



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The connection between mass and light in galaxy clusters

Sifón Andalaft, C.J.

Citation

Sifón Andalaft, C. J. (2016, September 7). *The connection between mass and light in galaxy clusters*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/42752>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/42752>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/42752> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Sifón Andalaft, Cristóbal

Title: The connection between mass and light in galaxy clusters

Issue Date: 2016-09-07

The Connection Between Mass and Light in Galaxy Clusters

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 7 september 2016
klokke 10:00 uur

door

Cristóbal Sifón Andalaft
geboren te Punta Arenas, Chili in 1986

Promotiecommissie

Promotor: Prof. dr. Konrad Kuijken
Co-Promotor: Dr. Henk Hoekstra

Overige leden: Prof. dr. August Evrard (University of Michigan)
Prof. dr. Huub Röttgering
Prof. dr. Joop Schaye
Dr. Frank van den Bosch (Yale Universtiy)

A Dani y Martina

Cover design: Andrés Castillo.

Front cover: Background image: Galaxy cluster MACS J0717.5+3745, with matter (blue, from weak lensing) and gas (red, from X-ray imaging) distributions overlaid. Image credit: NASA, ESA, CXC, C. Ma, H. Ebeling, and E. Barrett (University of Hawaii/IfA), et al., and STScI. Front image: Leiden Observatory, picture by Daniela Egas.

Back cover: Hubble Space Telescope image of the galaxy cluster ACT-CL J0102–4915, “El Gordo”. Image credit: NASA, ESA, J. Jee (University of California, Davis), J. Hughes (Rutgers University), F. Menanteau (Rutgers University and University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign), C. Sifón (Leiden Observatory), R. Mandelbaum (Carnegie Mellon University), L. Barrientos (Universidad Católica de Chile), and K. Ng (University of California, Davis).

Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Galaxies and their dark matter haloes	3
1.2. Galaxy clusters in a nutshell	5
1.2.1. Mass proxies and cosmological leverage	5
1.3. Mass and light in cluster galaxies	7
1.3.1. Tidal effects on cluster galaxies	7
1.4. This thesis	8
2. Strong lensing analysis of PLCK G004.5–19.5 at $z = 0.52$	11
2.1. Introduction	12
2.2. Observations and data analysis	12
2.2.1. SZ and X-ray data	12
2.2.2. Optical imaging	13
2.2.3. Optical spectroscopy	14
2.3. Strong lensing analysis	15
2.3.1. Strong lensing model	15
2.3.2. External constraints	18
2.4. Radio emission	19
2.5. Conclusions	22
3. Dynamical masses of galaxy clusters selected through the Sunyaev-Zel’dovich effect	23
3.1. Introduction	24
3.2. Data and observations	26
3.2.1. The Atacama Cosmology Telescope	26
3.2.2. ACT SZ-selected clusters in the equator	27
3.2.3. Gemini/GMOS spectroscopy	27
3.2.4. SALT/RSS spectroscopy	29
3.2.5. Archival data	29
3.2.6. Comparison between redshift measurements	31
3.3. Velocity Dispersions and Dynamical Masses	31
3.3.1. Velocity dispersion measurements	31

3.3.2.	Calibrating velocity dispersions with the Multidark simulation	32
3.3.3.	From velocity dispersions to dynamical masses	37
3.3.4.	Dynamical mass estimates	39
3.3.5.	Comparison to SZ-derived masses	41
3.3.6.	Cluster substructure	44
3.3.7.	The impact of centring on the BCG	46
3.4.	Notable clusters	46
3.4.1.	Previously studied ACT clusters	47
3.4.2.	ACT-CL J0218.2–0041	47
3.4.3.	ACT-CL J0326.8–0043	48
3.4.4.	ACT-CL 2050.5–0055	49
3.4.5.	ACT-CL J2055.4+0105	51
3.4.6.	ACT-CL J2302.5+0002	51
3.5.	Conclusions	52
3.A.	Eddington bias and selection effects	53
3.B.	Velocity histograms	56
4.	Galaxy alignments in massive clusters from ~14,000 spectroscopic mem-	59
	bers	
4.1.	Introduction	60
4.2.	Data	62
4.2.1.	Cluster sample and photometry	62
4.2.2.	Spectroscopic data	63
4.3.	Galaxy samples	64
4.3.1.	Spectroscopic members and dynamical masses	64
4.3.2.	Red sequence members	71
4.3.3.	Photometric redshift contamination	73
4.3.4.	Control samples	74
4.4.	Measuring intrinsic alignments	74
4.4.1.	Different alignment signals	75
4.4.2.	Shape measurements	76
4.4.3.	Systematic effects	77
4.5.	Results	79
4.5.1.	Satellite radial alignment	79
4.5.2.	Satellite-BCG alignment	83
4.5.3.	Satellite-satellite alignment	83
4.5.4.	Is there an agreement on the level of galaxy alignments in groups and clusters?	84
4.6.	Contamination to cosmic shear measurements	85
4.6.1.	Linear alignment model	86
4.6.2.	Halo model	87
4.6.3.	Impact of alignments within haloes on the power spectra	87
4.7.	Conclusions	89

5. The masses of satellites in GAMA galaxy groups from 100 square degrees of KiDS weak lensing data	91
5.1. Introduction	92
5.2. Galaxy samples	94
5.2.1. Lens galaxies: satellites in the GAMA galaxy group catalogue	94
5.2.2. Lensed background sources: the Kilo-Degree Survey	96
5.3. Galaxy-galaxy lensing of satellite galaxies	98
5.3.1. The satellite lensing signal	99
5.3.2. Host group contribution	101
5.3.3. Satellite contribution	103
5.4. Results	105
5.4.1. Fitting procedure	105
5.4.2. Group masses and mass-concentration relation	106
5.4.3. The masses of satellite galaxies	109
5.4.4. The average subhalo mass	109
5.4.5. Sensitivity to contamination in the group catalogue	110
5.5. Conclusions	111
5.A. Full satellite lensing correlation matrix and the contribution from sample variance	112
6. The galaxy-subhalo connection in low-redshift galaxy clusters from weak gravitational lensing	115
6.1. Introduction	116
6.2. Weak galaxy-galaxy lensing	118
6.2.1. Statistical errors: data covariance	119
6.3. Data set	119
6.3.1. Cluster and lens galaxy samples	120
6.3.2. Source galaxy sample	122
6.4. Bias assessment and calibration through image simulations	123
6.4.1. Shape measurements	123
6.4.2. Obscuration and contamination by cluster members	125
6.4.3. Resulting lensing signal	126
6.5. Satellite galaxy-galaxy lensing model	127
6.5.1. Host cluster contribution	128
6.5.2. Subhalo contribution	129
6.5.3. Fitting procedure	129
6.6. The connection between mass and light in satellite galaxies	130
6.6.1. The subhalo-to-stellar mass relation	130
6.6.2. Subhalo mass segregation	134
6.6.3. Host clusters	137
6.7. Conclusions	137
6.A. Lens-induced bias on the shape measurements	139

Nederlandse samenvatting	153
Resumen en Castellano	161
Curriculum Vitae	167
Acknowledgements	169