingrid Westendorp, *Women and Housing: Gender Makes a Difference*
ISBN 978-90-5095-669-7

24 Quirine A.M. Eijkman, *We Are Here to Serve You! Public Security, Police Reform and Human Rights Implementation in Costa Rica*
ISBN 978-90-5095-704-5

25 Antoine Ch. Buyse, *Post-conflict Housing Restitution. The European Human Rights Perspective with a case study on Bosnia and Herzegovina*
ISBN 978-90-5095-770-0

26 Gentian Zyberi, *The Humanitarian Face of the International Court of Justice. Its Contribution to Interpreting and Developing International Human Rights and Humanitarian Law Rules and Principles*
ISBN 978-90-5095-792-2

27 Dragoş Cucereanu, *Aspects of Regulating Freedom of Expression on the Internet*
ISBN 978-90-5095-842-4

28 Ton Liefaard, *Deprivation of Liberty of Children in Light of International Human Rights Law and Standards*
ISBN 978-90-5095-838-7

29 Laura van Waas, *Nationality Matters. Statelessness under International Law*
ISBN 978-90-5095-854-7

30 Jeroen Denkers, *The World Trade Organization and Import Bans in Response to Violations of Fundamental Labour Rights*
ISBN 978-90-5095-855-4

31 Irene Hadiprayitno, *Hazard or Right? The Dialectics of Development Practice and the Internationally Declared Right to Development, with Special Reference to Indonesia*
ISBN 978-90-5095-932-2

32 Michał Gondek, *The Reach of Human Rights in a Globalising World: Extraterritorial Application of Human Rights Treaties*
ISBN 978-90-5095-817-2

33 Jeff Handmaker, *Advocating for Accountability: Civic-State Interactions to Protect Refugees in South Africa*
ISBN 978-90-5095-910-0

34 Anna Oehmichen, *Terrorism and Anti-Terror Legislation: The Terrorised Legislator? A Comparison of Counter-Terror Legislation and Its Implications on Human Rights in the Legal Systems of the United Kingdom, Spain, Germany and France*
ISBN 978-90-5095-956-8

35 Simon Walker, *The Future of Human Rights Impact Assessments of Trade Agreements*
ISBN 978-90-5095-986-5

36 Fleur van Leeuwen, *Women's Rights Are Human Rights: The Practice of the United Nations Human Rights Committee and the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*
ISBN 978-90-5095-980-3

37 Eva Rieter, *Preventing Irreparable Harm. Provisional Measures in International Human Rights Adjudication*
ISBN 978-90-5095-931-5

38 Desislava Stoitchkova, *Towards Corporate Liability in International Criminal Law*
ISBN 978-94-000-0024-7

39 Paulien Muller, *Scattered Families. Transnational Family Life of Afghan Refugees in the Netherlands in the Light of the Human Rights-Based Protection of the Family*
ISBN 978-94-000-0021-6

40 Bibi van Ginkel, *The Practice of the United Nations in Combating Terrorism from 1946 to 2008. Questions of Legality and Legitimacy*
ISBN 978-94-000-0076-6

41 Christophe Paulussen, *Male captus bene detentus? Surrendering suspects to the International Criminal Court*
ISBN 978-94-000-0100-8

42 Brianne McGonigle Leyh, *Procedural Justice? Victim Participation in International Criminal Proceedings*
ISBN 978-1-78068-020-0

43 Maria Ventegodt Liisberg, *Disability and Employment. A Contemporary Disability Human Rights Approach Applied to Danish, Swedish and EU Law and Policy*
ISBN 978-1-78068-028-6

44 Tarlach McGonagle, *Minority Rights, Freedom of Expression and of the Media: Dynamics and Dilemmas*
ISBN 978-94-000-0215-9

45 Marloes van Noorloos, *Hate Speech Revisited*
ISBN 978-1-78068-032-3