

# BULLETIN OF THE ASTRONOMICAL INSTITUTES OF THE NETHERLANDS.

1935 February 7

Volume VII.

No. 268.

## COMMUNICATION FROM THE OBSERVATORY AT LEIDEN.

The effect of the second-order terms in the pulsation theory of Cepheid variation,  
by Miss *H. A. Kluyver*.

1. In the pulsation theory of Cepheid variation, as set forth by Sir A. S. EDDINGTON<sup>1)</sup>, the equations are simplified by development in series of powers of the amplitude of the pulsation, the square of the amplitude usually being neglected. As EDDINGTON has shown<sup>2)</sup>, the second-order terms induce a forced vibration with twice the frequency of the fundamental oscillation, which makes, if its amplitude is sufficiently large, the radial velocity-curve markedly unsymmetrical. EDDINGTON has derived the equations with the inclusion of the second-order terms and made an estimate of the effect. Dr. WOLTJER suggested to me to compute it more exactly and indicated the method to be used.

This paper contains the calculations for one special case, the polytropic index being taken equal to 3

$$(C) \quad \frac{d^2\sigma_2}{dr_0^2} + \frac{4-\mu}{r_0} \frac{d\sigma_2}{dr_0} + \left\{ \frac{4\omega^2}{u} - \left(3 - \frac{4}{\gamma}\right) \frac{\mu}{r_0^2} \right\} \sigma_2 = \sigma_1^2 \left\{ \frac{\mu}{r_0^2} \left( \frac{3}{\gamma} - \frac{21}{4} + \frac{9}{4}\gamma \right) - \frac{\omega^2}{u} \left( \frac{3}{2}\gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\} + \sigma_1 \frac{d\sigma_1}{dr_0} (1 + \gamma) \left\{ \frac{\mu}{2r_0} \left( 3 - \frac{4}{\gamma} \right) - \frac{\omega^2 r_0}{2u} \right\} + \left( \frac{d\sigma_1}{dr_0} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{\mu}{4} (1 + \gamma) - 1 \right\} \quad ^3),$$

where:

$r$  = distance from star's centre, expressed in EMDEN'S unit,

$\sigma_1$  = coefficient of  $\cos nt$  in  $\delta r_0/r_0$ ,

$\sigma_2$  = „ „  $\cos 2nt$  in  $\delta r_0/r_0$ ,

$n$  = frequency of fundamental oscillation,

$\mu = g_0 \rho_0 r_0 / P_0$ ,

$g$  = acceleration of gravity,

$\rho$  = density,

$P$  = total pressure = gas pressure + radiation pressure,

$\omega$  = frequency of fundamental oscillation, expressed in a suitable unit, which is different for different stars,

and the quantity  $3-4/\gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  is the ratio of specific heats for the mixture of matter and radiation, equal to 0.2. The equation based on the assumption of adiabatic oscillations has been used throughout the star, a procedure more or less justified by the small influence the departure from purely adiabatic conditions has been shown to have<sup>1)</sup>.

The result is given in section 5.

2. The differential equation for the amplitude of the free oscillation is:

$$(A) \quad \frac{d^2\sigma_1}{dr_0^2} + \frac{4-\mu}{r_0} \frac{d\sigma_1}{dr_0} + \left\{ \frac{\omega^2}{u} - \left(3 - \frac{4}{\gamma}\right) \frac{\mu}{r_0^2} \right\} \sigma_1 = 0 \quad ^2),$$

that for the amplitude of the forced oscillation:

$u = (\rho_0/\rho_c)^{1/3}$ ,  $\rho_c$  = density at star's centre,  
 $\gamma$  = ratio of specific heats for the mixture of matter and radiation.

Quantities with index zero are to be given the equilibrium values for a star with polytropic index equal to 3; the values of  $u$  and  $\mu$  have been taken from the *B. A. Mathematical Tables, Vol. II*.

The coefficient of the first derivative in both equations is infinite for  $r_0 = 0$  and  $r_0 = R$ , where  $R$

1) *M. N.* 79, p. 2 and 177.

2) *l. c.*, p. 183.

1) EDDINGTON, *M. N.* 87, p. 539.

2) *M. N.* 79, p. 11.

3) *M. N.* 79, p. 185. EDDINGTON partly neglects radiation pressure and therefore his result is, as regards second-order terms, slightly different from the one found if radiation pressure is taken into account throughout. Since, however, this difference may be shown numerically never to amount to more than a few per cent, it has been neglected.

is the star's radius; the coefficient of  $\sigma_1$  in (A), that of  $\sigma_2$  in (C), and the right-hand side of (C) are infinite for  $r_0 = R$ , but finite for  $r_0 = 0$ . On account of these singularities the solution can not be found by quadratures only, but must be started with the aid of a series.

Solutions of equations (A) and (C) are sought, which satisfy the boundary conditions that they are finite for  $r_0 = 0$  and  $r_0 = R$ .

3. When a solution of the homogeneous equation (A) is started at the centre, such as to satisfy the first boundary condition, and continued outwards, it generally becomes infinite for  $r_0 = R$ , thus infringing the second condition; in the same way a solution started at the outer boundary, fulfilling the second condition, and continued inwards, will become infinite at the centre.

If, by the adjustment of the constant factors in these solutions, the two functions  $\sigma_1$  are equated at an arbitrary argument  $r_0$ , then generally the two values of the first derivative are not equal. However, for a set of values of  $\omega^2$  the first derivatives are also equal and the two solutions actually form only one, satisfying both boundary conditions.

The smallest critical value of  $\omega^2$ , which is the only one considered here, lies, as EDDINGTON has shown <sup>1)</sup>, between  $\cdot 055$  and  $\cdot 060$ ; since for our purpose the solution is needed up to the boundary of the star and therefore  $\omega^2$  must be known more accurately, EDDINGTON's calculations have been extended.

The solution starting at the centre with unit

amplitude is given by EDDINGTON <sup>1)</sup> for  $\omega^2 = \cdot 055$  up to  $r_0 = 4\cdot 5$ , for  $\omega^2 = \cdot 060$  as far as  $r_0 = 5\cdot 0$ . At the outer boundary the required solution may be expanded in the series  $b_0 \sum_0^\infty k_i y^i$ , where  $y = (R - r_0)/R$ ; the coefficients  $k_i$  for the two values of  $\omega^2$  are found in columns 2 and 3 of Table 1. These series converge so rapidly that  $\sigma_1$  and  $d\sigma_1/dr_0$  can be computed with their aid as far inward as  $r_0 = 4\cdot 5$ .

TABLE 1.

Values of the coefficients in the series for the solutions of equation (A).

i	k <sub>i</sub>			k' <sub>i</sub>
	$\omega^2 = \cdot 055$	$\omega^2 = \cdot 060$	$\omega^2 = \cdot 058806$	$\omega^2 = \cdot 058806$
0	+ 1'0000	+ 1'0000	+ 1'0000	+ 1'00000
1	- 2'0350	- 2'2382	- 2'1897	
2	+ 2'7105	+ 3'1391	+ 3'0338	+ '98872
3	- 2'2840	- 2'8396	- 2'7004	
4	+ 1'6242	+ 2'1032	+ 1'9804	+ 1'1631
5	- 1'0601	- 1'4157	- 1'3235	
6	+ '6463	+ '8784	+ '8174	- '2901
7	- '3644	- '5155	- '4758	
8	+ '2023	+ '2827	+ '2611	+ '707
9	- '0930	- '1438	- '1304	

Now  $b_0$  is determined by equating the two values of  $\sigma_1$  at  $r_0 = 4\cdot 5$ . Table 2 shows  $b_0$ , the corresponding value of  $d\sigma_1/dr_0$ , and the difference in  $d\sigma_1/dr_0$  of the two solutions; the value of  $\omega^2$  for which this difference is zero has been found by linear interpolation to be equal to  $\cdot 058835$ .

TABLE 2.

$\omega^2$	$\sigma_1$ (outside)	$\sigma_1$ (inside)	$b_0$	$d\sigma_1/dr_0$ (outside)		$d\sigma_1/dr_0$ (inside)	difference in $d\sigma_1/dr_0$
$\cdot 055$	$\cdot 5436 b_0$	1'7349	3'1915	$\cdot 11127 b_0$	$\cdot 3551$	$\cdot 5427$	+ $\cdot 1876$
$\cdot 058835$	$\cdot 5152 b_0$	1'6222	3'1487	$\cdot 11653 b_0$	$\cdot 3669$	$\cdot 3655$	- $\cdot 0014$
$\cdot 060$	$\cdot 5070 b_0$	1'5879	3'1320	$\cdot 11804 b_0$	$\cdot 3697$	$\cdot 3130$	- $\cdot 0567$
$\cdot 058806$	$\cdot 5155 b_0$	1'6231	3'1486	$\cdot 11647 b_0$	$\cdot 3667$	$\cdot 3669$	+ $\cdot 0002$

One further approximation to  $\omega^2$  has been made, for which purpose the two solutions corresponding to  $\omega^2 = \cdot 058835$  have been computed. Quadratic interpolation, again at  $r_0 = 4\cdot 5$ , fixed  $\omega^2$  at  $\cdot 058806$ , which value has been used throughout the work; the corresponding value of  $b_0$ , the boundary amplitude of the free oscillation for unit central amplitude, is  $3\cdot 149$ .

Finally the solutions for  $\omega^2 = \cdot 058806$  have been computed too. For  $r_0$  near  $R$  the solution has been

expanded in the series  $b_0 \sum_0^\infty k_i y^i$ , for  $r_0$  near zero in the series  $\sum_0^\infty k'_i z^i$ , where  $z = r_0/R$ . With the aid of this latter series a numerical integration has been started at  $r_0 = 1\cdot 0$ , the results of which are shown in Table 3; the interval used in this and all other numerical integrations is  $0\cdot 1$ . The coefficients  $k_i$  and  $k'_i$  may be found in columns 4 and 5 of Table 1. Numerical details about the degree of equivalency of the two solutions are given in the last line of Table

<sup>1)</sup> M. N. 79, p. 11.

<sup>1)</sup> l. c., p. 12.

TABLE 3. Solution of equation (A) for  $\omega^2 = .058806$ , from  $r_0 = 1.0$  to  $r_0 = 5.0$ .

$r_0$	$\sigma_1$	$\frac{d\sigma_1}{dr_0}$	$\frac{d^2\sigma_1}{dr_0^2}$	$r_0$	$\sigma_1$	$\frac{d\sigma_1}{dr_0}$	$\frac{d^2\sigma_1}{dr_0^2}$
1.0	+ 1.02130	+ .043614	+ .04767	3.0	+ 1.22744	+ .178027	+ .09425
1.1	1.02590	.048443	.04893	3.1	1.24572	.187628	.09780
1.2	1.03099	.053404	.05030	3.2	1.26497	.197591	.10148
1.3	1.03659	.058508	.05180	3.3	1.28525	.207930	.10531
1.4	1.04270	.063767	.05340	3.4	1.30657	.218656	.10927
1.5	1.04935	.069192	.05512	3.5	1.32899	.229788	.11338
1.6	1.05654	.074794	.05695	3.6	1.35254	.241338	.11765
1.7	1.06431	.080585	.05888	3.7	1.37727	.253323	.12206
1.8	1.07267	.086574	.06093	3.8	1.40322	.265755	.12663
1.9	1.08163	.092775	.06308	3.9	1.43044	.278655	.13138
2.0	1.09123	.099195	.06535	4.0	1.45897	.292037	.13630
2.1	1.10148	.105848	.06772	4.1	1.48886	.305921	.14141
2.2	1.11241	.112744	.07022	4.2	1.52017	.320325	.14670
2.3	1.12404	.119895	.07282	4.3	1.55295	.335267	.15218
2.4	1.13640	.127311	.07552	4.4	1.58724	.350770	.15789
2.5	1.14951	.135004	.07835	4.5	1.62312	.366852	.16379
2.6	1.16341	.142985	.08129	4.6	1.66063	.383535	.16992
2.7	1.17812	.151266	.08435	4.7	1.69985	.400844	.17630
2.8	1.19367	.159858	.08752	4.8	1.74082	.418802	.18290
2.9	1.21010	.168774	.09082	4.9	1.78363	.437433	.18977
3.0	+ 1.22744	+ .178027	+ .09425	5.0	+ 1.82833	+ .456767	+ .19697

4. The required solution of equation (C) must satisfy the conditions that it is finite at  $r_0 = 0$  and  $r_0 = R$ .

The solution of (C) that satisfies the first boundary condition is equal to the sum of a particular solution of (C) and a solution of (B) - which is equation (C) with right-hand member zero - multiplied by a constant factor  $C_i$ , both of these having been chosen such as to be finite at  $r_0 = 0$ . In the same way the solution of (C) fulfilling the second boundary condition is equal to the sum of a particular solution of (C) and a solution of (B), multiplied by the factor  $C_e$ , both finite at  $r_0 = R$ . The constants  $C_i$  and  $C_e$  are determined from the condition that the function and its first derivative as given by the solution from the inside have the same values as those found in the solution from the outside; if this condition is satisfied for one value of  $r_0$  the two solutions are really only one, which is finite at the centre as well as at the outer boundary.

The equations determining  $C_i$  and  $C_e$  can always be solved, unless  $2\omega$  is equal to the frequency of one of the overtones, in which case the determinant

has the value zero. However, computations by Mr. J. A. EDGAR <sup>1)</sup> of the ratio of the first two critical values of the frequency for two different values of  $3 - 4/\gamma$ , gave reasons to expect that for  $3 - 4/\gamma = 0.2$  this ratio would not be too close to 2; afterwards the equations actually turned out to be sufficiently independent.

Equation (B) is:

$$(B) \quad \frac{d^2\sigma_2}{dr_0^2} + \frac{4-\nu}{r_0} \frac{d\sigma_2}{dr_0} + \left\{ \frac{4\omega^2}{u} - \left(3 - \frac{4}{\gamma}\right) \frac{\nu}{r_0^2} \right\} \sigma_2 = 0.$$

One solution has been started at the centre in the form of the series  $\sum_0^{\infty} b_i' z^i$ , and continued from  $r_0 = 0.9$  outwards by quadratures. Another solution was begun at the outer boundary with the series  $\sum_0^{\infty} b_i y^i$ ; since this series converges less rapidly than in the case of the solution of (A), this solution has been carried on by numerical integration from  $r_0 = 5.9$  inwards. The coefficients  $b_i'$  and  $b_i$  are given in columns 3 and 2 of Table 4, the results of the numerical integrations, abbreviated to a convenient number of decimals, in Table 5.

TABLE 4. Values of the coefficients in the series for the solution of (B), and for the right-hand side and the particular solution of (C).

$i$	solution of (B)		right-hand side of (C)		solution of (C)	
	$b_i$	$b_i'$	$f_i$	$f_i'$	$d_i$	$d_i'$
0	+ 1.0000	+ 1.00000	- .56954	- .01090	.0000	.00000
1	- 9.3588		+ 3.8478		- 6.7728	
2	+ 39.0184	+ .14956	- 13.035	- .9141	+ 43.6566	- .05185
3	- 101.726		+ 29.314		- 140.228	
4	+ 190.828	- 5.3555	- 49.605	+ .0350	+ 298.810	- 1.7905
5	- 279.180		+ 68.312		- 476.763	
6	+ 336.65	- 18.340	- 80.732	- 19.45	+ 612.62	- 7.4954
7	- 348.62		+ 84.879		- 666.26	
8	+ 319.95	- 9.514	- 81.25		+ 636.26	- 10.672
9	- 266.40				- 547.85	

<sup>1)</sup> M. N. 93, p. 430.

TABLE 5.  
Solutions of equation (B).

Solution from the inside				Solution from the outside			
$r_0$	$\sigma_2$	$\frac{d\sigma_2}{dr_0}$	$\frac{d^2\sigma_2}{dr_0^2}$	$r_0$	$\sigma_2$	$\frac{d\sigma_2}{dr_0}$	$\frac{d^2\sigma_2}{dr_0^2}$
0.9	+ 1.00091	- .0019	- .0201	5.9	+ .22361	+ .38444	+ .5135
1.0	1.00061	.0042	.0273	5.8	.18763	.33606	.4551
1.1	1.00004	.0074	.0358	5.7	.15621	.29321	.4030
1.2	.99911	.0114	.0455	5.6	.12883	.25528	.3564
1.3	.99772	.0165	.0568	5.5	.10501	.22175	.3149
1.4	.99577	.0228	.0698	5.4	.08434	.19216	.2780
1.5	.99311	.0305	.0847	5.3	.06646	.16602	.2451
1.6	.98960	.0398	.1017	5.2	.05104	.14301	.2158
1.7	.98508	.0510	.1210	5.1	.03777	.12276	.1897
1.8	.97934	.0641	.1430	5.0	.02640	.10497	.1666
1.9	.97218	.0797	.1678	4.9	.01670	.08936	.1461
2.0	.96333	.0978	.1958	4.8	.00847	.07566	.1278
2.1	.95252	.1189	.2273	4.7	+ .00151	.06371	.1118
2.2	.93943	.1434	.2627	4.6	- .00433	.05327	.0975
2.3	.92371	.1716	.3023	4.5	.00919	.04416	.0848
2.4	.90497	.2040	.3465	4.4	.01320	.03625	.0737
2.5	.88275	.2411	.3957	4.3	.01647	.02938	.0639
2.6	.85658	.2833	.4504	4.2	.01910	.02343	.0553
2.7	.82590	.3314	.5110	4.1	.02118	.01829	.0476
2.8	.79010	.3858	.5780	4.0	.02279	.01387	.0410
2.9	.74851	.4472	.6517	3.9	.02398	.01006	.0352
3.0	.70040	.5163	.7327	3.8	.02482	.00681	.0300
3.1	.64496	.5940	.8213	3.7	.02536	.00403	.0256
3.2	.58130	.6809	.9180	3.6	.02564	+ .00168	.0217
3.3	.50845	.7778	1.0230	3.5	.02570	- .00033	.0184
3.4	.42537	.8857	1.1365	3.4	- .02558	- .00202	+ .0155
3.5	.33091	1.0054	1.2588				
3.6	.22386	1.1378	1.3896				
3.7	+ 1.0291	1.2836	1.5286				
3.8	- .03334	1.4437	1.6751				
3.9	- 1.18634	- 1.6189	- 1.8281				

Before the solution of (C) could be found the right-hand side had to be considered. This, and therefore the solution of (C) too, is proportional to the square of the amplitude of the free oscillation;  $\sigma_1$  has been substituted with the constant factor corresponding to unit central amplitude.

For  $r_0$  near  $R$  and  $r_0$  near zero the right-hand side

has been developed in series, viz.  $\frac{1}{y} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i y^i$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f'_i z^i$ , and in between it has been tabulated numerically; the values of the coefficients  $f_i$  and  $f'_i$  are given in columns 4 and 5 of Table 4, whereas Table 6 shows the numerical values of the right-hand member.

TABLE 6.

$r_0$	right-hand side of (C)	$r_0$	right-hand side of (C)	$r_0$	right-hand side of (C)	$r_0$	right-hand side of (C)
0.8	- .023235	2.2	- .118872	3.6	- .53283	5.0	- 3.2709
0.9	.026544	2.3	.131857	3.7	.59851	5.1	3.7958
1.0	.030264	2.4	.146227	3.8	.67348	5.2	4.4199
1.1	.034415	2.5	.162164	3.9	.75920	5.3	5.1670
1.2	.039016	2.6	.179877	4.0	.85746	5.4	6.0661
1.3	.044092	2.7	.199609	4.1	.97031	5.5	7.1562
1.4	.049667	2.8	.22165	4.2	1.10026	5.6	8.4876
1.5	.055790	2.9	.24629	4.3	1.25023	5.7	10.1287
1.6	.062496	3.0	.27396	4.4	1.42372	5.8	12.1756
1.7	.069845	3.1	.30505	4.5	1.62502	5.9	14.7622
1.8	.077898	3.2	.34007	4.6	1.85914	6.0	18.083
1.9	.086732	3.3	.37965	4.7	2.13234	6.1	22.432
2.0	.096437	3.4	.42440	4.8	2.45210	6.2	- 28.278
2.1	- .107110	3.5	- .47515	4.9	- 2.82777		

One solution of (C) for  $r_0$  near zero has been started in the form of the series  $\sum_0^{\infty} d_i' z^i$ , another one for  $r_0$  near  $R$  as  $\sum_0^{\infty} d_i y^i$ ; numerical integrations have been performed from  $r_0 = 1.0$  outwards and

from  $r_0 = 6.1$  inwards, the results of which are shown in Table 7 with a convenient number of figures. The values of the coefficients  $d_i$  and  $d_i'$  are given in columns 6 and 7 of Table 4.

TABLE 7.  
Solutions of equation (C).

Solution from the inside				Solution from the outside			
$r_0$	$\sigma_2$	$\frac{d\sigma_2}{dr_0}$	$\frac{d^2\sigma_2}{dr_0^2}$	$r_0$	$\sigma_2$	$\frac{d\sigma_2}{dr_0}$	$\frac{d^2\sigma_2}{dr_0^2}$
1.0	— .001953	— .00578	— .01388	6.1	— 3.6819	+ 1.1732	+ 5.428
1.1	.002605	.00732	.01694	6.0	3.7735	.6741	4.572
1.2	.003427	.00919	.02055	5.9	3.8194	+ .2550	3.827
1.3	.004456	.01145	.02480	5.8	3.8269	— .0945	3.177
1.4	.005732	.01417	.02976	5.7	3.8025	.3833	2.612
1.5	.007307	.01743	.03556	5.6	3.7520	.6193	2.124
1.6	.009239	.02131	.04232	5.5	3.6802	.8102	1.702
1.7	.011595	.02593	.05019	5.4	3.5913	.9618	1.339
1.8	.014453	.03139	.05932	5.3	3.4889	1.0796	1.026
1.9	.017906	.03784	.06990	5.2	3.3763	1.1686	.759
2.0	.022059	.04543	.08216	5.1	3.2560	1.2327	.533
2.1	.027036	.05434	.09633	5.0	3.1304	1.2763	.341
2.2	.032978	.06477	.11270	4.9	3.0014	1.3020	.180
2.3	.040048	.07696	.13157	4.8	2.8705	1.3131	+ .047
2.4	.048437	.09118	.15334	4.7	2.7392	1.3121	— .065
2.5	.058362	.10774	.17840	4.6	2.6084	1.3009	.156
2.6	.070074	.12698	.20723	4.5	2.4792	1.2815	.230
2.7	.083862	.14933	.24040	4.4	2.3524	1.2554	.288
2.8	.100058	.17523	.27852	4.3	2.2283	1.2243	.332
2.9	.119044	.20522	.32227	4.2	2.1076	1.1894	.365
3.0	.141259	.23990	.37255	4.1	1.9906	1.1516	.389
3.1	.167204	.27908	.43023	4.0	1.8774	1.1119	.405
3.2	.197460	.32623	.49642	3.9	1.7682	1.0708	.413
3.3	.232687	.37958	.57238	3.8	1.6632	1.0295	.415
3.4	.273648	.44108	.65948	3.7	1.5623	.9880	.413
3.5	.321214	.51191	.75940	3.6	1.4656	.9471	.405
3.6	.376386	.59345	.87406	3.5	1.3729	.9071	.393
3.7	.440313	.68728	1.00562	3.4	1.2841	.8685	.379
3.8	.514313	.79522	1.15668	3.3	— 1.1991	— .8314	— .363
3.9	.599898	.91937	1.33018				
4.0	.698806	1.06212	1.52964				
4.1	.813036	1.22629	1.75911				
4.2	.944886	1.41511	2.02346				
4.3	— 1.097004	— 1.63234	— 2.32839				

As the particular solutions of (C) have been chosen such as to be zero at the centre and at the outer boundary, the constants  $C_i$  and  $C_e$  represent the central and boundary amplitudes of the forced vibration.

The two values of the function and the first derivative at  $r_0 = 3.4$  have been equated, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} - .2736 + .42537 C_i &= - 1.2841 - .02558 C_e \\ - .4411 - .8857 C_i &= - .8685 - .00202 C_e, \end{aligned}$$

with the result:

$$\begin{aligned} C_i &= + .03780, \\ C_e &= - .4578. \end{aligned}$$

Table 8 summarizes the numerical values of the final solution of (C) throughout the star; in the upper part of the table the solutions starting at the centre have been used, in the lower part those starting at the outer boundary. It is seen that, whereas the amplitude of the forced vibration is practically constant for  $r_0 < 2.5$ , it increases rapidly after the node at about  $r_0 = 3.2$ .



TABLE 8.  
Numerical values of the final solution of (C).

$r_0$	partic. sol. of (C)	sol. of (B)	$C_i \times$ sol. of (B)	final sol. of (C)
0.0	.0000	+ 1.0000	+ .3780	+ .3780
0.5	-.0003	1.0006	.3782	.3779
1.0	.0020	1.0009	.3783	.3763
1.5	.0073	.9931	.3754	.3681
2.0	.0221	.9633	.3641	.3420
2.5	.0584	.8828	.3337	.2753
3.0	.1413	.7004	.2648	+ .1235
3.5	.3212	+ .3309	+ .1251	-.1961
4.0	-.6988	-.3576	-.1352	-.8340

  

$r_0$	partic. sol. of (C)	sol. of (B)	$C_e \times$ sol. of (B)	final sol. of (C)
3.5	- 1.373	- .02570	+ 1.177	- .196
4.0	1.877	.02279	1.043	.834
4.5	2.479	- .00919	+ .421	2.058
5.0	3.130	+ .02640	- 1.209	4.339
5.5	3.680	.10501	4.807	8.487
6.0	3.774	.26472	12.12	15.89
6.5	- 2.666	.5733	26.25	28.92
R	.000	+ 1.0000	- 45.78	- 45.78

For an observed boundary amplitude of the free oscillation equal to  $a_1$ , instead of the value 3.149, which has been used here as corresponding to unit central amplitude, the amplitudes of the forced vibration become:

$$\frac{a_1^2}{9.916} C_i = + 0.03812 a_1^2 \text{ and } \frac{a_1^2}{9.916} C_e = - 4.617 a_1^2.$$

5. The displacement can be represented by

$$r_0 (a_1 \cos nt - a_2 \cos 2nt),$$

where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are positive.

The radial velocity is then given by the quantity

$$n r_0 (a_1 \sin nt - 2 a_2 \sin 2nt),$$

averaged over the stellar disc.

Values of  $2 a_2 : a_1$  for different values of  $a_1$  are shown in Table 9.

TABLE 9.

$a_1$	$2 a_2 : a_1$
.15	+ 1.3850
.12	1.1080
.10	.9234
.08	.7387
.07	.6464
.06	.5540
.05	.4617
.04	.3693
.03	.2770
.02	+ .1847

It is seen that the effect in the radial velocity is quite considerable. For the equivalent spectroscopic orbit the distance from node to periastron is equal to  $90^\circ$ , which means that the rise to maximum is less rapid than the decline; the excentricity for a star like  $\delta$  Cephei, with  $a_1 = .06$ , is .26.

It is a pleasure to me to express my sincere thanks to Dr. WOLTJER for his kind help and guidance, given throughout this work.