## Greek numerals and PIE glottalic consonants

GAMKRELIDZE and IVANOV have suggested on typological grounds that the reconstructed voiced occlusives of the Indo-European proto-language were actually glottalic ${ }^{1}$ ). Elsewhere $I$ have argued that this hypothesis is supported by immediate comparative evidence from Latvian ${ }^{2)}$, Armenian ${ }^{3}$ ), and Sindhi ${ }^{4}$, and by indirect evidence from Balto-Slavic (Winter's law) ${ }^{5 \text { ) , Latin (Lachmann's law), and Indo-Iranian }}$ (Bartholomae's law) ${ }^{6}$ ). Additional evidence from Indo-Iranian has been adduced by A. LUBOTSKY ${ }^{7}$ ). Elsewhere $I$ will argue that the new theory provides a possible explanation for the rise of preaspiration in. Icelandic and the so-called vestjysk st申d in Danish. In this paper I intend to show that it offers an explanation for several problems in connection with the formation of the numerals in Greek.

The PIE word for ' 100 ' is usually reconstructed as *ḱmtóm. This reconstruction does not account for the initial vowel of éxatóv. The initial vowel is usually derived from *sem- ${ }^{8)}$ or *sm- ${ }^{9}$ ) if its origin is not simply called "unknown" ${ }^{10}$ ) or left out of consideration altogether ${ }^{11)}$. These explanations meet with several difficulties. There is no support for the ad hoc hypothesis that the final nasal of *sem was dissimilated before *kmtóm. The alleged substitution of Éभatóv for *àuatóv < *sm- cannot be compared with the replacement of ă $\tau \varepsilon \rho \circ$ with étع $\begin{gathered}\text { wos because the latter is limited }\end{gathered}$ to a part of the dialects. Above all, the assumption of a composite form 'one hundred' is at variance with the indeclinability and the syntactic behaviour of éuatov. The original character of the indeclinability is evident both from the preservation of the final nasal in composition and

such a construction had been possible at an earlier stage, it would hardly have been replaced with a derivative formation in -io-. Thus, I think that the Greek form and its syntax are more archaic than is generally assumed.

The hypothesis that the unaspirated voiced stops of the Indo-European proto-language were glottalic offers a straightforward explanation for the initial vowel of énatóv. If we start from *akmtorm, we can assume that the buccal features of the initial consonant were lost while its glottalic feature merged with the reflex of the PIE laryngeal ${ }^{*} H_{1}$ and yielded *e-: *́́uatóv. The aspiration was apparently taken from $\varepsilon \nu^{12)}$. The ending of $* d k^{\prime} m$ tóm can be identified with the gen.pl. ending *-om, not because the numeral represents an original gen.pl. form ${ }^{13)}$ but because the gen.pl. must be derived from an uninflected PIE form ${ }^{14)}$.

The explanation put forward here has the additional advantage of simultaneously accounting for the long vowel in the decades, a traditional analysis of which leads to the following reconstruction ${ }^{15)}$ :

| '20' | * wiknti |  | $v \bar{i} g i n t \bar{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '30' | *trikont- | тplánovta | triginta |
| '40' | ${ }^{*} k^{W}$ etwronkont- | тยт $\tau \alpha$ ¢่́ | quadrāgint $\bar{\alpha}$ |
| '50' | *penk ${ }^{\text {ekkont- }}$ | mevtrixovta | quinquāgintā |
| ${ }^{\prime} 60^{\prime}$ | *swekskont- | ĖEṅนovta | sexãginta |
| '70' | * septmikont- | Ėßбоиńиоขта | septū̄gint $\bar{\alpha}$ |
| '80' | *oktokont- | ó¢ойหоита | octogintā |
| '90' | * newñkont- | Évevท́หovta | nōnāgintà |

I now reconstruct *d instead of the vowel length in the protoforms, e. g. *penk ${ }^{\omega}$ edḱomt ${ }^{16)}$. I agree with SZEMERÉNYI that originally there was no final vowel in '30' through '90', especially because Indic -sat would otherwise be very difficult to explain. The assumption that ${ }^{*} d$ was also
present in *sweks $d k^{\prime} o m t$, where it lost its glottalic feature and was assimilated to *t before ${ }^{*} k^{\prime}$, provides an explanation for the rise of the Indo-Iranian suffix -ti- in the higher decades if it is correct that the final * $\mathcal{R}^{\circ}$ of the cluster was lost in this branch of IE. Greek eliminated the cluster by


It can be objected against the theory advanced here that the long vowel is absent from èmtanóolol etc. The objection does not hold because the latter formation is limited to Greek andmust apparently be dated to the period after the reanalysis of *่́uatóv as $\dot{\varepsilon}-\varkappa \alpha \tau о-\nu$. The IE proto-1anguage had no names for the hundreds.

In the case of *septmakomt, the hypothesis that *d yields the same reflex as ${ }^{*} H_{1}$ accounts for the difference between
 It may also account for the irregular voicing in Greek. The alleged development of PIE *septmós to *Ėßסapós rather than * $\dot{\pi} \pi \alpha \mu o ́ s ~ l a c k s$ parallels and can hardly be correct. More probably, the voicing arose in *è Bo $\mu \dot{\prime}$ иovta after the development of the syllabic nasal at a stage which was posterior to Sievers' law, cf. Эuntós < *dhwṇ ${ }_{2}$ tós, otow ós < *stṛH ${ }_{3}$ tós ${ }^{17 \text { ). }}$. It then spread to $\varepsilon \beta \delta o \mu o s, ~ f r o m ~ t h e r e ~ t o ~ o ̈ \gamma \delta o f o s, ~ w h i c h ~ r e-~$

 tion of the medial vowel from the ordinals into éßסouñovta and órsońnovta is probably late.

The form *H1 newndkomt yielded *évefuńuoura, in which *w was apparently lost at an early stage because of the aberrant syllable structure ${ }^{19)}$. The usual derivation from *enwencannot be correct because that would yield **عivevriuovta in Ionic, cf. eivatos < Evfaros.

The theory advanced here also provides an explanation for
 which represent PIE *dwidkmti. Partial dissimilation of the
 form must be derived, whereas total dissimilation yielded the northern form ${ }^{20)}$.

The long vowel of totázovta cannot represent an original plural ending $-\bar{a}$, as is often assumed, because it is absent from toia < *triHz . I think that the form continues *triaHkonta, which developed from PIE *tri ${ }_{2}$ dkomt under the influence of toia. Indeed, this is the form where in my view the final vowel of - uouta originated on the analogy of *dwidk'mti and from where it spread to the higher decades. The difference between $* d w i-$ and ${ }^{*} t r i H_{2}-$ has been preserved in Old Irish fiche < *wikent- versus tricho < *trikont- and in Tocharian B ikäm < *wiknt versus täryäka < *triaka, with Proto-Tocharian $* a$ as the phonetic reflex of PIE ${ }^{*} H_{2}^{21)}$. The initial part ${ }^{*} d w i-$ is apparently the PIE neuter form $* d w o i$ of the root *du- '2' with zero grade in composition, and ${ }^{*} t r i H_{2}$ - is similarly the neuter form with zero grade of the root *tri- '3'.

While ${ }^{*} d$ merged with ${ }^{*} H_{1}$ in mevtńหovta, $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \delta O \mu n \dot{n} x \circ \tau \tau$, and évevńuovta, it apparently merged with ${ }^{*} H_{3}$ in terpákovta, which was preserved in West Greek and regularized to
 regularly from ${ }^{*} k^{w}$ etwrokomt and that the rounding of the medial vowel represents the lost $* w$, as is the case with the rounding of the epenthetic vowel in tpu甲á $\lambda E\left\llcorner\alpha<{ }^{*} k^{w} t w r-\right.$. The combined evidence of $\tau \rho \iota \dot{\alpha} \nsim o v \tau \alpha, \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \dot{\beta} \kappa \circ \tau \tau \alpha$, and mevtrinovia allows us to date the merger of ${ }^{*} d$ with the laryngeals to a stage which was posterior to the rise of coloured epenthetic vowels, but anterior to the eventual
loss of the laryngeals (which had merged as a result of the previous development): *triH ${ }_{a} H k o n t,{ }^{*} k^{w_{e}}{ }^{\text {twron }}$ Hkont, *penk ${ }^{w}$ eHkont. Since the development of coloured epenthetic vowels is specifically Greek, it follows that the PIE glottalic consonants were preserved up to a stage which was posterior to the separation from the other languages. This result is in accordance with what has been demonstrated earlier for Indo-Iranian ${ }^{22}$ ), Armenian, Balto-Slavic, and Germanic ${ }^{23)}$. I think that the same holds for Albanian, Italic, and Celtic.

The Albanian material is difficult to interpret, as it usually is. The initial consonant of $-z e t$ ' 20 ' must be derived from *gw- because it requires the simultaneous presence of labial, palatal, and velar articulation ${ }^{24}$ ). It probably originated from assimilation in *dwignti < *dwidkmti, with * ${ }^{*}$ combining the glottalic feature of ${ }^{*} d$ with the palatovelar articulation of $* k^{\prime}$.

A similar explanation could be put forward for the voiced stop in Latin $v \vec{i} g i n t i f$ the voicing were not absent from the ordinal $v i c \bar{e} s i m u s$ and from Old Irish fiche. Moreover, Lachmann's law suggests that a glottalic consonant dissolved into a sequence of a laryngal and a voiceless buccal part, the former of which merged with the reflex of the PIE laryngeals, when it was preceded by a vowel and followed by a voiceless stop ${ }^{25)}$. One therefore expects *dk to develop into * $H k$, not into ${ }^{*} g$. However, it is probable that the cluster became voiced after a nasal ${ }^{26)}$. In my view *septmak'omt and ${ }^{*} H_{1}$ newndKomt developed into *septmHgont and *newnHgont, which subsequently yielded *septmagont and *newnagont in Italo-Celtic ${ }^{27)}$. Together with *kwetwräkont, these forms are the source of the final vowel in Latin $-g i n t \bar{a}$, which was introduced on the analogy of $v i \operatorname{ig} i n t \bar{i}$. Thus, the
ultimate origin of the difference between Greek -a and Latin $-\bar{a}$ is the different vocalization of the laryngeals in the two languages.

After the disintegration of Italo-Celtic, the influence of ' 70 ' and '90' first affected *swekskont, which was preserved in Irish sesca, and *oktokont, where Latin preserved $-\bar{o}-$ and Celtic adopted ${ }^{*}-m \bar{a}-$. The preservation of the difference between the short vowel of Old Irish fiche < *dwidkmt- and the long vowel of tricho < *triH $d$ domtsuggests that the ${ }^{*} d$ was simply lost after a vowel in Celtic. If the length in Latin $v \bar{i} g i n t \bar{i}$ is correctly attributed to the glottalic feature of the lost ${ }^{*} d$, it shows that the elimination of the glottalic obstruents was posterior to the disintegration of Italo-Celtic. Note that the difference between fiche and vigint $\bar{i}$ corresponds with the difference between Old Irish recht 'law' and Latin rectus, where the long vowel originated from Lachmann's law ${ }^{28}$ ).
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