



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

**Positions of minor planets and the orbit of one new planet, discovered by
H. van Gent**

Pels, G.

Citation

Pels, G. (1934). Positions of minor planets and the orbit of one new planet, discovered by H. van Gent. *Bulletin Of The Astronomical Institutes Of The Netherlands*, 7, 195. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/6112>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/6112>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

BULLETIN OF THE ASTRONOMICAL INSTITUTES OF THE NETHERLANDS.

1934 June 30

Volume VII.

No. 259.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE OBSERVATORY AT LEIDEN.

Positions of minor planets and the orbit of one new planet, discovered by H. van Gent,
by G. Pels.

On the plates taken at Johannesburg with the 10-inch Franklin-Adams telescope Dr. VAN GENT has identified the minor planets of which the positions are communicated in this note. The plates have been measured at Leiden in the new Schlesinger measuring machine and the reductions have been performed

according to COMRIE's method (*J.B.A.A.* vol. 39, p. 203). The positions are the average of two, measured and reduced independently on plates taken after each other.

The magnitudes have been estimated by Dr. VAN GENT.

Planet	Mag.	Date Gr. C.T.	α_{1900}	δ_{1900}	Comparison stars
New	12·8	I930 Apr. 21·87060	12 32 55·95	— 9° 18' 23"9	San Fern. 12 ^h 36 ^m , — 9°: 8, 18, 21
		I933			
32	11·0	Oct. 19·94986	2 4 37·55	+ 14 7 11·4	Bordeaux 2 ^h 8 ^m , + 14°: 2, 8, 35
	11·5	Nov. 7·83364	1 48 30·13	12 3 6·0	„ 1 ^h 52 ^m , + 12°: 6, 68, 71
43	9·0	Oct. 19·90473	1 17 36·24	14 1 21·8	„ 1 ^h 20 ^m , + 14°: 14, 69, 81
58	12·2	Nov. 9·79130	1 48 2·88	4 38 10·4	Toulouse: 1 ^h 48 ^m , + 5°: 65, 67, 71
107	11·4	Nov. 9·79130	1 40 57·85	1 48 44·7	Algiers 1 ^h 44 ^m , + 2°: 96, 99, 106
126	12·0	Oct. 21·84543	2 55 27·76	18 10 27·4	Paris 2 ^h 56 ^m , + 18°: 40, 49, 56
149	12·0	Oct. 21·84543	2 56 47·48	15 14 23·0	Bordeaux 3 ^h 0 ^m , + 15°: 7, 8, 10
179	11·2	Oct. 19·90473	1 19 51·12	18 15 0·1	Paris 1 ^h 20 ^m , + 18°: 24, 35, 39
190	12·3	Nov. 9·79130	1 50 7·00	6 0 42·6	Toulouse 1 ^h 48 ^m , + 7°: III, II4, II7
208	12·5	Oct. 19·90473	1 27 50·94	10 12 20·8	Bordeaux 1 ^h 24 ^m , + 11°: 215; 1 ^h 32 ^m : 61, 64
	13·2	Nov. 9·79130	1 12 17·88	8 48 44·4	Toulouse 1 ^h 16 ^m , + 9°: I, 45, 47
	13·5	„ 14·81735	1 9 30·30	8 33 12·6	Toulouse 1 ^h 8 ^m , + 9°: 53, 55, 58
312	13·0	Oct. 19·90473	1 26 49·38	13 54 39·7	Bordeaux 1 ^h 28 ^m , + 14°: 15, 40, 45
320	14·0	Oct. 21·84543	2 29 54·94	16 3 34·8	„ 2 ^h 32 ^m , + 16°: 4, 10, 55
388	12·5	Oct. 19·90473	1 28 19·05	14 44 22·0	„ 1 ^h 32 ^m , + 15°: 7, 71, 77
	12·5	Nov. 7·83364	1 13 28·34	13 41 35·7	„ 1 ^h 16 ^m , + 13°: 21, 38, 47
461	13·4	Oct. 19·94986	2 18 59·66	12 0 45·8	„ 2 ^h 16 ^m , + 12°: 80, 219, 223
494	12·8	Oct. 19·94986	2 7 46·02	11 30 41·4	„ 2 ^h 8 ^m , + 12°: 71, 77, 78
541	12·8	Oct. 19·90473	1 41 5·54	18 52 20·4	Paris 1 ^h 40 ^m , + 19°: 70, 171, 175
	13·8	Nov. 7·83364	1 26 5·60	16 57 22·4	Bordeaux 1 ^h 24 ^m , + 17°: 44, 99, III
674	11·0	Nov. 9·79130	1 49 52·10	1 33 42·6	Algier 1 ^h 52 ^m , + 2°: 71, 75, 77
755	13·3	„ 9·79130	1 41 9·57	6 52 5·6	Toulouse 1 ^h 40 ^m , + 7°: 46, 89, 95
808	13·5	„ 14·81735	0 54 37·76	2 28 32·4	Algier 0 ^h 56 ^m , + 2°: 24, 25, 36
912	13·0	Oct. 19·94986	2 14 59·64	+ 12 17 45·3	Bordeaux 2 ^h 16 ^m , + 12°: 37, 39, 47

Planet	Mag.	Date Gr. C.T.	α_{1900}	δ_{1900}	Comparison stars	
932	II.2	Oct. 19'90473	h m s I 44 0'66	+ 14° 19' 47"8	Bordeaux	$I^h 44^m$, + 14° : 24, 25, 29
	12.5	Nov. 7'83364	I 24 36'38	I 3 53 10'8	"	$I^h 28^m$, + 14° : 1, 34, 40
1102	13.2	Oct. 19'94986	I 55 15'01	I 5 I 27'3	"	$I^h 56^m$, + 15° : 16, 31, 102
	13.2	Nov. 7'83364	I 42 5'11	I 2 0 8'4	"	$I^h 44^m$, + 12° : 16, 71, 80
1114	13.3	Nov. 9'79130	I 13 7'09	4 10 54'9	Toulouse	$I^h 16^m$, + 5° : 59, 62, 69
New	14.0	Oct. 19'94986	2 8 10'38	+ 15 43 12'6	Bordeaux	2 ^h 8 ^m , + 16 ^o : 67, 75, 78

The new minor planet found by Dr. VAN GENT was also discovered in Simeis and Uccle; the provisional designations were 1933 SW and 1933 UZ.

The dates and the positions on the Johannesburg plates are:

Date Gr. C.T.	Mag.	α_{1925}	δ_{1925}	Comparison stars	
1933		h m s	° , "		
Oct. 19'90473	II.0	I 21 49'94	II 18 8'8	Bordeaux	$I^h 24^m$, + 11° : 6, 8, 11
Nov. 14'81735	13.3	I 8 2'61	6 50 10'3	Toulouse	$I^h 8^m$, + 7° : 50, 57, 61
," 19'87260	13.8	I 6 54'50	6 10 46'0	"	$I^h 8^m$, + 7° : 49; + 5° : 4, 7
," 23'89556	13.5	I 6 27'61	5 43 30'6	"	$I^h 8^m$, + 5° : 1, 5, 6
Dec. 6'82700	14.0	I 7 48'99	4 41 23'8	"	$I^h 8^m$, + 5° : 42, 46, 51
," 17'82787	14.0	I 12 9'48	4 18 9'1	Algier	$I^h 12^m$, + 4° : 35, 38, 53

The orbit computations were made by the method GAUSS-ENCKE as modified for the use of calculating machines by VEITHEN-MERTON; using the first, second and last of the above mentioned places. The elements are:

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{matrix} g & 10'3 \\ M & 8'384 \text{ on Nov. } 19'0 \text{ Gr.C.T.} \\ \omega & 170'845 \\ \Omega & 210'106 \\ i & 14'299 \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \varphi & 8'126 \\ \mu & 724''731 \\ a & 2'8833 \end{matrix} \end{array}$$

The comparison of the places, computed with this orbit and the observed, is as follows:

Date Gr. C.T.	Place	O-C	
		in α	in δ
1933		s	"
Sept. 26'03	Simeis	- 29	- 5'4
Oct. 16'98	"	+ 10	+ 4'0
," 19'90	Johannesburg	'00	0
," 19'95	Simeis	- 11	+ 5'3
," 21'00	Uccle	+ '95	+ 9'7
," 23'93	"	+ 11	+ 1'9
," 29'02	Simeis	+ '20	+ 2'1
Nov. 8'91	Uccle	+ '05	+ 1'8
," 14'82	Johannesburg	+ '01	+ 1'2
," 15'78	Simeis	+ '17	+ 3'6
," 19'85	Uccle	'00	+ 2'0
," 19'87	Johannesburg	+ '16	+ 2'4
," 21'68	Simeis	+ '09	- 1'0
," 23'90	Johannesburg	+ '08	+ 2'3
Dec. 6'83	"	+ '41	- 1'4
," 17'83	"	'00	0

The observations of Uccle are published in B.A.B. 12; the position of Dec. 8,89, there mentioned does not suit in this orbit. Those of Simeis were kindly put at my disposal by Dr. G. NEUJMIN.

According to an information by Dr. G. STRACKE the planet is probably identical with 392, Wilhelmina, discovered in 1894 and since lost. With an empirical correction in μ of only $-0'424$ the observations in α of 1894 are satisfied; those in δ leave a difference of $20'$, probably due to perturbations in latitude.

The ephemeris for the next opposition computed with the elements mentioned above is:

1935	α_{1925}	δ_{1925}
Jan. 6	h m m	° , "
14	9 5'7	- 5'2
22	9 0'5	6'0
30	8 54'5	3 55 25
Febr. 7	8 47'9	3 30 36
15	8 41'4	2 54 46
23	8 35'3	2 8 + 52
	8 29'9	- 1 16

oppos. Jan. 30
mag. 14.3