



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Solving multiplication and division problems: latent variable modeling of students' solution strategies and performance

Fagginger Auer, M.F.

Citation

Fagginger Auer, M. F. (2016, June 15). *Solving multiplication and division problems: latent variable modeling of students' solution strategies and performance*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/40117>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/40117>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/40117> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Fagginger Auer, M.F.

Title: Solving multiplication and division problems: latent variable modeling of students' solution strategies and performance

Issue Date: 2016-06-15

Solving multiplication and division problems

Latent variable modeling of students' solution strategies
and performance

Fagginger Auer, Marije F.

Solving multiplication and division problems:

Latent variable modeling of students' solution strategies and performance

Copyright © 2016 by Marije Fagginger Auer

Cover design by Joran A. Kuijper

Printed by Ridderprint BV

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronically, mechanically, by photocopy, by recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the author.

ISBN 978-94-6299-343-3

Solving multiplication and division problems

Latent variable modeling of students' solution strategies
and performance

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C. J. J. M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 15 juni 2016
klokke 16.15 uur

door Marije Femke Fagginger Auer
geboren op 8 mei 1988 te Utrecht

Promotor:

prof. dr. W. J. Heiser

Copromotores:

dr. C. M. van Putten	(Universiteit Leiden)
dr. M. Hickendorff	(Universiteit Leiden)
dr. A. A. Béguin	(Cito Instituut voor Toetsontwikkeling)

Promotiecommissie:

prof. dr. M. E. J. Raijmakers	(Universiteit van Amsterdam)
prof. dr. M. J. de Rooij	(Universiteit Leiden)
dr. S. H. G. van der Ven	(Universiteit Utrecht)
prof. dr. L. Verschaffel	(KU Leuven)

Acknowledgement:

The research described in this thesis was financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), as the project 'Mathematics instruction in the classroom and students' strategy use and achievement in primary education' with project number 411-10-706.

Contents

List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	vi
1 General introduction	1
1.1 Solution strategies in cognitive psychology	2
1.2 Solution strategies in mathematics education	4
1.3 Contents of this dissertation	7
2 Multilevel latent class analysis for large-scale educational assessment data: Exploring the relation between the curriculum and students' mathematical strategies	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Method	18
2.3 Results	22
2.4 Discussion	28
3 Using LASSO penalization for explanatory IRT: An application on covariates for mathematical achievement in a large-scale assessment	33
3.1 Introduction	33
3.2 Method	41
3.3 Results	45
3.4 Discussion	49
3.A Teacher survey questions	52

4	Solution strategies and adaptivity in multidigit division in a choice/no-choice experiment: Student and instructional factors	57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Method	63
4.3	Results	66
4.4	Discussion	71
5	Affecting students' choices between mental and written solution strategies for division problems	77
5.1	Introduction	77
5.2	Method	82
5.3	Results	88
5.4	Discussion	95
5.A	Student questionnaire	99
5.B	Teacher questionnaire	100
6	Single-task versus mixed-task mathematics performance and strategy use: Switch costs and perseveration	103
6.1	Introduction	103
6.2	Method	107
6.3	Results	111
6.4	Discussion	113
7	General discussion	117
7.1	Substantive conclusions	118
7.2	Methodological conclusions	121
7.3	Future directions	123
	References	127
	Nederlandse samenvatting	141
	Opzet van dit proefschrift	143
	Bevindingen	144
	Dankwoord	149
	Curriculum vitae	151

List of Figures

1.1	Use of the different multiplication and division strategies on the assessments in 1997, 2004 and 2011 (percentage correct per strategy in 2011 is given between brackets). The lines are broken because the items that are compared for 1997 and 2004 are different from those compared for 2004 and 2011.	8
3.1	Penalized regression coefficients and BICs for the different settings of λ in the LASSO penalized IRT model (dashed vertical line at optimal $\lambda = 35$).	47
5.1	The step-by-step plans (the lower one for students using the digit-based algorithm, and the upper one for students using the whole-number-based algorithm).	85

List of Tables

1.1	Examples of written work for different multiplication and division strategies for the problems 23×56 and $544 \div 34$	6
-----	---	---

2.1	Examples of the digit-based algorithms, whole-number-based algorithms, and non-algorithmic strategies applied to the multiplication problem 23×56 and the division problem $544 \div 34$	15
2.2	The content of the thirteen multidigit multiplication problems and eight multidigit division problems in the assessment, and the strategy use frequency on each item.	19
2.3	Fit statistics for the non-parametric and parametric multilevel latent class models.	23
2.4	The mean probabilities of choosing each of the six strategies for the multiplication and division problems for each latent class.	24
2.5	The latent student class probabilities in each of the four latent teacher classes.	25
2.6	Fit statistics for the latent class models with successively added predictors.	26
2.7	Students' probabilities of membership of the four latent student classes for different levels of the student characteristics and the intended and enacted curriculum predictors.	27
3.1	Examples for the multiplication and division strategy categories.	39
3.2	The content of the thirteen multidigit multiplication items and eight multidigit division items in the assessment and the percentage of correct solutions.	43
3.3	Use and (observed and estimated) accuracy of the multiplication and division strategies.	45
3.4	Effects of the student characteristics and selected teacher covariates.	48
4.1	Examples of applications of the different strategies on $850 \div 25$	60
4.2	The three versions of the eight problems in the division problem set.	63
4.3	The questions from the values questionnaire for the students' teachers.	64
4.4	Strategy use in the choice, NC-mental and NC-written calculation condition.	67
4.5	Efficiency of required mental and written calculation in the respective no-choice conditions.	68
4.6	Performance in terms of accuracy and speed with free strategy choice and NC-written calculation, split by strategy choice in the choice condition.	71

5.1 Examples of the digit-based algorithm, whole-number-based algorithm, and non-algorithmic strategies applied to the division problem $544 \div 34$. 80

5.2 The division problems that students had to solve at the pretest and posttest. 84

5.3 Explanatory IRT models for training effects on written strategy choices and accuracy (all comparisons are to M_{n-1}). 92

5.4 Strategy use proportions on the pretest and posttest in the intervention, control and no training conditions. 93

6.1 The twelve division and twelve other problems (order shown for the mixed condition). 109

6.2 Examples for the different strategy coding categories for the division problem $544 \div 34$ 110

6.3 Performance in the single and mixed task condition in terms of accuracy and speed. 111

6.4 Strategy use in the single-task and mixed-task condition. 111

