Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Marking the default : auxiliary selection in Southern Italian dialects

Torcolacci, G.

Citation
Torcolacci, G. (2015, March 24). *Marking the default : auxiliary selection in Southern Italian dialects*. *LOT dissertation series*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/32580

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/32580

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page

Universiteit Leiden

The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/32580 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Torcolacci, Giuseppe
Title: Marking the default : auxiliary selection in Southern Italian dialects
Issue Date: 2015-03-24

Marking the Default

Auxiliary selection in Southern Italian dialects

Published by
LOT
Trans 10
3512 JK Utrecht
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6111

e-mail: lot@uu.nl
http://www.lotschool.nl

ISBN: 978-94-6093-167-3
NUR: 616

Copyright © 2015: Giuseppe Torcolacci. All rights reserved.

Marking the Default

Auxiliary selection in Southern Italian dialects

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 24 maart 2015
klokke 13.45 uur

door

Giuseppe Torcolacci

geboren op 10 december 1981
te Fossombrone (Italië)

Promotiecommissie

Promotores:	Prof. dr. Roberta D'Alessandro Prof. dr. Marc van Oostendorp
Overige leden:	Prof. dr. Adam Ledgeway Dr. Bert Botma Dr. Diego Pescarini

This thesis was carried out within the VIDI-project 'Splitting and clustering grammatical information' (subproject 2, the syntax of splits in Southern Italian dialects), funded by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) and awarded to Prof. dr. Roberta D'Alessandro (project number 276-70-021).

Contents

Acknowledgements xi

Abbreviations xiii

Chapter 1 - Introduction **1**

1. Introduction 1
2. The structure of this dissertation 4
   2.1 Part one – Chapter 2 5
   2.2 Part two – Chapters 3, 4 & 5 6
3. The data 6

Chapter 2 - Two types of split(ting): *Raddoppiamento Fonosintattico* and auxiliary selection in Southern Italian dialects **9**

1. Introduction 9
2. The theory of RF 12
   2.1 The nature of RF-triggers 12
   2.2 Regular RF 14
   2.2.1 Secondary stress 17
   2.3 Irregular RF 18
   2.3.1 Regressive consonant assimilation 18
   2.3.2 The moraic analysis 21
   2.3.3 Syntactic conditioning of RF 23
3. The interaction between RF and auxiliaries: the dimension of variation 24

3.1 BE ... 25
3.1.1 Singular versus plural ... 25
3.1.2 Lack of RF ... 28
3.1.3 RF and the phonological shape of the past participle ... 30
3.1.4 Alternation in Voice: active versus passive BE ... 31
3.1.5 Summary ... 33
3.2 HAVE ... 34
3.2.1 Summary ... 37
3.3 RF and auxiliaries: the problems of the phonological approach ... 37
3.3.1 BE ... 38
3.3.2 HAVE ... 40
3.3.3 The interplay between RF and BE/HAVE ... 42
4. Auxiliary selection in USIDs ... 44
4.1 Pattern one: BE with all persons ... 46
4.1.1 Lack of RF ... 46
4.1.2 Presence of RF ... 47
4.2 Pattern two: 1 and 2 person BE versus 3 person HAVE ... 48
4.2.1 Lack of RF ... 48
4.2.2 The presence of RF ... 49
4.3 Pattern three: 1 and 2sg BE versus HAVE elsewhere ... 50
4.4 Pattern four: 1 or 2sg BE versus HAVE elsewhere ... 51
4.5 Pattern five: HAVE with all persons ... 52
5. Summary and conclusions ... 54

Chapter 3 – RF and the overt marking of φ features ... 59
1. Introduction ... 59
2. The morphosyntactic nature of φ ... 61
2.1 The nature and geometry of φ on pronouns ... 61

2.2 Perfective auxiliaries in USIDs: φ feature geometry and syntactic nature ... 64
3. The morphosyntactic nature of RF ... 67
4. The triggering of RF by 1 and 2sg BE ... 69
4.1 The data ... 69
4.2 1-2 person BE and the Participant feature ... 71
4.3 The post-syntactic encoding of Participant ... 75
4.3.1 Phonological restrictions on the overt marking of Participant ... 77
4.4 The non-triggering of RF by 1 and 2pl BE ... 79
5. The triggering of RF by 3sg HAVE ... 82
5.1 HAVE and the Individuation feature ... 82
5.2 The post-syntactic encoding of Minimal ... 83
6. Summary and conclusions ... 87

Chapter 4 – The post-syntactic operation of *Default Marking* ... 89
1. Introduction ... 89
2. The theory of markedness ... 91
2.1 Overview ... 91
2.1.1 Phonological markedness ... 93
2.1.2 Syntactic markedness ... 95
2.1.3 Morphological markedness ... 98
3. The morphological markedness of φ on perfective auxiliaries ... 99
3.1 The data ... 99
3.2 The Romance scenario ... 99
3.3 USIDs ... 103
3.4 Summary ... 106
3.5 Summary of the morphological markedness of φ on perfective auxiliaries in CSIDs ... 108

4. The acquisition of pronouns, agreement markers and Tense 110
4.1 The acquisition hierarchy 110
4.1.1 The acquisition and markedness of pronouns 110
4.1.2 The acquisition and markedness of φ agreement markers 113
4.1.3 The acquisition and markedness of Tense 115
5. The composition of Infl° 116
5.1 The substantive content of Infl° 116
5.1.1 Event and utterance situations: the anchoring of [*u*coin] 119
5.2 Perfective auxiliaries in CSIDs: the anchoring of [*u*coin] in Tense 122
6. The post-syntactic operation of *Default Marking* 123
6.1 *Default Marking* and present perfect auxiliaries 124
6.1.1 The singular paradigm 124
6.1.2 The plural paradigm 126
6.2 *Default Marking* and pluperfect auxiliaries 130
6.2.1 The singular paradigm 130
6.2.2 The plural paradigm 132
6.3 Preliminary conclusion 136
7. Cross-linguistic evidence 138
7.1 *Default Marking* outside CSIDs 139
7.1.1 Present Tense 139
7.1.2 Past Tense 142
8. Summary and conclusions 144

Chapter 5 – Domains of application of *Default Marking* **147**
1. Introduction 147
2. Modals in CSIDs 148
2.1 The syntax 148

2.2 The morphological marking of φ 152
2.2.1 Present tense 152
2.2.3 Past tense 155
2.3 Modals and *Default Marking* 157
2.3.1 Present Tense 158
2.3.2 Past Tense 159
2.4 Summary 161
3. Lexical versus modal 161
3.1 Data 161
3.2 Analysis 165
3.3 Interim summary 169
4. Determiners in CSIDs 170
4.1 The syntax of DP 170
4.2 *Agree* within the DP 173
4.3 Neuter D in USIDs 177
4.3.1 RF and neuter D 180
4.3.1.1 Diachronic versus typological observations 180
4.4 Nominal periphrases and *Default Marking* 184
4.4.1 D° and the feature Definiteness 184
4.4.2 Neuter D and *Default Marking* 187
4.4.3 Plural feminine D and *Default Marking* 189
4.5 The geography of *Default Marking* in D 195
5. Conclusions 197

Chapter 6 – Conclusions and questions for future research 201
6.1 Summary of the core findings of this dissertation 201
6.2 Questions for future research 205

Bibliography **207**

Summary in Dutch (Samenvatting in het Nederlands) **227**

Curriculum Vitae **233**

Acknowledgements

This dissertation might not have existed if I hadn't grown up in a multilingual environment. For this reason, my biggest debt of gratitude goes to my family who, since my earliest childhood, gave me the possibility of understanding that more than one language can be learnt at the same time by a child.

My sincerest thanks go to my supervisors Roberta D'Alessandro and Marc van Oostendorp. Their steadfast intellectual support over the years of my doctoral studies made the achievement of this dissertation possible. I also thank Caterina Donati and Gloria Cocchi, whose teachings awoke my interests in Linguistics during my Master studies at the University of Urbino. I also thank Adam Ledgeway who, during my visit at the University of Cambridge, strongly influenced me in the passion for linguistic data. A special thanks goes to all those linguists who have advised me during my years as a Ph.D. student, and have given me important feedback on my work: Artemis Alexiadou, Elena Anagnostopoulou, Theresa Biberauer, Lisa Cheng, Jenny Doetjes, Irene Franco, Anikó Lipták, Maria Rita Manzini, Guido Mensching, Andrew Nevins, Diana Passino, Claudia Peverini, Cecilia Poletto, Ian Roberts, Johan Rooryck, Jason Rothman, Leonardo Maria Savoia, Michelle Sheehan and Jenneke van der Wal.

It goes without saying that the writing of this thesis has been highly influenced by the interaction with fellow Ph.D. students at LUCL. I thank my office-mate Laura Migliori, with whom I shared every single day of my doctoral studies. Our conversations about life and linguistics will never be forgotten! I also thank my neighbor office-mates Enrico Boone and Sara Lusini, who have been great colleagues over the years at LUCL. Sara helped me to acclimatize to life in The Netherlands, provided cheerful moral support and always made me feel at home. I thank Enrico particularly for being always ready to discuss even the most intricate linguistic issues. Likewise, I thank Linda Badan, Edoardo Cavirani, Claudio Di Felice and Marieke Meelen for the many happy moments we shared. I am also extremely grateful to Luigi Andriani and Norma Schifano for making me feel at home during my visit at the University of Cambridge. Last but not least, I thank Vicki Sunter for taking care of the proofreading of this dissertation, as

well as Kim Groothuis, who helped me to write the summary in Dutch (samenvatting in het Nederlands).
Finally, I would like to thank Athina Ioanna Leivadara, who has been an invaluable help throughout the last years of my doctoral studies. I will never forget her endless patience in discussing the ups and downs of this dissertation.
To the many other students, researchers and friends who have helped me on this journey, a heartfelt ‘thank you’!

Abbreviations

1	first person
2	second person
3	third person
act	active voice
Asp	Aspect
AspP	Aspect Phrase
aux	auxiliary
B	Be
CVC	Consonant-Vowel-Consonant
CIDs	Central Italian dialects
CN	Count Noun
coin	coincidence
cond	conditional mood
cop	copula
CSIDs	Central Southern Italian dialects
D	Determiner
DAC	Double Auxiliary Construction
Def/def	Definiteness
dem	demonstrative
dir	directional
DM	Distributed Morphology
DP	Determiner Phrase
EPP	Extended Projection Principle
ESIDs	Extreme Southern Italian dialects
Ev-sit	Event situation
expl	expletive
F	Feature
f(em)	feminine
G	Goal
H	Have
IC	Indirect object Clitic
Indiv	Individuation

inf	infinitive
INFL/Infl	Inflection
InflP	Inflectional Phrase
IPA	International Phonetic Alphabet
LF	Logic Form
m	marked
masc	masculine
MN	Mass Noun
ms	millisecond
neut	neuter
NIDs	Northern Italian dialects
n	little-*n*
*n*P	little-*n* Phrase
NP	Noun Phrase
NSIDs	Northern Southern Italian dialects
NumberP	Number Phrase
O	Object
obv	obviate
OC	Object Clitic
P	Phrase
Part	Participant
pass	passive voice
PF	Phonological Form
PIC	Phase Impenetrability Condition
pl	plural
PP	Prepositional Phrase
pr	present tense
Pr	Probe
prox	proximate
Q	Quantity
QP	Quantity Phrase
RCA	Regressive Consonant Assimilation
RE	Referring Expression
ref	reference
ReflC	Reflexive Clitic
RF	Raddoppiamento Fonosintattico
S/σ	Syllable

SC	Subject Clitic
sg	singular
SIDs	Southern Italian dialects
Spec	Specifier
SRC	Strong Rhyme Constraint
Subj	Subject
v	little-*v*
V	Verb
val	value
Vow	Vowel
*v*P	little-v Phrase
VP	Verb Phrase
T	Tense
TP	Tense Phrase
u	unmarked
*u*coin	*u*coincidence
UG	Universal Grammar
USIDs	Upper Southern Italian dialects
Utt-sit	Utterance situation
φ	person-number-gender
μ	mora