

The photographic light-curve of BL Herculis

Binnendijk, L.

Citation

Binnendijk, L. (1950). The photographic light-curve of BL Herculis. *Bulletin Of The Astronomical Institutes Of The Netherlands*, 11, 207. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/5587

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: Leiden University Non-exclusive license

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/5587

 $\textbf{Note:} \ \ \textbf{To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable)}.$

TABLE 5

Phase	I mpg	n	Phase	II m_{pg}	n
P '0032 '0095 '0163 '0227 '0289 '0355 '0429 '0511 '0584 '0675	m + '542 + '437 + '361 + '252 + '150 + '071 + '031 + '004 - '004 + '001	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 41 42	P '0023 '0068 '0114 '0158 '0201 '0243 '0285 '0330 '0376 '0430 '0480 '0526 '0589 '0661	m + '516 + '488 + '424 + '366 + '286 + '286 + '164 + '128 + '103 + '066 + '031 + '031 + '005 + '008	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40

m·56, that of the secondary m·52. The higher part of the branch of this secondary minimum looks somewhat too low, the duration being not in agreement with that of the photovisual one.

The author decided not to make an orbital solution. On the one hand we could get a better determination than van Gent derived. On the other hand both photographic and photovisual observations are affected by errors, due to the nearby position of the brighter components, which easily may have influenced the shape of the branches. There is also an indication that the maximum brightness before and after the minima is not exactly the same. Photo-electric observations of this star in various colours have been made by Dr G. E. Kron¹) at the Lick Observatory, which promise to give a definite result. This system is very important because it is the cornerstone for the temperature scale for M dwarfs. Also we may hope to get some information on the difference in darkening at the limb within the same spectral class, since both components have the spectral type dM¹.

I wish to express my thanks to Dr Oosterhoff, Dr Wesselink, Dr Blaauw and Mr Kooreman for putting part of the material and measurements at my disposal.

¹) A.J. 54, 190, 1949 (abstract).

THE PHOTOGRAPHIC LIGHT-CURVE OF BL HERCULIS, BY L. BINNENDIJK

The variable BL Herculis was observed photographically. This Cepheid has a very unusual period of 1d·307463, falling in the middle of the gap between short-period and classical Cepheids. The horizontal part on the decreasing branch was confirmed.

The variable star BL Herculis was discovered by C. Hoffmeister¹). The period of $4^{d\cdot 2}$ used by the earlier observers appeared to be spurious, giving a very peculiar light-curve. P. Parenago²) was the first to recognize that this was erroneous and that the true period is $1^{d\cdot 3}1$, being correlated with the apparent period P' by the relation 1/P + 1/P' = 1. This new period is unusual because it falls in the middle of the gap between short-period and classical Cepheids.

The star was observed photographically with the 33-cm Leiden refractor during the years 1938—1946. Guilleminot Superguil plates, 9 cm × 12 cm, were used. The exposure time was one minute.

TABLE I

Star	BD	α (1855) δ	m_{pg}
BL a b c d	+ 19° 3501 19 3491 19 3493 -	h m s 17 54 52.0 + 19 15.6 55 57.0 19 12.4 53 41.9 19 76 54 10.0 19 12.7 55 52.9 19 19.7 53 49.0 19 15.2	- 1 m·22 - 0 ·10 + ·18 + ·53 + ·61

I) A.N. 236, 235, 1929.

The plates were measured in the Schilt photometer. In Table 1 the data about the variable and the five comparison stars are given. The magnitude scale has been derived from a number of grating plates. The grating constant for this grating was adopted as m.97 in agreement with recent results. The galvanometer readings have been converted into provisional magnitudes by the aid of a table of Wesselink¹). The difference between the provisional magnitude of the variable and the mean provisional magnitude of the comparison stars was formed. These provisional magnitude differences have only to be divided by the gradation to obtain magnitudes in the usual magnitude scale. A correction of + m·50 was added to make the maximum brightness a zero-point for the magnitudes.

The Julian Day Heliocentric Mean Astronomical Time Greenwich was computed. The reduction to the sun was read off from a graph giving the light-time as a function of the calendar-date, constructed after the formula:

Reduction = $+^{\text{d}} \cdot 00003 \ X + ^{\text{d}} \cdot 00462 \ Y$. The determination of the period was made, using six $\overline{^{\text{1}}) B.A.N.}$ 8, 331, 1939.

²⁾ I.A.U. Circ. No. 801.

TABLE 2

Plate	J.D. 242	Phase	$m_{p_{\ell}}$	g	n	Plate	J.D. 24	Phase	m_{pg}	n	Plate	J.D. 243	Phase	m_{pg}	n
	d	Р	n	n			d	P	m			d	P	m	
4464	9041.4954	2973		37	8	5412	29915'3214	6344	+ '97	10	6142	0572 4511	`2335	+ .18	16
	5037	3037		41	8	5413	'3394	.6482	+ '96	10	6143	'4760	'2525	+ .30	16
4465	5250	3200		43	9		3574	.6620	+ '96	10	6161	0590'4148	'9729	+ 1.10	13
4546	9152.4362	1493		.00	13	5427	9923.2725	7157	+ 1.00	10	6162	'4491	.0001	+ 1.06	14
4547	4529	1621	+ '	05	13		.2863	.7263	+ 1.02	10	6172	0599:3758	.8266	+ 1.19	10
4863	9406.4797	4519	+ .	·58	11		.3012	7379	+ 1.09	11	6173	3986	.8440	+ 1.22	10
	4915	'4610	+	·59	ΙI	5633	30093.2213	.9218	+ 1.14	10	6174	4201	.8602	+ 1.29	10
4889	9416'4365	'0673	+	57	13		.5642	.9619	+ 1.14	9	6189	0613.3882	5438	+ '84	15
4890	*4593	.0842		37	13		.5783	'9724	+ 1.10	9	6190	4111	5613	+ .88	14
4891	.4787	.0996		.19	13	5634	.2970	.9867	+ 1.02	10	6197	0614.3248	2854	+ :37	14
4970	9489.4026	.8747		25	15		.6105	9968	+ '98	9	6738	1672.4807	.2801	+ '90	15
	4182	.8866		21	15		.6226	.0063	+ '95	9	6961	1978.4368	:5876	+ '93	15
4971	.4386	'9022	+ 1	25	15	5644	0094.2070	.6827	+ 1.01	16	6971	1993'4615	·0791	+ :43	10
	4542	'9142		.52	15	5645	.5340	7034	+ 1.01	13		4753	.1003	+ '29 + '20	10
4972	4739	'9292		.18	15	5647	5929	7484	+ 1.00	14	6070	4892	.11003		10
0	.4892	'9411		.18	15		.6130	7638	+ 1.00	15	6972	·5148 ·5287	1199	+ '03	10
4982	9491:3736	3822	1+	.38	10	5652	0101.2208	°0701	+ '49	14	1		1305	+ '01	11
	3840	3901	1+	34	10		.5695	,	+ '34	13	6973	5432 1994'4276	.8180	+ 1.18	14
0	3944	.3981	+	37	10	5676	0117'4265	2125	+ .18	10	09/3	4519	.8366	+ 1.50	14
4983	'4096	4097	1+	.40	10	1	:4403	.2230 .2331	+ '23	10	6974	4720	8520	+ 1.53	10
	'4201	4177	++	47	10	5682	4535 0118'4703	.0108	+ .89	9	1 09/4	4858	8625	+ 1.53	10
	4304	4256	1+	:47	10	5002	4828	'0204	+ .82	9	1	5003	8736	+ 1.56	II
4984	4456	4373	+	47	10	5696	0132.4806	7265	+ 1.03	14	6975	5225	8906		1
	.4557 .4661	4450		53	10	1 5090	5013	7423	+ 1.04		1 09/3	5356	.9006		1 -
4985	4812	4529		49	9	5697	5325	7662	+ 1.13	11		5495	9112		1 -
4905	4900	4045		55	8	3097	5470	7772	+ 1.13	10	6987	2009'4420	.3016	+ .38	
5009	9495'4319	4861		.61	13	5792	0265'3400	3426			'''	4558	3122		
3009	4454	4965		.66	13	3/94	3531	3527	+ 41	8	1	4696	3227		
5010	4608	5082	1+	.65	10	5803	0270'2981	1348		13	6988	4918	3397		
3010	4717	5166	1	.74	II	5804	3244	1549	— ·o6		1	5057	3503		
5352	9752.5008	1027		.25	8	5990	0463.5152	'9150		10	1	5195	3609	+ '42	10
333-	.5091	.1001		.10	8	1 377	5297	9261		11	6989	2010'4115	'0431		
5353	5238	1203	1 1	·04	11		.5456	'9382	+ 1.12	12	l l	4246	.0235		
3333	5352	1200	· —	.03	11	5991	.5629	'9514		9	1	'4412	.0628	+ '55	II
	.5480		:	.00	11	1	.5760	9615			6990	,	.0805	10	
5403		2034		·11	10	6024		.9990			1	4745	.0013	-	
	'3126		+ +	•20	II	1	.2613	.0096				'4897	1029		
	3292	2271	+	.27	14		5751	.0201		10	6991	, ,	11183		
	3514	2441		.31	12	6027		.0821				5251	.1300		1
5411	9915.5769	*6004	⊦	.01	10	6028		.1001				5382	1400		1 -
	2900			'97	10	6141		1953	+ .08	1	1	5507	1496	+ .03	9
5412	3075	6238	3 +	.89	10	l	4254	.5138	1 + '20	11	ı	1	I	1	1

observational sequences near magnitude +m·30 on the increasing branch. Assuming that the maximum brightness occurs P·0560 or d·0732 later we find from a least-squares solution:

Max. =
$$2430475^{d} \cdot 5881 + 1^{d} \cdot 3074630 E$$
.
 $\pm 24 \pm 25 \text{ m.e.}$

The period derived by Parenago¹) from four epochs of maximum determined by Parenago, Esch and Jacchia, which cover an interval of nearly 9000 days, is 1^d·307473, which is somewhat larger than the value found here. When the period is computed from these four epochs together with the one derived in this note, the resulting value is:

The phases were computed according to the formula:

Phase =
$${}^{d^{-1}}$$
.764840 (J.D. - 2420000).
Table 3

Phase	m_{pg}	n	Phase	m_{pg}	n	
P	m + '94 + '75 + '54 + '40 + '34 + '22 + '09 + '01 '00 + '21 + '26 + '38 + '40 + '40	38 30 38 33 49 42 54 64 48 49 46 54 30 39	P '4040 '4426 '4707 '5071 '5617 '6055 '6569 '7220 '7552 '8146 '8612 '8983 '9246 '9567	m + '40 + '51 + '56 + '68 + '87 + '92 + '97 + 1'05 + 1'07 + 1'17 + 1'24 + 1'23 + 1'22 + 1'14 + 1'05	40 51 41 33 44 45 46 58 54 48 66 58 60 53	

¹) V.S. 5, 276, 1939.