



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Objective justification and Prima Facie anti-competitive unilateral conduct: an exploration of EU Law and beyond

Vijver, T.D.O. van der

Citation

Vijver, T. D. O. van der. (2014, September 17). *Objective justification and Prima Facie anti-competitive unilateral conduct: an exploration of EU Law and beyond*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/29593>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/29593>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/29593> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Vijver, Tjarda Desiderius Oscar van der

Title: Objective justification and Prima Facie anti-competitive unilateral conduct : an exploration of EU Law and beyond

Issue Date: 2014-09-17

Objective Justification and *Prima Facie*
Anti-Competitive Unilateral Conduct:
An Exploration of EU Law and Beyond

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 17 september 2014
klokke 11.15 uur

door

Tjarda Desiderius Oscar van der Vijver

geboren te Haarlem in 1984

Promotiecommissie:

Promotores: prof. mr. T.R. Ottervanger
prof. dr. M.C.E.J. Bronckers

Overige leden: prof. A. Jones (King's College London, UK)
dr. P. Marsden (Collège d'Europe, Brugge, België)
prof. dr. J. Steenbergen (Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, België)
prof. dr. S.C.G. Van den Bogaert

per princi

A. TABLE OF CONTENTS – SHORT VERSION

A. Table of contents – short version	5
B. Table of contents – extended version.....	9
C. Executive summary	16
D. Samenvatting.....	17
E. Foreword.....	18
Chapter I INTRODUCTION	21
1 A first encounter with the topic	21
2 Situating the research in a broader debate.....	23
3 Problem definition, aim and scope of this study.....	42
4 Road map	46
5 Research methodology.....	47
Chapter II JUSTIFICATIONS IN EU LAW – A WIDER PERSPECTIVE.....	55
1 Introduction.....	55
2 Justifications in EU internal market law – exploring the free movement of goods.....	55
3 Justifications in EU competition law.....	69
4 Conclusion	95
Chapter III OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION AND ARTICLE 102 TFEU	97
1 Introduction.....	97
2 Article 102 TFEU	98
3 Objective justification under examination	103
4 The legal assessment of objective justification	141
5 Justifications vis-à-vis specific types of abuse	156
6 Conclusion	167
Chapter IV PROCEDURAL ASPECTS OF OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION	169
1 Introduction.....	169
2 The burden of proof	170

3	Standard of proof	176
4	The burden and standard of proof in a private law context.....	189
5	Conclusion	193
Chapter V JUSTIFICATIONS IN EU MEMBER STATES		195
1	Introduction.....	195
2	Legislation & NCA guidance.....	197
3	Analysis of Member State case law and decisional practice	200
4	Assessing objective justification pleas.....	222
5	Promising practices	228
6	Conclusion	231
Chapter VI JUSTIFICATIONS IN THE COMMON LAW WORLD.....		233
1	Introduction.....	233
2	Australia	236
3	Canada.....	242
4	Hong Kong	252
5	Singapore.....	254
6	South Africa	259
7	United States	264
8	Comparative notes	271
9	Conclusion	283
Chapter VII CONCLUSION.....		285
1	Justifications and unilateral conduct law: the groundwork	285
2	Lessons from other areas of EU law	286
3	The substance of objective justification and Article 102 TFEU.....	289
4	The procedure of objective justification and Article 102 TFEU	292
5	Objective justification at the level of EU Member States.....	293
6	Justifications in non-EU jurisdictions	295
7	Key lessons	296
Annex A. Abbreviations.....		300

Annex B. List of cases	303
Annex C. List of literature.....	320
Annex D. Curriculum Vitae	328

B. TABLE OF CONTENTS – EXTENDED VERSION

A. Table of contents – short version	5
B. Table of contents – extended version.....	9
C. Executive summary	16
D. Samenvatting.....	17
E. Foreword.....	18
Chapter I INTRODUCTION	21
1 A first encounter with the topic	21
2 Situating the research in a broader debate.....	23
2.1 Justifications & Schools of thought.....	23
2.2 State intervention, private & public enforcement, <i>lex specialis</i>	27
2.2.1 Introduction	27
2.2.2 Degree of State intervention.....	27
2.2.3 Private and public enforcement.....	28
2.2.4 The <i>lex specialis</i> adage	29
2.2.5 Internal market imperative	29
2.2.6 Conclusion	31
2.3 Abuse of dominance and justifications from an ethical perspective	31
2.3.1 Introduction	31
2.3.2 Consequentialism and utilitarianism.....	32
2.3.3 Consequentialism and competition law	35
2.3.4 Consequentialism and consumer welfare	36
2.3.5 Consequentialism and justifications	38
2.3.6 Deontology.....	39
2.3.7 Kantian ethics and competition law	39
2.3.8 Kantian ethics and justifications	41
2.3.9 Conclusion	41
3 Problem definition, aim and scope of this study	42

4	Road map	46
5	Research methodology.....	47
Chapter II JUSTIFICATIONS IN EU LAW – A WIDER PERSPECTIVE.....		55
1	Introduction.....	55
2	Justifications in EU internal market law – exploring the free movement of goods.....	55
2.1	Introduction	55
2.2	Justifications under the free movement of goods – Article 36 TFEU.....	58
2.3	Justifications under the free movement of goods – The ‘mandatory requirements’ ..	62
2.4	Other derogations under the free movement of goods	66
3	Justifications in EU competition law.....	69
3.1	Introduction	69
3.2	Justifications under Article 101(3) TFEU	70
3.2.1	The relationship between Article 101 TFEU and Article 102 TFEU.....	70
3.2.2	The substance of Article 101(3) TFEU	72
3.2.3	Article 101(3) TFEU – efficiencies and beyond?	75
3.2.4	Lessons from Article 101(3) TFEU	79
3.3	Derogations under Article 101(1) TFEU	79
3.3.1	Introduction	79
3.3.2	Ancillary restraints	80
3.3.3	State compulsion.....	83
3.3.4	Influence by (quasi) public bodies.....	84
3.3.5	Lessons from Article 101(1) TFEU	86
3.4	Merger control.....	87
3.4.1	The legislative framework	87
3.4.2	Efficiencies in merger decisions	90
3.4.3	The failing firm plea	92
3.4.4	Public interest concerns in merger control	93
3.4.5	Lessons for Article 102 TFEU	94
4	Conclusion	95

Chapter III	OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION AND ARTICLE 102 TFEU	97
1	Introduction.....	97
2	Article 102 TFEU	98
2.1	The background and objectives of Article 102 TFEU	98
2.2	The sliding scale of market power	100
3	Objective justification under examination	103
3.1	Introduction	103
3.2	'Objective justification' and Article 102 TFEU	104
3.3	The use and role of 'objective justification' within Article 102 TFEU	108
3.4	Three types of objective justification	111
3.4.1	The various types of objective justification.....	111
3.4.2	Legitimate business behaviour – commercial freedom	113
3.4.3	Legitimate business behaviour – objective necessity	118
3.4.4	Legitimate business behaviour – The <i>BP</i> judgment	124
3.4.5	Efficiency	125
3.4.6	Public interest	132
4	The legal assessment of objective justification	141
4.1	Introduction	141
4.2	Legitimate aim & suitability	142
4.3	Necessity test.....	143
4.4	Proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i>	146
4.5	Intent	148
4.6	Effect.....	153
5	Justifications vis-à-vis specific types of abuse	156
5.1	Introduction	156
5.2	Predation	157
5.3	Rebates	159
5.4	Discrimination.....	161
5.5	Refusal to deal	163

5.6	Margin squeeze	166
6	Conclusion	167
Chapter IV	PROCEDURAL ASPECTS OF OBJECTIVE JUSTIFICATION	169
1	Introduction.....	169
2	The burden of proof	170
2.1	Introduction	170
2.2	The establishment of a <i>prima facie</i> abuse	171
2.3	Responding to the establishment of a <i>prima facie</i> abuse.....	172
2.4	Proving (the absence of) an objective justification.....	173
2.5	Examining the ECJ's approach	175
3	Standard of proof	176
3.1	Introduction	176
3.2	The standard of proof & judicial review	178
3.3	The ECHR perspective	179
3.4	Responding to the Commission establishing a <i>prima facie</i> abuse	183
3.5	The standard of proof related to the various types of objective justification	183
3.5.1	Introduction	183
3.5.2	Legitimate business behaviour.....	184
3.5.3	Efficiency	186
3.5.4	Public interest	188
4	The burden and standard of proof in a private law context.....	189
4.1	Introduction	189
4.2	Follow-on private action	190
4.3	Stand-alone private action.....	191
5	Conclusion	193
Chapter V	JUSTIFICATIONS IN EU MEMBER STATES	195
1	Introduction.....	195
2	Legislation & NCA guidance.....	197
2.1	Legislation	197

2.2	NCA guidance.....	199
3	Analysis of Member State case law and decisional practice	200
3.1	Introduction	200
3.2	Legitimate business behaviour	200
3.2.1	Introduction	200
3.2.2	Commercial freedom – General	201
3.2.3	Commercial freedom – Legitimate differentiation	207
3.2.4	Commercial freedom – The recovery of costs	210
3.2.5	Commercial freedom – Meeting competition.....	211
3.3	Objective necessity	214
3.4	Efficiency.....	216
3.4.1	Introduction	216
3.4.2	Domestic cases on efficiencies.....	216
3.5	Public interest.....	219
3.5.1	Introduction	219
3.5.2	Security & safety standards.....	219
3.5.3	Public interest – other reasons	221
4	Assessing objective justification pleas.....	222
4.1	Introduction	222
4.2	Legitimate aim & suitability	223
4.3	Necessity & availability of alternatives	224
4.4	Proportionality & reasonableness	226
5	Promising practices	228
6	Conclusion	231
Chapter VI	JUSTIFICATIONS IN THE COMMON LAW WORLD.....	233
1	Introduction.....	233
2	Australia	236
2.1	Introduction & legislation	236
2.2	Case law	236

3	Canada.....	242
3.1	Introduction & legislation	242
3.2	Case law	244
3.3	Competition Bureau guidance	250
3.3.1	The 2009 draft enforcement guidelines.....	250
3.3.2	The 2012 enforcement guidelines.....	250
4	Hong Kong	252
4.1	Introduction	252
4.2	Legislation	252
5	Singapore.....	254
5.1	Introduction & legislation	254
5.2	Guidelines	255
5.3	Case law	257
6	South Africa	259
6.1	Introduction	259
6.2	Legislation	260
6.3	Case law	261
7	United States	264
7.1	Introduction & legislation	264
7.2	Case law	265
7.3	Guidance	270
8	Comparative notes	271
8.1	Introduction	271
8.2	Cross-border influences	271
8.3	The legal framework of justifications.....	272
8.4	Available types of justification	275
8.4.1	Introduction	275
8.4.2	Efficiencies	276
8.4.3	Justifications other than efficiencies.....	278

8.5 Lessons for EU law	282
9 Conclusion	283
Chapter VII CONCLUSION	285
1 Justifications and unilateral conduct law: the groundwork	285
2 Lessons from other areas of EU law	286
3 The substance of objective justification and Article 102 TFEU.....	289
4 The procedure of objective justification and Article 102 TFEU	292
5 Objective justification at the level of EU Member States.....	293
6 Justifications in non-EU jurisdictions	295
7 Key lessons	296
Annex A. Abbreviations.....	300
Annex B. List of cases	303
Annex C. List of literature.....	320
Annex D. Curriculum Vitae	328