

Argument structure, alignment and auxiliaries between Latin and Romance. A diachronic syntactic account

Migliori, L.

Citation

Migliori, L. (2016, June 8). Argument structure, alignment and auxiliaries between Latin and Romance. A diachronic syntactic account. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Utrecht. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/40052

Version:	Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/40052

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/40052</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Migliori, L. Title: Argument structure, alignment and auxiliaries between Latin and Romance. A diachronic syntactic account Issue Date: 2016-06-08 Argument structure, alignment and auxiliaries between Latin and Romance A diachronic syntactic account Published by LOT Trans 10 3512 JK Utrecht The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6111

e-mail: lot@uu.nl http://www.lotschool.nl

Cover illustration : *Veduta di Castro dei Volsci con vigna* by Laura Migliori. All rights reserved.

ISBN: 978-94-6093-209-0 NUR 616

Copyright © 2016: Laura Migliori. All rights reserved.

Argument structure, alignment and auxiliaries between Latin and Romance A diachronic syntactic account

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. Carel J.J.M. Stolker, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op woensdag 8 juni 2016 klokke 13.45 uur

door

Laura Migliori

geboren te Rome, Italië in 1984

Promotiecommissie

Promotores:	Prof. dr. Roberta D'Alessandro Prof. dr. Adam Ledgeway (University of Cambridge)
Overige leden:	Prof. dr. Michela Cennamo (Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II") Prof. dr. Johan E.C.V. Rooryck Dr. Chiara Gianollo (Universität zu Köln)

The research for this dissertation was carried out as part of the VIDI-project "Splitting and Clustering Grammatical Information", funded by the Nederland Organisation for Scientific Research (*Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek*), awarded to Prof. dr. Roberta D'Alessandro (project number 276-70-021).

Matri patri maioribusque amatissimis

Ta	ble	of	con	tents
Ta	ble	ot	con	tents

Acknowledgements x		
Abbreviat	ions	xiii
Chapter 1	Introduction	
1.	The Latin language and the definition of alignment	1
2.	Alignment, argument structure and auxiliaries	
	between Latin and Romance	3
3.	The Latin language and linguistic studies	4
4.	Outline of the present study	7
	4.1 Corpus and methodology	7
Chapter 2	The Latin verbal system and the fine structure	
	of the <i>v</i> P	9
0.	Introduction	9
1.	Alignment typology and Latin	9
2.	Infectum and perfectum	14
3.	The occurrence of Latin $-r$ morphology	15
	3.1 Active <i>vs.</i> inactive	21
	3.2 Latin – <i>r</i> morphology: passives	23
	3.3 Latin – <i>r</i> morphology: deponents	24
	3.4 Latin deponent verbs	25
	3.4.1 Terminology	25
	3.4.2 Deponent verbs within the grammatical	
	discussion	26
	3.4.3 Verb types, functions and distribution	28
	3.4.3.1 Unaccusatives	•
	(change-of-state and movement verbs)	29
	3.4.3.2 Experiential verbs	32 37
	3.4.3.3 Perception verbs	57
	3.4.3.4 Other experientials. Verbs of advantage	38
	3.4.3.5 Reciprocals	30 39
	3.4.3.6 Interim conclusions	39
	3.5 -r as inactive morphology	40
	r8j	10

	4.	The	The syntax of Latin $-r$ forms 4		
		4.1	-r as reflecting different syntactic environments	42	
			4.1.1 - <i>r</i> morphology as reflecting the [pass] feature	42	
			4.1.2 – <i>r</i> as reflecting [pass]:		
			advantages and problems	46	
		4.2	Different morphology, different argument structure	49	
			4.2.1 Θ-roles: types and definition	49	
			4.2.2 Θ-roles: classification and site of assignment	53	
			4.2.3 Active vs. inactive argument structure	54	
			4.2.4 The fine structure of vP	58	
			4.2.5 Argument structure and morphological case	62	
		4.3	Further evidence	66	
			4.3.1 Intentionality adverbs	66	
			4.3.2 Agentive nominalizations	67	
			4.3.3 No passive form	68	
		4.4	The analytic perfect reflects an inactive structure	68	
	5.	Poss	ible counterexamples 7		
		5.1	Deponents + accusative	73	
			Present participles	80	
			Semi-deponents	81	
		5.4	Hortor and other verba dicendi	86	
			5.4.1 Agentive nominalizations	87	
			5.4.2 Passive forms	87	
			Conclusions	88	
	6.	Con	cluding remarks	88	
Chapte	or 3: F	Setwa	een Latin and Romance: the rise of periphrastic perfec	ts	
Shupt			hronic variation and diachronic observations	91	
	0.		oduction	91	

0.	Intr	oducti	on	91
1.	The	The expression of the perfect in Romance		
	1.1	The s	ynthetic-analytic opposition in the Romance	
		perfe	ct	92
	1.2	The d	liachrony of Romance perfect forms	97
1.3 Auxiliary selection in the perfect: Romance s			liary selection in the perfect: Romance synchronic	2
		varia	tion	99
		1.3.1	Pattern 1: one-auxiliary systems	102
		1.3.2	Pattern 2: Split Intransitivity	105
		1.3.3	Pattern 3: BE/HAVE alternation based on other	
			factors	109

viii

2.	The rise of perfective periphrases ir	n Romance 115
2.	2.1 The limits of the grammaticalit	
	2.2 The rise of perfective periphra	
	alignment and auxiliaries	123
	2.3 The role of deponent verbs in t	
	2.3.1 The reanalysis of <i>v</i> P field	-
	2.4 Consequences for Romance au	
	-	
3.	2.5 Diachronic empirical evidence	
5.	1	139 139
	3.1 Split Intransitivity	
	3.1.1 Split Intransitivity: sync	•
	diachrony	142
	3.2 BE as the only perfective auxil	-
	3.3 Synchronic variation as mirror	
	path	
	3.3.1 The diachrony of auxiliat	•
	in SIDs	149
4.	Concluding remarks	157
Chapter 4		
-	and Romance	159
0.	and Romance Introduction	159 159
-	and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions	159 159 159
0.	and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in	159 159 159 159 159
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 150 151 152
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions 1.2.1 The syntax of Latin poss 	159159159159159159159159150162163163
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions 1.3 Possessive periphrases between 	159159159159159159159159150162163163
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions 1.2.1 The syntax of Latin poss 	159159159159159159159159150162163163
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions 1.3 Possessive periphrases between 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions 1.3 Possessive periphrases between Romance 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions 1.3 Possessive periphrases between Romance 1.3.1 Romance outcomes of L 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions in 1.2.1 The syntax of Latin poss 1.3 Possessive periphrases between Romance 1.3.1 Romance outcomes of L periphrases 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constru- 1.2.1 The syntax of Latin poss 1.3 Possessive periphrases betwee Romance 1.3.1 Romance outcomes of L periphrases 1.3.2 Languages with the HA 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 162 sessive periphrases 163 n Latin and 168 atin possessive 168 VE possessive 170
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions 1.3 Possessive periphrases betwee Romance 1.3.1 Romance outcomes of L periphrases 1.3.2 Languages with the HA periphrasis 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 162 sessive periphrases 163 n Latin and 168 atin possessive 168 VE possessive 170
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions in 1.2.1 The syntax of Latin possible 1.3 Possessive periphrases between Romance 1.3.1 Romance outcomes of Liperiphrases 1.3.2 Languages with the HA periphrasis 1.3.3 Languages with the BE and 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 162 sessive periphrases 163 n Latin and 168 atin possessive 168 VE possessive 168 VE possessive 170 and the HAVE
0.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constru- 1.2.1 The syntax of Latin poss 1.3 Possessive periphrases betwee Romance 1.3.1 Romance outcomes of L periphrases 1.3.2 Languages with the HA periphrasis 1.3.3 Languages with the BE a possessive periphrases 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 162 sessive periphrases 163 n Latin and 168 atin possessive 168 VE possessive 168 VE possessive 170 and the HAVE
0. 1.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions in 1.2.1 The syntax of Latin possible 1.3 Possessive periphrases betwee Romance 1.3.1 Romance outcomes of L periphrases 1.3.2 Languages with the HA periphrasis 1.3.3 Languages with the BE a possessive periphrases 1.4 Possessive periphrases: conclution 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 162 sessive periphrases 163 n Latin and 168 168 168 VE possessive 168 VE possessive 170 and the HAVE 173 ding remarks 177 178
0. 1.	 and Romance Introduction Possessive constructions 1.1 DP possessive constructions in 1.2 Possessive periphrastic constructions 1.3 Possessive periphrases between Romance 1.3.1 Romance outcomes of Laperiphrases 1.3.2 Languages with the HA periphrasis 1.3.3 Languages with the BE a possessive periphrases 1.4 Possessive periphrases: conclude 	159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 162 sessive periphrases 163 n Latin and 168 168 168 VE possessive 168 VE possessive 170 and the HAVE 173 ding remarks 177 178

			2.1.1.1 Gerund- or gerundive-first	
			hypothesis?	183
		2.1.2	The syntax of Latin deontic constructions	
			with gerund/gerundive	189
	2.2	Deon	tic periphrases with present infinitive (PI)	193
		2.2.1	Auxiliary HABERE + present infinitive	194
		2.2.2	Auxiliary ESSE + present infinitive	198
	2.3	Deon	tic constructions between Latin and	
		Roma	nce	200
		2.3.1	Deontic constructions with gerund/	
			gerundive in Romance	200
		2.3.2	PI + HABERE: Romance outcomes	202
		2.3.3	PI + ESSE: Romance outcomes	205
		2.3.4	Deontic periphrases: concluding remarks	206
3.	Son	ne diac	hronic observations	206
	3.1		speculations on syntactic change	208
	3.2	Concl	usions	211
Chapter 5:	Conc	lusion	6	213
1.	The	Latin v	erbal domain	213
2.	The	develo	pment of Romance analytic perfects and of c	other
	perip	phrases	argument structure and auxiliation	214
3.	Cone	cluding	; remarks and future research	215
Reference	s			217
Sources				245
Samenvat	ting in	ı het N	ederlands	247
Curriculum Vitae 25			253	

x

Acknowledgements

This dissertation would not ever have been written, had I not had the opportunity to become a member of this project, and to be part of a great and inspiring team. Working in the VIDI research group around the topic *Splitting and clustering grammatical information* allowed me to broaden my knowledge and scientific perspective enormously.

Leiden University regulations do not permit me to explicitly mention the two people to whom I am most grateful. Their precious guidance and their support have been for me a constant positive stimulus. The fascinating conversations about linguistics that I had with them have been essential for my scientific development. Thank you so much, for everything! Likewise, I am very thankful to all those linguists who have provided me with valuable feedback on my work.

Thank you to all the employees of the *Archivi di Stato* in Frosinone, Campobasso, Isernia, Napoli and Potenza for their kind cooperation, without which this research would not have been possible.

At LUCL I found a vivid and stimulating environment. I would like to thank all my colleagues with whom I have shared so much during these intense years. I am particularly grateful to my wonderful office-mates Giuseppe Torcolacci and Alessandra Tesone, for every single word we exchanged: we have learned a lot about linguistics and, most of all, about life. A special thank you goes to Guus Kroonen, Lucien van Beek, Tijmen Pronk, Saskia Pronk-Tiethoff and Alwin Kloekhorst, great linguists and great friends. Finally, I would like to thank all the colleagues I met at the Leiden Department of Classics, at the University of Cambridge and at the numerous doctoral schools I had the pleasure of attending.

Being a member of the LUCL PhD Council was a significant experience that taught me that you should always look beyond the scientific level and never forget the practical side of life. I am thankful to the other members, Marieke Meleen, Bora Lushaj, Enrico Boone and Daan van der Velde for sharing with me this pleasure and responsibility.

I am very grateful to Vicki Sunter for taking care of the proofreading with professionality and precision. Likewise, I would like to thank Bobby Ruijgrok for checking the *Samenvatting in het Nederlands*.

Thanks to all my friends, who have always been supporting me with affection.

Heartfelt thanks go to my family-in-law, for making me feel at home since the very first day and for supporting me in everything I've done. It is an enormous pity that my father-in-law could not see the end of this book. He has always been of great support to me with his words, his positive attitude and his philosophical look at life. Hugo, this result is also dedicated to you. I am very grateful to my parents, who have taught me that real love means giving space to the ones you love and letting people find their own way. Last but not least, thanks to my sister Francesca, for everything, especially for coming along in a couple of adventurous fieldwork trips in Southern Italy.

Anna Sofia, since the day you were born, and even before, when you were on your journey into this world, you have been broadening my horizons more than anything and anyone else. Thanks for your wonderful and precious presence in my life.

My deepest and most sincere acknowledgement goes to my husband Chris for being my companion in learning the most interesting and exciting of all grammars: the grammar of life.

xii

Abbreviations

1	first person
2	second person
3	third person
А	Agent
ABL.	ablative
ACC.	accusative
act.	active
Adv.	adverb
Alg. Cat.	Alghero Catalan
arg.	argument
AspP	Aspect Phrase
aux	auxiliary
Bal. Cat.	Balearic Catalan
Ben.	Benefactive
Cat.	Catalan
C. dei Volsci	Castro dei Volsci
cl.	clitic
cond.	conditional
DAT.	dative
Dem.	demonstrative
DO	direct object
DP	Determiner Phrase
E	ESSE
EA	External Argument
E. Port.	European Portuguese
ESIDs	Extreme Southern Italian dialects
Exp.	Experiencer
GEN.	genitive
GRD	gerund
GRDV	gerundive
f.	feminine gender
	-

xiii

xiv

Fr.	French
fut.	future tense
H	HABERE/HAVE
IA	internal argument
IE	Indo-European
imp.	impersonal
impf.	imperfect
inact.	inactive
ind.	indicative
inf.	infinitive
IO	indirect object
It.	Italian
Lat.	Latin
Loc.	Locative
m.	masculine gender
n.	neuter gender
N. Cat.	Nothern Catalan
NIDs	Northern Italian dialects
NOM.	nominative
0	Object
Occ.	Occitan
OI	Old Italian
perf.	perfect tense
PI	present infinitive
PIE	Proto-Indo-European
pl	plural
Port.	Portuguese
Poss.	Possessor
PP	Past participle
pperf	pluperfect
pres.	present tense
pret.	preterite
ps	person
refl.	reflexive
retr.	retroherent

Rom.	Romanian
Sard.	Sardinian
S. B. del Tronto	San Benedetto del Tronto
sg	singular
SIDs	Southern Italian dialects
simp. past	simple past
Sp.	Spanish
subj.	subjunctive
Т	Tense
ТР	Tense Phrase
trans.	transitive
unacc.	unaccusative
unerg.	unergative
v	little-v
vP	little-v Phrase
VoiceP	Voice Phrase
VP	Verb Phrase
USIDs	Upper Southern Italian dialects

xv