

# BULLETIN OF THE ASTRONOMICAL INSTITUTES OF THE NETHERLANDS.

1930 February 1

Volume V.

No. 182.

## COMMUNICATION FROM THE OBSERVATORY AT LEIDEN.

### The structure of the Ca<sup>+</sup> chromosphere and the observations of the K line, by *J. Woltjer Jr.*

1. *The K<sub>1</sub> line.* The measures of the contour of the K<sub>1</sub> line by M. MINNAERT <sup>1)</sup> show a marked asymmetry, the intensity at the violet side being smaller than that at the red side, as has been pointed out by MINNAERT. This fact may be interpreted by considering the influence of the outward moving Ca<sup>+</sup> atoms. Consider the optical thickness due to those atoms that absorb in a frequency  $\nu_1$ ; these atoms have outward velocities  $v$  in the range  $\nu_1 - \delta$ ,  $\nu_1 + \delta$ , if  $\nu_1$  is the outward velocity that corresponds to the frequency  $\nu_1$  and  $\delta$  is the mean radial velocity due to the "thermal" motion of the atoms. Hence the optical thickness in the frequency  $\nu_1$  due to the outward moving atoms is:

$$(1.1) \quad T_{\nu_1}^r = x_{\nu_0} \rho \Delta z;$$

$x_{\nu_0}$  is the mass-absorption coefficient for atoms at rest with a mean "thermal" radial velocity  $\delta$ ;  $\rho$  is the density and  $\Delta z$  is an interval of length along the solar radius that corresponds to an interval in velocity  $v$  equal to  $2\delta$ . The value of  $\Delta z$  is equal to:

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta z = 2\delta \frac{dz}{dv};$$

the coordinate  $z$  is measured along the solar radius from a level that corresponds to the solar limb.

A theoretical value of  $T_{\nu_1}^r$  may be derived if we disregard second ionisation, hence take the product  $\rho v$  independent of  $z$ , and if we assume a functional relation between  $v$  and  $z$ . I take <sup>2)</sup>  $v^2$  proportional to  $z$ . Then:

$$(1.3) \quad \rho \frac{dz}{dv} \text{ is independent of } z;$$

hence  $T_{\nu_1}^r$  is independent of  $\nu_1$ .

An empirical value of  $T_{\nu_1}^r$  may be derived from

MINNAERT's numerical values. The intensities at frequencies  $\nu_0 + \Delta\nu$  and  $\nu_0 - \Delta\nu$  have a ratio equal to

$$(1.4) \quad I/I + T_{\nu_0 + \Delta\nu}^r.$$

Using (1.4) I derive the values:

	$\Delta\lambda$	$v$	$T^r$
1	A	75 km/sec	0.20
2		150	0.11
3		225	0.25
4		300	0.25
5		375	0.21
6		450	0.15
8		600	0.01
10		750	0.01

The values  $T^r$  are remarkably constant until the velocity is very large.

If the observations are accurate enough to lay much stress upon the decrease in the last two lines, we must conclude that the chromosphere is terminated by second ionisation at a height corresponding to an outward velocity of about 500 km/sec.

2. *The chromospheric K line.* The contour of the K emission line is determined by the considerations developed in a preceding note <sup>1)</sup>. This contour depends on the optical depth measured tangential to the solar surface; I denote this quantity by  $T_{\nu}^l$ . This quantity is equal to  $x_{\nu_0} \rho$  multiplied by the length

$$(2.1) \quad 2 \delta r_0 / v;$$

$r_0$  is the solar radius. The dependence of  $T_{\nu}^l$  on  $z$  may be computed as in the case of  $T_{\nu}^r$ .

<sup>1)</sup> *Z. f. Ph.* 45, p. 614.

<sup>2)</sup> *B. A. N.* 167.

<sup>1)</sup> *B. A. N.* 180, p. 135.

The resulting value is:

$$(2.2) \quad T_v^t = 2 x_{v_0} \rho \delta r_0 / v = 2 x_{v_0} \rho \delta \frac{dz}{dv} r_0 / v \frac{dz}{dv} = \\ = T_v^r \frac{r_0}{v} \frac{dv}{dz} = \frac{1}{2} T_v^r \frac{r_0}{z}.$$

As  $T_v^r$  is independent of  $z$  (if  $z$  is not too large), this formula gives the functional relation between  $T_v^t$  and  $z$ .

The total intensity of the chromospheric line depends principally on two factors: the value of the central intensity and the width of the line.

The first factor is nearly constant so long as  $T_v^t$  is large, say larger than 5. If  $z$  increases  $T_v^t$  decreases and the central intensity falls off, until for small values of  $T_v^t$  it is proportional to  $T_v^t$ . The second factor may be determined roughly in this way: The locus of points at which  $T_v^t$  is equal to a moderate definite number is a sphere concentric with the solar surface and with a radius  $r_0 + H$ . If we wish to see how the width of the line changes if we look into the chromosphere at increasing distance from the solar limb, we must fix our attention on the line segments intercepted by the sphere with radius  $r_0 + H$  on the line of sight: the line width is roughly proportional to the length of the line segment. Hence it is clear that the line width changes rapidly if in going outwards from the solar limb we have traversed a distance  $H$ . These considerations make it probable that what is usually termed the height of the chromosphere is actually this height  $H$ .

Taking  $H$  equal to 14000 km and deducing from (2.2) the value of  $T_v^t$  we get:

$$(2.3) \quad \text{at } z=H: T_v^t = 25 T_v^r, \text{ hence with } T_v^r = 0.20, T_v^t = 5$$

Considering the uncertainty of several elements of this deduction, the agreement between the values of the table of section 1 and the height of the chromosphere is satisfactory.

Now we may take one step more: from UNSÖLD's <sup>1)</sup> measured contour we may derive the value of  $v$  at the height  $H$ . For the sudden diminution of intensity at  $\frac{1}{3} \AA$  from the central wavelength allows us to put

$$(2.4) \quad v_H \sin \varphi = 25 \text{ km/sec,}$$

$\varphi$  being the angle introduced in my preceding note on this subject <sup>2)</sup>. As the height in the chromosphere to

<sup>1)</sup> *Astroph. J.* 693, p. 216, fig. 1.

<sup>2)</sup> *B. A. N.* 180, p. 135, diagram.

which UNSÖLD's measures refer is 2500 km we have

$$(2.5) \quad r_0 (\sec \varphi - 1) = 14000 - 2500 = 11500 \text{ km.}$$

Hence:

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \varphi^2 = 0.016, \quad \varphi = 0.18 \quad v_H = 140 \text{ km/sec.}$$

and thus:

$$(2.7) \quad v_{km}^2 = \frac{140^2}{14000} z_{km} = 1.4 z_{km},$$

the suffix km denotes the kilometre as unit of length.

The value deduced for  $T_v^r$ , viz. 0.20, allows a determination of the density  $\rho$  according to (1.1). As

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{dz}{dv} = 1.4 \times 10^{-5} v, \quad x_{v_0} = 6 \times 10^{10} \quad 2\delta = 3 \times 10^5$$

we get:

$$(2.9) \quad \rho v = 0.8 \times 10^{-12}.$$

In a preceding investigation <sup>1)</sup> on the physical state of the chromospheric  $Ca^+$  a critical point had been introduced, called  $S$ , at which the resistance offered by the solar gases equalled the outward acceleration and the velocity had a certain well defined value, numerically equal to  $10^5$  c.g.s. The value of the density at this point was found to be about  $10^{-15}$ . I now find from empirical data by taking  $v = 10^5$  in

$$(2.9) \quad \rho = 10^{-17}.$$

This difference must be accounted for. Two possibilities seem open:

1° the theoretical value of  $\rho$  requires revision on account of uncertainties of numerical data involved;

2° second ionisation has changed the idealised conditions assumed in the theoretical deduction.

The second possibility is contrary to the evidence deduced from the observed  $T_v^r$  values in section 1.

As regards the first alternative it must be remarked that the theoretical determination involves two uncertain factors. The value of  $\rho$  was such that the coefficient of resistance force offered by the solar gases to the outstreaming  $Ca^+$  had a definite value. Hence the theoretical value of  $\rho$  deduced depends on two factors, viz. the mean molecular weight  $m$  of the solar gases and their effective atomic diameters as regards collisions with the  $Ca^+$  atoms; this dependency is proportional to

$$\frac{m}{d^2}.$$

I had taken  $m = 20 \quad d = 10^{-8}$ , but these values are open to revision.

<sup>1)</sup> *B. A. N.* 167.

3. *The fine structure of the K line.* The considerations on the optical thickness corresponding to a frequency  $\nu_1$ , given in section 1, are only valid if  $\nu_1$  is not too close to the frequency  $\nu_0$ , for the proportionality of  $v^2$  with  $z$  applies only for not too small values of  $v$ . If  $\nu_1$  is close to  $\nu_0$  we must use a more precise value of  $\frac{dz}{dv}$  in (1.2). The differential coefficient may be taken from the theoretical treatment of B. A. N. 167. Hence may be inferred that the ratio

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{v} \frac{dz}{dv}\right)_{v=1 \text{ km/sec}} : \left(\frac{1}{v} \frac{dz}{dv}\right)_{v=\text{large}}$$

equals

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{\beta}} \right\} : \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + 8\beta} \right\}.$$

The number  $\beta$  measures the intensity of the outward acceleration of the atoms and has been taken equal to 1. Then the ratio (3.1) is 2, hence the ratio of the optical depths for  $v = 1$  km/sec and for large velocities is 2 for  $\beta = 1$  and still larger if  $\beta$  is larger.

The consequence of this behaviour of  $T_{\nu_0 + \Delta\nu}^r$  as

a function of  $\Delta\nu$  is that the  $K_3$  line will have its violet wing widened without a shift (as far as this cause is concerned) of the wavelength of lowest intensity. The widening of the violet wing must shift the  $K_2$  line to the violet relative to the  $K_3$  line as is actually observed <sup>1)</sup>. Also it is to be expected that the red  $K_2$  component may be stronger than the violet component of  $K_2$ , as has in some stars actually been observed by ADAMS and JOY <sup>2)</sup>.

The red shift of the  $K_3$  line observed by CHARLES E. ST. JOHN <sup>3)</sup> does not result from the preceding considerations. Following some remarks of MILNE about this point <sup>4)</sup> I presume it to be caused by an asymmetrical distribution of the "thermal" radial velocities in each element of volume, which possibly may be connected with the inequality of free path for atoms moving inward and outward. However this point requires further investigation.

<sup>1)</sup> CHARLES E. ST. JOHN, *Mt. Wilson Contr.* Nr. 48.

<sup>2)</sup> *Publ. of the Astr. Soc. of the Pac.* Oct. 1929, p. 311.

<sup>3)</sup> *l. c.*

<sup>4)</sup> *M. N.* 86, p. 597.