



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

A high resolution search for small scale structure in sharpless H II regions at 4.995 GHz - The catalogue

Felli, M.; Harten, R.H.; Habing, H.J.; Israel, F.P.

Citation

Felli, M., Harten, R. H., Habing, H. J., & Israel, F. P. (1978). A high resolution search for small scale structure in sharpless H II regions at 4.995 GHz - The catalogue. *Astronomy And Astrophysics Supplement Series*, 32, 423-428. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/6982>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/6982>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

A HIGH RESOLUTION SEARCH FOR SMALL SCALE STRUCTURE IN SHARPLESS HII REGIONS AT 4.995 GHz. THE CATALOGUE

M. FELLI

Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Italy

R.H. HARTEN

The Netherland Foundation for Radio Astronomy

H.J. HABING and F.P. ISRAEL*

Sterrewacht, Leiden, The Netherlands

Received October 3, 1977

To increase the sample of known small scale radio emission features in HII regions, a 6 arcsec resolution survey of 77 Sharpless HII regions was made with the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope at 4.995 GHz. Strip scan distributions were obtained for 103 fields, with a limiting flux density of 30 mJy. Of this sample, 51 fields were reobserved more extensively and two-dimensional maps were obtained. From these maps, positions and flux densities of several new sources were determined. A list of all observed fields and the peak flux density detected in each field is given. The position, maximum size and flux density of all sources with $S_{\nu} > 25$ mJy are given. These basic lists are presented as an aid to observers looking for interesting objects to observe at optical, infrared and radio wavelengths. A more complete analysis of the fields will appear in a later paper.

Key words: HII regions – radio observations – high resolution

INTRODUCTION

In this paper the results of a systematic search for compact radio sources associated with the HII regions listed in the Sharpless (1959) catalog are presented. This search was carried out with the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) at a frequency of 4.995 GHz ($\lambda = 6$ cm). The primary motivation for this study was to enlarge the sample of compact HII regions. With this larger sample it should be possible to make some statistical conclusion concerning the early phases of the evolution of an HII region.

One of the main question to be answered is where are the compact HII regions located and what is their relationship with respect to the other indicators of recent star formation. The solution to this problem is important in testing the two following hypotheses on the manner in which star formation proceeds: 1) the expansion of an evolving HII region triggers the formation of new stars (Hjellming 1970, Israel *et al.* 1973, Habing *et al.* 1975, Elmegreen and Lada 1977); 2) the formation of massive stars leads to the destruction of the dense neutral cloud material and halts further star formation (Herbig 1962).

If the first hypothesis is true, then compact HII regions should be located inside or near evolved HII regions. If the second one is true, then there should be no association between compact and evolved features. Finally, a question related to the two hypotheses is whether compact components are isolated objects or they always form in groups. Some evidence for the later has been presented by Harten (1976) and Israel (1976).

It is obvious that a large sample of objects must be studied before any definite conclusion about the two hypotheses can be made. Although a fair number of HII regions have been searched in the past for presence of compact components, we believe that the existing material is incomplete and suffers from strong selection effects. Ideally one would like to have a survey of the galactic plane at a frequency of 5 GHz, a sensitivity of at least 0.1 Jy and a resolution of a few arcsec. Such a survey does not exist and it is unlikely that it will be undertaken due to the large amount of time and effort needed.

The existing surveys have been made: a) at lower frequencies, b) with poorer angular resolution (~ 10 arcmin) and c) with poor sensitivity (~ 1 Jy). Such surveys are sensitive mainly to larger (> 10 arcmin), low density ($n_e = 5 - 500$ cm⁻³) HII regions where self absorption by the gas is not important.

* Present address: Owens Valley Radio Observatory, Pasadena, Ca.

Unfortunately, any weak compact components are lost due to the poor resolution and sensitivity. Only the brightest compact components (*e.g.* in W3 and NGC 7538) have been discovered through these surveys.

Searches for compact components in areas of the sky near known indicators of stars formation, other than radio HII regions, (H_{α} emission stars, T Tauri stars, IR point sources or CO “hot spots”) have not been very successful and few new compact sources have been detected. Thus it appears that the chances of detecting isolated components with flux densities > 1 Jy at 15 GHz are quite small (Churchwell *et al.* 1969).

Recent work by the authors and others have shown that compact features are often associated with small, visible HII regions, many of which are Sharpless objects. These results indicated that a systematic survey of the Sharpless objects with known radio emission was needed.

A survey of this type was conducted by Felli *et al.* (1974) using the Stanford five element interferometer, at a wavelength of 2.8 cm. Of the 140 regions surveyed, 7% contained new compact features and 15% contained features at the limit of the noise (1.2 Jy).

In the present search, with a factor 40 better in sensitivity and a much higher spatial resolution, we have selected areas inside HII regions listed in the Sharpless catalogue which show a peak in the radio continuum emission studied by Felli and Churchwell (1972).

If an HII region was larger than 10 arcmin and did not show any prominent peak in the lower resolution data, only the central maximum was observed. This introduces a bias since we have selected the areas of higher surface brightness for our observations. Sources near the equator were given lower priority because of the degraded resolution of the synthesized beam. We did not include any source which had previously been observed with the WSRT at 6 cm. Unfortunately, the area near the center of the Galaxy ($0^{\circ} < \ell < 90^{\circ}$) was not completely sampled due to lack of available telescope time.

The combination of this work with other recent works done with the WSRT by the authors and others, provides a sample of approximately 150 HII regions. This sample is large enough to enable us to study some of the problems regarding compact components and their relationship with more evolved HII regions. A detailed study of individual regions and a discussion of some of the statistical properties of compact HII regions as well as their relationship to more evolved HII regions will be discussed in two subsequent papers. The present paper provides a short summary of the results of the survey and it is intended as an aid to those planning future observations of HII regions.

OBSERVATIONS

The observations were made using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope at a frequency of 4.995 GHz. The telescope and its operation have been described by Baars and Hooghoudt (1974) and Casse and Muller (1974). The standard calibration and reduction procedures were used (Högbom and Brouw 1974, van Someren Greve 1974). Table 1 gives the basic parameters of the observations.

The observing was done in two stages. In the initial stage, Spring 1975, each selected field was observed near meridian transit for a period of 20 minutes, yielding a “single cut” in the u, v plane. The data were time averaged and a one-dimensional Fourier transformation was made. In this way we were able to observe a large number of potentially interesting areas and to check for the presence of small scale structures. The single cut observations were equivalent to scanning an 11 arcmin area in right ascension with a 6×660 arcsec fan beam. To improve the detection of sources with extensions larger than 15 arcsec, we also made a second Fourier transform of the original data with a resolution of 12 arcsec in right ascension.

In the second stage of the observations, the latter half of 1975 and throughout 1976, we reobserved each field that showed a peak flux density ≥ 30 mJy in the single cut data. During this stage, each source was observed at five different hour angles (at $\delta < 20^{\circ}$ only three-hour angles could be observed). These multicut data were then Fourier transformed in two dimensions, yielding an ultimate angular resolution of 6.6×6.6 cosec δ over a field of view 11 arcmin in diameter. The Fourier transforms were carried out using slow Fourier transforms in a specially designed programme, Slofour, written by one of us (Harten 1974). Several

HII regions are larger than the field of view and we tried to cover them in adjacent observations. However, lack of observing time has prevented us from always achieving this goal and compact components may have been missed in such cases

Our method of observation and data reduction leads to several limitations on the data and its usage. First, since only a limited number of discrete cuts were available and the u, v plane was not covered continuously, large sidelobe levels of the synthesized beam occurred. It was necessary to correct for the effects of the sidelobes, using the “clean” method (Högbom 1974). All data were cleaned to a level of 20 mJy and restored using a Gaussian beam of the same size as the synthesized beam. Secondly, large extended fields with a smooth brightness distribution will not be properly represented due to our incomplete sampling in hour angle and baseline. Thirdly, the incomplete sampling, combined with a low signal-to-noise ratio can cause the clean programme to work improperly, since artificial sources may occur at grating or sidelobe locations and a pattern of closely spaced point-like objects in regions of relatively smooth emission may be created. This problem was clearly present in S209 and S226. In these cases, the individual peaks were not treated as individual sources. In most cases it was possible to correct for these problems by examining the uncleaned maps. When we were reasonably but not completely certain that a source was real, we indicated it in the tables. Similarly, we may have missed a few weak sources that occurred near grating or sidelobes. All sources listed in this paper are either isolated or at least two to three times the level of the surrounding emission.

If a region is smaller than two arcmin, the shape is hardly distorted and we will have detected most of the flux density. For larger sources, the grating responses and the associated zero level shifts in the maps distort the source shape while the finite minimum baseline of the observations allows us to measure only a small fraction of the total flux density of the object.

To check the limitations of our data and our reduction procedures, we included the object S90 in our survey. A complete synthesis of this object has recently been published by Israel (1976). The object does not contain any compact features and consists of a smooth low brightness region with a brighter arc superimposed. Our observations were able to detect only the brightest ridge of emission corresponding to the broad maximum in Israel’s map. We were unable to determine either the total extent or the basic shape of the low brightness emission. This indicates that our search is not very sensitive to moderately extended smooth objects.

An important difference between the single and multi-cut data is that the noise has different effects. In the single cut data each baseline has an equal noise contribution. This noise when transformed in the Fourier transform leads to false large and small scale components of comparable intensity. This is quite important to keep in mind since generally one is tempted to attribute only small scale variations to the noise and large scale variations to the astronomical source. In the multi-cut data the same argument applies to each baseline; however, the short baseline data is more closely packed in the u, v , plane than the longer baseline data. This results in a lower effective noise in the shorter baseline data. Thus, the largest contribution to the noise has a small scale size. Any low brightness, large scale features are more likely to be real in these maps.

DISCUSSION

Table 2 gives the summary of the observational results. For the non-detections, the coordinates given in columns 2 and 3 are those of the field centre (FC in the “comments” column) used for the observations and the upper limit to the flux density given in column 4 is the maximum flux density per beam area detected in the observed field with a fan beam of $6 \text{ arcsec} \times 11 \text{ arcmin}$.

In a few cases a peak flux density larger than the noise was found in the observed field, but the source was not reobserved because believed to be a non-thermal one. In these cases the peak flux density per beam area (PEAK in column 4) is given.

For the detected sources, the position, flux density (corrected for the primary beam attenuation), and size are given. Several of the detected sources are at low declination and, because of the decreased resolution, only a crude check of the source extent in the N-S direction can be given.

The broadened, B ($20'' < \Theta < 60''$), sources are those which have minimal instrumental effects and in which the measured flux density is probably close to the true flux density of the source. The extended, E ($\Theta > 60''$), sources may be attenuated by the interferometric antenna pattern and we are probably measuring only the fraction of the total flux density contained in the small scale structures. Also given in column 6 is the name of the Sharpless source in which the field is located. It should be understood that the Sharpless name should be used only as a reference, because the larger Sharpless objects are not completely covered by our observations.

In the 103 fields searched a total of 47 sources were detected in 34 different Sharpless objects, 19 of which are unresolved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

R. H. gratefully acknowledges a visiting research grant provided by the “Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche”, and M. F. acknowledges the support of a Nato Senior Fellowship in the last part of the project. The Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope is operated by the Netherlands Foundation for Radio Astronomy which is financially supported by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).

REFERENCES

- Baars, J.W.M. and Hooghoudt, B.G.: 1974, *Astron. Astrophys.* **31**, 323.
 Casse, J.L. and Muller, C.A.: 1974, *Astron. Astrophys.* **31**, 333.
 Churchwell, E., Felli, M. and Mezger, P.G.: 1969, *Astrophys. J.* **4**, 33.
 Elmegreen, B.G. and Lada, C.J.: 1977, *Astrophys. J.* **214**, 725.
 Felli, M. and Churchwell, E.: 1972, *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* **5**, 369.
 Felli, M., Tofani, G. and D'Addario, L.R.: 1974, *Astron. Astrophys.* **31**, 431.
 Habing, H.J., Israel, F.P. and Jong, T. de: 1972, *Astron. Astrophys.* **17**, 329.
 Harten, R.H.: 1974, The Netherlands Foundation for Radio Astronomy, Internal Report no. 122.
 Harten, R.H.: 1976, *Astron. Astrophys.* **46**, 109.
 Herbig, G.H.: 1962, *Adv. Astron. Astrophys.* **1**, 47.
 Hjellming, R.B.: 1970, *Mem. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège* **19**, 105.
 Högbom, J.A.: 1974, *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* **15**, 417.
 Högbom, J.A. and Brouw, W.N.: 1974, *Astron. Astrophys.* **33**, 289.
 Israel, F.P.: 1976, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Leiden.
 Israel, F.P., Habing, H.J. and Jong, T. de: 1973, *Astron. Astrophys.* **27**, 143.
 Sharpless, S.: 1959, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **4**, 257.
 Someren Greve, H.W. van: 1974, *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* **15**, 343.

M. Felli

Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri
 Largo E. Fermi 5
 I-50125 Firenze, Italy

R.H. Harten

The Netherlands Foundation for
 Radio Astronomy
 Dwingeloo, The Netherlands

H.J. Habing

Sterrewacht, Huygens Laboratorium
 Wassenaarseweg 73
 Leiden 2405, The Netherlands

F.P. Israel

Owens Valley Radio Observatory
 California Institute of Technology 102-24
 Pasadena, Ca. 91125
 U.S.A.

Table 1 Observational parameters

Frequency of observations (GHz)	4.995
Wavelength (cm)	6
HPBW primary beam (arcmin)	11.3
HPBW synthesized beam (arcsec)	$6.6 \times (6.6/\sin(\delta))$
Radius of first grating response (arcmin)	2.8
Shortest spacing (wavelengths)	1200
FWHM of a gaussian source with a visibility of 0.5 at shortest spacing (arcmin)	$1.3 \times (1.3 \times \sin(\delta))$
r.m.s. noise per 20 minute observation (mJy)	30

Table 2 Summary of observational results

N.	R.A. (1950)	Dec. (1950)	Flux (mJy)	Size (arcsec)	Field	Comments	N.	R.A. (1950)	Dec. (1950)	Flux (mJy)	Size (arcsec)	Field	Comments
1	19 ^h 09 ^m	17.6° ± .3	29 ± 5	10* x 34*	S 80	B	62	02 ^h 53 ^m	40.1°	60° 25' 01"	<24	S199	B, FC
2	19 09	16.9 ± .3	52 ± 5	10* x 34*	S 80	B	63	02 53	00.0	60 10 01	<20	S199	FC
3	19 22	19.9 ± .3	535 ± 50	16 x 30	S 83	B	64	02 59	22.4 ± .3	60 16 12 ± 3	780 ± 50	S201	E, Size limited by grating
4	19 21	56.3 ± .3	325 ± 70	50 x 78	S 83	B, arc of extension	65	03 19	08.7 ± .1	54 35 58 ± 1	100 ± 10	S203	B
5	19 41	10.7 ± .3	25 ± 5	10* x 25*	S 86	B	66	03 19	14.1 ± .2	54 35 06 ± 2	25 ± 5	S205	FC
6	19 44	13.7 ± .2	240 ± 20	21 x 39	S 87	B	67	03 48	24.0	53 16 01	<19	S205	E, Size limited by grating
7	19 44	15.9 ± .2	45 ± 10	10* x 25*	S 87	FC	68	04 07	17 ± 2	51 01 00 ±60	3500 ±100	S209	FC
8	19 44	33.8	<18		S 92	B, FC	69	04 27	13.9	52 22 59	<18	S210	FC
9	19 57	19.9	<21		S 94	B, FC	70	04 55	00.0	47 55 41	<18	S217	FC
10	19 57	09.8 ± .3	320 ± 30	55 x 62	S 98		71	04 52	29 ± 2	47 18 55 ±10	160 ±100	S219	FC
11	19 58	25.0 ± .3	46 ± 10	10* x 19*	S101	Dip limited from H II	72	04 52	29 ± 2	47 18 55 ±10	160 ±100	S219	FC
12	20 32	18.8 ± .3	36 ± 6	10* x 14	S112	Weak	73	04 26	58.4 ± .2	35 09 36 ± 4	165 ± 10	S222	B
13	20 32	15.8 ± .3	25 ± 5	14 x 15	S112		74	05 20	47.3 ± .3	40 34 59	<18	S225	Southern Extension
14	20 33	28.5 ± .3	33 ± 5	10* x 14*	S115		74	05 20	47.3 ± .3	40 34 59	<18	S225	FC
15	20 33	21.3 ± .3	56 ± 10	16 x 44	S115		75	05 07	36.4 ± .2	37 55 37 ± 3	450 ±50	S226	E
16	20 43	49.0	<16		S117	FC	76	05 12	55.0	34 28 59	<23	S229	FC
17	20 49	07.9	36 (Peak)		S117	B, FC	77	05 36	00.0	35 45 00	40 (Peak)	S231	FC, Bright optical part of nebula not in the field
18	20 51	31.9	<21		S117	FC	78	05 19	20.9	34 00 00	<13	S236	FC
19	20 ^h 52 ^m	00.0*	106 (Peak)		S117	FC, Non thermal source	79	05 ^h 18 ^m	30.0*	33° 39' 00"	<15	S236	FC
20	20 53	41.0	<22		S117	FC	80	06 01	41.0	30 11 53	<20	S241	FC, Bright optical nebula not in field
21	20 55	19.9	<19		S117	FC	81	05 48	44.9	27 01 19	<22	S242	FC
22	21 02	27.8	<26		S120	B, FC	82	06 05	28.1	21 37 59	33 (Peak)	S247	FC
23	21 03	35.4 ± .3	125 ± 20	42 x 44	S121	FC, faintly, offset from optical nebula	83	06 05	56.9	15 40 59	<22	S261	FC
24	21 36	30.0	<27		S124	FC	84	06 06	37.0	15 45 00	<21	S261	FC
25	21 39	10.1	<26		S124	FC	85	06 05	21.3 ± .3	15 44 40 ±10	35 ± 5	S261	B, FC
26	21 41	00.0	<24		S124	FC	86	06 13	00.0	14 16 59	<27	S267	FC
27	21 51	33.9	<21		S125	FC, faintly, offset from optical nebula	87	06 07	49.0	13 22 30	<18	S268	FC
28	21 27	07.4 ± .3	200 ± 40	12 x 12*	S127	B	88	06 06	49.9	13 00 00	<20	S268	FC
29	21 27	04.7 ± .3	125 ± 40	10* x 36	S127	Envelope	89	06 09	00.0	13 34 59	<26	S268	FC
30	21 27	06.4 ± .3	120 ± 40	10* x 12*	S127	Possible grating confusion	90	06 11	00.0	13 09 00	318 (Peak)	S270	FC
31	21 27	31.4 ± .3	95 ± 40	10* x 12*	S127		91	06 07	23.6 ± .3	12 49 10 ±20	28 ± 5	S274	FC
32	22 21	10.0	51 (Peak)		S132	FC	92	07 26	18.0	13 21 00	<14	S279	FC, Only one of two bright regions sampled
33	22 18	56.0	<24		S132	FC	93	05 32	48.0	-04 54 29	<26	S280	FC
34	22 15	40.0	<24		S132	FC	94	06 31	24.9	02 30 29	<24	S280	FC
35	22 15	20.0	<24		S132	FC	95	06 32	34.0	02 40 01	<19	S280	FC
36	22 21	20.0	<26		S138	FC	96	06 35	32.9	01 27 54	<19	S282	B, FC
37	22 30	52.7 ± .2	550 ± 50	8 x 9	S138	FC	97	06 35	54.0	00 45 00	<21	S283	B, FC
38	22 ^h 17 ^m	12.0*	<18		S140	FC	98	06 42	54.0	00 21 00	<23	S284	FC
39	22 26	51.6 ±1.0	200 ±100	180 x180	S141	Size limited by grating	99	06 ^h 55 ^m	42.0*	00° 25' 59"	<17	S285	FC
40	22 45	32 ± 1	350 ±300	180 x180	S142	E	100	06 52	15.6 ± .3	-04 30 30 ±30	45 ± 10	S286	FC
41	22 45	31.9	<14		S142	FC	101	06 57	01.0	-04 45 00	<15	S287	FC
42	22 45	31.9	<17		S142	FC	102	07 06	09.8 ± .3	-04 13 03 ±30	620 ± 60	S288	B
43	22 44	12.0	<17		S142	FC	103	07 06	09.6 ± .3	-04 11 10 ±30	44 ± 5	S288	B
44	22 46	51.8	<38		S142	FC	104	06 53	05.0	-07 57 00	<24	S291	FC
45	22 47	31.0 ± .2	2400 ±100	40 x 34	S146	E	105	07 02	05.0	-10 22 59	<20	S292	FC
46	22 54	13.2 ± .2	550 ± 30	30 x 36	S148	E	106	07 14	11.0 ± .3	-09 19 24 ±30	32 ± 5	S294	B
47	22 55	55.9	<16		S155	FC	107	07 14	06.6 ± .3	-09 19 48 ±30	30 ± 5	S294	B
48	22 55	00.0	<19		S155	FC	108	07 14	00.0	-11 22 59	<18	S295	FC
49	22 56	40.0	<21		S155	FC	109	07 02	53.0	-12 14 24	<19	S297	B, FC
50	23 39	46.8	<11		S166	B	110	07 16	12.2 ± .2	-13 10 05 ±30	37 ± 8	S298	Possible grating confusion
51	23 33	13.1 ± .3	110 ± 40	112 x 70	S170	B	111	07 16	20.6 ± .6	-13 06 22 ±30	30 ± 5	S298	Possible grating confusion
52	23 59	00.0	<17		S173	FC	112	07 07	33.6 ± .3	-18 30 00 ±30	40 ± 8	S301	E
53	00 49	41.9	<17		S173	FC	113	07 29	29.0	-16 54 18	<20	S302	FC
54	00 50	20 ± 3	390 ±300	600 x360	S184	E, Two area part of same source	114	07 27	56.0 ± .3	-18 26 13 ±30	28 ± 5	S305	E
55	01 05	38.5 ± .3	140 ± 30	29 x 24	S186	B	115	07 28	07.9	-18 56 42	<18	S306	FC
56	01 27	00.0	<17		S188	FC	116	07 33	22.0 ± .3	-18 39 05 ±30	270 ± 40	S307	B
57	01 27	31.0	<15		S196	FC	117	07 33	32.0 ± .3	-18 44 59 ±30	100 ± 20	S307	B
58	02 46	12.0	<21		S198	FC	118	07 29	57.7 ± .3	-19 20 58 ±30	40 ± 10	S309	B
59	02 46	30.0	<20		S199	FC							
60	02 45	30.0	<15		S199	FC							
61	02 49	30.0	<15		S199	FC							

FC = Field centre; i.e. the coordinates are those of the field centre of the observations.

* Unresolved by beam, size is an upper limit estimate.

(1) Flux determined from fringe visibilities.