

The connection between mass and light in galaxy clusters Sifón Andalaft, C.J.

Citation

Sifón Andalaft, C. J. (2016, September 7). *The connection between mass and light in galaxy clusters*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/42752

| Version: | Not Applicable (or Unknown) |
|------------------|--|
| License: | <u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u> |
| Downloaded from: | https://hdl.handle.net/1887/42752 |

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/42752</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Sifón Andalaft, Cristóbal Title: The connection between mass and light in galaxy clusters Issue Date: 2016-09-07

Introduction

Our view of the Cosmos changed dramatically during the first third of the 20th century. The idea of a deterministic, stationary Universe governed by Newtonian dynamics with absolute measures of time and space had to be abandoned as Quantum Mechanics and the Special and General Theories of Relativity revolutionized our understanding of the very small, the very fast and the very large, respectively, along with our notions of space and time themselves.

It was not long until astronomical observations revolutionized our understanding of the Universe just a little more and, with that, our very own place in it. The year 1920 was host to one of the most famous astronomical discussions ever to take place. In what was termed "the Great Debate," Harlow Shapley and Heber D. Curtis discussed (among other topics) the extent of the Milky Way and its place in the Universe (Shapley & Curtis 1921; a thorough review of the debate and its context is presented by Trimble 1995). Shapley argued that the Milky Way, with a size of up to 100 kpc (with 1 kpc = 3,260 light years), encompassed the entire Universe, while Curtis argued that other "spiral nebulae" were distinct galaxies much like our own Milky Way (which, he argued, was much smaller and hosted the Sun in its very centre). The issue was settled not long after, thanks to the observation of Cepheid variable stars in the Andromeda nebula by Hubble (1925). It was already known at that time that a Cepheid's distance can be inferred by measuring the duration of its variability cycle, since they follow a tight period-luminosity relation. While Shapley was right that the Sun is not located at the centre of the Milky Way, Curtis was right about the nebulae: Hubble's observations were definitive proof that these spiral nebulae could not be part of our Galaxy, and marked the birth of *extragalactic* astronomy.

A few years later, Hubble (1929) showed that there exists a linear relation between the distance and the velocity of galaxies other than the Milky Way (now known as Hubble's law), which became the first solid evidence for an expanding Universe. He based this inference on measurements of i) the period of Cepheid stars (from which he inferred their luminosities and thereby their distances) and ii) the Doppler shift of their spectra, using spectroscopic observations made by Vesto Slipher. Detailed discussions of pre-1929 observations of receding galaxies and an expanding Universe are presented by Trimble (2012, 2013).

Then, in 1933 Zwicky showed that galaxies in galaxy clusters move faster than the sum of their masses would be able to hold gravitationally, and inferred that there must be 10 to



Figure 1.1: The top panel shows the cosmic microwave background temperature power spectrum measured by the *Planck* satellite (blue points with errorbars) and the best-fit Λ CDM model (red curve). The bottom panel shows the residuals when subtracting the best-fit model from the data points. The agreement, over three orders of magnitude in scale, is remarkable. Figure from Planck Collaboration (2015a).

100 times more mass hidden from us. Decades later, Rubin et al. (1980) came to the same conclusion by studying the motions of stars within spiral galaxies. Zwicky's observations are now credited as the discovery of dark matter (e.g., Trimble 1987; Einasto 2013).

Our modern 'A cold dark matter' (ACDM) standard model of cosmology came to be complete with four additional ingredients: primordial nucleosynthesis (the theory that the lightest chemical elements formed during the big bang; Alpher et al. 1948); the discovery of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) by Penzias & Wilson (1965), predicted by the hot big bang hypothesis (Dicke et al. 1965); the development of the inflationary model, which explains the homogeneity of the CMB with a brief period of exponential growth in the very early Universe (Guth 1981); and the discovery of the Universe's accelerated expansion (Riess et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1999). We use the term 'dark energy' to refer to the cause of this accelerated expansion, whatever it may be, and is represented by the "A" in ACDM. Dark energy makes up approximately 70% of the energy density of the Universe, while dark matter amounts to about 25%. Consequently, only about 5% of the Universe corresponds to 'normal' luminous matter (Spergel et al. 2003; Planck Collaboration 2015a). The success of this model is summarized most spectacularly by measurements of the CMB temperature power spectrum, the latest and most precise of which was presented by Planck Collaboration (2015a) and is reproduced in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.2: The local (z < 0.2) cosmic web as seen by the 2-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey. Each black dot is a galaxy with a spectroscopic redshift. Figure from Peacock (2002).

1.1. Galaxies and their dark matter haloes

The cosmological model introduced above predicts that structure grows hierarchically: small structures form first and then merge to give rise to larger structures. This continuous mass accretion and merging process has given rise to what we term the 'Cosmic Web', a complicated arrangement of galaxies into 'voids', 'sheets', 'filaments' and 'knots'. Figure 1.2 shows the local (z < 0.2) cosmic web as seen by the 2-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (Colless et al. 2001).

Numerical simulations show that dark matter traces the same cosmic web as galaxies. Although we cannot observe dark matter directly, we can measure its consequences on observable galaxies. According to the General Theory of Relativity, the local curvature of space is intimately linked to the local mass distribution, so that space is curved around mass overdensities, and more so for more massive overdensities. This local curvature of space deflects the path of light coming from galaxies located behind the overdensity in question (called *lens* hereafter), so that light that from these galaxies circumvents the lens. As a result, the apparent shapes of galaxies in the background of the lens (referred to as *sources* hereafter) are elongated preferentially along the tangent of the lens mass distribution, and the strength of this elongation is proportional to the surface mass density around the lens. If the background source is close enough to the line of sight to the centre of the lens, then this deflection of light produces multiple images of the same source; we call this effect strong lensing. If the source is extended (for instance, a spiral galaxy), some of these images may show up as strong lensing *arcs*. Further out, the effect is a very weak distortion of the shape of sources, and can only be measured as a statistical, average coherent distortion of the shapes of the population of background sources. This regime is referred to as *weak lensing*. Figure 1.3 illustrates the effect of gravitational lensing on a



Figure 1.3: Illustration of the gravitational lensing effect. The left panel shows a simulated population of galaxies, whose images are distorted in the right panel by the presence of a massive galaxy cluster located at the centre of the dashed black circle (the cluster is not shown in either panel). The images of galaxies approximately within the dashed circle are affected by strong lensing: they are multiply-imaged because their light reaches us through more than one path (though this effect is not obvious in the figure), and they look highly distorted by the cluster. Outside the circle, the image of each galaxy is only very slightly distorted and the effect must be measured statistically. This is illustrated by the two sticks at the top right of the right panel: the lower stick shows the average orientation of the lensed images. Figure from Mellier (1999).

population of background sources by a galaxy cluster, where both the strong and weak lensing regimes can be observed.

Strong and weak lensing offer complementary probes of the mass distribution in galaxies and galaxy clusters, allowing us to map their mass distributions separately on small and large scales, respectively. Strong lensing gives the most detailed view of the inner regions of individual galaxies, and can constrain the initial stellar mass function in galaxies and the density profile of dark matter haloes, which is a stringent test of the Λ CDM model (for a review, see Courteau et al. 2014). Weak lensing, on the other side, reveals the average relation between stellar and total mass. For instance, Hudson et al. (2015) used weak lensing measurements around galaxies at 0.3 < z < 0.7 to show that blue galaxies form stars just as efficiently as they accrete dark matter from their surroundings, while the stellar mass fraction of red galaxies decrease with time, consistent with the hypothesis that they grow purely by accretion of dark matter. This picture is summarized in Figure 1.4.

The picture above applies to *central* galaxies—the galaxies living at the centres of their dark matter haloes. Galaxies that are not central galaxies are referred to as *satellite* galaxies, and they have a very different interaction with their environment. We take a closer look at satellite galaxies in Section 1.3.



Figure 1.4: Evolution in the stellar mass fraction, f_* , over 0.3 < z < 0.7 from weak lensing measurements combined with independent measurements of stellar masses. Data points with red and blue errorbars refer to red and blue galaxies, respectively. The lines correspond to a model where blue galaxies evolve by forming stars at a rate equal to the rate of dark matter accretion (whereby their stellar mass grows just as much as their dark matter mass), while red galaxies evolve only by the accretion of dark matter, and their stellar mass content does not evolve. White arrows show the evolution of the stellar mass fraction of a single galaxy in this model. The model provides a good fit to the data. Figure from Hudson et al. (2015).

1.2. Galaxy clusters in a nutshell

As mentioned above, galaxies and their dark matter haloes are distributed following a complicated pattern termed the cosmic web. At the intersections of this cosmic web lie galaxy clusters, the most massive gravitationally-bound structures formed so far in the Universe. As the name suggests, galaxy clusters are objects in which galaxies abound a massive cluster can host hundreds of bright galaxies (e.g., Abell 1958). However, this simple description was rendered insufficient early on by Zwicky (1933, discussed above). We now know that galaxy clusters, with sizes of 1–2 Mpc and masses of up to a few times $10^{15} M_{\odot}$, are mostly made of dark matter (roughly 80% of their mass); only about 2% of their mass is in stars, while the remaining 18% is in a hot ionized gas with temperatures exceeding 10^7 K that can be observed at X-ray wavelengths (e.g., Sarazin 1986).

1.2.1. Mass proxies and cosmological leverage

Galaxy clusters can be used to quantify the ability of the Universe to aggregate matter and therefore serve as powerful cosmological probes. The number of clusters in the Universe at a given time—and their masses—depends on the matter density of the Universe, usually parametrized as $\Omega_m \equiv \rho_m / \rho_c$ (where ρ_c is the 'critical' density needed for the Universe to be flat), the size of density fluctuations left after the inflationary period, parametrized by σ_8 , and the rate of expansion in the Universe, which can be quantified by the dark energy

density, $\Omega_{\Lambda} \equiv \rho_{\Lambda} / \rho_{c}$.

Exploiting clusters as cosmological probes requires knowledge of their masses, which is not an easy quantity to estimate. While gravitational lensing—the deflection of light due to the mass-induced curvature of space—provides a direct measurement of the surface mass density (which can be deprojected into a total mass under some assumptions), it has not been generally available for large samples of clusters.

Because of this, considerable effort has been devoted to characterize a variety of mass *proxies*—observable quantities that, we hope, depend on mass in as simple a manner as possible, but also that are readily measurable with current capabilities. The most obvious of these is the number of galaxies, usually referred to as 'richness', which has received considerable attention in recent years. Although initial attempts found that the richness was a very noisy mass proxy, recent studies have found that a properly-defined richness can be as good a proxy as any other (Rykoff et al. 2012; Andreon 2015). Also common are X-ray–derived mass proxies, including the X-ray luminosity, the gas temperature and the gas mass. Of these, the gas mass shows the least scatter (Mahdavi et al. 2013) but is the most difficult to obtain, and is currently only available for rather small samples.

A novel mass proxy, which has only been measurable in recent years thanks to new, sensitive high-resolution millimeter-wave surveys, is the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (SZ, Sunyaev & Zel'dovich 1972) effect. The SZ effect is produced by the interaction of CMB photons with the hot electrons in galaxy clusters; this interaction increases the energy of CMB photons and creates 'holes' in observations at frequencies around 150 GHz in the direction of galaxy clusters. In a sense, therefore, observing the SZ effect is like seeing the shadow of a galaxy cluster. Because it is a CMB observable, the SZ surface brightness is independent of the redshift of the cluster producing it, and SZ surveys reveal the most massive clusters at all redshifts (Hasselfield et al. 2013; Bleem et al. 2015).¹ In contrast, X-ray or optical observations reveal flux from the clusters themselves and are therefore generally limited to rather low redshift. In addition, the relation between SZ effect and mass has been predicted to have very little scatter (at a level of 5–10%; e.g., Motl et al. 2005; Battaglia et al. 2012), although observations have shown that these predictions were rather optimistic (Benson et al. 2013; Sifón et al. 2013).

Massive galaxy clusters at high redshift have a particularly strong leverage on cosmological parameters (Vikhlinin et al. 2009), so SZ surveys are well-suited for cosmological parameter inference; the characterization of the SZ effect as a mass proxy is an active field of study. Figure 1.5 shows the constraints on cosmological parameters from galaxy clusters detected in the SZ survey by the Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT, Hasselfield et al. 2013) and the *Planck* satellite (Planck Collaboration 2015c). Both analyses found that the main limitation to the constraining power is given by the unknown conversion from SZ effect to cluster mass (rather than statistical uncertainties), even though the ACT analysis is based on only 15 clusters.

¹This is not true for the SZ survey carried out with the *Planck* satellite, which is mostly limited to z < 0.6 (Planck Collaboration 2015b). This is because high-redshift clusters have a small angular extent, so their SZ signal is diluted by the large beam of *Planck* (roughly 5').



Figure 1.5: Constraints on cosmological parameters Ω_m and σ_8 from galaxy clusters detected by the Atacama Cosmology Telescope (left, from Hasselfield et al. 2013) and the *Planck* satellite (right, from Planck Collaboration 2015c) through their SZ effect. Black contours in the left and right panel show constraints from primary CMB measurements by the WMAP and *Planck* satellites, respectively. The broader, coloured contours show different assumptions about the scaling between SZ effect and mass. Clearly, this dominates the uncertainty budget on cosmological parameters.

1.3. Mass and light in cluster galaxies

The galaxies we readily see in galaxy clusters tell us the story of a harsh, unforgiving environment: unlike the spiral galaxies (or 'nebulae') known since time immemorial (but whose spiral nature was discovered by William Parsons, 3rd Earl of Rosse, in 1845, and whose physical properties have only been characterized over the course of the past century), galaxies in clusters are typically of elliptical shape and a distinct reddish colour (Dressler 1980; Gladders & Yee 2000). This difference arises because galaxies entering clusters suffer the fast removal of their cold (~ 10 K) gas (which can collapse to form stars); the galaxies are then left only with old stars, which on average look redder than the bluer young stars (hence the colour of spiral galaxies).

This transformation is facilitated mainly by three distinct effects. *Galaxy harassment* is the process by which a galaxy removes the gas from another galaxy due to a high-speed encounter or fly-by (Moore et al. 1996). *Ram pressure stripping* is the removal of galactic gas by the gas in the intracluster medium, because the galaxy is traversing it at high speed (Gunn & Gott 1972; Nulsen 1982). Finally, *strangulation* refers to the process by which the strong tidal forces produced by the gravitational potential of the cluster remove the cold gas from the galaxy (Larson et al. 1980). Whatever the exact relevance of each mechanism, these effects seem to remove the star formation fuel from galaxies on relatively short timescales of about 1 billion years or less (e.g. Haines et al. 2013; Muzzin et al. 2014). Moreover, the evidence suggests that these effects are strong enough to suppress star formation even in low-mass galaxy groups (Haines et al. 2015; Balogh et al. 2016).

1.3.1. Tidal effects on cluster galaxies

Because galaxies do not follow radial orbits within clusters, they are subject to strong tidal torques from the cluster's gravitational potential. These torques have an effect on both the shapes and the masses of cluster galaxies.

Regarding the shapes, the tidal torques tend to align the galaxies such that their major axes point towards the centre of the host cluster. In numerical simulations of dark matter (where gravity is the only force present), this process is very efficient, and dark matter *subhaloes* are tidally aligned with the host dark matter halo after the first pericentre passage, and remain aligned thereafter (e.g., Kuhlen et al. 2007; Pereira & Bryan 2010). However, simulations that incorporate gas and stars have shown that the case is not so clear-cut for galaxies. These simulations show that there is a degree of *mis*alignment between the two components, such that the alignment of stellar light in galaxies is probably much weaker than that of the dark matter. Observational constraints on the strength of these cluster galaxy alignments, if any, can also have a strong impact on ongoing and upcoming cosmic shear surveys such as the Kilo-Degree Survey (KiDS, de Jong et al. 2015) or Euclid (Laureijs et al. 2011), as these *intrinsic* alignments are anti-correlated with the apparent alignment of background sources produced by gravitational lensing (Hirata & Seljak 2004). If not accounted for, they could introduce significant biases on cosmological parameters inferred from cosmic shear measurements.

If the visible parts of galaxies undergo such dramatic changes when they become satellites, similar effects might apply to the dark component. Just like in isolated galaxies and galaxy clusters, dark matter is expected to be the dominant mass component in cluster galaxies; exactly how much so is not well known. In fact, the same tidal forces that might cause galaxies to align also act to transfer mass from the satellite galaxy to the host cluster. Statistical measurements of this *tidal stripping* effect are particularly challenging: in addition to the difficulty of measuring the masses of galaxies in general, one must be able to identify galaxies belonging to clusters in the first place.

Measuring the total masses of cluster galaxies has implications not only for models of galaxy formation and evolution, but also for cosmology. Specifically, the energy of the postulated dark matter particle defines the amount of mass that is contained in substructures within the large scale structure (i.e., galaxies in clusters, or satellite galaxies around massive galaxies). A universe where dark matter is "warm" produces less substructure than one where dark matter is "cold" (e.g., Libeskind et al. 2013). Therefore the fraction of mass in cluster galaxies (relative to the total mass of a galaxy cluster) depends on the energy of the dark matter particle. The cold dark matter model provides a good description of large-scale structure observations and is the most widely-accepted scenario (e.g., Blumenthal et al. 1984; Frenk & White 2012); accurate measurements of cluster galaxy masses would provide a complementary test of it.

1.4. This thesis

In this thesis we use a variety of observations and techniques to study the connection between the mass and light contents of galaxy clusters from different perspectives. The implications of different aspects of this connection have been briefly outlined above and are discussed in more detail in each chapter.

In Chapter 2 we characterize PLCK G004.5-19.5, a galaxy cluster recently discovered by the *Planck* satellite through its SZ effect. We present the first optical images of this cluster, measure its redshift (z = 0.52) and identify multiple images of a lensed background galaxy, which allows us to perform a strong lensing analysis. We also show that PLCK G004.5–19.5 hosts diffuse radio emission—the tell-tale sign of cluster mergers and, to this day, an extremely rare sight at z > 0.4.

In **Chapter 3** we use extensive spectroscopic observations of galaxy clusters detected through the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect to measure the velocity dispersions of their member galaxies. Taking previous results from hydrodynamical simulations, we convert these velocity dispersions into cluster masses and compare them to the strength of the SZ effect, with the aim of characterizing the latter to allow its use for cosmological parameter inference. We pay particular attention to sources of uncertainty and scatter in the determination of the velocity dispersions, and conclude that the dominant uncertainty comes from the identification of member galaxies, which poses an irreducible uncertainty on velocity dispersions as mass proxies.

In the second half of this thesis we turn our attention to the galaxies residing in clusters. In particular, in **Chapter 4** we investigate the alignment of the shapes of cluster galaxies. We base our study on a sample of 90 clusters with deep, wide-field observations devised for accurate weak lensing measurements. We first perform a thorough literature search for spectroscopic redshifts with which to select galaxies physically belonging to these clusters, resulting in a sample of more than 14,000 cluster galaxies. We then measure the orientations of cluster galaxies to see if there are any signs of alignment of galaxies within clusters. We place upper limits on the strength of these alignments and show that these upper limits are within the statistical uncertainty expected for ongoing cosmic shear surveys.

In **Chapter 5** we exploit the overlap between the spectroscopic Galaxy And Mass Assembly survey (GAMA) and the deep photometric Kilo-Degree Survey to measure the masses of satellite galaxies in galaxy groups using weak gravitational lensing, with the aim of constraining the segregation of group galaxies by mass. The spectroscopic nature of the galaxy group catalogue ensures we can do this essentially free of contamination from both non-group galaxies and central galaxies. **Chapter 5** represents a first step in understanding the connection between cluster galaxies and dark matter haloes from weak gravitational lensing.

Finally, in **Chapter 6** we extend the lensing measurements of **Chapter 5** to more massive galaxy clusters using the dataset produced for **Chapter 4**. Using weak lensing measurements of the masses of cluster galaxies, we constrain the stellar-to-subhalo mass relation and study the mass segregation of cluster galaxies. We find results that are broadly consistent with expectations but conclude, in fact, that the primary limiting aspect