



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Judicial review of legislation : constitutionalism personified in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and South Africa

Schyff, G. van der

Citation

Schyff, G. van der. (2010, May 20). *Judicial review of legislation : constitutionalism personified in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and South Africa. Ius gentium: comparative perspectives on law and justice*. Dordrecht-New York, Springer. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/15517>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/15517>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

JUDICIAL REVIEW OF LEGISLATION
Constitutionalism Personified in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and South
Africa

Gerhard van der Schyff

Judicial Review of Legislation

*Constitutionalism Personified in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands
and South Africa*

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. P.F. van der Heijden,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 20 mei 2010
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Gerhard van der Schyff

geboren te Krugersdorp (Republiek van Zuid-Afrika)

in 1977

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor: prof. dr. P.B. Cliteur

Overige leden: prof. dr. A. Ellian

prof. dr. W.J.M. Voermans

prof. dr. T. Zwart (Universiteit Utrecht)

This thesis has been reproduced with the kind permission of Springer SBM. Springer SBM will publish the thesis under the title *Judicial Review of Legislation: A Study of the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and South Africa*.

Judicial Review of Legislation: A Comparative Study of the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and South Africa

CHAPTER 1 Setting the scene (§§ 1-17)

- 1 Emerging bipolar constitutionalism**
- 2 Selecting comparative material**
- 3 Delineating the function of judicial review**
 - 3.1 National courts applying higher law**
 - 3.2 Reviewing legislation**
 - 3.3 Public law relationships**
- 4 Outline of study**

CHAPTER 2 Three systems of judicial review (§§ 18-75)

- 1 Pursuing constitutionalism**
- 2 United Kingdom**
 - 2.1 An unwritten constitution and the Mother of All Parliaments**
 - 2.2 Bringing rights home**
- 3 The Netherlands**
 - 3.1 Consensus democracy and an internationalised constitution**
 - 3.2 Revitalising the Constitution by calling on the judiciary?**
- 4 South Africa**
 - 4.1 Parliamentary sovereignty and restricted democracy**
 - 4.2 Constitutional supremacy and full democracy**
- 5 Identifying trends**

CHAPTER 3 Judicial review and democracy (§§ 76-121)

- 1 Counter-majoritarianism**
- 2 Democratic participation**
- 3 Democratic legitimacy of laws**
- 4 Legitimacy of judicial decisions**
- 5 Trias politica re-configured**
- 6 Fora of principle**
- 7 Benefit to democracy**
- 8 Charting the middle ground**

CHAPTER 4 Fora of review (§§ 122-169)

- 1 Introduction**
- 2 United Kingdom: Following tradition**
- 3 The Netherlands: Pragmatism first**
- 4 South Africa: A new beginning**
- 5 Concluding remarks**

CHAPTER 5 Modalities of review (§§ 170-219)

- 1 Introduction**
- 2 United Kingdom: Respecting parliamentary sovereignty**
 - 2.1 Abstract review of bills**
 - 2.2 Abstract or concrete review of legislation**
- 3 The Netherlands: Emphasising the review of posited norms**

- 3.1 Abstract review of bills
- 3.2 Abstract or concrete review of legislation
- 4 South Africa: Protecting political minorities
 - 4.1 Abstract review of bills
 - 4.2 Abstract or concrete review of legislation
- 5 Concluding remarks

CHAPTER 6 Content of review (§§ 220-294)

- 1 Introduction
- 2 United Kingdom: Discovering proportionality
 - 2.1 Legality
 - 2.2 Legitimacy
- 3 The Netherlands: Which way forward?
 - 3.1 Legality
 - 3.2 Legitimacy
- 4 South Africa: Wide-ranging scrutiny
 - 4.1 Legality
 - 4.2 Legitimacy
- 5 Concluding remarks

CHAPTER 7 Consequences of review (§§ 295-335)

- 1 Introduction
- 2 United Kingdom: Preferring weak review
- 3 The Netherlands: Non-application of legislation
- 4 South Africa: Exploring strong review
- 5 Concluding remarks

CHAPTER 8 Constitutionalism personified (§§ 336-347)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

SUMMARY

SAMENVATTING

CURRICULUM VITAE