



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Note on the eclipsing variable GN Normae

Oosterhoff, P.T.

### Citation

Oosterhoff, P. T. (1940). Note on the eclipsing variable GN Normae. *Bulletin Of The Astronomical Institutes Of The Netherlands*, 9, 62. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/6076>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/6076>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Note on the eclipsing variable GN Normae, by *P. Th. Oosterhoff*.

This eclipsing variable was discovered by E. КРУТБОСН, who gave a discussion of his estimates on Franklin-Adams plates in *B.A.N.* No. 236 (star *d*). The variable is of special interest because of the asymmetrical position of the minima and the difference in width between them. The data of the solution of the period by the method of least squares given in the paper mentioned above (Table 4, *d*) should be replaced by the two following solutions for the minima A and B separately.

Elements of minimum A:

$$\text{J.D. } 2425831^{\text{d}}.24 + 5^{\text{d}}.7040 \text{ E} \\ \pm \quad \quad \quad 8 \text{ (m.e.)}$$

Elements of minimum B:

$$\text{J.D. } 2425720^{\text{d}}.55 + 5^{\text{d}}.70376 \text{ E} \\ \pm \quad \quad \quad 23 \text{ (m.e.)}$$

min. A			min. B		
2420000+	E	O-C	2420000+	E	O-C
<i>d</i>		<i>d</i>	<i>d</i>		<i>d</i>
5443'38	0	+ '01	5418'23	0	+ '02
5791'38	61	+ '07	5435'29	3	- '03
5808'29	64	- '13	5452'42	6	- '02
5831'28	68	+ '04	5475'27	10	+ '02
6036'54	104	- '05	5720'53	53	+ '02
6076'57	111	+ '06	6125'47	124	- '01
6093'63	114	'00			

Combination of the two values for the period yields:

$$5^{\text{d}}.70378 \\ \pm \quad \quad \quad 22 \text{ (m.e.)}$$

It is in accordance with the period derived by SHAPLEY and SWOPE <sup>1)</sup>, which should have considerably more weight.

The mean error of a single epoch is found to be  $\pm \text{d}.08$  and  $\pm \text{d}.025$  for A and B respectively. This difference is partly due to the difference in width

<sup>1)</sup> *Harvard Bulletin*, No. 909, 8.

between the minima. For the observations near minimum phases have been computed with the formula:

$$\text{phase} = .175322 \text{ (J.D.—2420000)}.$$

They are plotted in the accompanying figure.

The ratio between the widths is still very uncertain. If we adopt the value 2/3 the eccentricity is found to be .24.

