



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Sound of mind: electrophysiological and behavioural evidence for the role of context, variation and informativity in human speech processing

Nixon, J.S.

### Citation

Nixon, J. S. (2014, October 14). *Sound of mind: electrophysiological and behavioural evidence for the role of context, variation and informativity in human speech processing*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/29299>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/29299>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/29299> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Nixon, Jessie Sophia

**Title:** Sound of mind: electrophysiological and behavioural evidence for the role of context, variation and informativity in human speech processing

**Issue Date:** 2014-10-14

# SOUND OF MIND

Electrophysiological and behavioural  
evidence for the role of context, variation  
and informativity  
in human speech processing

語境、變異及信息度在人類言語加工中的作用：  
來自反應時、眼動及腦電的證據

Jessie Sophia Nixon



Universiteit  
Leiden



ISBN: 978-90-8891-975-6

© 2014, Jessie Nixon

Cover design by Kamto Gary Wong  
<http://www.kamto.biz>

Document typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X 2<sub>&</sub> using the Memoir package  
Printed by Proefschriftmaken.nl

# SOUND OF MIND

Electrophysiological and behavioural  
evidence for the role of context, variation  
and informativity  
in human speech processing

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op dinsdag 14 oktober 2014  
klokke 13:45 uur

door

**Jessie Sophia Nixon**  
geboren te Auckland,  
New Zealand

Promotor: Prof.dr. N. O. Schiller  
Co-promotor: Dr. Y. Chen

Beoordelingscommissie: Prof.dr. R. H. Baayen  
Dr. M. Corley  
Prof.dr. V. J. J. P. van Heuven  
Prof.dr. C. C. Levelt  
Prof.dr. R. P. Sybesma

*For Margot*



# Acknowledgments

I consider it a great privilege to have been able to use a little piece of the world’s resources in pursuit of one of life’s greatest pleasures: expanding one’s understanding of the world. For that, I would like to thank my supervisors, Yiya Chen and Niels Schiller for inviting me to Leiden for this research. I feel extremely fortunate to have had the opportunity to follow my curiosity in carrying out this research over the last several years. It has been highly rewarding in many ways. I have learned a lot. Not only about language and cognition and science and statistics, but about life, as well.

Many people have contributed to this journey. Perhaps one of the biggest contributions has been in the form of the warm, supportive and fun environment created by my fellow PhDs and other colleagues at LUCL. Among them were my two wonderful paronyms, Allison and Marijn, who also helped to ease the stress of these final preparations and even make them into something fun. I have fond memories of numerous outings, extended hacky sessions and the 1166 lunches. Some of the many others who made LUCL a special place to be were Linda Badan, Yifei Bi, Enrico Boone, Martine Bruil, Edoardo Cavigiani, Camelia Constantinou, Elly Dutton, Margarita Gulian, Juliette Huber, Olga Kepinska, Martin Kohlberger, Orsat Ligorio, Sara Lusini, Marieke Meelen, Khalid Mourigh, Victoria Nyst, Ongaye Orkaydo, Stanly Oomen, Leticia Pablos, Piotrek Pisarek, Christian Rapold, Bobby Ruijgrok, Alexander Schwager, Mulugeta Tsegaye, Daan van de Velde, Rebecca Voll, Josh Wilbur, Man Wang and Junru Wu.

There are also a number of people who have made practical contributions to my research. Rinus Verdonschot was a big help when I first arrived in Leiden. You seemed to spout a continuous stream of useful tips on any number of things from statistics, programming software and experiment design to medical care, economic eating places, and the current best buy in mobile phones. Not to mention your help with running participants when you were in Beijing. Many thanks also to Kalinka Timmer for hours spent working with me on all aspects of running EEG experiments, from equipment set-up to running analyses in

SPSS. I learned a huge amount and had a lot of laughs along the way. I would like to thank Hamutal Kreiner for your expertise, enthusiasm and inspiration during my Masters dissertation. By teaching me to use LME and R, you set me up with the technical skills that got me started in my PhD. I don't know what I would have done without them. Thanks to Fermín Moscoso del Prado Martín and Holger Mitterer for helpful advice on the analysis of Chapter 2. Thank you, Antoine Tremblay, for generously answering all my emails relating to the EEG pre-processing with your R packages. Thanks to Fabian Tomaschek and Denis Arnold for improving my contour graphs and to Daan van der Velde for translating my Nederlandse Samenvatting.

Special thanks to Jacolien van Rij. I consider myself incredibly lucky that you decided to come and teach the LOT course in Groningen with Martijn Wieling and became interested in my data. I have learned so much over the last year working with you on the eye tracking data in Chapter 5. I am indebted to you for the time you invested working with me and teaching me how to use Generalised Additive Modelling. You are an excellent teacher and an excellent researcher. Thanks to Martijn Wieling, not only for the excellent LOT course, but also for your enthusiasm about my data and readiness to answer questions about GAMs. Special thanks also to Harald Baayen for generously hosting me in the Quantitative Linguistics Group lab in Tübingen while I was analysing the eyetracking data. Thanks for being excited about my data and for your insights about the analysis—your enthusiasm was a huge encouragement.

I would also like to thank the people at the Chinese Academy of Science Psychology Institute who provided me with expert help, as well as making me feel extremely welcome during my two research trips to Beijing.

To my dear whanau. Those of you who have been around me the last few years will know how proud and how lucky I feel to have such a wonderful family. Dad and Fran, Jake and Tom, with your love and support, your pride, warmth, fun and laughter, I keep you close to me, even from afar. Much love.

To Kamto. You enhanced the book greatly with your cover design. You also helped a lot with many practical and technical issues and averting near disasters along the way. But most of all thank you for sharing your time with me, your humour, creativity, your sense of beauty and your insights about the world.

To Mum, you are part of this book because you are a big part of who I am. You taught me how to be happy in the world and that is the one of the most precious things I have. This book is dedicated to you.

# Contents

Acknowledgments	vii
Contents	ix
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xiii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background . . . . .	3
1.2 Multi-level phonological processing in speech production .	5
1.3 Does reading aloud involve sub-phonemic feature pro- cessing? . . . . .	8
1.4 Context effects in reading aloud . . . . .	10
1.5 Acoustic cue variability in speech perception . . . . .	12
<b>2 Multi-level processing of tone in speech production and visual word processing</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	17
The present study . . . . .	19
2.2 Experiment 1 Tone 3 sandhi picture naming . . . . .	22
Method . . . . .	22
Results . . . . .	24
Discussion . . . . .	28
2.3 Experiment 2 Contour and toneme picture naming . . . . .	29
Method . . . . .	29
Results . . . . .	30
Discussion . . . . .	33
2.4 General discussion . . . . .	34
Conclusion . . . . .	37
<b>3 Early negativity reveals rapid sub-phonemic feature processing</b>	<b>39</b>

3.1	Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2	Method . . . . .	44
3.3	Analysis and Results . . . . .	45
3.4	Discussion . . . . .	48
<b>4</b>	<b>Context constrains neural activity during speech variant processing</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	55
	Sub-phonemic processing . . . . .	55
	Lexical tone processing . . . . .	57
4.2	Method . . . . .	58
	Participants . . . . .	58
	Materials . . . . .	58
	Design . . . . .	59
	Procedure . . . . .	59
4.3	Analysis and Results . . . . .	60
	Reaction time data: analysis and results . . . . .	60
	Electrophysiological data: recording and pre-processing . . . . .	60
	Electrophysiological data: analysis and results . . . . .	61
	Random effects . . . . .	63
	Fixed effects . . . . .	64
4.4	Discussion . . . . .	65
	Appendix A: Model Comparisons . . . . .	71
	Appendix B: Model Summaries . . . . .	72
<b>5</b>	<b>Eye movements reflect acoustic cue informativity and statistical noise</b>	<b>73</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	75
5.2	Experiment 1 Voice onset time . . . . .	81
	Method . . . . .	81
5.3	Analysis . . . . .	83
5.4	Results . . . . .	84
	Random effects . . . . .	84
	Voice onset time . . . . .	85
	Effects of distribution condition . . . . .	85
	Frequency effects . . . . .	86
	Manner of articulation . . . . .	88
	Discussion . . . . .	88
5.5	Experiment 2 Tones . . . . .	89
	Method . . . . .	89
5.6	Analysis . . . . .	90
5.7	Results . . . . .	90
	Random effects . . . . .	90
	Pitch . . . . .	90

<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>xi</b>
Frequency effects . . . . .	92
Manner of articulation . . . . .	92
Discussion . . . . .	92
5.8 General Discussion . . . . .	93
<b>6 Discussion</b>	<b>101</b>
6.1 Introduction . . . . .	103
6.2 Multi-level phonological processing . . . . .	104
6.3 Multilevel processing of visual words . . . . .	106
6.4 Phonological processing during reading aloud . . . . .	108
Sub-phonemic feature processing . . . . .	108
Processing of allophonic variants . . . . .	110
6.5 Context effects on processing of speech variants . . . . .	111
6.6 Phonetic variation and acoustic cue informativity . . . . .	113
<b>References</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>Nederlandse samenvatting</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>About the author</b>	<b>143</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	Pitch contours of the four tones of Beijing Mandarin . . . . .	20
2.2	Pitch contours of Tone 2, Tone 3 sandhi and canonical low Tone 3 . . . . .	20
2.3	Mean reaction times Experiment 1 . . . . .	25
2.4	Mean reaction times Experiment 2 . . . . .	31
3.1	Trial procedure . . . . .	46
3.2	Average ERP responses for voice-match, place-match and control conditions. . . . .	49
4.1	Pitch contours of the four tones of Beijing Mandarin . . . . .	57
4.2	Pitch contours of Tone 2, Tone 3 sandhi and canonical low Tone 3 . . . . .	58
4.3	Average ERP signal for Contour and Mismatch primes at nine electrodes . . . . .	62
4.4	Electrode map . . . . .	63
4.5	Random wiggly curves over time for subjects and items . . .	64
4.6	Model plot of Prime frequency by Target frequency over Time per prime condition . . . . .	67
5.1	Sample screen display during stimulus presentation . . . . .	82
5.2	Random wiggly curves over time for subjects and items Ex- periment 1 . . . . .	84
5.3	Topographical maps of fixation proportions for VOT over time for the narrow and wide conditions Experiment 1 . . . .	86
5.4	Topographical map of log frequency over time for Experiment 1	87
5.5	Plot of the interaction between manner and VOT . . . . .	89
5.6	Random wiggly curves over time for participants and items Experiment 2 . . . . .	90
5.7	Topographical maps of fixation proportions for pitch over time in the narrow and wide conditions . . . . .	91
5.8	Topographical map of log frequency over time Experiment 2 .	93
5.9	Plot of the interaction between manner and pitch . . . . .	94

# List of Tables

2.1	Experiment design and sample stimuli Experiment 1 . . . . .	24
2.2	Results summary Experiment 1 . . . . .	27
2.3	Results summary Experiment 1 SOA = 0 ms . . . . .	27
2.4	Results summary Experiment 1 SOA = 83 ms . . . . .	28
2.5	Experiment design and sample stimuli for Experiment 2 . . . . .	30
2.6	Results summary Experiment 2 . . . . .	32
2.7	Results summary Experiment 2 Toneme targets . . . . .	32
2.8	Results summary Experiment 2 Contour targets . . . . .	33
3.1	Experiment design and sample stimuli . . . . .	44
3.2	Mean reaction times per prime condition . . . . .	47
3.3	Reaction time results summary . . . . .	47
4.1	Experiment design and sample stimuli . . . . .	59
5.1	Presentation frequency per variant per condition . . . . .	81

