



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

The autonomy of syntax

Cheng, L.L.

Citation

Cheng, L. L. (2007). The autonomy of syntax. In *Knowledge in Ferment* (pp. 209-226). Leiden University Press. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12844>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12844>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Knowledge in Ferment

Knowledge in Ferment

Dilemmas in Science, Scholarship and Society

Edited by Adriaan in 't Groen, Henk Jan de Jonge,
Eduard Klasen, Hilje Papma, Piet van Slooten

Leiden University Press

Cover design: Kok Korpershoek, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Lay-out: ProGrafici, Goes, The Netherlands

Translation advice: Marilyn Hedges

Editorial assistance: Natasja Schellaars

www.leiden.edu

ISBN 9789087280178

NUR 130/740

© Leiden University Press 2007

All rights reserved. Without limiting the rights under copyright reserved above, no part of this book may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the written permission of both the copyright owner and the author of the book.

Table of Contents

Preface	9
Dedication	11
Introduction. Dilemmas in science: what, why, and how James W. McAllister	13
1. Novel drug discovery – serendipity or design? Meindert Danhof	25
2. The hunt for therapeutic leads Herman Overkleeft	39
3. From genomics to therapies: deductive or inductive? Gert-Jan van Ommen	57
4. Hempel’s dilemma and the physics of computation Carlo Beenakker	65
5. Noise or signal? The dilemma of individual differences Willem J. Heiser and Jacqueline J. Meulman	71

6. The struggle for the guiding principle in health care ethics and health law Dick P. Engberts	85
7. Law v. psychiatry. The case of Bert Boxwell Willem A. Wagenaar	105
8. 'Hard cases' in the law Janneke Gerards	121
9. The compatibility of <i>sharia</i> with the rule of law. Fundamental conflict: between civilisations? Within civilisations? Or between scholars? Jan Michiel Otto	137
10. Should we 'teach the controversy'? Intelligent Design, science and religion Willem B. Drees	155
11. Cracks in the cradle? On the quest for the origins of humankind Wil Roebroeks	171
12. Classical challenges: Black Athena, Thucydides in Iraq, Plato in the courtroom Ineke Sluiter	189
13. The autonomy of syntax Lisa Lai-Shen Cheng	209
14. The dilemma of national history Henk te Velde	227
15. Region or discipline? The debate about Area Studies Erik-Jan Zürcher	243
16. Consilience: reductionism and holism. Science, scholarship and the creative and performing arts Frans de Ruiter and Adriaan in 't Groen	257

Table of contents

List of Authors and Editors	275
General Index	279

Preface

Dilemmas, fundamental controversies, basic oppositions between methods and approaches, occur in all fields of science and scholarship. Often dilemmas arise at the interface where science and society meet, or whenever several sciences or disciplines clash. The paradox of dilemmas is that although one might prefer to do without them, they are nevertheless indispensable. Without dilemmas progress in science and scholarship would be unthinkable. New paradigms come into existence and compete with the old for acceptance. Thus, by inciting researchers to make new efforts and pose new questions, dilemmas reveal new insights and sustain the ferment of knowledge.

As the Rector Magnificus of Leiden University for six years, from 2001 to 2007, Professor Douwe Breimer devoted his great talents and his best endeavours to developing and improving teaching and research inside and outside Leiden. As Professor of Pharmacology in Leiden from 1975, of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapy from 1981, Breimer was the architect of, first, the Center for Biopharmaceutical Sciences (1983), then the Center for Human Drug Research (1987) and finally the research school, the Leiden/Amsterdam Center for Drug Research (1992). In 1984 he became Dean of the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences. Breimer's meritorious services to scientific research and to the organisation and development of science have been recognised in the seven honorary doctorates which he has received from universities all

over the world. But as Rector Magnificus, Douwe Breimer has been much more than the champion of the natural and life sciences, for he has also upheld Leiden's pre-eminence in the humanities, jurisprudence and the social and behavioural sciences. As a scientist, an administrator and especially as Rector Magnificus Breimer has been accustomed to act with circumspection, but also with decisive vigour. He has always shown himself to be one of the *esprits préparés* of Louis Pasteur's dictum, 'Le hasard ne favorise que les esprits préparés', a saying very dear to his heart. But he is also the embodiment of a proverb in his own mother-tongue, Frisian, 'Sizzen is neat, mar dwaen is in ding' (talk is nothing, but doing is something). He always was, and is, a man with style.

During his rectorship Douwe Breimer has enjoyed the deep respect and warm sympathy of the whole University. The University continues to regard him with pride and admiration. On his retirement as Rector Magnificus his friends and colleagues wished to demonstrate their gratitude by offering him this volume of studies. They have chosen as its theme 'Knowledge in ferment: dilemmas in science, scholarship and society'. In the word 'ferment' one may detect an allusion to a phenomenon in Breimer's own field of study; but it also refers to the catalytic role that dilemmas play in the development of science and scholarship. Colleagues from all Faculties and many departments of the University have contributed with enthusiasm to this volume. Authors and editors offer it to Douwe Breimer as a tribute of their gratitude, respect and friendship.

Leiden, 8 February 2007

Adriaan in 't Groen
Henk Jan de Jonge
Eduard Klasen
Hilje Papma
Piet van Slooten
Editors

To Douwe Breimer

on the occasion of his retirement as Rector Magnificus
of Leiden University

after a six-year term of office (2001-2007).

During these years he has inspired the University through
the example of his exceptional scientific achievements and his ideal
of the university
as promoter of welfare, well-being and culture.

He has exercised his office with unflagging energy, uncontested authority,
a rigorous insistence on the highest academic standards,
the wisdom of his judgement and experience,
his profound humanity
and grand style.

13

The autonomy of syntax

Lisa Lai-Shen Cheng

One of the most often discussed and disputed hypotheses in linguistics since the time of American structural linguistics concerns the independence of grammar (or formal processes), more precisely, the ‘autonomy of syntax hypothesis’, which, according to Croft is:

‘... the hypothesis that the syntactic component of the grammar is independent, (largely) systematic and (largely) self-contained with respect to the semantic, pragmatic and/or discourse-functional components’.¹

Broadly speaking, the basic claim is that formal syntactic processes of grammar are independent of other parts of grammar, such as semantics (governing interpretation), phonology (sound), and the rest of the linguistic system. The claim is usually disputed since to most of us it is obvious that when we use language, we do not separate sentence structure and interpretation, and then we are not even mentioning the fact that pragmatic and social factors play a role in determining the use of the language.

In this article, I provide an overview of the debate centring around the autonomy of syntax hypothesis. I first discuss a particular syntactic phenomenon to demonstrate what the proponents of the hypothesis have in mind. I then discuss objections, which are based on the fact that (a) syntax clearly interacts with other systems, and (b) languages change

(including their syntax). I then proceed to review results from child language acquisition studies, as well as psycholinguistic and neurolinguistic studies. In other words, I introduce interdisciplinary material for (re)-evaluation of the hypothesis. Though not all results in these studies should be taken at face-value, it is clear that the field of linguistics has advanced to the extent that theoretical research and interdisciplinary studies can better inform each other, which may help settle some old disputes.

Background

To appreciate the issues involved in the autonomy of syntax debate, it is necessary to understand what syntacticians mean when they talk about syntactic processes. A good example of a syntactic process is verb movement. It is generally assumed that in the derivation of a sentence, words or constituents may be generated in one place in the structure and surface in another; we say that the element in question has moved from one place to the other. For example, in (1a), *John* occupies the first position in the sentence, but it is also interpreted as the object of *like*; since in English the canonical object position is the position immediately behind the verb as shown in (1b) (in other words, English is ‘VO’), we assume that that is the original position of *John* in (1a) and that it reached sentence initial position by way of movement, as shown in (1c).

- (1) a. John, I don’t like.
 b. I don’t like John.
 c. ____ [I don’t like John]
- 

Verbs also move, as can be shown by looking at the Verb Second phenomenon (henceforth ‘V2’) in Germanic languages such as Frisian, Dutch and German. Unlike English, which is VO as we just saw, these languages are OV, which means that the canonical position of the object is immediately before the verb.² The following examples from Frisian and Dutch make this clear.

- | | | | | |
|--------|------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------|
| (2) a. | Jan seit dat er | in âlde freon | seach. | [Frisian] |
| | Jan zegt dat hij | een oude vriend | zag. | [Dutch] |
| | Jan says that he | an old friend | saw | |

- ‘Jan says that he saw an old friend’.
- | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------|
| b. | Jan wol | in âlde freon | sjen. | [Frisian] |
| | Jan wil | een oude vriend | zien. | [Dutch] |
| | Jan want | an old friend | see | |
| | ‘Jan wants to see an old friend’. | | | |
| c. | Jan hat | in âlde freon | sjoen. | [Frisian] |
| | Jan heeft | een oude vriend | gezien. | [Dutch] |
| | Jan has | an old friend seen | | |
| | ‘Jan has seen an old friend’. | | | |

In these sentences, the constituent *in âlde freon / een oude vriend* ‘an old friend’ is the object of the different forms of the verb *sjen / zien* ‘to see’: past tense *seach / zag*, infinitive *sjen / zien* and past participle *sjoen / gezien*. In all these cases, *in âlde freon / een oude vriend* appears in front of the verb of which it is the object; thus we conclude that Frisian and Dutch are OV. In Frisian, Dutch and German, the object appears to the right of the verb it is the object of in one context only, *viz.*, in main clauses with just one verb:

- | | | | |
|-----|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| (3) | Jan seach | in âlde freon. | [Frisian] |
| | Jan zag | een oude vriend. | [Dutch] |
| | Jan saw | an old friend. | |


It is generally acknowledged that in such cases the verb has moved from its position at the end of the sentence to the second position. This can be stated in more general terms. Dutch and Frisian (and German) require that the finite (or ‘inflected’) verb (that is, the verb that agrees with the subject) of a sentence appears in the second position of the sentence, leaving the non-finite verbs, such as past participles and infinitives, in final position, as we saw in (2a-c) and see again, illustrated in Dutch, in (4a,b) and (5a).

- | | | |
|-----|----|--|
| (4) | a. | Piet heeft veel boeken gelezen. |
| | | Piet has many books read |
| | | ‘Piet has read many books’. |
| | b. | Dit boek heeft Piet een paar keer gelezen. |
| | | this book has Piet a few time read |
| | | ‘This book, Piet has read it a few times’. |

- (5) a. Morgen moet Jan een praatje geven.
 tomorrow must Jan a talk give
 ‘Jan must give a talk tomorrow’.
- b. In Leiden hebben wij feest op 3 oktober.
 in Leiden have we celebration on 3 October
 ‘We have a celebration on October 3rd in Leiden’.

Aside from showing that the finite verb is always the second constituent in the sentence, these examples also show that it is in second position regardless of what constituent appears in the first position: it can be an agentive subject (4a), an object (4b), a temporal adverb (5a) and a prepositional phrase (5b).

Since den Besten’s book,³ it has been generally assumed that the inflected verb in V2 clauses has moved to a position immediately above the core sentence. In (5a) for instance, the modal verb *moet* ‘must’ has moved past the subject, which can be considered to define the sentence boundary, as shown in the derivation of (5a) in (6).

- (6) Derivation: [morgen — [s Jan een praatje moet geven]]
- 

In other words, the derivation of every V2 clause involves a syntactic process, namely verb movement. What is crucial to the argument is that verb movement in V2 sentences is a process that is on the one hand obligatory and on the other entirely independent of any phonological or interpretational considerations. With respect to the phonology, any verb with any phonological make up can move to the V2 position (as long as it is inflected), which means that its own phonological characteristics play no role. It is equally independent of what type of phonological material appears in the first position. As for interpretational considerations, no interpretational effect is associated with the movement of the verb to the V2 position and what appears in the first position can be interpreted as a topic as in (1a), (4b) and (5a,b) or an informational focus as in information questions such as (7a,b). In other words, the verb must be moving to the V2 position for purely syntactic reasons. Its movement must be triggered by considerations of a purely syntactic nature.

- (7) a. Wat wil Jan kopen in de winkel?

- what will Jan buy in the shop
b. Wanneer komen ze hier?
when come they here
'When are they coming here?'

Since its application is in all respects independent of interpretation and phonology, the V2 verb movement operation is the type of formal process which has given rise to the claim that syntax is an autonomous system (see also Ref 3, section 3.5 in Chapter 3 for more discussion on evidence for the autonomy of syntax).⁴

Verb movement is one of the formal processes that syntacticians consider to be independent (to be autonomous). This does not mean to say that there are no syntactic operations that also have semantic ramifications, or that there are no syntactic operations that are triggered by semantic considerations (the movement of the topic to sentence initial position in (1a), (4b) and (5a,b) is an example). The main question is: if only a limited set of operations exist that are independent of other (interpretational, phonological) systems, is that enough to claim that syntax is autonomous and forms its own computational system?

The dispute: Against syntactic autonomy

As Croft points out,¹ the arguments against the autonomy of syntax claim can be divided into two types. The first type comes down to providing counter-examples to argue that the constraints and restrictions on certain syntactic operations are due to semantic, pragmatic or discourse-functional principles. Leaving aside whether the counter-examples in question are really counter-examples or not, this type of objection, as Croft also notes, does not constitute a principled threat to the autonomy claim, because it at best reduces the number of operations that can be viewed as autonomous. I will not discuss this type here.

The second type of argument forms a more serious challenge not only to syntactic autonomy, but also to the autonomy of the grammar as a self-contained system, according to Croft. That is, what is at stake is larger: is the linguistic knowledge of an individual a self-contained system or not? The more challenging arguments can be divided into two categories, which we deal with in the following two sections.

Interactions

First, many analyses can be called ‘mixed’ analyses in the sense that it can be argued that the syntactic operations involved in such analyses pay attention to semantic considerations. Consider for example the phenomenon of agreement. Subject-verb agreement is cross-linguistically very common, and syntacticians deal with it syntactically. However, in some languages, there are restrictions on agreement, which cannot be argued to be syntactic in nature. For instance, agreement may be restricted to certain persons/genders only. I illustrate this with Bemba, a Bantu language. Bemba, like all Bantu languages, has noun-classes (which are in some ways similar to a classifier system). The verbal sequence agrees with the subject in terms of noun classes. For instance, if the subject noun is class 1, the verb will show class 1 agreement, and if the subject noun is class 5, the verb will show class 5 agreement (in example (8a,b), the number in the gloss indicates the noun class, and SM indicates subject marking; data from Givón).⁵

- (8) a. abaana ba-aliile
 2child 2SM-left
 ‘The children left’.
 b. ili-ishilu li-aliile
 this-5lunatic 5SM-left
 ‘This lunatic left’.

There is one situation in which subject-verb agreement in Bemba shows ‘leakage’ to semantics. The regular subject-verb agreement for class 1 nouns in Bemba is the morpheme *a*, as illustrated in (9b), which contains a ‘long’ subject relative. In (9a) we see that in ‘short’ subject relatives, this morpheme is replaced (data from Cheng).⁶

- (9) a. umulumendo ú-u-ka-belenga ibuku [Bemba]
 1boy 1REL-1SM-FUT-read 5book
 ‘the boy who will read the book’
 b. n-ali-íshiba umwaana uo Peter a-lée-tóntonkanya (ati)
 1-1SM-know 1child 1DEM Peter 1SM-TNS-think
 that 1SM-left yesterday
 ‘I know the child who Peter thinks left yesterday’.

What is important is that this kind of ‘replacement’ agreement only happens with class 1 nouns, typically singular nouns that are not only animate but also human. In other words, the system of agreement has to pay attention to the noun class, or the ‘human’ factor, in the case of ‘replacement’ agreement. This can be interpreted as an argument that shows that syntax is not self-contained because non-syntactic information interacts with syntax.

Variation

There are two types of variation that are problematic to syntactic autonomy. The first type is variation in terms of language change (through time), and the other is variation in individual speakers in terms of language use (a speaker may use a certain phrasing in one (social) context and a different phrasing in another). This fact can be used to argue that the adult’s grammar is inherently variable and dynamic, and is easily affected by external forces, such as social function.

Leaving aside the problems that Croft points out (e.g., how the dynamic processes should be represented cognitively), the fact that variation or change exists appears problematic for the autonomy of syntax claim because in such a model of variable dynamics, the syntactic system never achieves a fixed and final (adult-level) stage as it is constantly susceptible to social interaction.

The variation or change does not just relate to individual word changes. It also includes changes that are syntactic. Take English as a concrete example. Unlike Frisian, Dutch and German, Modern English is not a V2 language (though it has residual V2 in interrogative sentences such as *what will John buy?*); this is easily seen in sentences in (10).

- (10) a. *This book has {read} Piet a few times {read} .
(Intended sentence: This book, Piet has read a few times).
b. *Tomorrow will {give} Jan a talk {give} .
(Intended sentence: Tomorrow, Jan will give a talk).
c. *In Leiden have we a celebration on October 3rd.
(Intended sentence: In Leiden, we have a celebration on October 3rd).

Old English, however, is like Frisian, German and Dutch. It exhibits full V2 properties:

- (11) a. Se Hæland wearð þa gelomlice ætiwed his leorning-cnihtum.⁸
the Lord was then frequently shown his disciples
‘The Lord then frequently appeared to his disciples’.
- b. On twam þingum hæfde God þæs mannes sawle gegodod.⁹
in two things had God this man’s soul endowed
‘With two things had God endowed the man’s soul’.

Middle English still preserves consistent V2. English has apparently changed from V2 to residual V2, with only a limited amount of V2 properties remaining. The fact that English syntax/grammar has changed suggests that children may not have acquired English to a final/steady stage; a conclusion which is problematic for a formalist view of grammar. Further, language change of this kind appears incompatible with syntactic autonomy since some changes appear to be triggered by external forces.

The dispute: For syntactic autonomy

Interactions

The objection to the autonomy of syntax which hinges on the fact that the system interacts with other systems is based on the assumption that if a system is self-contained, it should not be susceptible to ‘external’ influences. This is a curious assumption, I think. In biology, there are many systems which are generally recognised as autonomous systems but clearly interact with other systems. Take the visual system, which is clearly a self-contained system. However, vision certainly interacts with other systems (such as recognition, emotion). We can even be tricked into seeing things we do not see when we are fed information through different means. Vision, nonetheless, remains a separate system.

The most important task for linguists, especially syntacticians, is to figure out how the system interacts with other systems, and to develop a theory of interaction, or ‘mapping’ as current syntactic theories call it. In particular, if syntax interacts with interpretation, how does it interact? How are syntactic representations mapped into semantic representations? Since each system has its own internal rules and constraints, it cannot be the case that mapping is unconstrained.

Note that if the so-called 'leakages' in syntax from other domains are due to interactions between systems, we make a clear-cut prediction. If something goes wrong in the domain of system interaction (see below on interdisciplinary perspectives), the systems themselves remain intact. In the case of Bemba subject-verb agreement, for example, such a model predicts that in cases of interaction failure or inaccessible interaction, the replacement phenomenon will manifest itself differently (e.g., not restricting to only class 1 nouns). However, it is only in rare occasions that we detect 'interaction failures' (see below).

Variation

The problems posed by language change and individual variation constitute interesting and serious problems for the autonomy of syntax or grammar hypothesis. Autonomist linguists who do research on language change have developed the following model to deal with this problem. They assume that each individual has acquired a grammar, which is by and large the same as that of the other members of their speech community; the 'by and large' is crucial: individual grammars are never entirely identical since children who are acquiring a language never have entirely identical linguistic input. In other words, this model claims that language change occurs during language acquisition.

However, this cannot be the whole story. Consider the loss of V2 that took place between Old English and Modern English. It is conceivable that at a particular stage before Modern English, some speakers had V2, while other did not, and a third group had both. Synchronically speaking, at that stage, the rule of V2 was optional. The question is how come some speakers 'feel free' not to use this rule, while others use it optionally and others do not use it at all? The questions that arise are (a) whether a self-contained system can be subject to 'internal' change, that is, without an external trigger; (b) if change is triggered externally, does that necessarily lead to the conclusion that the system is not self-contained?

A self-contained system which changes through 'internal' pressure is not problematic for the notion of the autonomy of grammar/syntax. It is more problematic for the notion that when children achieve an adult-like level of language, they have attained a steady state. But this is probably the same question that one can ask about any biological system which evolves. How come a certain system changes through time? To answer this question, we must also answer the question of how language evolves through time.

As for external triggers of change, it seems to be rather problematic for a self-contained system. It is possible to appeal to interactions with the external systems, in the sense that since the system is not closed off to the outside (because it interacts), it is conceivable that it is through the interactions, for example the mapping between syntax and pragmatics, that change is brought about. Kroch has argued for co-existing/competing grammars.¹⁰ The idea is that individuals can possess two grammars at the same time. This solves the problem of optionality in the sense that it is not the rule that is optional, rather, there are in fact two grammars. However, the question still arises as to why there are two grammars. Is it the case that children somehow develop two grammars at the same time or is it the case that adults develop an additional grammar at a later stage?

Recent work in computational models of language change and language evolution shows that a dynamic formal system is compatible with internal and external change.¹¹ Following this line of work, the disagreement between the autonomists and the non-autonomists may be resolved.

Interdisciplinary perspectives

There is a large body of work done since the early 1980s on child language acquisition, psycholinguistics and neurolinguistics. These studies provide an interesting and important perspective to the debate discussed here. In studies on child language acquisition and aphasic speech, it is possible to detect patterns that we do not see in normal adult language. Children acquiring a language do not have the whole system in place, or the interactions between systems may not be fully functional. For aphasics, due to brain damage, certain parts of the system do not function well.

Language acquisition

To fully appreciate evidence from child language acquisition research, we must first understand that children at a very early age already have a sophisticated system in place, even if they still produce syntactic errors (errors, that is, from an adult point of view). I discuss here first a phenomenon called 'Optional Infinitives' that shows this. Then I briefly discuss two studies which suggest that syntax develops separately from interpretation, and in fact runs ahead of interpretation.

OPTIONAL INFINITIVES

We discussed above that some languages, for example, Frisian, Dutch and German, have V2 properties, with the verb appearing in second position in main clauses. Consider now data from child language acquisition. In early linguistic development (until about 3 years old), children acquiring Dutch and German go through an Optional Infinitive (OI) stage. At this stage, children use both finite and infinitival verbal forms in main clauses, as shown in (12) and (13) (examples from Wexler).¹²

- (12) a. pappa schoenen wassen [infinitival verb]
 daddy shoes wash
 b. pappa kranten weg doen
 daddy newspaper away do

- (13) a. ik pak 't op [finite verb]
 I pick it up
 b. baby slaapt
 baby sleeps

Note, however, that children are extremely consistent (99%) when it comes to correlating V2 and finite verbs. That is, if they use a finite verb form, the verb is fronted to the V2 position while the infinitival verb remains in final position (98%) (as the examples in (12) and (13) also show). The OI stage shows that despite the young age, they already have a system in place: they do not put infinitival verbs in the second position and they do not put finite verbs in final position. It may not be the whole adult system, but it is a system nonetheless.

LONG DISTANCE QUESTIONS

In languages like German, interrogative phrases can either undergo long distance movement, as illustrated in (14a), or move 'partially' to an embedded location, allowing the main clause to be marked by a dummy question word, as in (14b) (examples from Herburger).¹³

- (14) a. Wen glaubt der Georg [dass die Rosa geküsst hat]?
 [long distance movem't]
 who believe George that Rosa kissed has

- ‘Who does George believe that Rosa kissed?’
 b. Was glaubt der Georg [wen [die Rosa geküsst hat]]?
 [partial movement]
 what believe George who Rosa kissed has
 ‘Rosa kissed someone, who does George think it was?’

In (14a), the interrogative word *wen* moves from the embedded clause (marked by the square brackets in (14a) to the front of the main clause. In (14b), the interrogative word *wen* moves to a position in front of the subject of the embedded clause; and *was*, which is a dummy question word here, appears in the front of the main clause. Herburger shows that these two sentences differ in their interpretation, in particular in the presupposition. The partially moved question (14b) is not felicitous in a context where Rosa did not kiss anyone, while there is no such presupposition requirement for long distance questions such as (14a).

Demirdache and Oiry studied long distance questions and questions with partial movement in child language (with children ranging from 3 to 6 years of age) in French.¹⁴ Put simply, they found that children produce both long distance questions and questions with partial movement. However, they also found that the children’s production of these different types of questions is independent of the presupposition requirement. This means that children have both strategies of question formation in place, but they are as yet unassociated with interpretation (in this case a presuppositional requirement).

RELATIVE CLAUSES

The fact that children have trouble comprehending object relatives such as (15b) in contrast with subject relatives as in (15a) has long been documented.¹⁵

- (15) a. the girl that is kissing the granny (is my sister) [subject relative]
 b. the granny that the girl is kissing (is my granny) [object relative]

In (15a), *the girl* is the subject of the clause *is kissing the granny* (a relative clause, which modifies *the girl*), and in (15b), *the granny* is the object of the clause *the girl is kissing*. In a recent study on Hebrew child language (around 4 to 5 years old), Arnon replicates the results of comprehension asymmetry between subject and object relatives.¹⁶ Children comprehend

subject relatives 95% of the time while object relatives yield a chance level result (51%). Interestingly, however, children produce correct subject and object relatives without any significant difference (88% subject relatives vs. 93% object relatives).

INTERPRETATION

Both child language experiments just discussed show that production runs ahead of comprehension: the children form both types of long distance question sentences and have no problem making both subject and object relatives. Forming these sentences correctly is a syntactic matter. At the same time, it is clear that the children do not have a full grasp of the meaning of the sentences they build correctly. This may be due to the fact that the comprehension part requires connection with another system and that either this system or the connection between syntax and this other system has not fully developed yet. In any case, there is an asymmetry between comprehension and production, which may lead to the conclusion that syntax is indeed a separate system.

Aphasics

There is an abundance of studies on aphasic patients, who have suffered brain damage in various regions of the brain. I review here very briefly two different case studies, which both point in the direction of there being a syntactic system (despite the brain-damage), though a semantic or a non-syntactic system is no longer accessible/usable.

TRANSCORTICAL SENSORY APHASIA

The first case is a case of transcortical sensory aphasia which provides support for the autonomy of syntax claim. Patients with transcortical sensory aphasia hardly produce any spontaneous speech, but they can repeat the questions and statements that their interlocutors say to them. In a case study by Dogil et al.¹⁷, a German-speaking patient with transcortical sensory aphasia is investigated. The authors test the patient using sentences with syntactic errors (e.g., agreement errors) and sentences with semantic deviance (e.g., having the wrong type of verb), as shown in (16a) and (16b) respectively.

- (16) a. Der Junge spiel-*en/-t mit dem Hund
 the boy play-PL/3SG with the dog

b. #Der Schwan	schwimmt	auf dem Tee
the swan	is.swimming	on the tea

Dogil et al. show that in the case of agreement errors (for subject-verb agreement), the patient did not just repeat the sentence; the patient corrected the error as well (80% of the time); he hardly ever repeated the ungrammatical sentences verbatim. In contrast, sentences with semantic deviance are repeated verbatim (72% of the time, and in two sessions 87.5%); he hardly ever corrected the semantic deviance.

Studies of this kind show that the agreement system triggers a different response from a non-syntactic system. This can be interpreted as showing that the syntactic system operates separately from the non-syntactic system. In normal adults, the constant interactions between these systems make it difficult to tear apart the individual systems. In the case of brain-damaged patients, we get a glimpse of the individual systems, operating separately.

There are two possible reservations concerning the results in this study. First, one may argue that sentences such as (16b) are difficult to correct since it may be unclear as to what the interlocutor really means. However, it should be noted that there is a significant difference in terms of the responses – the patient did not even randomly attempt to correct semantic deviance. Second, the semantic deviance is basically a selectional restriction violation; while the sentences may be semantically deviant, it is not the case that they do not mean anything.

BROCA'S APHASIA

Broca's aphasia is a more common type of aphasia, with disfluent and effortful speech. Broca's aphasics are typically characterised as having a deficit in both production and comprehension. In a case study on a Broca's aphasic patient, Saddy shows that although the patient showed a severe comprehension deficit in 'act-out' tasks, he can provide correct grammaticality judgments on the same type of sentences.¹⁸

Concretely, the patient was asked to create a cartoon representation of the sentence that was read to him, with cards depicting individuals as well as events. The sentences ranged from simple active sentences, to passive sentences (17b) and sentences with subject/object relatives (17a). The patient performed poorly in these act-out tasks, as indicated by the percentages given.

- (17) a. The friend who sprayed Rose is sad. [relative clauses:
17% correct]
b. Bill was sprayed by Ken. [passive sentences: 8% correct]

In contrast, the patient could provide rather good grammaticality judgments (something that has been noted before in aphasics research).¹⁹ The patient was asked to distinguish between sentences that he could say (e.g., *The boy kissed the girl*) and sentences that he could not say (e.g., **girl kissed the boy the*). It was clear from the results that the patient correctly judged sentences such as (17a,b) to be sentences that he could say, even though he had trouble with these sentences in a comprehension task. Furthermore, the patient was also tested on complex sentences with long distance dependencies, such as the one in (18), in which *who* originates as the object of the preposition *of* and is fronted to the beginning of the sentence to form an interrogative.

- (18) Who_i do you think Bill likes pictures of ____i?

Note that long distance dependencies do not always yield grammatical sentences. There are constraints and restrictions as to (a) the 'length' of the movement, and (b) the original position of the moved element. Interestingly, the patient under investigation provided correct grammaticality judgments for sentences with long distance dependencies. For example, he, correctly, judged sentences such as (18) to be grammatical, and the ones such as those in (19a,b) as ungrammatical.

- (19) a. *Who_i do you think pictures of ____i are on sale?
b. *Who_i do you like stories that criticize ____i?

In other words, though the patient had trouble with comprehending sentences such as (17a,b) (perhaps having trouble distinguishing which is the agent of the action, and which is the patient of the action), he had no trouble judging whether a sentence is a good sentence or a bad sentence. This suggests that the patient has access to grammatical structure and grammatical rules, allowing him to provide correct grammaticality judgments. The poor performance with comprehension tasks suggests that interactions with other systems are disturbed or damaged. An

interpretation for this can be that the syntactic system is autonomous.

Neurolinguistic research

Within the last ten years, due to technological advances, it is possible to study brain activity, including linguistic activity, more directly. Here I discuss two methods in neurolinguistic research which may have some bearing on the issue of the autonomy of syntax.

‘EVENT-RELATED’ fMRI FINDINGS

We can make recordings of brain activity with electrodes placed on the scalp, and the recordings can be ‘time-locked’ to specific events (for example, stimuli). These recordings offer very fine-grained temporal resolution, and they can help us identify different brain potentials associated with different temporal stages of processing.

In neurolinguistic research, there are currently two indexes which appear relevant to syntactic and semantic processing. The first is the so-called ‘N400’, which is a negative-going event-related potential or ERP, which peaks around 400 ms following the onset of an anomaly. The second is the ‘P600’, which is a positive-going ERP, which peaks around 600 ms after an anomaly. The N400 is typically linked to semantic incongruities (e.g., using the wrong word as in **I take my coffee with milk and concrete*), while the P600 is linked to syntactic violations such as word order and grammatical category violations (e.g., **The scientist criticised Max’s of proof of the theorem*),²⁰ indicating syntactic processing costs.²¹ Although it must be noted that the nature of the P600 is not entirely clear since it seems to be also related to reanalysis of structure in general (including musical structure), we can still conclude that semantic anomalies are registered differently from syntactic ones.

While ERP registers temporal resolution, the fMRI technique (functional magnetic resonance imaging) offers spatial resolution, which allows us to identify regions in the brain involved in linguistic processing. For example, the Broca’s area (the left inferior frontal gyrus) has been repeatedly shown to be activated with linguistic activities such as reading, as well as judging grammatical and ungrammatical sentences.

In a study using a combined ERP-fMRI technique¹⁹, researchers found that syntactic violations lead to activation of brain areas that are different from areas that are activated due to semantic violations, confirming the results of the ERP studies distinguishing syntactic and semantic anomalies.

Taken as a whole, the results support the autonomy of syntax in the sense that syntactic processing depends on different neurolinguistic processes from semantic processing, with activation of different brain regions.

I would, however, add a word of caution with respect to this interpretation. The syntactic violations used in typical ERP and fMRI studies are often apparently word order violations, e.g., sentences such as **Yesterday I cut Max's with apple caution* (instead of the well-formed *Yesterday I cut Max's apple with caution*). Such word order violations are different from violations of syntactic rules. That is, sentences with reversed word order of this kind are ungrammatical because of a compositional error – e.g., after *Max's*, we expect to have a noun phrase (e.g., *apple*) and not a preposition. Though it is related to syntax, it is possible to interpret the violations as more 'selectional' than purely structural (recall the purely structural properties of the operation moving the finite verb into the V₂ position). In other words, experiments on syntactic processes are called for (and not just simple word order variations).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the dispute on the autonomy of syntax can perhaps be settled by introducing results from interdisciplinary research into the discussion, such as neurolinguistic and psycholinguistic studies on normal speakers, aphasics and child language. The studies I reviewed above seem to point into one direction (syntax is autonomous), but it must be acknowledged that only a small number of studies have been done that yield results which seem to bear on the issue. More research is necessary. As was pointed out above, another area of research that may produce results relevant to the debate is the area of language change and language evolution. In all, this presents a rather exciting prospect for the years to come.

Notes

I would like to thank Rint Sybesma, Hamida Demirdache, Riny Huybregts, Doug Saddy and Ian Roberts for their help, suggestions and discussions.

- 1 Croft, W., 'Autonomy and functionalist linguistics', in: *Language* 71 (Baltimore 1995, 490-532) p. 496.
- 2 Koster, Jan, 'Dutch as an SOV language', in: A. Kraak (ed.), *Linguistics in the Netherlands 1972-1973* (Assen: Van Gorcum 1975).
- 3 Besten, H. den, *Studies in West Germanic Syntax* (Amsterdam: Rodopi 1989).
- 4 Newmeyer, F. J., *Language Form and Language Function* (Cambridge: MIT Press 1998).
- 5 Givón, Talmy, *Studies in ChiBemba and Bantu grammar: Studies in African Linguistics*, supplement 3 (1969).
- 6 Cheng, L. L.-S., 'Decomposing Bantu Relatives', in: *NELS* 36 (Amherst 2006) p. 197-215.
- 7 Rizzi, L., 'Residual verb second and the Wh criterion', in: A. Belletti and L. Rizzi (eds.), *Parameters and functional heads. Essays in comparative syntax* (Oxford: Oxford University Press 1996) p. 63-90.
- 8 ÆCHom I, 15.220.21; Fischer, O., B. Los, A. van Kemenade, and W. van der Wurff, *The Syntax of Early English* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2000) p. 106.
- 9 ÆCHom I, 1.20.1; Fischer, O., B. Los, A. van Kemenade, and W. van der Wurff, *The Syntax of Early English* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2000) p. 107.
- 10 Kroch, A., 'Reflexes of grammar in patterns of language change', in: *Language variation and change* 1 (1989) 199-244.
- 11 Niyogi, P., 'Phase transitions in language evolution', in: L. Jenkins (ed.), *Variation and Universals in Biolinguistics* (Amsterdam: Elsevier 2004) p. 57-74.
- 12 Wexler, K., 'Finiteness and head movement in early child grammars', in: David Lightfoot and Norbert Hornstein (eds.), *Verb Movement* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 1994) p. 305-350.
- 13 Herburger, E., 'A semantic difference between full and partial wh-movement'. Paper presented at the 1994 LSA Annual Meeting (Boston 1994).
- 14 Demirdache, H. and M. Oiry, 'On the felicity conditions for long-distance questions in L1 acquisition'. Paper presented at *Boston University Conference on Language Development* (Boston 2006).
- 15 Villiers, J. G. de, H. B. Tager Flusberg, K. Hakuta and M. Cohen, 'Children's comprehension of relative clauses', in: *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 8 (1979) p. 499-518.
- 16 Arnon, Inbal, 'Relative clause acquisition in Hebrew: Toward a processing-oriented account', in: *Proceedings of the Twenty-ninth Boston University Conference on Language Development*, eds. A. Brugos, M. R. Clark-Cotton and S. Ha. (Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press 2005).
- 17 Dogil, G., H. Haider, C. Schaner-Wolles and R. Husmann, 'Radical autonomy of syntax: evidence from transcortical sensory aphasia', in: *Aphasiology* 9 (1995) p. 577-602.
- 18 Saddy, J.D., 'Sensitivity to Islands in an Aphasic Individual', in: *Conference on the Psycholinguistics of Island Constraints*, H. Goodluck and M. Rochemeont (eds.), (Reidel 1992) p. 399-417.
- 19 Linebarger, M.C., M. F. Schwartz and E. M. Saffran, 'Sensitivity to Grammatical Structure in So-Called Agrammatic Aphasics', in: *Cognition* 13 (1983) p. 361-392.
- 20 Newman, A. J., R. Pancheva, K. Ozawa, H. J. Neville and M. T. Ullman, 'An event-related fMRI study of syntactic and semantic violations', in: *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 30.3 (2001) p. 339-364.
- 21 Frisch, S., M. Schlesewsky, D. Saddy and A. Alpermann, 'The P600 as an indicator of syntactic ambiguity', in: *Cognition* 85 (2002) p. B83-B92.

List of Authors and Editors

Carlo Beenakker, Professor of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of
Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Lisa Lai-Shen Cheng, Professor of General Linguistics, Faculty of Arts

Meindert Danhof, Professor of Pharmacology, Director of Research,
Leiden-Amsterdam Center for Drug Research, Faculty of Mathematics
and Natural Sciences

Willem B. Drees, Professor of Philosophy of Religion and Ethics, Faculty
of Theology

Dick P. Engberts, Professor of Normative Aspects of Medicine (Health
Care Ethics and Health Law), Leiden University Medical Center

Janneke Gerards, Professor of Constitutional and Administrative Law,
Faculty of Law

Adriaan in 't Groen, Director of University Development, President's
Office, Leiden University

Willem J. Heiser, Professor of Psychology, Statistics and Data Theory,
Faculty of Social & Behavioral Sciences

Henk Jan de Jonge, Emeritus Professor of New Testament and Early
Christian Literature, Faculty of Theology

Eduard Klasen, Professor of Management of Health Research, Leiden
University Medical Center

James W. McAllister, Senior Lecturer in Philosophy of Science,
Faculty of Philosophy

Jacqueline J. Meulman, Professor of Applied Data Theory, Faculty of
Social & Behavioral Sciences and Mathematical Institute

Gert-Jan van Ommen, Professor of Human Genetics, Center for Human
and Clinical Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center

Jan Michiel Otto, Professor of Law and Governance in Developing
Countries, Director of the Van Vollenhoven Institute for Law,
Governance and Development, Faculty of Law

Herman Overkleeft, Professor in Bioorganic Chemistry, Faculty of
Sciences

Hilje Papma, Research Outreach Officer, President's Office, Leiden
University

Wil Roebroeks, Professor of Palaeolithic Archaeology, Faculty of
Archaeology

Frans de Ruiter, Professor of Performing Arts, in Particular the Relation
between Sciences and Performing Arts

Piet van Slooten, Director of Academic Affairs, President's Office, Leiden
University

Ineke Sluiter, Professor of Greek, Department of Classics, Faculty of Arts

Henk te Velde, Professor of Dutch History, Faculty of Arts

Willem Albert Wagenaar, Professor of Psychology of Law, Faculty of Law
and former Rector Magnificus

Erik-Jan Zürcher, Professor of Turkish languages and cultures, Faculty of
Arts

General Index

A

- Abelson, R.P., 71
- abortion, 89, 101, 133, 202
- abortion in Ireland, 121-134
- abortion in the UK, 121
- Abu Ghraib scandal, 196
- academic freedom, 47, 53, 155, 162
- Aceh, 150
- acetylsalicylic acid, 41
- addiction, 101
- ADHD, 76
- adversarial system, 113
- Aegean, 190, 191
- Afghanistan, 138, 141, 148, 150, 151, 153
- Africa, 26, 171-186; see also Out of Africa
- Africa, East, 171, 172, 177, 180, 181, 183, 185
- Africa, West, 179
- Africa, South, 43, 233
- Africa Studies Centre, 253
- African American, 204
- African culture, 189-190
- African Methodist Episc. Church, 159
- Afroasiatic roots, 189-190
- Afrocentrism, 190, 192
- ageing populations, 26
- AIDS, 26, 43, 161-162
- Algerian dilemma, 139
- Almond, G.A., 233, 234
- alpha-glucosidase, 51
- Al Qaeda, 138
- Altertumswissenschaft, 189, 190
- America, South, 40
- American Civil Liberties Union, 158
- American Constitution, 163, 199
- American history, 233
- American isolationism, 246-247
- American Psychological Ass., 72
- amnesia, 105-108, 110-111
- amputation of hands, 146
- anachronism, creative, 203
- anaesthetics, 28
- Ancient Model, 189-191
- Anderson, B., 250
- angina, 29
- angina pectoris, 51
- aniline dye industry, 28-29
- animal tests, 16, 30, 60
- An-Na'im, 140
- Annales, 229
- anthropology, 250, 254
- anthropology of Islam, 142, 144
- antibiotics, 50
- antidepressants, 32, 60, 78-80
- Antiquity, relevance of, 192, 197-204

anti-Semitic, 190
 anti-slavery, 238
 anti-Western stance, 146
 anxiety, 78-80
 aphasia, 218, 221-225
 apostasy in Islam, 146, 147
 apothecary, 28-29
 Applebaum, Anne, 196
 aptitude-treatment interaction, 77
 Arab world in 1950's, 247
 archaeology, 171-186, 190, 191
 Area Studies, 243-256
 Area Studies in the Netherlands, 250-256
 Area Studies in the US, 246-250, 251, 255
 Argon isotopes, 181
 Aristogeiton, 202
 Aristotle, 199
 Arizona, 114
 Arkansas trial, 158-159, 163, 167
 Arlington, Steve, 34
 Arnon, I., 220-221
 arrogance, European, 192; cf. superiority
 art: beyond words, 261-263
 arts, creative and performing, 257-273
 arts, research in the, 268
 arts and sciences one, 269, 270-272
Artemisia annua, 39, 40
 artemisinin, 40
 arthritis, 45
 arthritis, rheumatoid, 28
 Aryan model, 189, 190, 191
 Asia, 173-174, 177-181, 183-185
 Asian culture, 189
 Asians, early, 180
 Aspen, 198
 aspirin, 41, 44
 asthma, 28
 atheist criticism of Islam, 152
 Athena < Neith, 191
 Athena, Black, 189-193, 200, 204
 Athens, 194-196, 200-204
 Athens and Sparta, 194-196
 Atlanta, 198
 9/11 attacks, 138, 195, 249
 Australia, 233
 Australopithecines, 171, 184
 Australopithecus, 173, 180, 183
 Australop. bahrelghazali, 183, 184

autism, 76
 autonomy vs. beneficence, 85-102
 Avandia, 44
 Azerbaijan, 129, 247

B

B., Mohammed, 140
 Babington, T., 229
 Bach, J.S., 270-272
 balanced treatment, 156, 158; cf. equal
 Bahn, 63
 Bantu languages, 214
 BA-MA structure, 243, 255
 Barenboim, D., 263
 Bates, Robert, 249
 Bayer, 29, 41, 49, 51
 Bayes, Thomas L., 109
 Beauchamp, T.L., 16, 85
 Beecher, H.K., 92
 behaviour, laws of, 74-75
 Behe, Michael, 162, 165
 Bemba, 214, 217
 beneficence principle, 85, 97-101
 beneficence vs. autonomy, 85-102
 Bentham, Prof., 105-118
 Berg, J.H. van den, 88, 89
 Berliner Aufklärung, 257
 Berlusconi, 138
 Bernal, Martin, 189-192
 best will, the patient's, 96
 Besten, H. den, 212
 beta receptors, 29
 biased fossil record, 173, 176-177
 Bible, 158, 173, 251
 big-bang theory, 14
 Bildungsideal, 266
 bilocation, 65
 bin Laden, O., 139, 195
 Binet, Alfred, 73
 biochemistry, 48, 50
 biodiversity, 175
 biogeography, 179
 bioinformatics, 59
 biological modeling, 63
 biological target proteins, 29
 biologicals, 42-43
 biology, 159, 160, 216
 biology, evolutionary, 155, 156, 160, 163, 167

biomarkers, 59
biomedical science, 16, 89, 92-94
biopharmacy, 46
biostatistics, 59
bipolar disorder, 98
Bismarck, 231
bivalves, marine, 175-176
Black Athena, 189-193, 200, 204
Black, Sir James, 29
blackness, 204
blacks, violence against, 166
Blair, Tony, 139
Bloch, Marc, 229
Blok, P.J., 228
blood transfusion, 98, 166
body, integrity of the human, 90, 98
Boehringer, 29
Bohr, Niels, 19, 20, 22
Boltzmann, 67
borderline, 109
Borofsky, Joel, 159
Boston, 197
bovids, 180
Bowen, J., 150
Bowersock, Glen, 197-198, 199
Boxwell, Bert, 105-119
brain damage, 221
brain research, 224-225
Brauer, M.J., 161
breast cancer, 33, 58
Breimer, Douwe D., 9-11, 32, 37, 257
Brems, E., 153
Breuil, Henri, 174
Britain, 233
British history, 230-231, 232, 234, 239
British monarchy, 235
Broca's aphasia, 222-224
Brown, Frank, 182
bureaucracy, 48, 149
Bush, George W., 139, 142, 157, 195
Busken Huet, C., 239
Butterfield, H., 230

C

caffeine, 29
calibrating, 109, 112
Caligula, 197-198
Campbell, J.A., 164
Campus Watch, 249
cancer, 27, 28, 31, 33, 34, 43, 52, 57, 58, 59
cancer, breast, 33, 58
cancer, childhood, 28
cancer, testicular, 51
Cannadine, D., 235, 239
cardiovascular diseases, 27, 43
CART, 77
Casimir, Hendrik, 66
CCRHS, 96
CEDLA, 253
censure, 132
Center for East Asian Research, 247
Center for Med. Systems Biology, 63
cephalosporins, 50
Cercyra, 196
Chad, 183, 184
chance, 49-52, 54, 78
change of gender, 132
Chembionet, 54
chemistry, analytical, 48
chemistry, combinatorial, 53
chemistry, organic, 29, 41, 42, 53, 54
chemotherapy, 31, 51
Cheng, L.L.-S., 214
Chicago, Oriental studies at, 246
child language, 210, 218-219, 220-221, 225
childhood cancer, 28
Childress, J.F., 16, 85
chimpanzees, 171, 172, 176
China, 178, 179, 184, 185
China, loss of, 247, 249
Chinese government, 245
Chinese medicine, 39-40
Chinese studies at Harvard, 247
Chinese studies at Leiden, 251, 254-255
chlorpromazine, 28
cholesterol, 60
Chou-kou-tien, 184
Christian Churches in US, 159
Christianity, 199
chronology of earliest man, 181-182
Church and State, 159, 163, 166, 199
cimetidine, 29
cinchona bark, 29, 40, 41

- circumcision of boys, 166
 circumcision of young girls, 166
 Cisplatin, 50-51
 citrate, 59
 civic culture, 233
 Civil Code, Dutch, 98-90
 civil society, 266-267
 Clark, E.M., 114-115, 118
 clash of sciences, 117
 classical scholars, hard, 192, 193
 classical scholars, soft, 192, 193
 classical tradition, 205
 classicists as expert witnesses, 197-205
 Classics, 189-205
 Classics as a mirror, 194
 Classics in court, 198-205
 Classics, value of the, 192-205
 Classics, their relevance to contemporary issues, 192-193, 197-205
 classification tree, 77
 cloning, 162
 CML, 51-52
 CMSB, 63
 coal tar, 29
 Cochrane Database, 26
 cognitive consistency, 71
 cognitive psychotherapy, 78-80
 coincidence, 49-52
 Cold War, 247-249
 Colenbrander, H.Th., 228
 colonial, jurisdiction, 137
 colonialism, 249, 252
 Colorado amendment 2, 198-204
 Colorado Supreme Court, 198
 coma patients, 99
 common meals, 201, 202
 communism, 247, 266
 comparative history, 229-230, 235, 236
 competent patients, 91, 98
 competition of ideas, 14, 71, 155, 163, 164, 167-168
 compound libraries, 49, 52-53
 compulsory admission, 89, 96-98, 102
 computation, 65-69
 computational physics, 65-69
 computers, 67-68
 Conflict Prevention Board, 130
 Confucianism, 245
 connectivity, 59
 Connectivity map, 63
 consilience, 259, 265, 266
 consistency theories, 71
 constitution, 145-146, 147, 163, 198
 Constitution, American, 163, 199
 Constitution, Dutch, 90
 Constitution, Irish, 121, 125-126
 context of art creation, 261
 context of art performance, 261
 continents, drifting, 161, 165
 continents, stable, 155
 contraception, 202
 contract law, Roman, 87
 Controversy, Teach the, 155-168
 Conv. against Discr. of Women, 148
 core curriculum, 243-244, 255
 Cornell, 250
 cortisone, 28
 cosmology, 14, 18, 23, 68-69
 costs of drug research, 25, 30, 42, 43, 45, 58, 61, 62
 Coulson, 145
 Council of Europe, 129, 131
 counter-majoritarian difficulty, 125-129
 countermovement, delayed, 270-272
 Court of Justice of Eur. Comm., 130
 creation, 158
 creation myths, 173
 creation science, 159, 163, 165
 creationism, 159, 160, 163, 165
 Cretaceous period, 14
 Crick, 42
 criminal law, Dutch, 113
 criminal law in the US, 105-119
 'critical analysis', 159-160, 167
 Croatia, 197
 Croft, W., 209, 213, 215
 Cronbach, L., 72, 74-75, 76, 77
 cultural memory, 191, 193
 culture wars, 192
 customary law, 137
 cyclosporine, 28
- D**
- Dahrendorf, R., 266-267
 Danube frontiers, 197
 danger, 97, 100

- daring, 201-202, 204
- dark matter, 66
- Darwin, 157, 163, 181
- Darwinism, neo-, 156, 159
- data theory, 81
- dating by radioactivity, 181
- Daubert Criterion, 113, 114
- De Goeje, 251
- debunking, 227
- decision tree, 77
- Declaration of Helsinki, 92-93, 95
- deconstructivism, 248
- delayed countermovement, 270-272
- Delft, 46, 251
- delusion, 110, 114, 116
- delusional disorder, 110
- Dembski, William, 159
- Demirdache, H., 220, 225
- den Besten, H., 212
- Dennell, Robin, 174, 179-182
- Denver 198
- depersonalization, 108
- deprivation of liberty, 100-101
- Derrida, Jacques, 248
- Descartes, 18
- determination, 258
- determinism, 264
- development in Islam, 151, 152
- diabetes mellitus, 44
- Diagnostic Statistical Manual, 107-109
- differential diagnosis, 109
- dilemmas, *passim*
- dilemmas, moral, 15-16
- dilemmas, scientific, 13-22
- disconnection, 105, 106, 109
- Discovery Institute, 156, 159
- discrimination, 159
- discrimination in Islam, 152
- disease mechanism, 57-58, 63
- diseases, 25-63, esp. 43
- dissociation, 105, 107, 109, 112, 114, 116
- dissociative amnesia, 107-108, 109
- dissociative disorder, 108, 110-113
- Dissociative Experience Scale, 111
- dissociative fugue, 105, 107, 108, 110
- Dissociative Identity Disorder, 107, 108
- dissonance, 71
- divorce in Islam, 147
- Dmanisi, 176, 178, 180, 181, 182, 183
- DNA, 42, 50, 57, 59
- Dogil, G., 221-222
- Donner, Piet Hein, 137, 140
- Dover, Kenneth, 203
- Dover disclaimer, 156-161, 163
- Dover trial, 163
- Dozy, 251
- Drew, Jürgen, 28
- drug discovery, 25-54
- drug research, 25-63, 96
- drug research, academic, 46-48, 52-54
- drug research, costs of, 25, 30, 42, 43, 45, 58, 61, 62
- drug research, disease oriented, 34, 47
- drug research, inductive, 62-63
- drug research, target oriented, 47-49, 60, 61
- drug resistance, 25, 31, 43
- drug transporters, 31
- drugs, 25-63
- drugs, need of new, 41-43
- drugs, synthetic, 41, 50
- DSM III, 107
- DSM IV classification, 105-107, 109, 110, 117
- Dubois, Eugène, 174, 184
- Duchenne, 58
- Duesberg, Peter, 161-162
- Dusseldorf, 77-79
- Dutch, 210-212, 215-216, 219
- Dutch history, 227-228, 233-234
- Dutch society, 233-234
- dystrophin, 58
- dystrophy, 58
- E**
- Earth, flat, 155, 166
- Eastern Europe, 266-267
- economic history, 229
- ECtHR, 122-134, 138
- Egypt, 139, 141, 145, 146, 148
- Egyptian, 204
- Egyptian culture, 189-191
- Egyptian language, 191, 251
- Eickelman, D., 142
- Einstein, A., 19, 20, 22, 67

electromagnetic radiation, 19
 electrons, 19
 emergence of nations, 150, 237
 emotion in science, 21-22
 empty space, 66
 endosymbiosis, 161
 engineers vs. testers, 74
 English, 210, 215, 217
 English, Middle, 216
 English, Old, 216, 217
 Enlightenment, 86-87, 266-267
 Enlightenment, Rediscovery of, 267, 273
 enzymes, 31, 51, 52, 60
 Episcopal Church in US, 159
 equal treatment, 156-158, 167
 erastês, 200, 203
 erômenos, 200, 203
 Erpenius, 251
 essentialism, 141-143, 145, 151, 152
 ethics, medical, 85-102
 Ethiopia, 181
 eukaryotic cells, 161
 Eurasia, 173, 181
 Eurocentrism, 233, 254
 Europe, 173, 174, 185, 233
 Europe, Eastern, 266-267
 Europe, Middle, 267
 Europe, Western, 138-139
 European arrogance, 192
 European Community, 131
 European Court of Hum. R., 122-134, 138
 European Studies, 253
 European Union, 130, 239
 Eur. Conv. of Hum. R., 123, 128, 129, 132, 133, 138
 euthanasia, 89
 Evans vs. Romer, 198-203
 evolution, 157-168
 evolution of man, early, 171-186
 evolutionary biology, 155, 156, 160, 163, 167
 evolutionism, 156-168
 exceptionalism, 230-234, 236
 exceptionalism, American, 233, 234
 exceptionalism, British, 231-232, 233
 exceptionalism, Dutch, 233-234
 exceptionalism, French, 232, 233

exceptionalism, German, 231, 232
 experiments, 16, 72-73, 75, 77-80
 experiments, medical, 92-96
 expert testimony in court, 112-113, 117-118, 163, 197-204

F

Fairbank Center, 247
 falsifiability, 163, 193
 Fanon, Franz, 249
 Fanu, James le, 27
 Farben, IG, 49
 Farnham, 75
 FDA, 42, 61
 female circumcision, 166
 Finnis, John, 199, 201, 202, 204
 fiqh literature, 143, 144
 Fleming, Alexander, 50
 Floyd, Karen, 160
 fist, 198
 fluid intelligence, 76
 fMRI, 23, 81, 224-225
 folate, 50
 Ford Foundation, 247
 formulae 39, 40
 Forrest, B., 161
 fossil record, 163, cf. biased f.r.
 fossils, 173-186
 Foucault, Michel, 249
 France, 232, 233
 free wil, 68-69
 freedom, academic, 47, 53, 155, 157, 162
 freedom of expression, 157
 freedom of the press, 132
 freedom of religion, 142, 147, 152, 156, 162, 166
 freedom of speech, 162, 202
 French, 220, 238
 French history, 232, 235, 238
 French Revolution, 232, 234, 238
 Friedrich Wilhelm II, 257
 Frisian, 10, 210-212, 215-216, 219
 Fruin, Robert, 227-228, 229
 Frye Criterion, 113, 114
 Fuller, Steve, 161, 163, 165
 fundamental rights, 123, 125, 126, 127, 129-131, 133, 134, 143

fundamentalism, 101, 267
fuqaha, 143, 144, 145

G

galaxies, 66
game theory, 14
gate of free exegesis, 144
Gates Foundation, 46
Gaucher disease, 51, 52, 58
Geertz, Clifford, 250, 254
Gellner, 237
gender, change of, 132
gene therapy, 96
genes, 57-58, 59, 63, 161
genetic code, 47
genetics, 47, 57, 183
genetics, molecular, 183
genome projects, 47, 60
genomics, 47-48, 57-63
genotyping, 63
geology, 165, 173, 181
George, Robert, 199
Georgia (Asia), 177, 178, 182; see
Dmanisi
German, 210, 211, 215-216, 219,
221-222
German history, 229, 230, 231, 234, 236
Germany, 92
Germany, 19th-cent., 87, 189, 191, 229
Gey, S.G., 161
g-factor, 76
Gibb, Hamilton, 246, 251
Gibraltar, 177
Givón, T., 214
Gleevec, 34, 51, 52, 58
Goeje, de, 250
global cooling down, 172
global warming, 162
globalisation, 250
glucose, 59
God, 19, 68, 69, 86, 143
Gogh, Theo van, 140
Goldberg Variations, 271
Golden Age, 230
Golius, 251
Gombert, 262
government not neutral, 166
Gramsci, Antonio, 249

gravitation, 66, 68
Greek, 191
Greek culture, 189-190, 191-204
Greek medicine, 41
Greeks, 189, 190, 191
Greenwald, 71, 75
Grotius, Hugo, 86
Grunebaum, G. von, 246, 251
Grutman, Roy, 197, 198
Guccione, 197
gymnasia, 201, 202

H

hadd punishments, 138
Hallaq, Wael, 139
Halliday, 140
Hanbali school, 145
Handel, G.F., 271
Hanson, V.D., 195-196
'hard cases', 121-134
Harmodius, 202
Harvard CEA Research, 247
Harvard, Fairbank Center, 247
Harvard, Near Eastern Inst., 246
health care, 16
health care, refusal of, 90
health care ethics, 85-102, 122
health care legislation, 89-102
health law, 85-102
heart attack, 27
heart surgery, open, 28
heat, 67
Hebrew, 220-221
Hegel, 266-267
Heisenberg, Werner, 19, 20, 22
Helsinki Declaration, 92-93, 95
Hempel, C.G., 65-69
herbal medicine, 40, 49
Herburger, E., 219-220
Herceptin, 33, 34, 58
Herodotus, 189
high-throughput, 48, 58
Hippocratic oath, 87
histamine receptor, 29
historical context, 204-205
historical interpretation, 160
historiography, 227-239
history, American, 233

history, British, 230-231, 232, 234, 239
 history, comparative, 229-230, 235, 236
 history, economic, 229
 history, Dutch, 227-228, 233-234
 history, European, 235
 history, French, 232, 235, 238
 history, German, 229, 230, 231, 234, 236
 history, international, 229, 235
 history, national, 227-239
 history, political, 227-228, 237, 238
 history, social, 229
 history, subaltern, 250, 254
 history, transnational 236
 history, world, 229, 235
 history of diplomacy, 229
 history of intern. relations, 229
 history of mentalities, 192, 229
 history of transfer, 237
 Hitler, A., 231
 Hitti, Philip, 246
 HIV, 26, 43, 51, 161-162
 HLC, 78-80
 Hobsbawm, Eric, 235
 Hoffman-La-Roche, 28
 Hoffmann, Felix, 41
 holism, 259-260, 269, 270-273
 homeostasis, 59
 homeostatic, 33, 35
 hominids, 184
 hominins, 171-186
 Homo, 173, 174
 Homo, earliest, 181
 Homo erectus, 174, 178, 180, 182-185
 Homo ergaster, 172, 178-185
 Homo habilis, 180
 Homo heidelbergensis, 185
 Homo sapiens, 173, 185
 homosexuality, 198-204
 horses, 180
 human evolution, 171-186
 human origins, 171-186
 human rights in Islam, 147-148, 150, 153
 Human Subjects Act, 92-96
 humankind, origins of, 171-186
 Humboldt, Von, 266
 Hungary, 197
 hunting, 171
 Huntington, Sam., 138, 141, 151

hyperglycaemia, 44
 hypocrisy, Western, 153

I

Iberian peninsula, 177
 IBM, 66
 Ibn Warraq, 142
 Idema, Wilt, 252, 255
 IG Farben, 49
 IIAS, 253
 ijihad, 143, 144, 145
 Imatinib, 52
 imagined community, 250
 imitation, transnational, 235, 237-238
 immortal soul, 68-69
 impostor, 108
 inclusive universality, 153
 incompetent patients, 88, 90-91, 94-95, 98-101
 India, 137
 Indian historians, 250
 individual differences, 71-81
 Indo-European, 190
 Indonesia, 137, 141, 142, 145, 148, 184;
 see also Aceh, Java
 infinitives, optional, 218-219
 informatics, 48, 59, 66
 informed consent, 87, 90, 93, 95, 100
 informed dissent, 164
 inhuman treatment, 123, 146
 inquisitorial system, 113, 118
 insanity defence, 114, 115
 insulin resistance, 44
 insurance, 94, 96
 integrity of human body, 90, 98
 intelligence, fluid, 76
 intelligence test, 74, 76
 Intelligent Design, 155-168
 interindividual variability, 32-33, 35, 36, 60, 71-81, 217
 International Conv. on Civ. & Pol. Rights, 148
 international history, 229, 235
 International Inst. of Soc. Hist., 255
 international perspective, 238
 intersubjectivity, 193, 265-266
 invention of tradition, 235
 Iran, 139, 141, 146, 148, 149, 151-153, 247

Iran, loss of, 249
Iraq War, 138, 153, 193-196, 205
Ireland, 121-134
Irish law, 121-134
Irish Supreme Court, 126-127
ISIM, 253
Islam, 137-153; see also Muslim(s)
Islam, atheist criticism of, 152
Islam, development in, 151, 152
Islam, divorce in, 147
Islam, marriage in, 139, 146-147
Islam, radical, 249
Islam, variation in, 142
Islamic exception, 139
Islamic Holy War, 139
Islamic identity, 153
Islamic jurisprudence, 143, 144-145, 152
Islamic law, 137-153, 245; see also sharia
Islamic marriage law, 139, 146-147
Islamic Revolution, 151
Islamic state, 145
Islamic Traditions, 138, 142, 143
Islamic world, 138, 140-153
islamisation, 150, 151, 152
Islamists, 139, 149, 153
Israel, 176, 178, 249
Israel-Arab War, 248
Israel-Palestine conflict, 138

J

Jablonski, 175
Japanese medical experiments, 92
Java, 174, 176, 178, 179, 183, 184, 185
Jehova's Witnesses, 98
Jews, 124, 159, 166
jihad, 139
Johnson, Philip, 157
Jones, Judge, 159, 160
Jordan Valley, 176
Josquin, 262
Joule/Kelvin, 67
judicial review, 126, 127
justice, 85

K

Kahler's disease, 45
Kansas, 159
Kant, I., 15, 68, 257, 259, 266, 267, 268

Kenya, 172, 179, 181
kidney transplantation, 28
King, psychiatrist, 105-118
Kitcher, Philip, 164-165
KITLV, 251-252
Kocka, J., 236
Koobi Fora (Kenya), 179, 181, 182, 185
Korean War, 247
Kroch, A., 218
Kuhn, Thomas S., 18, 164

L

Labour Day, 235
LACDR, 9, 35
Laden, Osama bin, 139, 195
Lakatos, Imre, 163
Landauer, R., 66-68
Lander laboratory, 63
language acquisition, 218-219
language change, 215-218, 225
language fails, 261-264, 268
Lassus, 262
Latitudinal Diversity Gradient, 175
Laudan, Larry, 163
law of nature, 86
lead identification, 47
Leaky, L. & M., 174
Lebanon, 197
le Fanu, James, 27
legislation, health care, 89-102
legislature, 122, 128
Lefkowitz, Mary, 192
Leiden, Biblical studies at, 251
Leiden, Chinese studies at, 251, 254-255
Leiden, historical studies at, 245
Leiden, Japanese studies at, 255
Leiden, Museum Naturalis, 184
Leiden, Oriental studies at, 245, 251,
254-255
Leiden University, 227-228, 243-245,
257
leukaemia, 34, 51, 52, 58
Levant, 176
Levelt, P., 262-263
Levitin, 67, 68
Lewis, Bernard, 142
liability, legal, of physicians, 89
Libya, 151

lieux de mémoire, 235
 life expectancy, 26, 45, 122, 128
 Life Science & Technology, 46
 light, speed of, 67
 Lijphart, A., 234
 Lloyd, Seth, 67-68, 69
 Locus of control, 78
 London, bombings in, 138
 long distance questions, 219-221
 lost century (20th), 266-267
 luck, 49-52, 54
 Luther, M., 231

M

Macaulay, T.M., 229, 232
 Madrid, bombings in, 138
 malaria, 39-40, 49
 Malaysia, 141, 145, 147, 148
 maleficence principle, 95, 96, 100
 Mali, 141, 148
 malingered symptomatology, 111
 malingering test, 109
 man, origins of, 171-186
 margin of appreciation, 131-133
 Margolus, N., 67, 68
 Margulis, Lynn, 161-162
 marriage legislation, Islamic, 139, 146-147
 Marshall, P., 142, 144, 151, 152
 Marx, K., 266-267
 Marxist, 235
 Marxist laws, 234
 mass, 66
 mass executions, 14
 matter, 66-69
 Mauer (Germany), 185
 Mayer, Ann, 140, 147, 148
 Mayr, Ernst, 184
 Maxwell, 160
 McAllister, James 23
 MDR, 43
 meat, fat & marrow, 172
 medical biology, 59
 Medical Contract Act, 89-91, 99, 100
 medical care, refusal of, 90
 medical-ethical review, 96, 99-100
 medical-ethical review commission, 96
 medical ethics, 85-102
 Medical Research Act, 92-96, 99
 medical treatment contracts, 89
 medicines, 25-63, 88
 Medieval University, 258
 Mediterranean Studies, 253
 Meganthropus, 184
 memory, 76, 106-112
 memory, theories of, 193
 mens rea, 114
 mental energy, 76
 mentalities, history of, 192, 229
 mentally handicapped, 90
 Merck, 29, 45
 mesoscopic physics, 66
 metabolic disorder, 58
 metabolism, 60
 metabolites, 48, 49, 59, 60, 63
 metabolomics, 48, 59, 61, 63
 metaphysics, 65-69
 Meulman, J.J., 77-79
 Michelet, J., 229
 Middle East Institute, 247
 Middle East Journal, 247
 Middle East Studies, 253
 Middle Europe, 267
 Miglitol, 44
 migration, 181-183
 Mill, John Stuart, 15
 Milosevic, 264
 minorities, 128; see also religious
 minor patients, 91
 mistake, by, 50
 MIT, 67
 mitochondria, 161
 M'Naghten Rule, 114
 modeling, 77, 81, 162, 179, 217
 modeling and simulation, 35-36, 63
 moderator effects, 77
 modernisation in Europe, 235
 modernisation in Islam, 151
 modernisation in non-Western world, 248
 modernity, 160
 Modernity, Second, 267
 molecular biology, 30, 32, 47
 molecular genetics, 183
 Molecular Libraries Screening Centers Network, 54

- moral dilemmas, 15-16, 17
moral issues, 85-102, 122, 123-125, 133, 162
moral philosophy, 14, 15
moralism, historical, 230, 234
morality, public, 198, 204
Morocco, 139, 141, 145, 148
morphine, 29
MPD, 107
mufti, 144
multicultural society, 124, 134
multidisciplinarity, 45, 46, 52, 244, 246, 247, 250, 252-255
multi-level legal system, 123, 129-134
Multiple Personality Disorder, 107
multiplism, 141-143, 145, 152
muscular dystrophy, 58
music, meaning of, 261-262
Muslim(s), 124, 139, 141-153; see also Islam
Muslim immigrants, 139
Muslim radicals, 139
Muslim states, 137-153
Muslim world, 138, 140-153
myeloma, multiple, 45
- N**
Nariokotome boy, 180, 185
Nash equilibrium, 15
Nasser, 247
nation states, 228-229, 236
National Def. Edu. Act, 247
national history, 227-239
nationalism, 231, 235-236, 237
nationalist regimes, 247
nations, emergence of, 150, 237
natural medicine, 39-40
Naturalis, Museum, 184
nature, 201
nature, law of, 86
nature-nurture controversy, 74
Navratilova, Martina, 198
Nazi ideology, 191
Nazi medical research, 92
Neanderthals, 177
Near-Eastern culture, 191
Nehru, 247
neoconservatives 195-196, 249
neurolinguistics, 210, 218, 224-225
neutrality of government, 166
neutrality of the State, 159, 166-167
neutrino, 66
Newton, Isaac, 18, 155
Nicholson, D., 61
Nigeria, 141, 145, 148, 151
Nihewan basin, 178, 179
non-aligned movement, 247
non-maleficence, 85, 93, 98, 99
non-Western sociology, 253
non-Western studies, 245
Nora, Pierre, 235
Novartis, 29, 52
Nuremberg Code, 92
Nussbaum, Martha, 199-204
NY State Supreme Court, 197-198
- O**
obesity, 44
Obrecht, 262
Oiry, M., 220
Olduvai Gorge, 174
open heart surgery, 28
open mind, 163
Open Society, 163, 266-267
openness, 155-156, 157, 163-164, 167
opiates, 28
opium, 29
Opportunity lander, 263
optional infinitives, 218-219
Organon, 51, 61
origins of humankind, 171-186
origins of life, 157
Orwell, G., 155
Osama bin Laden, 139, 195
Ottoman Empire, 137
Out of Africa 1, 173, 182-185
Out of Africa 2, 173
Overton, W.R., 159
Oxford Glycoscience, 51
- P**
pacifism, 166
paederasty, 200
paedophilia, 200
Pakistan, 141, 145, 147, 148, 151, 152, 178

- palaeoanthropology, 171-186
- Palaeolithic, 177
- palaeontology, 14, 177
- Palestines, 138, 150
- panic disorder, 78
- Paranoid Personality Disorder, 110
- Paranoid Schizophrenia, 110
- Pareto optimum, 15
- parliaments, 238
- Pasteur, Louis, 10
- Pastore, 74
- paternalism, 88, 100
- patient-physician relation, 89-90, 100
- patient's best will, 96
- patients, see competent; incompetent
- patients, minor, 91
- patients' rights, 89-90, 96
- patriotism, 227, 228, 237-238
- peasant resistance, 250
- Pelasgian, 190
- Peloponnesian War, 194-196
- penicillin, 28, 50
- Pennock, R.T., 164
- Pennsylvania 156
- Penthouse Magazine, 197
- Perahia, Murray, 263
- Periodic Table of Elements, 160
- Persians, 195
- Peters, R., 142
- Pfizer, 51
- P-glycoprotein, 31
- pharmaceutical gaps, 26-27
- pharmaceutical industry, 28, 42, 45-47, 48-53, 58, 60
- pharmaceutical leads, 47-48
- pharmacodynamics, 31-32, 33
- pharmacogenetics, 60
- pharmacogenomics, 60
- pharmacokinetics, 31, 33, 35
- pharmacology, 25-54, esp. 42
- pharmacotherapy, tailor-made, 32, 35, 36
- phenotyping, 63
- phlogiston, 155
- Phoenician culture, 189, 190
- phonology, 209, 212-213
- photography an art, 264
- photon, 66
- physician-patient relation, 89-90, 100
- physics, 65-69, 160
- physics, mesoscopic, 66
- physics of computation, 65-69
- Piano man, 108
- pillorisation, 233-234
- Pipes, Daniel, 249
- Pithecanthropus, 174
- Planck, Max 16, 67
- plate tectonics, 165
- Plato 199-204
- Pleistocene, 176, 177
- Pleistocene, Early, 178, 179, 180
- Pleistocene, Late, 179
- Pliny the Elder, 179
- Pliocene, 179, 180
- Pliocene, Late, 176
- Plio-Pleistocene, 181
- pluralism, 164
- Pocock, J.G.A., 239
- polis, 191
- political history, 227-228, 237-238
- political parties, 238
- political sciences, 138, 148, 252-253, 254
- polygamy, 166
- Pompe, 58
- Popper, Karl R., 163, 266-267
- pornography, 197
- post-modernism, 195, 248, 259
- pregnant women, 101, 131
- Presbyterian Churches in US, 159
- Price, Anthony, 203, 204
- Pinceton IAS, 197
- Princeton, Oriental Studies at, 246
- prisoner's dilemma, 14
- privacy, 123, 132, 198
- pro-drug, 50, 60
- propranolol, 29
- protection of test persons, 94
- proteins, 48, 58, 59-60, 63
- proteomics, 48, 59, 61
- Protestantism, 86
- protocol, research-, 95-96
- Protonsil, 50
- proxy, 90-91, 94, 95, 99, 100
- psychiatric diagnosis, 109
- Psychiatric Hosp. Comp. Adm. Act, 97, 100

psychiatric hospitals, 96-98
psychiatric patients, 96-98, 100, 102
psychiatric therapy, 112
psychiatrists in court, 105-119
psychiatry, 89, 90, 96-98, 105-119
psychiatry, validity in, 108-109, 112, 117, 118
psycholinguistics, 210, 218, 225
psychology, 71-81, 250
psychology, applied, 73
psychology, clinical, 71, 75, 81, 105, 117
psychology, cognitive, 76, 81
psychology, correlational, 72, 73, 74, 76, 81
psychology, differential, 72
psychology, educational, 75
psychology, experimental, 72-77
psychology, general, 72, 73
psychology, health, 75, 81
psychology, individual, 72, 73
psychology, organisational, 75
psychology, social, 71, 75, 76
psychometrics, 81
psychotherapy, 71, 78, 81, 108
public morality, 198, 204
puma, 176

Q

qadi, 144
qanun, 145
qinghaosu, 39
quantum computation, 67
quantum mechanics, 67, 68
quantum particles, 21
quantum physics, 18-20, 22, 65
quinine, 29, 40, 41, 49
Qur'an, 138, 140, 143

R

race, 204
racial violence, 166
racism, 189
Ramadan, Tariq, 138
Ranke, L. von, 229
rational calculator, 21-22
rational drug design, 52
readouts, 61, 62, 63
Reagan, Ronald, 249

reasonableness, 99, 100, 128
receptor expression, 33
receptors, 32, 33
recedivism, predictability of, 115-119
Rediscovery of the Enlightenment, 267, 273
reductionism, 259-260, 264, 265, 269, 270
reductionism & holism, 270, 272-273
Refah Party (Turkey), 138; cf. Welfare
reformed dissenters, 86
refusal of medical treatment, 90, 96, 98
regression tree, 77-80
regression trunk approach, 78, 80
relative clauses, 220-221
relativity, theory of, 67
relevance of the Classics, 193, 197-205
reliability of diagnosis, 108, 109
religion, 155-168
religion, protection of, 159, 199
religious beliefs, 86, 98, 122, 124, 128, 138, 156-168, 199
religious diversity, 153
religious groups, 166-167, 233
religious minorities, 128, 139, 166
repeatability of experiments, 193, 268-269
research on human beings, 92-96
research protocol, 95-96
repudiation of a wife, 147
responsibility, 86, 99, 102, 105-106, 113-118
responsibility for treatment, 90-91, 99
Revised Ancient Model, 190
rheumatoid arthritis, 28
Richmond, 182
Rift Valley, 176, 178, 181, 183
right to complain, 129
right to life, 122, 124
right to life, protection of, 122, 124, 131, 132
right to private life, 123
rights, fundamental, see fundamental rights
rights of the patient, 89-90, 96
Rightmire, G.P., 181, 182
Riwat, 178
Rockefeller Foundation, 247

Rofecoxib, 45
 Roman Catholic Church, 159
 Roman law, 86, 87
 Rosenberg, Barnett, 50
 Rosiglitazone, 44
 RTA, 78
 rule of law, 100, 127, 128, 129, 137-153
 Ruse, Michael, 163
 Russia, 129
 Russian Revolution, 267
 Ryle, Gilbert, 155, 167

S

Saddy, J.D., 222, 225
 safety regulations, 30, 44, 61, 93
 Said, Edward, 248, 249
 salicylic acid, 41, 49
 Saudi Arabia, 138, 141, 145, 146, 148, 149, 153
 Savanna, African, 179
 Savannahstan, 179, 184
 Scaliger, Joseph, 251
 Schering, 29
 Schiavo, Terri, 99
 schizophrenia, 28, 63, 110
 Schrödinger, Erwin, 19, 20, 22
 Scientific Council for State Policy, 140
 Scopes Trial, 158
 Scott, James, 250
 Second Modernity, 267
 secular liberals, 160
 segregation of schools, 127, 128
 selectivity of science, 155-168
 self-congratulation, 17-18, 231-232
 self-esteem-buffering, 75-76, 77
 self-mutilation, 166
 selling one's body, 200
 semantics, 209, 212-213
 Semitic, 189-190
 Semitic culture, 189, 190
 September 11, 2001, 138, 195, 249
 serendipity, 26, 28, 35, 36, 48-52, 62
 Sevso Treasure, 197
 sex, 198-204
 sexual dimorphism, 180
 sexual gratification, 199-201
 S.G.P., 124
 Shah, the fall of the, 249

sharia, 137-153
 sharia, meaning of, 143
 Shea, Nina, 142
 SIMS, 111
 Sinanthropus, 184
 siyasa, 144, 149
 slavery, 138, 166
 Sluiter, I., 206
 small-molecule drugs, 42
 Small Molecule Repository, 54
 SMD's, 42
 Snouck Hurgronje, 251
 SOAS (London), 145
 social history, 229
 societal engagement, 205
 Socrates, 204
 Softenon, 30, 93
 solar system, 18
 Sonderweg, 231-234, 236
 soul, immortal, 68-69
 South Africa, 43, 233
 South America, 40
 South Carolina, 160
 Southern Baptists, 159
 Spain, see Iberian peninsula, Madrid
 Sparta, 194-196
 Spearman, 76
 Spinhoven, P., 78
 space, empty, 66
 spirit, 66
 stasis 196
 statistical mechanics, 67
 statistics, 60, 73, 75, 76, 77-78, 81
 steady-state theory, 14
 stem cell research, 96, 162
 stolen legacy, 190, 192
 stone artifacts, 171, 172
 stoning to death, 146
 Streit der Fakultäten, 257
 streptomycin, 28
 structure-activity relationships, 30
 student movement, 238
 subaltern history, 250, 254
 subject-verb agreement, 214
 subjectivity, limitation of, 124, 134
 substituted judgement, 91
 subtexts, 249
 Sudan, 141, 145, 148, 151, 152

- Suetonius, 197-198
 Sufism, 150
 suicide, assisted, 132
 Sukarno, 247
 sulfa drugs, 50
 Sulfanilamide, 50
 Sunna, 138
 Sunni world, 145
 superiority claims of disciplines, 264-265
 superiority claims, European, 138, 140, 192
 supranational courts, 122-134
 Supreme Court, Colorado, 198
 Supreme Court, US, 127, 158
 survival, social, 74
 SVT, 111
 Symptom Validity Testing, 111
 syntactic autonomy, 209-225
 syntactic processes, 210
 syntax, 209-225
 syntax, interaction with, 216-217
 systems biology, 48, 59-63
 Szanton, D., 255, 256
- T**
- tablets, medicinal, 27, 41
 Taliban, 138, 151
 Tanzania, 174
 target identification, 47
 targeted treatment solutions, 34
 Tattersall, Ian, 178
 Taylor, A.J.P., 231
 TBC, 43, 46
 Teach the Controversy, 155-168
 teleology, 230-231, 234, 236
 Templeton, Alan, 183
 Tennessee, 158
 test-tube babies, 28
 testers vs. engineers, 74
 thalidomide disaster, 30, 93
 theocracy, 166
 therapeutic agents, 54
 therapeutic research, 95
 therapy, psychiatric, 112
 thick description, 250, 254
 Third World 248
 Third World diseases, 26, 43, 46, 53
 Thucydides, 193-196, 205
 thymidine, 50
 Tipler, F., 69
 tolerance, appeal to, 164-166
 tool-making, 171, 172
 tools, stone, 171, 172, 178
 Torture, UN Conv. against, 146, 148
 town gas, 28-29
 toxicity, 33, 39, 42, 43, 44, 49, 51, 60, 61
 toxicology, 63
 tradition, invention of, 235
 transcriptomics, 59, 61
 transduction, 32, 33, 35
 transfer, history of, 237-238
 transnational imitation, 235, 237-238
 transnational transfer, 237-238
 transplantation, 28
 transplantation, xeno-, 96
 trasztuzumab, 33
 Treasure of Sevso, 197
 tree-based methods, 77
 Treitschke, H. von, 229
 trial and error, 49-52, 62
 tribal custom, 150
 Trinil, 184
 tuberculosis, 28
 Turkana, Lake, 172, 181
 Turkana boy, 172, 177, 179, 182
 Turkey, 127-128, 129, 138, 141, 148
 Turkish Refah Party, 138
 Turkish Welfare Party, 127-128
 two-slit diffraction, 19
 tyrosine kinase, 52
- U**
- ‘Ubeidiya (Israel), 178, 179
 UCLA, Oriental Studies at, 246
 Ukraine, 129
 uluma, 145, 151
 Ulysses contract, 97-98
 unborn child, 101, 121-122, 126, 131-133
 unified knowledge, 259
 uniqueness, national, 228, 229-236, 237
 United Methodists in US, 159
 United States, 105-119, 127, 138, 139, 157-158, 194, 195, 203, 233, 246-250, 255
 unity of arts and sciences, 269, 270-272

universe, the, as computer, 67-68
 universe, the, finite, 69
 university, differentiation within, 258
 university, fragmentation of, 258
 university, structure of, 257-258, 273
 unpredictability of brain operation, 69
 urea, 41
 USA, see Unites States
 US Supreme Court, 127, 158

V

vaccines, rejection of, 166
 vacuum, 66
 validity of diagnoses, 108-109, 112, 117,
 118
 valorisation, 58
 values, epistemic, 16-17, 19, 21, 22
 van den Berg, J.H., 88, 89
 van Veelen, Arjan, 194-196, 206
 variation, individual, 215, 217-218
 Velcade, 45
 verb movement, 210-213
 Verba, S., 233, 234
 Viagra, 51
 Victoria crater (Mars), 263
 Vietnam War, 248
 Vioxx, 45
 visual system, 216
 Vo vs. France, 132, 133
 voluntary commitm. contract, 97-98
 von Grunebaum, G., 246, 251
 von Humboldt, 266
 von Ranke, Leopold, 229
 Vonk, Hans, 263

W

Wall, collapse of the, 266
 Warraq, Ibn, 142
 Watson, 42
 wave/particle dilemma, 19-21
 wavicles, 21
 Weber, Max, 86
 Wegener, Alfred, 161, 165
 Weinberger 71
 Welfare Party (Turkey), 127-128; cf.
 Refah
 Wende, die (1989), 266
 West, the, 138, 151, 153

West Bank, 150
 Western Europe, 138-139
 Western liberalism unpopular, 153
 Wexler, K., 219
 Whig view of history, 230, 231, 234
 White, Tim, 180
 WHO, 26, 27
 Williams, Bernard, 15
 willow bark, 41
 Wilson, E.O., 259, 265
 women, status of, 124, 139, 142,
 146-151
 women's movement, 238
 Wood, 182,
 word order, 225
 world history, 229
 World Medical Association, 92
 World War II, 87, 92, 129, 150, 231,
 246, 247, 251
 WRR, 140

X

XDR M. tbc strain, 43
 xenotransplantation, 96

Y

Young Earth Creationism, 160

Z

Zakaria, 139
 Zavesca, 51
 Zhoukoudian, 184