



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Analecta Praehistorica Leidensia 33/34 / Sacrificial Landscapes : cultural biographies of persons, objects and 'natural' places in the Bronze Age of the Southern Netherlands, c. 2300-600 BC

Fontijn, David R.; Fokkens, Harry; Bakels, Corrie

Citation

Fontijn, D. R. (2002). Analecta Praehistorica Leidensia 33/34 / Sacrificial Landscapes : cultural biographies of persons, objects and 'natural' places in the Bronze Age of the Southern Netherlands, c. 2300-600 BC, 392. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33737>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33737>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

ANALECTA PRAEHISTORICA LEIDENSIA

33/34

PUBLICATION OF THE FACULTY OF ARCHAEOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF LEIDEN

DAVID R. FONTIJN

SACRIFICIAL LANDSCAPES

CULTURAL BIOGRAPHIES OF PERSONS, OBJECTS AND 'NATURAL' PLACES
IN THE BRONZE AGE OF THE SOUTHERN NETHERLANDS, C. 2300-600 BC



UNIVERSITY OF LEIDEN 2002

Editors: Harry Fokkens / Corrie Bakels

Copy editors of this volume: David Fontijn / Harry Fokkens

Copyright 2002 by the Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden

ISSN 0169-7447

ISBN 90-73368-19-7

Also appeared as doctorate thesis, Leiden, March 27, 2003.

Subscriptions to the series *Analecta Praehistorica Leidensia*
and single volumes can be ordered exclusively at:

Faculty of Archaeology
P.O. Box 9515
NL-2300 RA Leiden
the Netherlands

*Non multo post in Cantabriae lacum fulmen decidit repertaeque sunt duodecim
securae, haud ambiguum summae imperii signum.*

(Suetonius, book VII: Galba, Otho, Vitellius)

*Und dast Sterben, dieses Nichtmehrfassen
Jenes Grunds, auf dem wir täglich stehn,
Seinem ängstlichen Sich-Niederlassen -:*

*In die Wasser, die ihn sanft empfangen
Und die sich, wie glücklich und vergangen,
Unter ihm zurückziehn, Flut um Flut*

(R.M. Rilke 'der Schwan')

contents

Preface xvii

PART I PROBLEM, APPROACH, SOURCE CRITISM 1

1 Introduction: the problem of bronze deposition and the aim of this study 3

1.1 Introduction 3

1.2 The social significance of metalwork among European Bronze Age societies 3

1.3 The phenomenon of bronze deposits and its interpretation as ‘ritual consumption’ 5

1.4 Problems in the current interpretation of bronze deposits: ‘selective deposition’ 5

1.5 The southern Netherlands as a promising region for studying ‘selective deposition’ 6

1.6 Research questions and spatial and chronological framework 6

1.7 How the problem will be approached 9

2 How archaeology has made sense of object depositions: the distinction between ‘ritual’ and ‘profane’ deposits 13

2.1 Introduction 13

2.2 Seeing bronze deposits primarily in profane terms: *Verwahrfunde* and *Versteckfunde* 13

2.3 Accepting bronze finds as permanent deposits and interpreting them as ‘ritual’ 15

2.3.1 The distinction between ‘ritual’ and ‘profane’ depositions 15

2.3.2 Levy’s theory: is the Bronze Age ritual-profane distinction supported by ethnographic parallels? 17

2.4 *Explaining* ritual deposition: economic and competitive consumption 18

2.5 How ‘ritual’ is reconciled to assumptions on the universality of rationality 19

2.6 Problems we face when using the ‘ritual/ profane’ distinction for the interpretation of deposits 20

2.6.1 Problems raised by the empirical evidence 20

2.6.2 Epistemological problems 20

2.7 How can we get round the problems of the ‘ritual/profane’ distinction? 21

2.8 Final remarks 21

3 Theoretical framework for the study of selective deposition 23

3.1 Introduction 23

3.2 The concept of ‘meaning’ 23

3.3 Objects as ‘things’ and objects that are ‘like persons’ 25

3.4 How meaning comes about: the cultural biography of things 26

3.5 Kinds of biographies: valuables associated with communal versus personal identities 26

3.6 The start of a biography: production 27

3.6.1 The crucial position of the smith as a creator of potential valuables 27

3.6.2 Material and techniques 28

3.6.3 Concept of form and style 28

3.6.4 Functional possibilities 30

3.7 The life of an object 30

3.7.1 Metalwork circulation as an exchange of gifts *and* commodities; long-term and short-term exchange 31

3.7.2 Transformation of commodities into gifts or valuables and the archaeological indications that they took place 31

3.7.3 The archaeological correlates for circulation 32

3.7.4 The archaeological correlates for ‘use’ 32

3.7.5 The deposited objects as a skewed representation of the objects in circulation 33

3.8 Deposition 33

3.8.1 The practice of deposition as constituted by relations between object, people and location 33

3.8.2 Deposition as performance 35

3.8.3 What deposition brings about 35

3.9 Concluding remarks 35

4 Source criticism: limitations and possibilities of the available evidence 37

4.1 Introduction 37

4.2 How to recognize permanent depositions 37

4.3 How the data were collected and evaluated 38

4.3.1 Assessing the reliability of data 39

4.3.2 Retrieving information on find context 41

- 4.4 Explaining presence and absence of finds: post-depositional processes 42
- 4.4.1 Natural processes 43
- 4.4.2 Anthropogenetic processes 43

4.5 Explaining presence and absence of finds: research factors 45

4.6 Conclusion: which set of data is informative on selective deposition? 45

PART II SELECTIVE DEPOSITION THROUGHOUT THE BRONZE AGE 53

5 Late Neolithic B and Early Bronze Age 55

5.1 Introduction 56

5.2 Late Neolithic and Early Bronze Age societies in the southern Netherlands 57

5.3 Discussion of the available evidence 60

5.4 Late Neolithic metalwork 60

5.4.1 Local production and the 'Dutch Bell Beaker metal' 61

5.4.2 Flat axes 63

5.4.3 The double axe from Escharen 65

5.4.4 Gold ornaments 66

5.4.5 Daggers 67

5.4.6 Conclusion: selective deposition in the Late Neolithic B? 68

5.5 Early Bronze Age metalwork 68

5.5.1 Low-flanged axes 68

5.5.2 Halberds 71

5.5.3 The Wageningen hoard 72

5.5.4 Metalwork from burials and settlements 73

5.5.5 Conclusion: selective deposition in the Early Bronze Age? 74

5.6 From stone to bronze 75

5.6.1 How metal replaced stone in daily life 75

5.6.2 The cultural attitude towards metals and stones 75

5.6.3 The life of metals and new elements in the cultural biography of things 76

5.7 Patterns in the biographies of metalwork: production and circulation 77

5.7.1 Circulation: the importance of being imported 77

5.7.2 Open systems: the interplay between imported objects and local products 78

5.8 Deposition: the incorporation of metalwork in Neolithic offering traditions and their subsequent transformation 78

5.8.1 Continuity and change 78

5.8.2 Fluctuations in the rate of deposition 79

5.8.3 Conclusion 79

5.9 Deposition: graves and wet places as contrasting depositional contexts 79

5.9.1 The Beaker burial ritual and the significance of objects as valuables of personhood 80

5.9.2 The deposition of axes in wet places 82

5.10 Conclusions 83

6 Middle Bronze Age A 85

6.1 Introduction 86

6.2 The transition from Early to Middle Bronze Age: developments in society and landscape 86

6.3 Discussion of the available evidence 87

6.4 High-flanged and stopridge axes 88

6.4.1 Oldendorf axes 88

6.4.2 Nick-flanged or *geknickte* axes 91

6.4.3 Atlantic imports? Arreton axes and axes with high-placed short-flanges 93

6.4.4 Two ‘unique’ axes 93

6.4.5 Stopridge axes 96

6.4.6 Conclusion 97

6.5 Spears 97

6.6 ‘Swords’ and daggers 100

6.6.1 Dirks, rapiers and daggers of the Sögel, Wohlde, Weizen and Gamprin types 100

6.6.2 The Overloon weapon hoard: the deposition of personal warrior sets 103

6.6.3 Tréboul-St. Brandan swords 103

6.6.4 The ceremonial dirk from Jutphaas 104

6.6.5 Other finds: two daggers of British type 105

6.6.6 Sword biographies 105

6.7 Developments in the structure of the metalwork repertoire 106

6.7.1 The category of specialized weapons and what it implies: the significance of martiality 106

6.7.2 Transformations in existing material culture categories 107

6.8 Metalwork circulation 107

6.8.1 The restructuring of spheres of exchange? 107

6.8.2 The southern Netherlands in the north-west European world 109

6.8.3 Bronze circulation and the problem of the ‘Hilversum culture’ 109

6.9 Patterns in metalwork deposition 110

6.9.1 Fluctuations in the rate of deposition 110

6.9.2 Axe deposition 110

6.9.3 Weapon deposition as the surrender of the paraphernalia of personhood 111

6.9.4 Conclusion 112

6.10 Conclusions 112

7 Middle Bronze Age B 115

7.1 Introduction 116

7.2 Landscape and society during the Middle Bronze Age B 116

7.3 Discussion of the available evidence 116

7.4	Palstaves and mid-winged axes	119
7.4.1	Imported palstaves	119
7.4.2	Regional palstaves	121
7.4.3	Mid-winged axes	125
7.4.4	The Goirle axe: the remarkable life-path of an old, much-travelled axe	127
7.4.5	Conclusion: axe biographies	129
7.5	Spearheads	129
7.6	Swords and daggers	131
7.6.1	Rosnoën swords	132
7.6.2	Other <i>Griffplatten</i> - and <i>Griffangelschwerter</i>	133
7.6.3	Reworked sword blades	133
7.6.4	Conclusions: life-cycles of swords	133
7.7	Ornaments	134
7.8	Sickles and other tools	137
7.9	Moulds	137
7.9.1	The bronze mould from Buggenum	138
7.9.2	The clay mould from Cuijk	138
7.9.3	The clay mould from Oss-Horzak	138
7.9.4	Conclusions	141
7.10	Metalwork and contemporary material culture	141
7.11	Regional bronze production	142
7.12	Metalwork circulation	143
7.12.1	General developments: reorientation of exchange networks	143
7.12.2	Patterns of procurement	143
7.13	Deposition	144
7.13.1	Deposition in and around houses	144
7.13.2	Axe and weapon deposits: depositional zones as places of historical significance	147
7.13.3	Deposition of objects in burials	147
7.13.4	Deposition of objects in burial monuments	148
7.14	Conclusions	148
8	Late Bronze Age	151
8.1	Introduction	152
8.2	Society and landscape during the Late Bronze Age	152
8.2.1	North-western Europe	152
8.2.2	Southern Netherlands	154
8.3	Discussion of the available evidence	154

8.4	Socketed and end-winged axes	157
8.4.1	Regional socketed axes	157
8.4.2	Imported socketed axes	161
8.4.3	End-winged axes	164
8.4.4	Iron axes	164
8.4.5	Conclusions	165
8.5	Weapons: spears, swords, chapes and daggers	166
8.5.1	Early <i>Griffzungenschwerter</i>	166
8.5.2	The <i>Vielwulstschwert</i> from Buggenum	166
8.5.3	The weapon hoard from Pulle	169
8.5.4	<i>Griffzungen</i> - and <i>Vollgriffschwerter</i> from the Ha B2/3 phase	170
8.5.5	Gündlingen swords	171
8.5.6	Mindelheim swords	172
8.5.7	Conclusion: sword biographies	172
8.6	Ornaments and dress fittings	172
8.6.1	Deposition in major rivers	175
8.6.2	Deposition of ceremonial ornaments: the giant <i>Bombenkopfnadel</i> of type Ockstadt	175
8.6.3	Ornaments in multiple-object hoards	178
8.6.4	Conclusion: selective deposition of ornaments	182
8.7	Other tools	182
8.8	The place of metalwork among contemporary material culture	184
8.9	Regional bronze production	186
8.10	Metalwork circulation	186
8.11	Deposition	187
8.11.1	Axe and tool deposition	187
8.11.2	Weapon and ornament deposition: evidence for a structured sacrificial landscape?	188
8.11.3	New places for deposition?	191
8.11.4	Change and tradition in the practice of deposition	192
8.12	Conclusions	193
9	Late Bronze Age and Early Iron Age: metalwork from burials	197
9.1	Introduction	197
9.2	Discussion of the available evidence	197
9.3	The urnfield burial ritual and the provision of artefacts	197
9.4	Ornaments and toilet articles in urnfield graves	198
9.5	Deposition of weaponry	201
9.6	Stages in the burial ritual and the inclusion of artefacts	203

9.7	The decorated dead	204
9.8	Local and supra-local personal identities	206
9.9	Conclusions	207
PART III UNDERSTANDING SELECTIVE DEPOSITION 209		
10	Selective deposition: its characteristics, development and structure	211
10.1	Introduction	211
10.2	Some general characteristics of metalwork deposition	211
10.3	The long-term patterns of selective deposition	215
10.4	Selective deposition as an indication that different objects had different meanings	215
10.5	How objects became meaningful: the significance of their cultural biography	217
10.6	Depositions in burials versus depositions in natural places	217
10.7	Long-term history of selective deposition	218
10.8	Development of the argument in the next chapters	219
11	Weapons, the armed body and martial identities	221
11.1	Introduction	221
11.2	The distinction between multifunctional tools and weapons before the Middle Bronze Age	221
11.3	Weapons of the Middle and Late Bronze Age	221
11.4	The nature of Bronze Age conflicts and warfare	224
11.5	Warfare as ideology	226
11.6	Warrior identities	226
11.6.1	Sword fighting and becoming a person	227
11.6.2	The evidence of warriors' graves	227
11.6.3	Warrior identities and 'imagined communities'	229
11.7	Weapon deposits as graveless grave goods?	229
11.8	Warriorhood as an ambiguous, temporary identity	231
11.9	The shift from rivers to graves	232
11.9.1	Ha C chieftains' graves as reflecting a different kind of elite?	232
11.9.2	How did a shift to burial deposition become socially acceptable?	233
11.9.3	Conclusion: the continuing ambiguity of warrior statuses	236
11.10	Conclusions	236

12	Ornament deposition: the construction and deconstruction of personhood	239
12.1	Introduction	239
12.2	Ornament deposition in natural places versus deposition in burials	239
12.3	Selective deposition of ornaments and dress fittings during the Middle Bronze Age	239
12.4	The significance of supra-regional ornament styles: the implications of the Oss mould	240
12.5	Selective deposition of ornaments and dress fittings during the Late Bronze Age	241
12.5.1	Ornaments and the construction of local identities in urnfield graves	241
12.5.2	Placing ornaments and pins in rivers and sources	241
12.5.3	Deposition of special ornament types in hoards: the Lutlommel hoard	242
12.6	Conclusion: the contrast between local and non-local identities	244
13	The cultural biographies of axes	247
13.1	Introduction	247
13.2	The significance of imported adzes and axes for non- or semi-agrarian communities	247
13.3	The deposition of single, used bronze axes: the generalized biography of an axe	248
13.4	There is more to axes than just the tool	250
13.5	Late Bronze Age axe hoards	252
13.6	Axe hoards as representing deliberate permanent deposits	252
13.7	Linking 'ritual' deposition to the flow of metal	253
13.7.1	How gift and commodity exchange are linked	254
13.7.2	Object deposition as a way to transform items from commodities into gifts	255
13.8	What happened at the transition from the Late Bronze Age to Iron Age?	255
13.8.1	Understanding lavish hoards in relation to a collapsing bronze circulation	256
13.8.2	Changes within the depositional practices themselves	256
13.9	Conclusions	257
14	The landscape of deposition	259
14.1	Introduction	259
14.2	Deposition in a historical landscape	259
14.2.1	The system of selective deposition as reflecting structured perceptions of the land	259
14.2.2	Multiple-deposition zones and the landscape of memory	260
14.2.3	What does the difference between adjacent multiple deposition zones imply?	263

14.3	Deposition and the landscape of daily life	264
14.3.1	Depositional zones as remote and peripheral areas	264
14.3.2	Depositional zones as natural, unaltered places	264
14.4	Depositional zones in a social landscape	265
14.5	Depositional zones in a cosmological landscape	266
14.5.1	Wet zones as cosmological boundaries	266
14.5.2	Deposition in watery places: gifts to gods?	267
14.6	Deposition and cultural attitudes towards the land	268
14.6.1	Exploitative and communalist attitudes	268
14.6.2	Depositions and notions on reciprocal relations with the land	269
14.6.3	Depositions and the logic of taking and giving	269
14.7	Depositional practices and the construction of communities	270
14.8	Conclusions	271

15 Final reflections: what is selective deposition and what does it bring about? 273

15.1	Introduction	273
15.2	Circulation of foreign materials and social realities	273
15.3	Bronzes and the significance of non-local identities	274
15.4	Accepting <i>their</i> logic: a sacrificial economy	274
15.5	Deposition as a practice	275
15.6	Deposition as ritual	276
15.7	What does selective deposition bring about?	277

epilogue 281

references 285

appendices 305

1	List of all hoards from the study region	305
2.1	Flat axes	310
2.2	Low-flanged axes	311
2.3	Oldendorf axes	312
2.4	Other MBA A axes	314
2.5	Imported palstaves and other axes	315
2.6	Regional palstaves, midribbed	317
2.7	Regional palstaves, plain sinuous-shaped and those with trapeze outline	318
2.8	Unclassified palstaves	320

2.9	Mid-winged axes	321
2.10	Socketed axes of the Niedermaas type	322
2.11	Socketed axes of the Helmeroth type	324
2.12	Socketed axes of the Geistingen type	325
2.13	Socketed axes of the Plainseau type	326
2.14	Socketed axes of type Wesseling	328
2.15	Other socketed axes, Early Iron Age axes, iron axes	329
2.16	End-winged axes	332
3	Sickles, knives, chisels, gouges from the Middle and Late Bronze Age	333
4.1	Ornaments mainly from the MBA B	335
4.2	Ornaments from the LBA/EIA from other contexts than graves	336
5.1	Swords and daggers from the MBA A	338
5.2	Swords and daggers from the MBA B	339
5.3	Swords from the Ha A2 (A1) until Ha B1 phases	341
5.4	Swords from the Ha B2/3 phase	342
5.5	Swords from the Early Iron Age (made of bronze and iron)	343
5.6	MBA swords from the Netherlands and Belgium: deposition in graves versus deposition in watery places	345
6.1	Spearheads from the MBA A	348
6.2	Spearheads from the MBA B	349
6.3	Spearheads without precise dating (plain pegged spearheads) and arrowheads	350
7.1	Daggers, knives, halberds and ornaments from the LN B/EBA, mainly from burials	356
7.2	Burial gifts from the MBA and deposits in barrows (metalwork and other materials)	358
7.3	Metalwork from urnfield graves in the Dutch part of the research region	361
7.4	Metalwork from urnfield graves in the Belgian part of the research region	370
8	Indications for metalworking (Middle and Late Bronze Age)	373
9	Metalwork finds from settlements	374
10.1	Metal types distinguished by Butler and Van der Waals	376
10.2	Metal analyses of flat and low-flanged axes	376
10.3	Metal analyses of tanged daggers and awls from burials	377
10.4	Metal analyses of halberds, riveted knives and an awl	377
10.5	Metal analyses of objects from the Wageningen hoard	378

samenvatting (Dutch summary) 379

acknowledgements for the figures 389

acknowledgements 391

Preface

The European Bronze Age communities have left us thousands and thousands of copper and bronze artefacts. Archaeologists have long realized that many things can be learnt from these objects, like the nature of prehistoric metalworking techniques, exchange relations, the distribution of stylistic traits and so on. Realizing this, archaeologists have written hundreds of books and thousands of articles on these copper and bronze artefacts since the early 19th century, and undoubtedly many more are yet to come. The present book focuses on the metalwork finds of one small European region: the southern Netherlands and the adjacent part of North Belgium. It is a book about a very simple question: how is it possible that all this metalwork has come down to us?

Belgian and Dutch archaeologists have always been quite suspicious of the bronze finds. Many came from dubious sources, such as old private collections or antique dealers, and most were believed to give no information on find context. But there were signs of a new attitude towards Bronze Age metalwork. Particularly the work that was published in the late 1980s and early 1990s by Roymans, Van der Sanden, Van Impe, Verlaeckaert and Warmenbol paved the way for an interpretation of such metal items as ‘ritual depositions’ or votive offerings. The obvious implication of their view is that the bronzes now came to be seen in a different light, as items informative of ‘prehistoric religious practices’. This was more or less the assumption with which I started my research in the late 1990s. Essentially the idea was that I could simply look at the existing *corpus* of metalwork finds from the region, and use it to build theories on the structure and meaning of ritual deposition of metalwork, ultimately culminating in ideas on prehistoric ideology. In addition, there was at that moment an impressive number of new books by post-processual archaeologists and social anthropologists, providing fresh perspectives on the study of material culture. I naively believed that anthropological studies on exchange and sacrifice in particular would give me some clue for making sense of bronze depositions.

When I began my investigations, I rapidly encountered numerous problems, however. To start with, there was no such thing as a comprehensive published *corpus* of all metalwork in the region, let alone publications that provided information on the context where bronzes were found. Even

the existing theories on typology and chronology of bronzes were in the process of being fundamentally revised by J. Butler and H. Steegstra. This left me no other choice but to compile a catalogue of my own. Although it seemed a major setback at the time, I am now very glad that I had to return to the objects themselves. Studying objects and documents in museums and amateur collections confronted me with many questions, which a reading of literature alone would never have made me think of. In addition to allowing me a first-hand account of the reliability/unreliability of many finds, I was able to make many interesting observations. Why were so many objects found in a condition as if they were meant for use? Why were some objects never found in specific contexts? How is it possible that two items obviously made in the same mould were found in places over 800 km apart (the Plougrescant-Ommerschans dirks, chapter 6)? How could associations between specific kinds of objects and places remain so remarkably unchanged over the centuries?

Gradually from the empirical studies the rough outline of a prehistoric system of selective deposition of bronzes emerged: during the Bronze Age in the southern Netherlands, specific types of objects were deliberately placed in specific types of places, avoiding others. There appeared to be no clues in anthropological knowledge for making sense of this remarkable practice, however. Actually, the more ethnography I read, the more convinced I became that metalwork deposition as it was structured during the Bronze Age has no true parallels in more recent history. But, realizing this, a fatalistic question became unavoidable: how are we to make sense of something that is so odd to us as these depositional practices? Actually, the question on the ‘why’ of metalwork deposition is not a simple one at all. My struggle with it made me question many of my previous assumptions, and brought me back to the essentials of archaeology in an unexpected way. The way in which this book is organized reflects both this theoretical struggle (the theoretical and methodical part I) and the renewed interest in the empirical evidence (the descriptive element of part II). The outcome is not as fatalistic as I once feared, but neither is it a clear-cut narrative on how the Bronze Age was. In a way, the book ends just where it started: with questions.

